

# Role of intracoronary adenosine on prevention of no reflow during Primary PCI in STEMI patients guided by MVO in CMR

Ahmed Hatata<sup>1</sup>, Wael EL-Kilany<sup>2</sup>, Ahmed Rezk<sup>3</sup>, Tarek Zaki<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant lecturer of cardiology Ain shams University, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Professor of cardiology Ain shams University, Egypt

<sup>3</sup> Lecturer of cardiology Ain shams University, Egypt

<sup>4</sup> Professor of cardiology Ain shams University, Egypt

Corresponding Author: Ahmed Ibrahim Hatata

## Abstract:

**Background:** Microvascular obstruction (MO) or no-reflow phenomenon is an established complication of coronary reperfusion therapy for acute myocardial infarction. It is increasingly recognized as a poor prognostic indicator and marker of subsequent adverse LV remodeling. Microvascular obstruction (MO) or no-reflow phenomenon is an established complication of coronary reperfusion therapy for acute myocardial infarction. It is increasingly recognized as a poor prognostic indicator and marker of subsequent adverse LV remodeling.

**Results:** There was no significant difference between two groups regarding TIMI and MBG score. There was a significant difference in myocardial salvage index and myocardium at risk with p value less than 0.001. Yet no increase in myocardial hemorrhage among the two groups. There was significant improvement in EF, LV mass and LV volumes in those who were given adenosine.

**Conclusion:** Adenosine improves no reflow on giving as a prophylactic drug. It improves the microcirculation thus increasing the salvaged myocardium improving microvascular obstruction and does not increase the percentage of microvascular hemorrhage.

**Keywords:** STEMI, Coronary no-reflow, MVO, MV HGE, Salvage Index, TIMI, MBG adenosine.

**Background:** Microvascular obstruction (MO) or no-reflow phenomenon is an established complication of coronary reperfusion therapy for acute myocardial infarction. It is increasingly recognized as a poor prognostic indicator and marker of subsequent adverse LV remodeling. Although MO can be assessed using various imaging modalities including electrocardiography, myocardial contrast echocardiography, nuclear scintigraphy, and coronary angiography, evaluation by cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) is particularly useful in enhancing its detection, diagnosis, and quantification, as well as following its subsequent effects on infarct evolution and healing. The contribution of microvascular injury in causing anatomic myocardial "no-reflow" was first described in the 1970's<sup>[1, 2, 3]</sup>.

## CMR techniques for microvascular obstruction:

The advent of fast CMR techniques in the 1990's facilitated the study of the temporal perfusion patterns within acute perfused infarcts following bolus administration of gadolinium by allowing a temporal resolution of seconds rather than minutes<sup>[4, 5, 6]</sup>.

## Time course of MO post-infarction:

MO extent varies as a function of time from the acute ischemic event. Experimentally, it has been demonstrated that there is an expansion of the anatomic no-reflow area by thioflavin-S in the hours following reperfusion, with a tripling in size between 2 min and 2–4 h and a further smaller increase up to 8 h following reperfusion<sup>[7, 8, 9]</sup>. Following an initial hyperemic phase within the first 2 min of reperfusion, there is a marked, progressive decline in myocardial blood flow which plateaus at around 50% of normal flow, which supports these findings.

## MO and infarct hemorrhage:

As part of the disruption to the microvasculature observed upon reperfusion, large gaps can be seen in the endothelial wall that cause extravasation of red blood cells, i.e. hemorrhage. In experimental models performed as early as the 1980's, it was observed that any hemorrhage was limited to the region of severe microvascular injury, lagged behind the no-reflow process and its extent was directly proportional to the duration and severity of the preceding ischemia (with the severity of ischemia determined predominantly by collateral flow)<sup>[8]</sup>.

Hemorrhage can be assessed using T2-weighted and T2\* imaging. The appearance of hemorrhage on MRI is

based upon the paramagnetic effects of hemoglobin degradation products. Initially, hemorrhage may consist of oxyhemoglobin which lacks paramagnetic effects. Subsequently, probably within the first few days after acute MI, oxyhemoglobin denatures into deoxyhemoglobin which does exert paramagnetic effects and will significantly reduce the T2 time. Deoxyhemoglobin is then gradually converted over the following few days into methemoglobin, which is strongly paramagnetic with respect to both T1- and T2-weighted sequences. After ~2 weeks, methemoglobin is converted into hemosiderin which is contained within macrophages and also results in low T2 values. Hence, acutely post-MI, hemorrhage can be visualized on CMR as hypo enhanced regions surrounded by elevated signal intensity representing myocardial edema on T2-weighted imaging and most studies show that hemorrhage is limited to those patients with evidence of MO<sup>[10]</sup>.

