Assessment of voltage criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy in adult hypertensives in south-western Nigeria
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Abstract
Background: Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is a common pathophysiological consequence of hypertension. Various voltage (ECG) criteria exist for evaluation of LVH. This study assessed the performance of 4 commonly used ECG criteria in south-western Nigeria.

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional descriptive study of adult hypertensive subjects. Participants were assessed for LVH using 4 ECG criteria: Sokolow–Lyon, Araoye code system, Cornell voltage, and Gubner–Ungerleider criteria. Echocardiography was used to determine the left ventricular mass index for the participants, and a value greater than 125 g/m² was used as the cut-off point for LVH. The sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive and negative predictive values were determined for each of the ECG criteria.

Results: 90 subjects (45 males, 45 females) participated in the study. The prevalence of LVH by echocardiography was 32.2%. The prevalence of LVH by voltage criteria were: 45.6%, 42.2%, 34.4%, 13.3% by Sokolow–Lyon, Araoye code system, Cornell, and Gubner–Ungerleider criteria, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity respectively of the ECG criteria were 58.62% and 60.66% (Sokolow–Lyon), 48.28% and 60.65% (Araoye code system), 51.72% and 73.77% (Cornell), and 13.79% and 86.89% (Gubner–Ungerleider).

Conclusion: Out of the 4 ECG criteria, Araoye code system, Cornell and Sokolow–Lyon criteria compared favorably well with echocardiography and may be used in the initial assessment of LVH in adult hypertensive subjects. However, a combination of any of the 3 criteria with Gubner–Ungerleider criterion will be more clinically useful.

1. Introduction

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is a progressive structural change involving the left ventricular myocardium. LVH is characterized by increase in the size and workload of the cardiac chambers. LVH, which is assessed by electrocardiogram or echocardiogram, is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events. LVH is also a major factor in the etiopathogenesis of cardiac arrhythmias, ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, and sudden cardiac death. LVH is a common complication of hypertension, the leading non-communicable disease in Nigeria. The prevalence of hypertension varies with the cut-off value used and the population involved. The crude prevalence of hypertension in Nigeria is 11.2%. Therefore, proper assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with hypertension is not only important but also of therapeutic and prognostic relevance.

Many methods are used to assess LVH though the gold standard is probably the echocardiogram. However, this facility is still largely a specialized one, available only in a few hospitals in Nigeria. A set of criteria based on electrocardiogram (ECG), a more readily available, simple, affordable, easy to use and portable tool has been developed for assessment of LVH. These include; Sokolow–Lyon and Cornell's criteria, Cornell's voltage product, Araoye code system, Framingham score, Mcphie and Wilson criteria, Manning and Smiley criterion, Mazzoleni criterion, Perugia score, Minnesota code and Romhilt–Estes Point Score System. In Nigeria, only few studies have evaluated the suitability of the electrocardiographic criteria in the assessment of LVH among adult hypertensive subjects. This study, therefore, compared 4 commonly used voltage criteria with echocardiography to assess their suitability for routine use in the assessment of LVH in adult hypertensive subjects.
2. Materials and methods

Ninety consecutive adult subjects with established hypertension diagnosed at the cardiac clinic were recruited for the study. There were 45 males and 45 females. The ECGs of the patients were evaluated for the presence of left ventricular hypertrophy using 4 ECG criteria: Sokolow–Lyon (SV1 + RV5 or V6 > 35 mm), Gubner–Ungerleider (SII + RII > 25 mm), Araoye code system (SV2 + RV6 > 35 mm in women or > 40 mm in men or RII > 12 mm in both sexes), and Cornell’s criteria (SV3 + RavL > 20 mm in women or > 28 mm in men). The subjects were re-evaluated using echocardiography. Sonoline G605 Ultrasound system with 4.2 MHz transducers was used for cardiac scanning. Two Dimensional (2D)-guided M-mode measurements were made according to American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) convention.23 The linear dimensions of septal wall, left ventricular cavity, and posterior wall along the left ventricular minor axis, identified as the largest diameter perpendicular to the septum and posterior wall, were measured at the end of diastole (at onset of R wave). The measurements were recorded in centimeters. The estimation of left ventricular mass (LVM) was based on the formula derived by Devereux and colleagues.7

\[
LVM = 0.8 \times \left(1.04 \times (LVIDd + PWtd + IVSd) - (LVIDd)^3\right) + 6.9g
\]

where LVIDd = left ventricle internal dimension in diastole, PWtd = posterior wall thickness in diastole, IVSd = interventricular septal thickness in diastole, 1.04 = specific gravity of the myocardium.

The LVM index partition value > 125 g/m² for both sexes22 was utilized for determination of left ventricular hypertrophy and the sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of each of the voltage criteria were estimated. The Ethics and Research Committee of Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife approved the study. The data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 15.0 software. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Comparison of means was done by Student t test. 95% confidence interval was used to determine significance of probability, and P value of <0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

3. Results

Ninety (90) hypertensive subjects (45 males, 45 females) participated in the study. 75.6% of the study population was above 50 years of age. The mean age was 57 ± 12 years. The most frequent age-group was 50–59 years. The mean height for males and females were 1.71 ± 0.08 m and 1.60 ± 0.07 m, respectively. The mean weight ± SD for male and female were 75.41 ± 14.5 kg and 69.73 ± 16.59 kg, respectively. The mean body mass index ± SD was 27.38 ± 6.46 kg/m² in females and 25.94 ± 5.34 kg/m² males (Tables 1 and 2).

