

ORIGINAL RESEARCH**To Evaluate The Efficacy Of Abdominal Paracentesis As Diagnostic Tool For Acute Abdominal Conditions****¹Dr Sanjeev Gupta, ²Dr Koshlesh Tiwari, ³Dr Gunjeet Sandhu**¹Associate Professor, ²Junior Resident, ³Assistant Professor, Government Medical College Patiala, Punjab, India**Correspondence:**

Dr Gunjeet Sandhu

Assistant Professor, Government Medical College Patiala, Punjab, India

Abstract

The diagnosis of acute surgical conditions of abdomen is in many instances challenging and complex. In these circumstances, abdominal paracentesis becomes useful and carries immense value. Aim of the study is to evaluate the efficacy of abdominal paracentesis as a simple, bedside and accurate diagnostic tool for acute abdominal conditions. A total of 60 patients with acute abdomen admitted to Emergency Surgery department of Rajindra Hospital, Patiala were taken for study. Every patient, who fulfilled inclusion and exclusion criteria, underwent diagnostic peritoneal tap and followed by routine investigations and radiological investigations wherever needed. In present study we found, sensitivity of the abdominal paracentesis as an aid for diagnosing cause of acute abdomen was 89.65%, specificity was found to be 100%, PPV was 100%, NPV of 20% and accuracy of 90%.

Key words: Paracentesis, Peritoneal, distension, tenderness, auscultation.**Introduction**

Acute abdominal pain constitutes a significant percentage of emergency admissions worldwide and comprises the largest group (non-traumatic) of people presenting as general surgical emergency^[1]. The diagnosis of acute surgical conditions of abdomen is in many instances challenging and complex. The problem becomes more baffling when 24 h services of radiology and laboratory are not available. In these circumstances, abdominal paracentesis becomes useful and carries immense value^[2]. **Paracentesis** is a technique in which the peritoneal cavity is punctured by a needle to aspirate peritoneal fluid^[3]. Paracentesis helps in determining the etiology of the ascites and the presence of infection. Solomon was the first person to describe the technique of abdominal paracentesis in 1906.^[4] Although the first documented study done was carried out by Neuhof and Cohen who explained about the use of it as a diagnostic tool.^[5] Peritoneal paracentesis is a safe procedure even in cases of intestinal obstruction where there is chance of puncturing the bowel.^[6] However, many clinical and experimental studies have proved beyond doubt that even if bowels are punctured by the needles, subsequent leakage is a very small hazard^[2].

Aim

To evaluate the efficacy of abdominal paracentesis as a simple, bedside and accurate diagnostic tool for acute abdominal conditions.

Materials and methods

A total of 60 patients with acute abdomen admitted to Emergency Surgery department of Rajindra Hospital, Patiala were taken for study considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

All patients who presented with features of acute abdomen including both traumatic and non-traumatic causes and post-operative cases were included for study and those who gave consent for the study.

Exclusion criteria

- All patients below the age of 12 years.
- All patients with extensive abdominal scar.
- All patients with history suggestive of renal or ureteric calculi.
- All patients with diagnosed coagulation disorders.
- Patients did not give consent for study

A total of 60 cases were studied during the period. Patients were evaluated in the following ways.

1. Accurate history was taken with respect to the
 - Pain - Onset, type, site, progress, aggravating and relieving factors.
 - Vomiting
 - Distension of abdomen
 - Bowel and bladder disturbance
2. Vital signs of the patient were recorded.
3. Thorough clinical examination was done for the evidence of abdominal tenderness, guarding, rigidity, obliteration of liver dullness and peristaltic sounds.

Based on the history and clinical examination, provisional clinical diagnosis was made and routine investigations like CBC, RFT were done in all patients. Specific investigations like erect X-rays abdomen, USG abdomen and pelvis and CT was done depending on provisional diagnosis and their requirement. Before the patient was subjected to the four quadrant peritoneal tap, erect X-ray abdomen was done, reasons being, the theoretical chances of air being either introduced into the peritoneal or sucked from the peritoneal cavity while performing the procedure. The material required for paracentesis is as follows:

1. Sterile gloves
2. 10% betadine solution
3. 20 gauze small spinal needle
4. 10 cc syringe
5. 2% lignocaine

Results

Out of 60 patients, of the age range of 12 to 85 years commonest group was between 16 to 30 years constituting 18(30%) patients, next was between 46 to 60 years constituting 15 (25%) patients, followed by age group between 31 to 45 years constituting 11(18.34%) patients.