**Methods:****Study design:**

This is an interventional prospective pilot study which was conducted on patients presenting to cardiology department in Ain Shams University hospitals with STEMI (St segment elevation myocardial infarction in the first 12 hours of presentation.) DEFINED as elevation of cardiac troponins at least one value above 99<sup>th</sup> percentile in a clinical setting consistent with myocardial ischemia.

Sample size: 50 patients.

**Inclusion criteria:**

1. Patients presented with STEMI for primary PCI.
2. Patients with TIMI I flow after establishing flow by PTCA wire or PTCA balloon or by thrombus aspiration.
3. Coronary angiography shows total occluded vessel with TIMI zero flow
4. Thrombus burden grade five
5. Informed consent about adenosine is taken before procedure and hazards of adenosine are discussed with the patient and operator according to protocol

**Exclusion criteria:**

- 1) Lack of informed consent
- 2) Patients presented with cardiogenic shock
- 3) Patients with complete heart block or second degree heart block.
- 4) Patient with CKD on dialysis
- 5) Previous myocardial infarction , CABG
- 6) ICM with low ejection fraction less than 35 %
- 7) Evidence of previous ischemia (previous CA with significant CAD lesion more than 70 % by coronary angiography or by IVUS or FFR)

**Methodology:**

All patients who were qualified for the study on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria undergone the following:

**I. Clinical evaluation including:**

Thorough history taking Personal history: Age, smoking, alcohol.

Present history: assessment of chest pain and functional capacity.

Past history: Ischemia: Previous ischemic events, whether chest pain had started before infarction (pre-infarction angina) or not.

Medical: Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, chronic kidney disease, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease and obesity.

**2. Family history: family history of premature coronary artery disease CAD.****3. Clinical examination:**

General examination: with special emphasis on ABP, heart rate.

Local cardiac examination

**Procedures:**

- Patients had been randomized into two groups the Group: A were given adenosine routinely after establishing TIMI I flow either spontaneously or by passing the wire or non-inflated balloon which is defined as : Faint antegrade coronary flow beyond the occlusion, with incomplete filling of the distal coronary bed.

Adenosine had been given through catheter to the distal coronary bed by loading bolus 1 mg and dose can be repeated after 15-20 mins up to 2mg to LAD and 1 mg in RCA and the remainder of PCI protocol will be done according to operator decision.

While the group B had not been given adenosine, MRI was done within 48 hrs. of primary PCI and repeated for follow up after three months.

In the MRI protocol, with the assessment of:

1. MVO and degree of hemorrhage within MVO
2. Tissue edema.
3. Ejection fraction, degree of mitral regurgitation
4. Segmental wall motion abnormalities.
5. Extent infarction whether transmural or sub endocardial and degree of fibrosis.

**Statistical analysis:**

Data had been collected, verified, revised and then edited on PC.

Categorical variables had been expressed as their absolute and relative frequencies (percentage), while continuous variables had been presented as mean values ± standard deviation.

Statistical analysis had been performed using SPSS statistical package.

Differences had been considered statistically significant at a p value <0.05 level and highly significant at a p value < 0.001.

**Results:**

-**Table one** shows demographic data of the study with average 57 years with total number of 50 patients with seven times males than females .

Many risk factors were included in the study as DM , HTN and Dyslipidemia . All the patients involved were smokers.

|              |                   | Total no. = 50       |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Age (years)  | Mean ± SD         | 57.40 ± 8.12         |
|              | Range             | 30 – 76              |
| Sex          | Female            | 6 (12.0%)            |
|              | Male              | 44 (88.0%)           |
| Risk factors | DM                | 50 (100.0%)          |
|              | HTN               | 50 (100.0%)          |
|              | Smokers           | 50 (100.0%)          |
|              | Dyslipidemia      | 2 (4.0%)             |
|              | FH                | 2 (4.0%)             |
|              | Rheumatoid<br>HCV | 2 (4.0%)<br>1 (2.0%) |

-**Table two** shows target vessels , MBG and TIMI score among the whole study showing thirty two patients with infarction within LAD territory and more than 40 patients with TIMI score more than one , while more than thirty patients with MBG more than one .

|               |       | Total no. = 50 |
|---------------|-------|----------------|
| Target vessel | LAD   | 32 (64.0%)     |
|               | RCA   | 7 (14.0%)      |
|               | LCX   | 8 (16.0%)      |
|               | OM    | 3 (6.0%)       |
|               | LM    | 1 (2.0%)       |
|               | Ramus | 1 (2.0%)       |
|               | D2    | 1 (2.0%)       |
| TIMI flow     | I     | 1 (2.0%)       |
|               | II    | 9 (18.0%)      |
|               | III   | 40 (80.0%)     |

|     |     |            |
|-----|-----|------------|
| MBG | 0   | 1 (2.0%)   |
|     | II  | 17 (34.0%) |
|     | III | 32 (64.0%) |

