

The Algorithm for Selection of Symptom Complex of Ischemic Heart Diseases Based on Flexible Search

Nishanov Akhram Khasanovich¹, Akbaraliyev Bakhtiyorjon Bakirovich², Juraev Gulomjon Primovich³, Khasanova Malika Akhramovna⁴, Maksudova Malika Khamdamjanovna⁴, Umarova Zamira Fakhriyevna⁴

¹Information Technologies Software Department, Tashkent University of Information Technologies named after Muhammad al-Khwarizmi, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

²Faculty of Software Engineering, Tashkent University of Information Technologies named after Muhammad al-Khwarizmi, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

³Information Technologies Center at the Tashkent University of Information Technologies named after Muhammad al-Khwarizmi, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

⁴Department of Faculty and Hospital Therapy No.2, Tashkent Medical Academy, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

E-mail: malikabonuxasanova@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In the case of preliminary data processing, particularly, in identifying symbols, the determination and categorization of informative symbols or sets of symbols that classify objects is an important issue. Although, there have been a number of methods and algorithms proposed to solve these problems, many more ones are to be solved in this direction. This is due to the fact that most of the proposed approaches are strongly dependent on the nature of the subject of the study, the number of symbols, the type of values that can take symbols, the size of the training sample, and so forth. In addition, there are certain requirements for the above. Besides these, each method or algorithm depends to a large extent on the correctness of the information selection criterion and choosing the appropriate decisive rule that determines the quality of the selected choice. In this regard, the efficiency and reliability of many methods and algorithms are not stable.

The flexible search-based algorithm proposed below is in some sense universal, since symbols can take different types of values, and the proposed informative criterion for selecting a set of symbols is based on minimizing classification errors. In addition, the probability vector used in character selection prevents objects from irrelevant displacement of their important characters out of the selection.

Using this algorithm, the diagnostic data of patients with stenocardia, acute myocardial infarction and cardiac arrhythmia, which are part of ischemic heart disease, were first processed. In this case, the problem of selecting and classifying disease complexes was studied according to the clinical signs and symptoms of patients. Results of the study are presented.

Keywords: preliminary data processing, criteria for informativeness, character selection, classification error coefficient, flexible random search.

Correspondence:

Khasanova Malika Akhramovna
Department of Faculty and Hospital
Therapy No. 2
Tashkent University Medical Academy
Tashkent, Uzbekistan
E-mail Address:
malikabonuxasanova@gmail.com

Submitted: 30-04-2020

Revision: 30-05-2020

Accepted Date: 30-06-2020

DOI: 10.31838/jcdr.2020.11.02.37

INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization, 56 percent of all deaths occur due to diseases of the cardiovascular system. In European countries, cardiovascular disease causes 4.3 million (48%) deaths per year.

According to the State Statistics Committee, 62.1% of deaths in the Republic of Uzbekistan in January-June 2019 were caused exactly by diseases of the blood circulatory system [1].

One of the most common diseases of the cardiovascular system is ischemic heart disease (IHD). In addition, IHD is the cause of 40% of deaths from cardiovascular disease [2].

According to experts, "the effectiveness of treatment and the outcome of the disease depends on timely diagnosis, immediate hospitalization, early use of drugs in connection with the state of the cardiovascular system and clinical manifestations of the disease. The patient must stay in bed for at least 24 hours, since a passive state reduces the need for oxygen in the myocardium, prevents the expansion of the damaged area, prevents the development of various complications, accelerates the reparative (scarring) process in the damaged myocardium. On the second day, passive movement in place is allowed. If there are no complications, you can sit on the 3rd day." [3].

It can be seen from the above that with this type of disease, proper diagnosis and rapid decision-making are considered as one of the most important tasks. This demonstrates the

need for extensive introduction of advanced math equipment and information and communication technologies in the industry.

In general, in solving many practical problems, it is important to build a model of the research process (mathematical, physical, technological, etc.), as well as to form an initial database of research objects and determine the characteristics that classify objects.

The positive and reliable solution to these issues will be closely related to the quality of training material presented by the specialists in the field. This is due to the fact that all scientific research is carried out on the basis of the properties and characteristics of the selected research objects.

