ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022

Study of trends and patterns of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) of Cisplatin in Pulmonary Cancer patients- one year prospective study

Dr.Rekha Rani¹, Dr.Urvashi Andotra², Dr. Hardeep Singh³ Dr.Joginder Singh* ¹ Senior Resident, Department of Pharmacology, GMC Jammu

2 Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, GMC Jammu

Senior Resident, Department of ENT, GMC Jammu 3

*Lecturer Medicine, Department of Medicine, GMC Jammu, Corresponding Author

Abstract

Background: A cross sectional prospective observational study evaluated the trends and patterns of Adverse drug reactions of cisplatin in pulmonary cancer patients.

Methods: Pulmonary cancer patients, who received cisplatin as chemotherapy regimen, were monitored for adverse reactions. New and old diagnosed cases of pulmonary cancer patients belonging to either gender and of all ages, who were receiving cisplatin under any standard regimen, were included for the study. Any ADR due to poisoning, over dosage were excluded from the study. The ADRs were recorded in Central Drugs Standard Control Organization forms. Casuality was assessed by the WHO Casuality Assessment Scale and Naranjos Alograthim.

Results: A total number of 98 patients were reported during the study period, wherein a total number of 114 ADR cases were observed. The majority of patients were in the age group of 61-80 (n=45), followed by 41 -60 years (n=41, 41.83%) .Out of the total ADRs, most frequently reported one was vomiting 18 (15.78%) followed by anemia 16 (14.03%), nausea 13(11.40%) and hair loss 12 (10.52%) which together constituted 51.73% of the total ADRs. The other commonly encountered ADRs were diarrhea 8 (7.01%), thrombocytopenia 6 (5.26%), constipation 6 (5.26%) neutropenia 5(4.38) and neuropathy 5(4.38%). According to WHO-UMC scale, 37(37.75%) of reports to be certain, 33(33.67%) of reports to be possible and 28(28.57%) of reports to be probable where there was no case recorded as unclassified or inaccessible.Naranjo's scale which classified 65 (66.32%) to be probable and remaining 33 (33.67%) to be possible.

Conclusion:Cisplatin has high potential for adverse effects. There is a need to improve the management of adverse effects. This study also emphasizes the need to improve pharmacovigilance awareness among physicians in order to improve the pharmacovigilance in India.

Introduction: Cancer is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally with an annual death rate of $12\%^{1,2}$. India cannot be exempted as more than 1300people die every day according to National Cancer Registry Programme of Indian council of Medical research. Between 2012- 2014 the estimated mortality rate due to cancer increased 6% approximately³. Among all cancers, lung cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer. It is a leading cause of death in the world. Non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) comprises the most common form of the lung cancer⁴. ADR occurs commonly in anticancer drug therapies

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022

because of their cytotoxic property as they can damage the normally dividing cells along in addition to the cancerous cells. Multidrug therapy in these patients also makes them more vulnerable to ADRs^{5,6} Antineoplastic agents, a common class of drugs in cancer patients are responsible for a total of 21.8% of the reported ADRs. These events can beminimized by decreasing the intensity of the dose of a drug or by delaying the doses, but it carries risk of directly affecting their efficacy⁷.Platinum based regimens have been used in the standard first line chemotherapy in NSCLC patients^{8,9}.

They also lead to diverse side effects and toxicities and the general toxicity profile differs between three platinum drugs¹⁰ Cisplatin has a high potential for producing serious toxicities such as nephrotoxicity, ototoxicity and peripheral neuropathy. Carboplatin cause renal damage, ototoxicity, peripheral neuropathy, nausea and vomiting where as Oxaliplatin produces unique cold-induced neuropathies and peripheral neuropathies^{11,12,13}. As the studies available on ADRs of platinum drugs in lung cancer patients are very less, therefore, the present study is conceived to evaluate the problem of ADR in lung cancer with platinum compounds.

Aims and objectives:To study trends and patterns of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) of Cisplatin in Pulmonary cancer patients.

Materials and Methods:This one year prospective one point observational study was conducted w.e.f. November 1, 2017 to October 2018 in the ADRM centre established in Department of Pharmacology using the suspected Adverse Drug Reaction reporting form of pharmacovigilance programme of India (PvPI) in collaboration with Radiation Oncology Department, Government Medical College, Jammu, after Institute Ethics Committee (IEC) and Institutional review board of Government Medical College, Jammu permission.