**Table three** shows the target vessels between two groups : IRA was within LAD in 16 patient in both groups equally distributed and all of them were proximal LAD (before 1<sup>st</sup> Diagonal ) 22 patients with TIMI III flow in group A while less cases in group B also shows variation in MBG among two groups with 17 cases 68% in grp with MBG III and 15 cases 60 % in group B statistically not significant.

|               |       | Group A    | Group B    | P-value |
|---------------|-------|------------|------------|---------|
|               |       | No. = 25   | No. = 25   |         |
| Target vessel | LAD   | 16 (64.0%) | 16 (64.0%) | 1.000   |
|               | RCA   | 3 (12.0%)  | 4 (16.0%)  | 0.684   |
|               | LCX   | 4 (16.0%)  | 4 (16.0%)  | 1.000   |
|               | OM    | 2 (8.0%)   | 1 (4.0%)   | 0.552   |
|               | LM    | 1 (4.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   | 0.312   |
|               | Ramus | 1 (4.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   | 0.312   |
|               | D2    | 1 (4.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   | 0.312   |
| TIMI flow     | I     | 1 (4.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   | 0.124   |
|               | II    | 2 (8.0%)   | 7 (28.0%)  |         |
|               | III   | 22 (88.0%) | 18 (72.0%) |         |
| MBG           | 0     | 1 (4.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)   | 0.437   |
|               | II    | 7 (28.0%)  | 10 (40.0%) |         |
|               | III   | 17 (68.0%) | 15 (60.0%) |         |

**Table three** shows the base line MVO at the first visit and after three months . Shows also MV hemorrhage at the base line and after three months one patient in each grp has microvascular hemorrhage . Salvage index is much more significant in group A (adenosine group ), With significant salvaged myocardium

|                          |          | Group A      | Group B      | P-value |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|                          |          | No. = 25     | No. = 25     |         |
| MVO baseline             | No       | 15 (60.0%)   | 0 (0.0%)     | 0.000   |
|                          | Yes      | 10 (40.0%)   | 25 (100.0%)  |         |
| MVO after 3 months       | No       | 24 (96.0%)   | 25 (100.0%)  | 0.312   |
|                          | Yes      | 1 (4.0%)     | 0 (0.0%)     |         |
| MV HG baseline           | No       | 24 (96.0%)   | 24 (96.0%)   | 1.000   |
|                          | Yes      | 1 (4.0%)     | 1 (4.0%)     |         |
| MV HG after 3 months     | No       | 24 (96.0%)   | 24 (96.0%)   | 1.000   |
|                          | Yes      | 1 (4.0%)     | 1 (4.0%)     |         |
| Myocardial salvage index | Mean ±SD | 65.40 ± 8.41 | 33.80 ± 9.92 | 0.000   |
|                          | Range    | 50 – 80      | 20 - 50      |         |

|                           |           | Group A        | Group B       | P-value |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|---------|
|                           |           | No. = 25       | No. = 25      |         |
| LVEDV                     | Mean ± SD | 114.80 ± 20.60 | 94.00 ± 29.28 | 0.006   |
|                           | Range     | 89 – 179       | 13 – 156      |         |
| LVESV                     | Mean ± SD | 67.60 ± 23.57  | 53.36 ± 22.46 | 0.034   |
|                           | Range     | 30 – 135       | 20 – 100      |         |
| Tissue Edema at visit one | No        | 0 (0.0%)       | 0 (0.0%)      | NA      |
|                           | Yes       | 25 (100.0%)    | 25 (100.0%)   |         |

|                                   |           |                |                |       |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| EF improvement between two visits | No        | 2 (8.0%)       | 6 (24.0%)      | 0.123 |
|                                   | Yes       | 23 (92.0%)     | 19 (76.0%)     |       |
| LV mass                           | Mean ± SD | 131.12 ± 15.75 | 144.48 ± 30.61 | 0.058 |
|                                   | Range     | 99 – 172       | 86 – 217       |       |
| SV 1                              | Mean ± SD | 48.88 ± 16.05  | 36.88 ± 12.15  | 0.005 |
|                                   | Range     | 20 – 85        | 21 – 70        |       |
| SV 2                              | Mean ± SD | 52.76 ± 18.07  | 41.84 ± 10.55  | 0.012 |
|                                   | Range     | 14 – 88        | 23 – 72        |       |
| Myocardial salvage index          | Mean±SD   | 65.40 ± 8.41   | 33.80 ± 9.92   | 0.000 |
|                                   | Range     | 50 – 80        | 20 - 50        |       |

**Table four** shows mean average LV end diastolic diameter and end systolic diameter . There are a significant values in group A than group B in end diastolic diameters and stroke volumes .