The results of the analysis show that for the most part objects and processes have a complex structure, and the construction of mathematical models representing them is too complex or impossible at all. In such cases, it is necessary to process the provided data, that is, to process it first. For example, let's say we are given a set of objects. If you can select objects from this set that accurately represent the properties of this set, you do not need to examine all these objects. It is these selected objects that make up the educational selection. All information on research selection objects is provided by specialists in this field.

Each object is characterized by a unique set of "symbols," which are quantitative, qualitative and/or functional conditions, representing different properties of the object. Image recognition theory and practice play an important role in the initial processing of data, which is based on the choice of training at the research site.

To improve the reliability and effectiveness of research, it is important to determine the excellence of training sample. You can give a number of works in this direction, for example, analysis and integration of training sample data [4-8], normalization of training sample objects by parameters [9-14], creation of a reference table based on training sample [15-21], selection of a set of informative symbols that classify objects [22-27], determination of the level of importance of objects of classes, symbols and classes in the space of informative symbols [25-27], classification [28-33].

In general, an analysis of existing scientific developments and advances in primary data processing shows that none of them can be strictly considered as a single preference value. The reason is that the ability to achieve the expected result by applying it directly to practical issues is not sufficient. Since many of them require certain requirements for the subject of the study, the number of its features, allowed characteristic values, the size of the training sample, and so on. In addition, each method or approach will depend on an informative character selection criterion and a decisive rule that determines the quality of the selected selection. Therefore, the effectiveness and reliability of many methods and approaches will not be stable. However, their implementation will also depend on the available technical means. Based on such considerations, it can be said that the choice of a particular method depends on the particular problem and the practical possibilities available.

To solve some of the problems mentioned in the article, the following scientific studies are planned:

- selection of a set of information characteristics that classify the research objects;
- Improve the efficiency and reliability of classification compared to the original situation;
- detection and analysis of misclassified objects;
- Pre-processing of patient diagnostic data (history, physical, laboratory and instrumental examination) provided by specialists in IHD sphere;
- selection of symptomatic complexes of the disease based on clinical signs or symptoms in patients;
- Classification of patients with IHD into "stenocardia", "acute myocardial infarction" and "arrhythmic form" categories.

MATHEMATIC CLASSIFICATION OF THE MATTER

Let's suppose the selection study objects are expressed as follows:

$$X = \bigcup_{p=1}^r X_p, X_p \cap X_q = \emptyset, p \neq q, p, q = \overline{1, r},$$

$$X_p = \{x_{pi}: i = \overline{1, m_p}\}, \quad (1)$$

here x_{pi} – p – class object, r – number of classes in training sample, m_p and p – number of class objects.

Let every x_{pi} object be classified by N number of symbols, i.e. $x_{pi} = (x_{pi}^1, x_{pi}^2, \dots, x_{pi}^N)$. Well then, $\exists D (\dim(D) = N)$ exist, $\forall x_{pi} \in D$. In this case D expresses space of symbols.

For solving the task of selecting of informative symbols' complex (ISC) of given selection method objects $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1m_1}, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2m_2}, \dots, x_{r1}, x_{r2}, \dots, x_{rm_r}$, the following auxiliary N measured λ vectors' complex is defined:

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^N): \lambda^j \in \{0,1\}, j = \overline{1, N}\}. \quad (2)$$

The main task of auxiliary vector λ is the definition of ISC which is important for analyzing and classifying task with the help of $D|_{\lambda} = \{(\lambda^1 x_{pi}^1, \lambda^2 x_{pi}^2, \dots, \lambda^N x_{pi}^N), (p = \overline{1, r}, i = \overline{1, m_p})\}$. Here, symbols corresponding to components of λ vector indicate that the partitioned portion is in ISC space, and symbols corresponding to components equal to zero are not present in ISC partitioning.

Description 1

The given $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^N)$ vector has the length ℓ , if $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda^j = \ell$.

