Effect of Different Left Ventricular Pacing Sites on Cardiac Output

M.D. Mohammad Hosein Nikoo¹, M. D. Masoud Shafiee², M. D. Zahra Mehdipour Namdar¹, M.D. Mostafa Kazerooni³, M.D. Mohammad Vahid Jorat¹, M.D. Amir Aslani^{1*}

¹Cardiovascular Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz, Iran ²Cardiac Surgery Department, Kowsar Hospital, Shiraz, Iran

³Anesthesiology Department, Kowsar Hospital, Shiraz, Iran

Correspondence Author E-mail: <u>draslani@yahoo.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Background: Epicardial wire can be placed in any epicardial position during open heart surgery. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different epicardial pacing sites on cardiac output in patients who underwent cardiac surgery.

Method: Patients who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting were selected for this study. Epicardial wire was implanted at 4 locations: 1-RV near apex (usual site); 2-LV near apex; 3-LV upper lateral; 4-LV upper septal anterior. External single chamber generator was used and pacing rate was 10 beat/ minute greater than patient heart rate. Cardiac output (CO) was measured by NICO system which uses Fick's method to calculate cardiac output from respiratory O2 and CO2.

Result: In all patients, CO during patients' sinus rhythm was more than RV apical pacing (P< 0.001), LV apical pacing (P=0.016) and LV upper septum pacing (P=0.002). Regarding left versus right side of pacing, LV lateral wall make significantly more output than RV apex (P=0.005). When left sides are compared, LV lateral wall create more output than LV apex

(P< 0.001). In comparison, LV apex produces more output than LV upper septum (P< 0.001). Also output from LV lateral wall pacing exceeds LV upper septum (P< 0.001). So the best LV site for pacing seems to be LV lateral wall.

Conclusion: Pacing from left ventricular lateral wall pumps blood most efficiently than other sites of LV and RV wall. This finding suggests LV lateral wall as a best site for post cardiac surgery pacing.

Key words: Cardiac output; Pacing; Left ventricle; Right ventricle; Cardiac surgery

INTRODUCTION

Temporary epicardial wire implantation is a routine procedure after open heart surgery⁽¹⁾. Usually, the epicardial wire is placed in the right ventricle (RV). RV pacing induces asynchronous electrical activation of the left ventricle (LV) which may have deleterious effects on cardiac function ^(2,3,4,5,6,7). However, epicardial wire can be placed in any epicardial position during open heart surgery. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different epicardial pacing sites on cardiac output in patients who underwent cardiac surgery.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Population

Thirty-six, Consecutive adult patients who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting from 2010-2011 in Kowsar Hospital; were selected for this study. Patients gave the writteninformed consent to participate in the study whichwasapproved by the local ethics committee. All patients were in sinus rhythm. Each patient paced temporarily from 4 sites mentioned in surgical intervention and cardiac output wasmeasured.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients with the following criteria were excluded from the study:

1-Previous pacemaker implantation; 2-Atrial fibrillation; 3-Complte left bundle branch block (QRS > 120 msec); 4Cardiovascular Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Science Shiraz Iran **E-mail Address:** <u>draslani@yahoo.com</u>

Submitted: 25-03-2020 Revision: 20-04-2020 Accepted Date: 10-05-2020

Correspondence:

Amir Aslani

DOI: 10.31838/jcdr.2020.11.02.03

Hemodynamic instability after pump; 5-Ejection fraction < 30%

Surgical Intervention

Epicardial wire was implanted at 4 locations:

1-RV near apex (usual site); 2-LV near apex; 3-LV upper lateral; 4-LV upper septal anterior

Medtronic external single chamber generator was used (Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA). Pacingwas performed 10 beat/minute greater than patientheart rate for 1 minute.Ventricular capture during pacingwas confirmed by QRS morphology.

Cardiac Output Measurement

NICO (Non-Invasive Cardiac Output- Novametrix, Wallingford, CT, USA) is a device using Fick's method to calculate cardiac output (CO) from respiratory O2 and CO2⁽⁸⁾.