METHODOLOGY

The clinical profile and the important information related to ADR in the form of patients brief history, clinical presentation, date of reaction, date of recovery, date of presentation were also recorded in ADR reporting form made available under pharmacovigilance. Patient information about suspected ADR, suspected medication was recorded. Under suspected medication, the name of the drug, brand name of manufacturer/ generic name of manufacturer (if known), expiry date, dose used, route, severity, frequency and therapy dates as well as reason for prescribing suspected drugs were also recorded and analysed.

OBSERVATIONS

The present one - year observational, prospective cross-sectional study was conducted from Ist November2017 to October 2018 with the objective to identify the lung cancer patients with Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) from Radiotherapy Department using suspected drug reactions monitoring data collection form.

A total number of 98 patients were reported during the study period, wherein a total number of 114 ADR cases were observed.

The majority of patients were in the age group of 61-80 (n=45), followed by 41 -60 years (n=41, 41, 83%) (Table 1: Age Distribution of ADR cases

(i=11, 11.05 %) (Tuble1) Tuble 11 fige Distribution of fibit cuses		
Age group (years)	No.	Percentage

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022

1-20	0	0	
21-40	10	10.20	
41-60	41	41.83	
61-80	45	45.91	
>80	2	2.04	
Total	98	98(100.00)	



 Fig. 1: Bar chart depicting Age Distribution of ADR cases

 Table 2: Distribution of ADR cases According to personal habits of subjects

 Parsonal habits

Personal habits	No.(%)

Table 2: Distribution of ADR cases According to personal habits of subjects

Personal habits	No.(%)
Smokers or Alcoholics	56 (57.14)
Non-Smokers/Non-Alcoholics	42 (42.85)
Total	98 (100.00)

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022



Fig. 2: Pie chart Depicting Distribution of ADR cases According to personal habits of subjects

8 8		
Name of drug	No.	%
Cisplatin	54	47.36
Carboplatin	43	37.71
Oxaliplatin	17	14.91
Total	114	100.00





Fig 3: Bar chart depicting Platinum analogs resulting into ADR

The largest number of reports was associated with cisplatin 48 (48.97%) followed by carboplatin 38 (38.77%) and oxaliplatin 12 (12.24%) (Table 3).

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022

S.No.	ADR name	Frequency	Percentage
1	Vomiting	18	15.78
2	Anemia	16	14.03
3	Nausea	13	11.40
4	Hair loss	12	10.52
5	Diarrhea	8	7.01
6	Constipation	6	5.26
7	Thrombocytopenia	6	5.26
8	Neutropenia	5	4.38
9	Neuropathy	5	4.38
10	Elevation in creatinine	4	3.50
11	Deranged LFT's	4	3.50
12	Loss of appetite	4	3.50
13	Increase in uric acid	3	2.63
14	Musculoskeletal pain	3	2.63
15	Altered taste	2	1.75
16	Dizziness	1	0.87
17	Headache	1	0.87
18	Fatigue	1	0.87
19	Fever	1	0.87
20	Dryness of mouth	1	0.87
	Total	114	100.00

 Table 4: Frequency of distribution of various ADRs (n=98)

The total number of ADRs developed by the patients was found to be 114. Out of the total ADRs, most frequently reported one was vomiting 18 (15.78%) followed by anemia 16 (14.03%), nausea 13(11.40%) and hair loss 12 (10.52%) which together constituted 51.73% of the total ADRs. The other commonly encountered ADRs were diarrhea 8 (7.01%), thrombocytopenia 6 (5.26%), constipation 6 (5.26%) neutropenia 5(4.38) and neuropathy 5(4.38) depicted in (Table 4).

The frequency of deranged LFT's was 4 (3.50%) and elevation in creatinine was 4 (3.50%). The other ADR cases were loss of appetite 4(3.50%), increase in uric acid 3 (2.63%), followed by musculoskeletal pain 3 (2.63%) and altered taste 2 (1.75%). ADRs like dizziness, headache, fatigue, fever and dryness of mouth were rare with a frequency of 1(0.87%) each (Table 4).