We will indicate by Λ^ℓ symbol the complex of vectors λ having ℓ length. Then the following will be to the point:

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^N \Lambda^\ell, \Lambda^\ell \cap \Lambda^\eta = \emptyset, \ell \neq \eta, \ell, \eta = \overline{1, N},$$

$$\Lambda^\ell = \{\lambda: \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda^j = \ell, \lambda^j \in \{0,1\}, j = \overline{1, N}\}. \quad (3)$$

Here the number of vectors λ in Λ^ℓ and Λ complexes accordingly will be as follows:

$$|\Lambda^\ell| = C_N^\ell = \frac{N!}{\ell!(N-\ell)!} \quad (4)$$

$$|\Lambda| = \sum_{\ell=1}^N C_N^\ell = 2^N - 1. \quad (5)$$

Description 2

If $\lambda \in \Lambda^\ell$ is applicable, then λ vector will be named as ℓ informative vector.

Description 3

If $D|_{\Lambda^\ell} = \{(\lambda^1 x_{pi}^1, \lambda^2 x_{pi}^2, \dots, \lambda^N x_{pi}^N), (p = \overline{1, r}, i = \overline{1, m_p}): \lambda \in \Lambda^\ell\}$ is applicable, then $D|_{\Lambda^\ell}$ will be named as space of ℓ informative symbols.

In the case of symbol recognition, it is important how the objects of the educational example behave when they move from one character space to another character space. This feature is manifested in solving the classification problem. When solving a classification problem in a new character space, some objects may lose their classes and move to another class, i.e.

$$\exists x \in X, \lambda \in \Lambda^\ell, \mu \in \Lambda^\eta, \ell \neq \eta \quad \text{yчyи} \quad x|_{\lambda} \in X_p, x|_{\mu} \in X_q, p \neq q. \quad (6)$$

We will indicate by $\theta(\ell)|_{\lambda}$ the coefficient of error in classification of ℓ informative in relation to λ vector and by $\kappa(\ell)|_{\lambda}$ the number of incorrectly classified objects. The connection between the coefficient of errors in classifying and the number of incorrectly classified objects is defined as follows

$$\theta(\ell)|_{\lambda} = \frac{\kappa(\ell)|_{\lambda}}{M}, \quad (7)$$

here $M = \sum_{p=1}^r m_p$ – is general number of objects in selective method.

Note. If it is important that objects of one class (es) do not move to another class, or conversely, objects of another class

do not fall erroneously into this class (es), then when determining the error rate in the classification, the importance of classes must be taken into account.

Let's imagine, that the degree of importance of not moving erroneously to another class of class object X_p is α_p and conversely, degree of importance of not moving erroneously of another class object to this class is β_p . Well then, the number of incorrectly classified objects for the class X_p according to the degree of importance can be defined as follows

$$\kappa(\ell)|_{\lambda}(X_p) = \alpha_p \cdot t_p^{out}|_{\lambda} + \beta_p \cdot t_p^{in}|_{\lambda},$$

here t_p^{out} and t_p^{in} are accordingly the number of joined together and erroneously came out from class X_p .

In this case informative symbols ℓ are defined in space (7) as follows:

$$\theta(\ell)|_{\lambda} = \frac{\kappa(\ell)|_{\lambda} + \alpha_p \cdot t_p^{out}|_{\lambda} + \beta_p \cdot t_p^{in}|_{\lambda} - (t_p^{out}|_{\lambda} + t_p^{in}|_{\lambda})}{M} \quad (8)$$

Description 4

For the space of informative symbols $D|_{\Lambda^{\ell}}$ $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\ell}$ vector is named as optimal, if $\forall \mu \in \Lambda^{\ell}$ is applicable in $\theta(\ell)|_{\lambda} \leq \theta(\ell)|_{\mu}$.

Description 5

If $\theta(\ell) = \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{\ell}} \theta(\ell)|_{\lambda}$ is, then the coefficient of error rate in classification in the space of informative symbols $D|_{\Lambda^{\ell}}$ is equal to $\theta(\ell)$.

To calculate $\theta(\ell)$ and $\kappa(\ell)$ ($\ell = \overline{1, N}$), it is necessary to define the function of proximity (similarity) between objects and which of the previously defined classes the control object belongs to, that is, if we speak the language of defining symbols, then a decisive rule will be necessary. We define the proximity function and the crucial rule for study objects as follows [5].