Statistical Analysis

All values are presented as means \pm SD. Differences between groups were performed using Friedman& Wilcoxon tests. SPSS 11.0 software was used for statistical analysis. For all analyses, P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patients Characteristics

A total of 36 patients (26 male, Age: 56 ± 19 years) were included in the study. Ejection fraction of the study population was $50.4\% \pm 10.2\%$. Indication for coronary artery bypass grafting was 3 vessels disease (with or without left main disease) in 72% of patients, 2 vessels disease in 24% and single vessels disease in 4% of the study population. Each patient paced from 4 mentioned sites and cardiac output was measured.

Results of Epicardial Pacing

Table1 shows results of CO from different epicardial pacing sites. In all patients, CO during patients' sinus rhythm was more than RV apical pacing (P=0.001), LV apical pacing (P=0.016) and LV upper septum pacing (P=0.002). Table2 shows comparison between cardiac outputs from different epicardial pacing sites. Regarding left versus right side of pacing, LV lateral wall make significantly more output than RVapex (P=0.005). When left sides are compared, LV lateral wall create more output than LV apex (P<0.001). In comparison, LV apex produces more output than LV upper septum (P< 0.001). Also output from LV lateral wall pacing exceeds LV upper septum (P< 0.001). So the best LV site for pacing seems to be LV lateral wall (Figure-1).

DISCUSSION

During pacing from ventricular site, electrical wave should pass from cell-to-cell with slow conduction velocity, which is more time consuming, and less efficient for producing stroke volume ⁽⁷⁾. In our study, in keeping with these facts, we found that left ventricular pacing produces better CO than RV pacing. Also, we found that LV lateral wall pacing produces the best CO in comparison with other LV sites. This might be explained by less ventricular dyssynchrony during pacing from LV lateral wall (compared to other LV sites) ⁽⁷⁾.

Normal heart uses His-Purkinje system to deliver electrical stimuli to whole endocardial sites spontaneously and this causes harmonic spiral movement of ventricles with excellent output. Ventricular pacing from any site loses such advantage of atrio-ventricular synchrony and harmonic contraction with less efficient working^(5, 6, 7). This fact reconfirmed in our study as maximum cardiac output was during normal sinus rhythm.

Effect of epicardial pacing on cardiac output after open heart surgery was studied in a few articles by echocardiography⁽⁷⁾. Echocardiographic measurement of CO is complex and matter of controversy^(10,3). This makes us to estimate this effect by another method and NICO seems simple and reasonably accurate^(8,9). NICO method has good correlation with standard thermo- dilutionmethod but is non-invasive, automated and useful⁽¹⁰⁾. However, our finding was similar to the echocardiographic researches ⁽⁷⁾.

STUDY LIMITATION

The present research has some limitations: 1) Dual chamber pacing from atrial and ventricular sites (A-V synchronous pacing) produces better CO than single chamber (ventricular) pacing. In the present study, single chamber pacing was performed because of time limitation during cardiac bypass surgery; 2) It was more informative if we checked CO from all ranges of LV function, but in our study we excluded the patients with EF<30% due to critical time limitation in such patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Pacing from left ventricular lateral wall pumps blood most efficiently than other sites of LV and RV wall. This finding suggests LV lateral wall as a best site for post cardiac surgery pacing.

COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thanks Mrs. Hajipour and Mrs. Falamarzi for English editing.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST None