Out of 60 patients studied, majority 48(80%) patients were male and only 12(20%) were females. On taking personal history in our study we found that 19 patients had history of smoking constituting 31.67% out of which 1 was female and 18 were male. History of taking alcohol in past was present in 30 patients constituting 50% of the patients and all of them were male. Out of 60 patients studied, we observed that tenderness and guarding was present in all the patients. We observed 44 that is 73.33% of patients had rigidity. On auscultation,

there were 43 patients whose bowel sounds were absent that is 71.67% of the patients and 17 patients or 28.33% patients had normal bowel sounds on auscultation.

Result of diagnostic peritoneal tap in the study subjects

Tap	Positive		Negative	
	Patients	Percentage	Patients	Percentage
Peritoneal Tap	52	86.67%	8	13.33%

In the present study positive tap was when 0.5ml or more amount of fluid could be aspirated. We had 52(86.67%) patients who had positive tap in our study. Negative fluid was defined as in when there was no fluid could be aspirated or a less than 0.5 ml of aspirated after aspirating all the four quadrants of abdomen. We had 8 (13.33%) patients with negative tap in our study.

Comparison of site of positive tap in the study subjects.

Quadrant of Aspirate	No. of Tap Performance	Positive	Negative
Right Lower Quadrant	60	42 (70%)	18 (30%)
Right Upper Quadrant	18	7 (11.67%)	11 (18.33%)
Left Upper Quadrant	11	2 (3.33%)	9 (15%)
Left Lower Quadrant	9	1 (1.67%)	8 (13.33%)

Initially the tap was performed in right lower quadrant, out of 60 patients 42 (70%) patients had positive taps in right lower quadrant itself. Then out of remaining 18, 7(11.67%) patients had positive taps in right upper quadrant, 2(3.33%) patients had positive tap in left upper quadrant and 1(1.67%) patient had a positive tap in left lower quadrant.

Nature of aspirated tap in the study group.

Peritoneal Tap	Patients	Percentage
Bilious	15	25%
Feculent	15	25%
Haemorrhagic	6	10%
Purulent	16	26.67%
Negative	8	13.33%
Total	60	100%

In the present study, we could aspirate the characteristic fluid in 52 patients. Most common type was purulent (26.67%) followed by bilious and feculent each being 15 (25%) followed by haemorrhagic aspirate in 6 (10%). 8 (13.33%) patients had negative tap

Relationship of tap and laparotomy in the study

Tap	Surgery finding	Patients	Percentage
Positive Tap (n=52)	Finding Conformed on surgery	52	100%
	Finding not confirmed on surgery	0	0%
Negative Tap (n=8)	Finding Conformed on surgery	6	75%
	No Procedure Done	2	25%

In our study of 52 positive peritoneal tap group each patient were subjected to laparotomy and intra-operative finding was found to be correlated to the finding of pre-operative paracentesis. Out of 8 negative tap, surgery was done in 6 patients based on clinical and radiological investigation and surgery revealed intra- abdominal pathology. Remaining 2 patients managed conservatively without surgery.

Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of diagnostic abdominal paracentesis in the study

Paracentesis	Pathology		Total
	Present	Absent	
Positive	52	0	52
Negative	6	2	8
Total	58	2	60

Statistical Analysis**Sensitivity**

89.65%

Specificity

100.00%

Positive Predictive value

100.00%

Negative Predictive value

20.00%

Accuracy

90%

Discussion

Many authors have emphasized the importance of use of diagnostic abdominal paracentesis for cases of acute abdomen due to traumatic and non-traumatic causes. The usefulness of the procedure with regards to its safety and accuracy has been well documented in literature. In our study, we encountered cases of acute abdomen were more common in males sex. 48 patients out of 60 patients were males accounting to 80% of the cases and 12 were females which accounted only 20%. As there is active involvement of males in day to day life activities accounting for occupation related accidents or road traffic accidents. This finding was also seen in studies conducted by Kumar et al(2014)^[11] where they found 93% cases were male and 7% were. Cervellin et al(2016)^[12] found that males constituted 46.5% of the total patients that visited emergency department with acute abdomen and females constituted 53.5%. Channadsar et al(2016)^[10] observed in his study that male constituted 74% of the total patients and females constituted 26%

In our present study we did diagnostic abdominal paracentesis on 60 patients presented to us as case of acute abdomen. We had positive tap in 52(86.67%) patients of the total 60 patients. This TAP rate is closely related to observation made by other studies as in 1960, Giacobine and Siler^[7] did an experimental study and showed that a volume of at least 500 ml of free fluid in the peritoneal cavity will give a 78% positive paracentesis rate, thus method is likely to be more sensitive and accurate than any other single diagnostic method. We had 52 patients of non-traumatic acute abdomen in our study, out of these 52 there were 40 patients who had some hollow viscous perforation. We had 100% true positive tap in these patients i.e. in all 40 patients peritoneal tap was consistent with intra-operative finding, high accuracy of 100% by Bhatnagar V (1971)^[9] was possibly due to the late presentation of patients to the hospital. Most of the patients presented to our emergency department on 2nd or 3rd day after onset of symptoms.