Let's imagine, that objects (vectors) $\forall x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N) \in D$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N, \varepsilon$ - positive numbers are given. With the help of $\nabla(x, y)$ we will indicate the number of inequations not satisfying the following rules

$$|x_1 - y_1| \leq \varepsilon_1, |x_2 - y_2| \leq \varepsilon_2, \dots, |x_N - y_N| \leq \varepsilon_N \quad (9)$$

Then, the proximity function will be as follows

$$\rho(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nabla(x, y) \leq \varepsilon \\ 0, & \text{if } \nabla(x, y) > \varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

In general, the proximity function for the given $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\ell}$ vector is defined as follows

$$\rho(x, y)|_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nabla(x, y)|_{\lambda} \leq \varepsilon|_{\ell} \\ 0, & \text{if } \nabla(x, y)|_{\lambda} > \varepsilon|_{\ell} \end{cases} \quad (10')$$

here $\nabla(x, y)|_{\lambda}$ - the number of nonexecutable inequations corresponding to the vector λ in (9), $\varepsilon|_{\ell}$ - positive number, space of informative symbols is given according to its size.

$$\mathfrak{R}(x)|_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \Gamma_p|_{\lambda} - \Gamma_q|_{\lambda} \geq \delta, q \neq p, q = \overline{1, r} \\ 0, & \text{in all other cases} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

here $\Gamma_q|_{\lambda} = \sum_{y \in X_q} \rho(x, y)|_{\lambda}$, δ - is given before any positive number.

Defined by (11) function $\mathfrak{R}(x)|_{\lambda}$ being the crucial rule, its value expresses belonging to some class of object x in relation to the vector λ .

As it seen, $\kappa(\ell)|_{\lambda}$ value is defined with the help of (11).

Using the above concepts, we can express the main purpose of the research work in the form of a mathematical statement as follows:

Mathematical task

Definition of optimal vector $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\ell}$ and $\kappa(\ell)|_{\lambda}$, satisfying the rule $\theta(\ell) \leq \theta(N)$ for $\ell \ll N$.

Let's enter the vector of probability $p_v = (p_v^1, p_v^2, \dots, p_v^N)$ for selection of symbols of selective method objects, here p_v^j - is the probability of choosing the symbol j ; $v - p_v$ is the order of probability vector.

Let's imagine, that in $\lambda \in \Lambda^N$ and $v = 1$ for $p_v = (p_v^1, p_v^2, \dots, p_v^N)$ let it be $p_v^j = \frac{1}{N}; j = \overline{1, N}$. It's known, that if $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ is, it will be $\lambda - \mu \in \Lambda^{N-k}$.

By the way of defined formulas the values of $\kappa(N - k)|_{\lambda - \mu}$, $\theta(N - k)|_{\lambda - \mu}$ and $\theta(k)|_{\mu}$ are calculated.

We will check the following rule for all $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ vectors $\theta(N - k)|_{\lambda - \mu} \leq \theta(N)|_{\lambda}$. (12)

Probabilities corresponding to each μ vector, satisfying the rule (12) are divided equally to probabilities of symbols corresponding to vector $\lambda - \mu$, i.e. $p_{v+1}(\mu) = p_v + \frac{(p_v, \mu)}{N-k} * p_v|_{\lambda - \mu} - p_v|_{\mu}$. As a result, the probability of some symbols participating in the next choice increases, and the probability of some symbols participating is reduced.

$$p_{v+1} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\mu} p_{v+1}(\mu), \quad (13)$$

here T - is the number of μ vectors satisfying the rule (12).

If (14) is realized, then $\exists (!)\eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2, \dots, \eta^N) \in \Lambda^k$ is for $\eta^j = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in \{j_{N-k+1}, j_{N-k+2}, \dots, j_N\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

Let's imagine, that (15) is applicable for components of probability vectors, in that case $\xi = \max_{\mu \in \Lambda^k} \theta(k)|_{\mu}$ must be found. Here $\Lambda^{*k} = \{\mu \in \Lambda^k | \mu^{j_{k+l+1}} = \mu^{j_{k+l+2}} = \dots = \mu^{j_N} = 1\}$.