The prevalence of LVH by echocardiography was 32.2%. The prevalence of LVH by voltage criteria were: 45.6%, 42.2%, 34.4%, 13.3% by Sokolow–Lyon, Araoye code system, Cornell, and Gubner–Ungerleider criteria, respectively. Table 3 showed the comparison among the voltage criteria using echocardiography as the gold standard. The sensitivity and specificity respectively of the ECG criteria were 58.62% and 60.66% (Sokolow–Lyon), 48.28% and 60.65% (Araoye code system), 51.72% and 73.77% (Cornell), and 13.79% and 86.89% (Gubner–Ungerleider). The accuracies, positive, and negative predictive values of each of the ECG criteria were shown in Table 4.

4. Discussion

This study evaluated 4 ECG criteria for LVH using echocardiography as the gold standard. In all, 90 (45 males, 45 females) hypertensive subjects were assessed in this study, of which about a third (32.2%) had echocardiographic evidence of LVH. Our study was consistent with that of Verdecchia et al23 which reported the prevalence of LVH of 27.2% using the same LVM cut-off value (125 g/m²) for males and females. The prevalence of LVH in hypertensive variance with the echocardiographic cut-off value used. Dada et al21 in a study of 100 hypertensive subjects, obtained a prevalence of 34% at LVM of 126 g/m and 130 g/m for females and males, respectively, while Katsib24,25 reported a prevalence of 35% among 60 hypertensive subjects studied. Adebiyi,26 in the study of 457 hypertensive Nigerians, obtained a prevalence range of 30.9%–56.0% using various partition values for LVM. The lowest prevalence was obtained when LVM was indexed for body surface area (BSA) using a partition value of 125 g/m². The highest prevalence was obtained when LVM was indexed for height raised to power of 2.7 using a partition value of 46.7 g/Ht² and 49.2 g/Ht² for females and males, respectively.

The prevalence of LVH determined by ECG criteria obtained in this study ranged from 13.3% to 45.6%. The prevalence obtained by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Male (N = 45)</th>
<th>Female (N = 45)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57.44 ± 11.84</td>
<td>56.89 ± 12.41</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>75.41 ± 15.45</td>
<td>69.73 ± 16.59</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.94 ± 5.34</td>
<td>27.38 ± 6.46</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m²)</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.041**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>141.40 ± 18.39</td>
<td>140.67 ± 17.86</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>90.44 ± 11.27</td>
<td>85.33 ± 9.44</td>
<td>0.022**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BSA — body surface area, BMI — body mass index, SD — standard deviation, DBP — diastolic blood pressure, SBP — systolic blood pressure, *P value < 0.01, **P value < 0.05.

**Table 2** Demographic characteristics according to gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>FN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLC</td>
<td>17 (18.9%)</td>
<td>37 (41.1%)</td>
<td>24 (26.7%)</td>
<td>12 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>14 (15.6%)</td>
<td>37 (41.1%)</td>
<td>24 (26.7%)</td>
<td>15 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>15 (16.7%)</td>
<td>45 (50.0%)</td>
<td>16 (17.8%)</td>
<td>14 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUC</td>
<td>4 (4.4%)</td>
<td>53 (58.9%)</td>
<td>8 (8.9%)</td>
<td>25 (27.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS — Araoye code system, CC — Cornell criterion, SLC — Sokolow–Lyon criterion, GUC — Gubner–Ungerleider criterion, TP — True positive, TN — True negative, FP — False positive, FN — False negative.

**Table 3** Comparisons among ECG criteria using echocardiography as the gold standard.

DBP — diastolic blood pressure, SBP — systolic blood pressure, BSA — body surface area, BMI — body mass index.
Sokolow–Lyon criteria was the highest (45.6%), and the value obtained by Gubner–Ungerleider criterion was the lowest (13.3%). The prevalence of LVH by Araoye code system, Sokolow–Lyon, and Cornell criteria were higher than that obtained by echocardiography. Falsely high prevalence of LVH obtained by the ECG criteria compared favorably well with echocardiography. The 3 ECG criteria Sokolow–Lyon criterion, SLC and Sokolow–Lyon criterion were higher than that obtained by echocardiography. The low sensitivity of various ECG criteria with standard electrocardiographic criteria for the diagnosis of left ventricular hypertrophy in adult hypertensive subjects. However, a combination of any of the 3 criteria with Gubner–Ungerleider criterion will be more clinically useful.

5. Conclusion

Out of the 4 ECG criteria, Araoye code system, Cornell, and Sokolow–Lyon criteria compared favorably well with echocardiography and may be used in the initial assessment of LVH in adult hypertensive subjects. However, a combination of any of the 3 criteria with Gubner–Ungerleider criterion will be more clinically useful.
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