Improvement in EF between two visits which shows statistically non-significant and there is a significant salvaged myocardium towards adenosine group .

**Discussion:**

This study was conducted on fifty patients presented to Ain- shams University by ST segment elevation myocardial infarction in the early twelve hours of pain .

The study is an interventional pilot , comparing two groups: one was given adenosine and the other group not aiming for assessment of MVO and MV hemorrhage and calculating myocardial salvage index for both groups .

In this study we compare adenosine which is a drug used in treatment of no reflow , here we used it in prevention of no reflow and comparing the outcome with control group.

The main pros and cons of intracoronary agents. Here we mean adenosine that many of them increase the distal flow also increase myocardial capillary leakage and tissue destruction and hence the composite data for the outcome may be reversed.

Many studies showed the effects of these agents and how to measure their success and hence the success of reperfusion .

Adenosine although increase blood flow distally also increase the risk for hemorrhage and tissue loss we here in this study showed that the adenosine affect the outcome by decreasing MVO without increasing the hemorrhage percentage .

There was no difference in myocardial hemorrhage among two groups yet the small sample size may contribute to that.

Yet there was no statistically difference in improving TIMI flow or MBG grade.

**Conclusion:**

Adenosine improves no reflow on giving as a prophylactic drug . It improves the microcirculation thus increasing the salvaged myocardium improving micro vascular obstruction and does not increase the percentage of microvascular hemorrhage.

**Study Limitation:**

- 1- Small sample size .
- 2- Single center study .

3- COVID era and poor compliance of the patients to come to hospital to do investigation and to repeat the investigation.

4- In ability to use adenosine in cardiogenic shock patients who have more sluggish circulation and no reflow .

5- The availability of adenosine in the market .

6- Short duration follow up .

7-Lack of clinical follow up and mortality and recurrent hospital admissions to the patient

List of abbreviation:

MBG : myocardial blush grade .

TIMI :Timi flow score system

MVO : microvascular obstruction .

CMR : cardiac magnetic resonance .

STEMI : St segment myocardial infarction .

MV HG : microvascular hemorrhage .

SV : stroke volume .

LV : left ventricle .

EF : ejection fraction .

LVEDV : left ventricular end diastolic volume .

LVESV : left ventricular end systolic volume .

**References:**

1. Reffelmann T, Kloner RA. The "no-reflow" phenomenon: basic science and clinical correlates. *Heart*. 2002, 87: 162-8.
2. Reffelmann T, Kloner RA. The no-reflow phenomenon: a basic mechanism of myocardial ischemia and reperfusion. *Basic Res Cardiol*. 2006, 101: 359-72.
3. Ambrosio G, Weisman HF, Mannisi JA, Becker LC. Progressive impairment of regional myocardial perfusion after initial restoration of postischemic blood flow. *Circulation*. 1989, 80: 1846-61.
4. Manning WJ, Atkinson DJ, Grossman W, Paulin S, Edelman RR. First-pass nuclear magnetic resonance imaging studies using gadolinium-DTPA in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Am CollCardiol*. 1991; 18: 959-65.
5. Wilke N, Simm C, Zhang J, Ellermann J, Ya X, Merkle H, Path G, Ludemann H, Bache RJ, Ugurbil K. Contrast-enhanced first pass myocardial perfusion imaging: correlation between myocardial blood flow in dogs at rest and during hyperemia. *MagnReson Med*. 1993; 29: 485-97.
6. Lima JA, Judd RM, Bazille A, Schulman SP, Atalar E, Zerhouni EA. Regional heterogeneity of human myocardial infarcts demonstrated by contrast-enhanced MRI. Potential mechanisms. *Circulation*. 1995, 92: 1117-25.
7. Judd RM, Lugo-Olivieri CH, Arai M, Kondo T, Croisille P, Lima JA, Mohan V, Becker LC, Zerhouni EA. Physiological basis of myocardial contrast enhancement in fast magnetic resonance images of 2-day-old reperfused canine infarcts. *Circulation*. 1995, 92: 1902-10.
8. Kloner RA. No-reflow phenomenon: maintaining vascular integrity. *J CardiovascPharmacolTher*. 2011, 16: 244-50.
9. Amado LC, Kraitchman DL, Gerber BL, Castillo E, Boston RC, Grayzel J, Lima JA. Reduction of "no-reflow" phenomenon by intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation in a randomized magnetic resonance imaging experimental study. *J Am CollCardiol*. 2004, 43: 1291-8.
10. Reffelmann T, Hale SL, Dow JS, Kloner RA. No-reflow phenomenon persists long-term after ischemia/reperfusion in the rat and predicts infarct expansion. *Circulation*. 2003, 108: 2911-7.