If for probability vector $p_{v+1} = (p_{v+1}^1, p_{v+1}^2, \dots, p_{v+1}^N)$ the rule (14) is applicable, in this case symbols corresponding to vector $\eta \in \Lambda^k$, otherwise to vector $\xi \in \Lambda^k$ are took out from the space of informative symbols.

So, accordingly will be $\Lambda^N \xrightarrow{\eta \in \Lambda^k} \Lambda^{N-k}$ or $\Lambda^N \xrightarrow{\xi \in \Lambda^k} \Lambda^{N-k}$, i.e. it is moved from space of N sized symbols to the size $N - k$.

This process is repeated till the space of informative symbols is equal to the size ℓ . As a result of repetitions, if $k \geq N - \ell$ is observed, then it is taken as $k = N - \ell$.

The result of $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\ell}$ is defined by way of (11) accordingly to the optimal vector.

When practical tasks are solved, it is reasonable that for $k - k \ll \ell$ rule is applicable, and usually it is recommended to $k = 3 \div 5$.

Here we will provide selection of vectors $\mu \in \Lambda^k$.

It is known, that in complex $\mu \in \Lambda^k$ the number of informative vectors k is equal to $\frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!}$.

The strict sequence of selection of these vectors for performance improvement can be defined as follows.

For μ vectors, conditionally, let's calculate as $\mu_1 =$

$$\left\{ \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{N-k}, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_k \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\frac{N!}{k!(N-k)!}} = \left\{ \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{N-k} \right\}.$$

In this case the sequence of the given vectors can be defined as follows.

For this purpose, in general, having known the vector placed at l - place, it is enough to define the vector at $l + 1$ - place. Generally, according to vector components $\mu_l = (\mu_l^1, \mu_l^2, \dots, \mu_l^N)$ staying at l -place, the following situations can take place:

$$\mu_l^1 = 0, \dots, \mu_l^j = 0, \mu_l^{j+1} = 1, \mu_l^{j+2} = 1, \dots, \mu_l^{j+k} = 1, \mu_l^{j+k+1} = 0, \dots, \mu_l^N = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_{l+1}^1 = 0, \dots, \mu_{l+1}^{j-1} = 0, \mu_{l+1}^j = 1, \mu_{l+1}^{j+1} = 0, \dots, \mu_{l+1}^{N-k+2} = 0, \mu_{l+1}^{N-k+1} = 1, \dots, \mu_{l+1}^N = 1$$

Now let's look through the situation-2. In this case, as compared to situation-1, we will start the process with the biggest index (N) of components. First of all, the biggest index satisfying the rule $\mu_l^j = 0, \mu_l^{j+1} = 1$ is defined and their places are changed, i.e. beginning from $\mu_l^j = 1, \mu_l^{j+1} = 0$ and $j + 2$, all the components with value equal to 1 once more placed beginning with the index N , i.e.,

$$\mu_l^1 = *, \dots, \mu_l^j = 0, \mu_l^{j+1} = 1, \dots, \mu_l^{j+q} = 1, \mu_l^{j+q+1} = 0, \dots, \mu_l^N = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_{l+1}^1 = *, \dots, \mu_{l+1}^{j-1} = *, \mu_{l+1}^j = 1, \mu_{l+1}^{j+1} = 0, \dots, \mu_{l+1}^{N-q} = 0, \mu_{l+1}^{N-q+1} = 1, \dots, \mu_{l+1}^N = 1.$$

Suggested method of algorithm

1-step. Preliminaries: X - selection method; $X_p (p = \overline{1, r})$ - classes; r -number of classes; k, ℓ, N .

2-step. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^N$ $\alpha(N)$ and $\delta = \theta(N)|_\lambda$ are calculated; incorrectly classified objects are fixed.

3-step. $i = k$

4-step. At $v = 1$ for $p_v = (p_v^1, p_v^2, \dots, p_v^N)$ $p_v^j = \frac{1}{N}$; $j = \overline{1, N}$ values are understood.

5-step. For all $\mu \in \Lambda^i$ vectors $\theta(N - i)|_{\lambda - \mu}$ and $\theta(i)|_\mu$ calculated.