Table 5: Analysis of Severity of ADR According to WHO- UMC scale (n=98)

Severity of ADR	No. (%)
Certain	37 (37.75)
Probable	28 (28.57)
Possible	33 (33.67)
Unlikely	0
Conditional	0
Unclassifiable	0

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022

Total	98 (100.00)

'Table 6: Analysis of ADR in Reference to Causality Assessment Severity Naranjo's Scale (n=98)

Causality Assessment	No. (%)
Definite (_>9)	0
Probable (5-8)	65 (66.32)
Possible (1-4)	33 (33.67)
Doubtful	0
Total	98 (100.00)

DISCUSSION: ADRs significantly affect the quality of life and strongly suggests that they are the leading cause of morbidity, mortality and economical burden worldwide^{14,15,16}. They are a matter of concern not only for the patients whose suffering gets worsened but also for the treating physicians and other health care providers and the treating health centre. ADRs are now considered a significant public health problem, warranting urgent attention and remedial intervention. The occurrence of ADRs in patients with cancer chemotherapy is found to be grave concern, the elimination of side effects, and possible toxicities have become a major problem during chemotherapy, especially with Platinum agents. Platinum based chemotherapy has been applied in Lung cancer treatment for a long time. As Lung cancer is the most common malignant tumor globally. As yet, only limited studies in the field, particularly in relation to cancer chemotherapy, are available^{17,18}.

The demographic profile of present study shows that more number of males accounted for ADRs, with male to female ratio as 2.76:1. It is generally held that cancer predominantly occur in males, perhaps on account of certain factors such as smoking and alcohol consumption¹⁹. This is comparable to study conducted in a tertiary care hospital^{20,21,22,23} where majority of the patients were males. In a similar study²⁴ observed highest tumor incidence in males and most frequent tumor was lung tumor with a male/female 4:1 ratio.

Other common ADRs encountered in our study were constipation, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia and neuropathy. In series²⁵ constipation turned out to be the most common ADR from cancer chemotherapy. However, constipation was seen in only a small proportion of cases in the series ²⁶. Reduced dietary intake due to anorexia in cancer may be an important factor in causing constipation. Intestinal denudation by chemotherapy, especially alkylating agents, could well be the contributing factor in development of constipation²⁷. Thrombocytopenia requires oprelvekin or platelet transfusion. Neutropenia can be managed by sargramostin, filgrastin.

CONCLUSION:The benefits of ADR monitoring are well known. In the past, poor awareness and non availability of a central coordinating body resulted in lack of ADR monitoring in India. The National Pharmacovigilance Programme was recently initiated in the country, encouraging ADR monitoring in selected centres, including our own centre. Ours

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022

is the first study of its kind at GMC and Associated Hospital, Jammu providing an insight into the burden and pattern of ADRs in patients on cisplatin chemotherapy. More such studies with improved facilities need to be conducted periodically to generate robust data in this field.

REFERENCES:

- **1. Warnakulasuriya S.** Global epidemiology of oral and oropharyngeal cancer. Oral oncology 2009;45(4):309-16
- 2. Mariotto AB, Robin Yabroff K, Shao Y, Feuer EJ, Brown ML. Projections of the Cost of Cancer Care in the United States: 2010–2020. J Natl Cancer Institute 2011;103(2):117-28
- **3.** NCRP: Three year report of population based cancer registries : 2012-2014 National Cancer Registry Programme (Indian Council of Medical Research), Banglore, 2016
- **4.** Jernal A, Bray F, Centre MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. Cancer j clin 2011;61(2):69-90
- **5. Harman JG, Limbird LE.** Goodman and Gillman's the Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. 9th ed., New York: McGraw Hill;1996. pp.886
- **6. Pirmohamed M, James S, Meakin S,Green C, Skott AK, Wally TJ, et al.** ADR as a cause of admission to hospital:Prospective analysis of 18820 patients. Br Med Jr 2004;329:15-19
- 7. Prasad A, Datta PP, Bhattacharya J, Pattanayak C, Chauhan A S, Panda P. Pattern of adverse drug reactions due to cancer chemotherapy in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Eastern India. J Pharmacovigilance 2013;1(2):107-11
- **8.** Schiller JH, Harrington D, Belani CP, Langer C, Sandler A, krook J, et al. Comparison of four chemotherapy regimns for advanced non-small cell lung cancer.N Engl J Med 2002;346:92-98
- **9.** Scagliotti GV, Parikh P, Von Pawel J, Biesma B, Vansteenkiste J, et al. Phase III study comparing cisplatin plus gemcitabine with cisplatin plus pemetrexed in chemotherapy- naïve patients withadvanced-stage non small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3543-51
- **10. McWhinney SR, Goldberg RM, McLeod HL**. Platinum neurotoxicity pharmacogenetics. Mol Cancer Ther 2009;8(1):10-16
- **11. Guminski AD, Harnett PR, DeFazio A**. Scientists and clinicians test theirmetalback to the future with platinum compounds. Lancet Oncol 2002;3(5):312-08
- 12. Colvin M. Alkylating agents and platinum antitumor compounds. In: Kufe DW, Pollock RE, Weichselbaum RR, Bast RC, Gansler TS, Holland JF (editors). Cancer Medicine. 6th ed., Hamilton, Ontario BC Decker 2003. pp.759-91
- **13.** Andre T, Boni C, Mounedji BL, Navarro M, Tabernero J, Hickish T, etal. Oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as adjuvant treatment for colon cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;350(23):2343-51
- **14. Bates DW, Spell N, Cullen DJ, Burdick E, Laird N, Petersen LA, et al.** The costs of adverse drug events in hospitalized patients. JAMA 1997;277:307-11
- **15. Lazarou J, Pomeranz BH, Corey BN.** Incidence of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized patients.JAMA 1998;279(15):1200-05

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13,ISSUE05,2022

- **16. Davis EC, Green CF, Mottram DR, Pirmohamed M**. Adverse drug reactions in hospitals. A narrative review. Curr Drug Saf 2007;2:79-87
- **17.** Jose J, Rao PG. Pattern of adverse drug reactions notified by spontaneous reporting in an Indian tertiary care teaching hospital. Pharmacol Res 2006;54(3):226-33
- **18. Surendiran A, Balamurugan N, Gunaseelan K, Akhtar S, Reddy KS, Adithan C.** Adverse drug reaction profile of cisplatin- based chemotherapy regimen in a tertiary care hospital in India: An evaluate study. Indian J Pharmacol 2010;42(1):40-43
- **19.** Cook MB, Dawsey SM, Freedman ND, Inskip PD, Wichner SM, Quraishi SM, et al.Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18(4):1174-82
- 20. Malik S, Palaian S, Ojha P, Mishra P. Pattern of adverse drug reactions due to cancer chemotherapy in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Nepal. Pak J Pharmacol Sci 2007;20:214-218
- **21. Prasad A, Datta PP, Bhattacharya J, Pattanayak C, Chauhan A S, Panda P.** Pattern of adverse drug reactions due to cancer chemotherapy in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Eastern India. J Pharmacovigilance 2013;1(2):107-11
- **22.** Zhou F, Gao G, Ren S, Li X, He Y, Zhou C. The Association between COX-2 Polymorphisms and Haematological Toxicity in patients with advanced Non-small cell Lung cancer treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. Plos one 2013;8(4):1-8
- **23. Indhumathi P, Shanmuga PE.** Incidence and Management of treatment related side effects for patients receiving platinum therapy in an outpatient oncology clinic. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 2017;10(8):117-20
- **24.** Astolfi L, Ghiselli S, Guaran V, Chicca M, Simoni E, Olivetto E, et al .Correlation of adverse effects of cisplatin administration in patients affected by solid tumours: A retrospective evaluation. Oncol Rep 2013;29(4):1285-92
- **25. Lau PM, Stewart K, Dooley M:** The ten most common adverse drug reactions (ADRs) in oncology patients: Do they matter to you. Support Care Cancer 2004;12:626-33
- **26.** Poddar S, Sultan R, Sultana R, Akbar MM, Azad MAK, Hasnat A. Pattern of adverse drug reactions due to cancer chemotherapy in tertiary teaching hospital in Bangladesh. Dhaka Univ J Pharm Sci 2009;8:11-16
- 27. Chabner BA, Bertino J, Cleary J. Cytotoxic agents. In; Brunton L, Chabner B, Knollman B (eds): Goodman and Gilman's Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 12th edn. London: McGreaw Hill 2011:1678-30