11. Ndrepepa G., Tiroch K., Fusaro M., Keta D., Seyfarth M., Byrne R.A. 5-year prognostic value of no-reflow phenomenon after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2010;55(21):2383–2389.
12. Rezkalla S.H., Stankowski R.V., Hanna J., Kloner R.A. Management of no-Reflow Phenomenon in the catheterization laboratory. *JACC CardiovascInterv.* 2017;10(3):215–223.
13. Dong-bao L., Qi H., Zhi L., Shan W., Wei-ying J. Predictors and long-term prognosis of angiographic slow/no-reflow phenomenon during emergency percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevated acute myocardial infarction. *Clin. Cardiol.* 2010;33(12):7–12.
14. Mazhar J., Mashicharan M., Farshid A. Predictors and outcome of no-reflow post primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST elevation myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc.* 2015;10:8–12.
15. Niccoli G., Burzotta F., Galiuto L., Crea F. Myocardial no-reflow in humans. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2009;54(4):281–292.
16. Mahmoud A.H., Taha N.M., Baraka K., Ashraf M., Shehata S. Clinical and procedural predictors of suboptimal myocardial reperfusion in primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc.* 2019 Apr 19;23.
17. Del Turco S., Basta G., De Caterina A.R., Sbrana S., Paradossi U., Taddei A., Trianni G., Ravani M., Palmieri C., Berti S., Mazzone A. Different inflammatory profile in young and elderly STEMI patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI): its influence on no-reflow and mortality. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2019;290:34–39.
18. Ashraf T., Khan M.N., Afaq S.M., Aamir K.F., Kumar M., Saghir T., Rasool S.I., Rizvi S.N.H., Sial J.A., Nadeem A., Khan A.A., Karim M. Clinical and procedural predictors and short-term survival of patients with no reflow phenomenon after primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2019 Jul 23. pii: S0167-5273(19)32691-9.
19. Kaya A., Keskin M., Tatlisu M.A., Uzman O., Borklu E., Cinier G., Yildirim E., Kayapinar O. Atrial fibrillation: a novel risk factor for no-reflow following primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Angiology.* 2019 Apr 8;3319719840589.
20. Malmberg K., Rydén L., Efendic S. Randomized trial of insulin-glucose infusion following by subcutaneous insulin treatment in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction (DIGAMI study): effects on mortality at 1 year. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 1995;26:57–65.
21. Li X.D., Yang Y.J., Hao Y.C. Effects of pre-procedural statin therapy on myocardial noreflow following percutaneous coronary intervention: a metaanalysis. *Chin. Med. J.* 2013;126:1755–1760.
22. Stambuk K., TomislavKrcmar T., Zeljkovic I. Impact of intracoronary contrast injection pressure on reperfusion during primary percutaneous coronary intervention in acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a prospective randomized pilot study. *Int. J. Cardiol. Heart Vasc.* 2019;24
23. Neumann F.J., Sousa-Uva M., Ahlsson A., Alfonso F., Banning A.P., Benedetto U., Byrne R.A., Collet J.P., Falk V., Head S.J., Juni P., Kastrati A., Koller A., Kristensen S.D., Niebauer J., Richter D.J., Seferovic P.M., Sibbing D., Stefanini G.G., Windecker S., Yadav R., Zembala M.O. ESC Scientific Document Group 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur. Heart J.* 2019;40(2):87–165.
24. *European Heart Journal* (2013) 34 (Abstract Supplement), 1013.
25. Durante A, Camici PG. Novel insights into an “old” phenomenon: the no reflow. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;187:273–80.
26. Durante A, Laricchia A, Benedetti G, Esposito A, Margonato A, Rimoldi O, et al. Identification of High-Risk Patients After ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: Comparison Between Angiographic and Magnetic Resonance Parameters. *CircCardiovasc Imaging.* 2017;10:e005841.
27. Aliuto L, Lombardo A, Maseri A, Santoro L, Porto I, Cianflone D, et al. Temporal evolution and functional outcome of no reflow: sustained and spontaneously reversible patterns following successful coronary recanalization. *Heart.* 2003;89:731–7.