6-step. For all μ vectors: if $\theta(N - i)|_{\lambda - \mu} \leq \delta$ is applicable, it is understood as $p_{v+1}(\mu) = p_v + \frac{(p_v, \mu)}{N-i} * p_v|_{\lambda - \mu} - p_v|_\mu$.

7-step. $p_{v+1} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\mu} p_{v+1}(\mu)$ is calculated, here T - $p_{v+1}(\mu)$ is number of vectors. For $p_v = (p_v^1, p_v^2, \dots, p_v^N)$ vector (14) and (15) situations are checked and accordingly $\Lambda^N \xrightarrow{\eta \in \Lambda^i} \Lambda^{N-i}$ or $\Lambda^N \xrightarrow{\xi \in \Lambda^i} \Lambda^{N-i}$ are realized.

1-situation. Components having value equal to 1 are placed in sequence, i.e. between two voluntary components having value equal to 1, there doesn't exist component equal to 0;

2-situation. Components with 1 or 0 value are voluntary, i.e. they are placed in confusion.

Firstly, at 1-situation we will consider how the following vector looks like.

It is found component equal to 1 beginning from low index by the index of vector components $\mu_l = (\mu_l^1, \mu_l^2, \dots, \mu_l^N)$ and the given component changes place with one next component, i.e. if found component index is j , then it changes place with that at $j - 1$. All the other components with value equal to 1 are moved right to $N - k$, i.e.

8-step. New value is understood as $N = N - i$ and $\lambda = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \Lambda^N$.

9-step. If $i \leq N - \ell$, then it is returned to 3-step.

10-step. If $i \geq N - \ell$, then it is taken as $i = N - \ell$ and it is returned to 5-step.

11-step. Issuing parameters: λ optimal vector.

Selection of symptom complexes of ischemic heart disease

Using the proposed algorithm, we consider the selection and classification (diagnosis) of symptomatic disease complexes based on the diagnostic data of patients with IHD, i.e. clinical signs or symptoms (history, physical, laboratory and instrumental examination) in patients. Here, clinical signs or symptoms belong to different types that have quantitative significance and qualitative indicators.

Sample data provided by IHD specialists are shown in Table 1.

N/o	Classes	Number of patients (objects)	of Number of clinical symptoms	Classification of classes
1	X_1	140	62	stenocardia
2	X_2	120	62	acute myocardial infarction
3	X_3	40	62	arhythmia

As a result of applying the proposed algorithm to the task, the reliability of diagnostics not only decreased, but also the number of symptom complexes increased from 62 to 10. For the case where $\ell = 10$, 7 sets of informative symbols with the

same result were identified. The selected symptom complexes are listed in Table 2.

Table 2

N/o	Chosen symptom complexes (ISC)
1.	X ₁ , X ₇ , X ₁₆ , X ₂₂ , X ₂₇ , X ₃₆ , X ₄₁ , X ₅₁ , X ₅₇ , X ₆₂
2.	X ₁ , X ₇ , X ₂₂ , X ₂₇ , X ₃₆ , X ₄₁ , X ₄₄ , X ₅₁ , X ₅₇ , X ₆₂
3.	X ₁ , X ₇ , X ₂₂ , X ₂₇ , X ₃₆ , X ₄₁ , X ₄₇ , X ₅₁ , X ₅₇ , X ₆₂
4.	X ₁ , X ₇ , X ₁₀ , X ₂₂ , X ₂₇ , X ₃₆ , X ₄₁ , X ₅₁ , X ₅₇ , X ₆₂
5.	X ₁ , X ₇ , X ₂₂ , X ₂₇ , X ₃₄ , X ₃₆ , X ₄₁ , X ₅₁ , X ₅₇ , X ₆₂
6.	X ₁ , X ₂ , X ₇ , X ₂₂ , X ₂₇ , X ₃₆ , X ₄₁ , X ₅₁ , X ₅₇ , X ₆₂
7.	X ₇ , X ₂₂ , X ₂₇ , X ₃₄ , X ₃₆ , X ₄₁ , X ₄₇ , X ₅₁ , X ₅₇ , X ₆₂

CONCLUSION

In this study, the problem of selecting a set of informative symbols, which is one of the main problems of initial data processing, was solved using a flexible random search. For the proposed algorithm, it does not matter what type of values the object symbols take. In this algorithm, the classification error factor and the probability vector of the characteristics of the selected objects were taken as informative criteria for ISC selection, all of which are aimed at reducing the classification error. In addition, the probability vector used in character selection prevents object from irrelevant displacement of their important characters out of the selection.