REFERENCES

- 1. Hodam RP, Starr A. Temporary postoperative epicardial pacing electrodes. Their value and management after open-heart surgery. Ann ThoracSurg 1969;8:506–510.
- 2. Tse HF, Lau CP. Long-term effect of right ventricular pacing on myocardial perfusion and function. J Am Coll Cardiol.1997;29:744-9.
- Chung ES, Leon AR, Tavazzi L, Sun JP, Nihoyannopoulos P, Merlino J, et al. Results of the predictors of response to CRT (PROSPECT) Trial. Circulation. 2008; 117:2608-16.
- Gerald Buckberg MD, Julien I.E. Hoffman MD , Aman Mahajan MD, Saleh Saleh MD, Cecil Coghlan MD Cardiac Mechanics Revisited, The relation of Cardiac Architecture to ventricular function, *Circulation.* 2008; 118: 2571-2587
- Sweeney MO, HellKAMP AS, Ellenbogen KA, Greenspon AJ, Freedman RA, Lee KL, et al. Adverse effect of ventricular pacing on heart failure and atrial fibrillation among patients with normal baseline QRS duration in a clinical trial of pacemaker therapy for sinus node dysfunction. Circulation 2003; 107: 2932-7
- Nilsen JC, Kristensen L, Andersen HR, Mortensen PT, Pedersen OL, Pedersen AK. A randomized of atrial and dual chamber pacing in 177 consecutive patients with sick sinus syndrome: echocardiography and clinical outcome. J Am COLL Cardiol. 2003;42:614-23
- Jose Luis Moya-Mur, EnricOliva-De Anquin, TomasaCentella-Hernandez, Soledad Ruiz-Leira , Alicia Megaias-Saez , et al. Selecting the Best Site for Pacing Leads After Cardiac Surgery by Evaluating The Asynchrony of Myocardial Deformation Observed with Different Pacing Sites . Rev EspCardiol. 2010; 63 (10): 1162-70.
- 8. vanHeerden PV, Baker S, Lim SI, Weidman C, Bulsara M. Clinical evaluation of the non-invasive cardiac

output (NICO) monitor in the intensive care unit.Anaesth Intensive Care.2000 Aug;28(4):427-30.

- Young BP, Low LL. Noninvasive monitoring cardiac output using partial CO2 rebreathing. Crit Care Clin 2010 Apr; 26(2):383-92.
- Izzat MB, Regragui IA, Wilde P, Angelini GD, Bryan AJ. Transesophageal echocardiographic measurements of cardiac output in cardiac surgical patients.Ann ThoracSurg. 1994 Nov;58(5):1486-9

Table 1: Results of Cardiac Output from Different Epicardial Pacing Sites		
Site of Epicardial Pacing	Cardiac Output (liter per minute)	
	(mean ± SD)	
Patient's Sinus Rhythm	5.61 ± 0.52	
RV Apex	4.83 ± 0.69	
LV Apex	5.02 ± 0.56	
LV Lateral Wall	5.32 ± 0.61	
LV High Septum	4.91 ± 0.48	

RV=Right Ventricle, LV=Left Ventricle

Table 2: Comparison between Cardiac Outputs from Different Epicardial Pacing Sites

Site of Pacing	Cardiac Output (L/min)	Pvalue
Sinus Rhythm vs. RV Apex	5.61 ± 0.52 vs. 4.83 ± 0.69	<0.001
Sinus Rhythm vs. LV Apex	5.61± 0.52 vs. 5.02 ± 0.56	0.016
Sinus Rhythm vs. LV Lateral	5.61 ± 0.52 vs. 5.32 ± 0.61	<0.001
Sinus Rhythm vs. LV	5.61 ± 0.52 vs. 4.91 ± 0.48	0.002
Septum		
RV Apexvs.LV Apex	$4.83 \pm 0.69 \text{vs.} \ 5.02 \pm 0.56$	<0.001
RV Apexvs.LV Lateral	$4.83 \pm 0.69 \text{ vs. } 5.32 \pm 0.61$	0.005
RV Apexvs.LV Septum	4.83 ± 0.69 vs. 4.91 ± 0.48	< 0.001
LV Lateralvs.LV Apex	5.32 ± 0.61vs. 5.02 ± 0.56	< 0.001
LV Septumvs.LV Apex	4.91 ± 0.48vs. 5.02 ± 0.56	0.010
LV Lateralvs.LV Septum	5.32 ± 0.61 vs. 4.91 ± 0.48	< 0.001

RV=Right Ventricle, LV=Left Ventricle



Figure 1: Shows results of cardiac output from different epicardial pacing sites. In all patients, cardiac output during patients' sinus rhythm was more than RV apical pacing, LV apical pacing and LV upper septum pacing. The best site for pacing seems to be LV lateral wall. (RV=Right Ventricle, LV=Left Ventricle)

Cite this article: Amir Aslani Effect of Different Left Ventricular Pacing Sites on Cardiac Output. J. Cardiovascular Disease Res. 2020; 11 (2): 08 - 10