Analysing the nature of aspirate grossly is of extreme importance in order to predict the site of perforation. In our study, we found that all 12 patients with gastro-duodenal perforations had bilious coloured aspirate and as we went distally in gut the aspirate became purulent and feculent seen in patients with ileal perforation and colonic perforation. Fernando, et al. (2016)^[2] observed that of the 15 gastro-duodenal perforations, 11(73.33%) were bilious and 04(26.67%) were purulent fluid with flakes. Channadasar S et al.(2016)^[10] found that of the 25 gastro-duodenal perforation 22(88%) were bilious , 2(8%)were purulent and in one(4%) case they could not aspirate any fluid. In our study, we got positive tap most frequently (70%) on right lower quadrant of abdomen itself. In a patient with ascending colon perforation we got positive tap from right upper quadrant.

Out of 2 patients of mesenteric tear, we got positive tap from right lower quadrant in 1 patient and in other patient from left lower quadrant. Thus we observe that site of paracentesis does not necessary indicate the probable site of the lesion. This has also been observation of Giacobine J. N.(1960)^[7] and Baker W. N.(1967)^[8].

Present study had accuracy for diagnostic paracentesis of 90% which is comparable to the study conducted by Lamke L.O (1978)^[13] who also had accuracy of 90% in his study, Higher accuracy of 93.75% was seen in the study conducted by Fernando (2016)^[2]. Thus high index of accuracy has also been observed by other authors also.

Conclusion

We conclude that diagnostic paracentesis is an extremely reliable aid in diagnosing patients with suspected intra-peritoneal haemorrhage and visceral perforation, but has little place in the diagnosis of localized intra-abdominal inflammatory disease . Only drawback that we conclude regarding diagnostic paracentesis tap, is that negative tap does not excluded a pathology. It is concluded that applying diagnostic paracentesis more frequently can improve the surgical care of patients with acute abdomen.

Bibliography

1. Khanzada TW, Samad A, Zulfikar I. Abuse of plain abdominal radiographs in abdominal pain. *Rawal Med J* 2007;32:48-50
2. Fernando JR, Sendhurpandian S, Kumar AM, Anandan H. Accuracy of diagnostic peritoneal paracentesis in acute abdomen requiring emergency surgical intervention. *International journal of scientific study*.2016;5(4):158-164
3. Wong CL, Holroyd-Leduc J, Thorpe KE, Straus SE. Does this patient have bacterial peritonitis or portal hypertension? How do I perform a paracentesis and analyze the results?.*JAMA*. 2008 2012;299(10):1166-78.
4. Thate RL, Jain CS, Nayak N, Dias AD. Diagnostic peritoneal tap of the acute abdomen. *Indian J Surg*.1974;36:26-9.
5. Neuhof H, Cohen I. Abdominal puncture in the diagnosis of acute intra peritoneal diseases. *Annals of Surgery*.1926;83:454-62.
6. Moretz WH, Erickson WG. Peritoneal tap as an aid in the diagnosis of acute abdominal diseases. *American Surgeon*. 1954;20:363-77.
7. Giacobine J. W, and Siler V. E. *Surg. Gynec. Obstet*. 1960;110: 676.
8. Baker WNW. Mackie DB. Newcombe JF. Diagnostic paracentesis in acute abdomen. *British medical journal*.1967;3:146-9.
9. Bhatnagar VB, Asopa HS. Diagnostic abdominal paracentesis. *Journal of Indian medical association*. 1971;57:167.
10. Shrikant Channadasar, Shankar Lal J. Peritoneal paracentesis in acute abdomen:descriptive clinical study. *IntSurg J*. 2016;3:879-81

11. Sunil Kumar, Abhay Kumar, Mohit Kumar Joshi, Vinita Rathi. Comparison of diagnostic peritoneal lavage and focused assessment by sonography in trauma as an adjunct to primary survey in torso trauma: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerr Derg.* 2014;20(2):101-106
12. Gianfranco Cervellin, Riccardo Mora, Andrea Ticinesi, Tiziana Meschi, Ivan Comelli, Fausto Catena et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of acute abdominal pain in a large urban Emergency Department: retrospective analysis of 5,340 cases. *Ann Transl Med.* 2016;4(19): 362.
13. Lamke LO, Varenhorst E. Abdominal paracentesis for early diagnosis of closed abdominal injury. *Acta.Chir. Scand.* 1978;144(1):21-5.