Preliminary processing of diagnostic data of patients with ischemic heart disease, acute myocardial infarction and arrhythmia using a program developed on the basis of the proposed algorithm, in particular, made it possible to select a complex of disease symptoms and classification based on clinical symptoms in patients.

Based on patient classification results using selected symptom complexes, it was found that reference table objects found their classes for 100%.

The results were positively evaluated by experts in this field.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

REFERENCES

1. <https://kun.uz/news/2019/08/08/yurak-qon-tomir-kasalliklarini-davolash>
2. <https://bakulev.ru/patients/articles/drugie-zabolevaniya-serdtsa-i-sosudov/ishemicheskaya-bolezn-serdtsa-simptomiy-i-lechenie/>
3. Educational-methodical complex based on the module of “Application of advanced technologies in treatment” //The network center of retraining and professional development of teaching personnel at TMA, Tashkent 2017.
4. Lbov G.S. Methods of processing diverse type experimental data / Novosibirsk: Science, Siberian department, 1981. p. 160.
5. Zhuravlov Y.I. Selected scientific works. M: Publishing house-Magistr, 1998. p. 420.
6. Zhang, L., Luo, M., Liu, J., Li, Z., Zheng, Q. Diverse fuzzy c-means for image clustering //Pattern Recognition LettersVolume 130, February 2020, Pages 275-283.
7. Santra, D., Basu, S.K., Mandal, J.K., Goswami, S. Rough set based lattice structure for knowledge representation in medical expert systems: Low back pain management case study//Expert Systems with ApplicationsVolume 145, 1 May 2020, Article № 113084
8. Xiong, Y., Zuo, R. Recognizing multivariate geochemical anomalies for mineral exploration by combining deep learning and one-class support vector machine//Computers and GeosciencesVolume 140, July 2020, 104484
9. Li, P., Cheng, H. Track recognition algorithm based on neural network for rail transit//International Journal of Computers and Applications 2020.
10. Gai, J., Shen, J., Wang, H., Hu, Y. A Parameter-Optimized DBN Using GOA and Its Application in Fault Diagnosis of Gearbox//Shock and Vibration, Volume 2020, 2020, Article № 4294095
11. Raja, P.S., Thangavel, K. Missing value imputation using unsupervised machine learning techniques/Soft Computing 24(6), c. 4361-4392,2020.
12. Wang,D., Tian,F., Yang,S.X., Jiang,D., Cai,B. Improved deep CNN with parameter initialization for data analysis of near-infrared spectroscopy sensors/Sensors (Switzerland) Volume 20, Issue 3, 1 February 2020, Article № 874, 20(3),874, 2020.
13. Basiri,M.E., Kabiri,A. HOMPer: A new hybrid system for opinion mining in the Persian language/Journal of Information Science. Volume 46, Issue 1, 1 February 2020, Pages 101-117.
14. Lou, P., Jimeno Yepes, A., Zhang, Z., Li, C., Wren, J. BioNorm: Deep learning-based event normalization for the curation of reaction databases/BioinformaticsVolume 36, Issue 2, 15 January 2020, Pages 611-620.
15. Si, L., Xiong, X., Wang, Z., Tan, C. A Deep Convolutional Neural Network Model for Intelligent Discrimination between Coal and Rocks in Coal Mining Face/Mathematical Problems in Engineering Volume 2020, 2020, Article № 2616510
16. Fu, S., Liu, X. A new method to solve the problem of facing less learning samples in signal modulation recognition/Eurasip Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking Volume 2020, Issue 1, 1 December 2020, 8.
17. Wei, D., Chen, T., Li, S., Zhao, Y., Li, T. Adaptive dictionary learning based on local configuration pattern for face recognition/Eurasip Journal on Advances in Signal Processing Volume 2020, Issue 1, 1

- December 2020, 20.
18. Ala'raj, M., Majdalawieh, M., Abbod, M.F. Improving binary classification using filtering based on k-NN proximity graphs/Journal of Big Data, Volume 7, Issue 1, 1 December 2020, 15.
 19. Mishra, G., Vishwakarma, V.P., Aggarwal, A. Constrained L1-optimal sparse representation technique for face recognition/Optics and Laser Technology Volume 129, September 2020, 106232.
 20. Kibbey, T.C.G., Jabrzemski, R., O'Carroll, D.M. Supervised machine learning for source allocation of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in environmental samples/Chemosphere Volume 252, August 2020, Article № 126593
 21. Shen, Z., Man, Z., Cao, Z., Zheng, J. A new intelligent pattern classifier based on structured sparse representation/Computers and Electrical Engineering Volume 84, June 2020, Article № 106641
 22. Nishanov A.Kh., Djurayev G.P., Kasanova M.Kh. "Improved algorithms for calculating evaluations in processing medical data", COMPUSOFT: An International Journal of Advanced Computer Technology, India, Vol 8, No 6 - 2019. Available from: <https://ijact.in/index.php/ijact/article/view/899>
 23. Kamilov M., Nishanov A., Beglerbekov R. Modified stages of algorithms for computing estimates in the space of informative features // International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (2019) 8(6)
 24. Nishanov A. Avazov E. Akbaraliyev B. Partial selection method and algorithm for determining graph-based traffic routes in a real-time environment // International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (2019) 8(6) 696-698 ISSN: 22783075.
 25. Emary E. Zawbaa H. Hassanien A. Binary ant lion approaches for feature selection // Neurocomputing. 2016 vol: 213. DOI 10.1016/j.neucom.2016.03.101. ISSN 18728286.
 26. Zhuang, J., Hou, C., Tang, Y., Zhong, Z., Luo, S. Assessment of external properties for identifying banana fruit maturity stages using optical imaging techniques/Sensors (Switzerland), 19(13),2910, 2019.
 27. Scott, G.J., Anderson, D.T. Importance-weighted multi-scale texture and shape descriptor for object recognition in satellite imagery/International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS) 6351632, p. 79-82.2012.
 28. Yong Z. Dun-wei G. Wan-qiu Z. Feature selection of unreliable data using an improved multi-objective PSO algorithm // Neurocomputing. 2016 vol: 171. DOI 10.1016/j.neucom.2015.07.057. ISSN 18728286.
 29. Zhang Y. Gong D. Sun X. Guo Y. A. PSO-based multi-objective multi-label feature selection method in classification // Scientific Reports. 2017 vol: 7 (1). DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-00416-0. ISSN 20452322.
 30. Mafarja M. Mirjalili S. Hybrid binary ant lion optimizer with rough set and approximate entropy reduces for feature selection // Soft Computing. 2019 vol: 23 (15). DOI 10.1007/s00500-018-3282-y. ISSN 14337479.
 31. Nishanov A.Kh., Turakulov Kh.A., Turakhanov Kh.V. A decision rule for identification of eye pathologies // Biomedical Engineering (1999) 33(4) 178-179.
 32. Nishanov A. Kh., Turakulov Kh.A., Turakhanov Kh.V. A decisive rule in classifying diseases of the visual system // Meditsinskaja tekhnika (1999) (4) 16-18.
 33. Nishanov A. Ruzibaev O. Tran N. Modification of decision rules 'ball Apolonia' the problem of classification // 2016 International Conference on Information Science and Communications Technologies, ICISCT 2016 (2016). DOI: 10.1109/ICISCT.2016.7777382.
 34. Nishanov A.Kh., Djurayev G.P., Kasanova M.Kh. "CLASSIFICATION AND FEATURE SELECTION IN MEDICAL DATA PREPROCESSING", COMPUSOFT: An International Journal of Advanced Computer Technology, India, Vol 9, No 6 - 2020. Available from: <https://ijact.in/index.php/ijact/article/view/1166>
 35. Peer Ahamed Buhari.M, Dr. S. Kother Mohideen "Gradient Orientation Mapping Based Fuzzy C-Means Clustering for Digital Dental X-Ray Images" J. Cardiovasc Disease Res., 2020; 11(2): 96 108