

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

To evaluate the TLC, total bilirubin and C- reactive protein in acute appendicitis

Dr. MD Quamar Zubair

Senior Resident, Dept of General Surgery, ANMMCH, Gaya, Bihar, India

Correspondence:

Dr. MD QuamarZubair

Senior Resident, Dept of General Surgery, ANMMCH, Gaya, Bihar, India

Email:Quamarz@Yahoo.Com

Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the TLC, total bilirubin and C- reactive protein in acute appendicitis.

Methods: This study was performed on 45 patients who have clinically and radiologically diagnosed of having acute appendicitis. All patients fulfilled all the inclusion criteria like all clinically and radiologically diagnosed cases of acute appendicitis and its complications will be included in present study and Patients with hepatic disorders, a history of alcohol intake with AST/ALT >2, A history of hepatotoxic drug intake, HBsAg positive and or those with a past history of jaundice, concomitant conditions where CRP/leukocyte count/neutrophil count is elevated in acute appendicitis patients with associated diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, SLE, glomerular nephritis and gout were excluded from present study.

Results: The sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of positive test and predictive value of negative, test of TLC in our study is 61.2%, 90.5%, 97.5% and 25.5% respectively. In our study association of TLC count and acute appendicitis has shown to be significant with P value (0.021). Appendicitis and TLC count has been variously reported as either being reliable or unreliable, and hence where TLC count is in variance with clinical features the latter should take precedence. TLC count is statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in our study, serum CRP estimation in diagnosis of acute appendicitis acquiesce sensitivity of 75%, specificity of 53%, positive predictive value of 95%, predictive value of negative test 16%. Therefore, serum CRP levels are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value was 66%, 71%, 94% and 17% respectively for predictive marker of serum total bilirubin.

Conclusion: A combination of elevated levels of TLC, CRP and serum total bilirubin has high sensitivity and specificity to find out different types of appendicitis.

Keywords: Total leucocytes count, C-reactive protein, total bilirubin

Introduction

The diagnosis of appendicitis remains essentially clinical, requiring a mixture of observation, clinical acumen and surgical science. Despite appendicitis being a common disease, its presentation is not always typical and misdiagnosis is therefore not uncommon.¹ Diagnostic difficulties may lead to negative appendicectomies² or cases of missed appendicitis resulting in complications such as appendiceal perforation (AP) or abscess formation.³ Diagnostic scoring systems have been developed in an attempt to improve the diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis (AA).^{4,5} The most prominent of these scores, developed by Alvarado,⁵ gives points for symptoms (migration of pain, anorexia, and nausea), physical signs (right lower quadrant tenderness, rebound tenderness, and pyrexia), and laboratory values

(leukocytosis and left shift). Although these scores can help guide clinical thinking, they do not markedly improve diagnostic accuracy.⁶ Other diagnostic aids including ultrasound(USG), computed tomography(CT)⁷ or even magnetic resonance imaging [MRI],⁸ do exist in order to help confirm the diagnosis or to guide the surgeon's decision on operative management or a period of observation when appendicitis is suspected.⁹ However, these diagnostic adjuncts may be expensive, may involve high radiation exposure, and may not always have accurate and reproducible results.⁹ The diagnostic and discriminatory role of white cell count (WCC) and C-reactive protein (CRP) in AA has been studied expansively but still remains contentious.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Literature points that a rise in serum bilirubin level in patients with clinically suspected appendicitis may be a predictor for perforation of appendix.¹⁵

It is well established that when microbes invade the body, leukocytes defend it. This leads to increase in the leukocyte count. Bacterial invasion in the appendix leads to transmigration of bacteria and the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-alpha, IL6 and cytokines. These reach the liver via Superior mesenteric vein (SMV) and may produce inflammation, abscess or dysfunction of liver either directly or indirectly by altering the hepatic blood flow.

Material and methods

The prospective study was done in the department of General Surgery, The study was prospective, observational study was done in the department of surgery from January 2018 to December 2020, after taking the permission of ethical committee. 45 patients who have clinically and radiologically diagnosed of having acute appendicitis were included in this study. Once acute appendicitis suspected than patient was subjected to routine investigations as per the hospital etiquette. Urine microscopy was done in all cases. Old patients will be subjected to further investigations as part of preanesthetic work up including X-ray chest, ECG etc. CRP, Total leucocyte count and Total bilirubin was done in all cases. TLC count of more than 11,000/ cu.mm was measured positive and total bilirubin more than >1 mg was measured positive. Ultrasonography of abdomen was done in most of the cases to confirm diagnosis and rule out other causes of pain abdomen. CRP more than 6 mg/dl was measured to be positive. No special preparation of the patient will be required prior to sample collection by approved techniques. Patients with strong suspicion of acute appendicitis will be advised emergency appendectomy. After obtaining consent, patients were operated, and the appendectomy specimen will be sent for histopathological examination. The histopathology report was considered as the final diagnosis. The histopathologically positive cases among CRP positive group was measured true positives. The histopathologically negative cases in the same group were considered as false positives. The histopathologically positive case among CRP negative group was considering false negatives. The histopathologically negative cases in the same group were considered as true negatives. Similarly, TLC, Total bilirubin were also classified as true and false positives, and true and false negatives after correlating it with HPE reports. The biochemical parameters like CRP, direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphates, and total protein were determined by enzymatic method using commercial available diagnostic kit on fully automated biochemical analyzer. The hematological parameters were estimated by five part hematological analyzer.

All patients fulfilled all the inclusion criteria like all clinically and radiologically diagnosed cases of acute appendicitis and its complications will be included in present study and Patients with hepatic disorders, a history of alcohol intake with AST/ALT >2, A history of hepatotoxic drug intake, HBsAg positive and or those with a past history of jaundice, concomitant conditions where CRP/leukocyte count/neutrophil count is elevated in acute

appendicitis patients with associated diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, SLE, glomerular nephritis and gout were excluded from present study.

Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed using Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS), version 25.0 The level $p < 0.05$ was considered as significance.

Results

The present study was done on 45 patients with mean age \pm SD 25.56 \pm 10.27. Out of 45 patients, 24 (53.3%) were male and 21 (46.6%) are female, thus female high proportion is seen in the present study. Appendicitis is most frequent in the age group of 21-30 years and <20 years of age group in this study. Appendicitis reaches its climax frequency in the teens and before 20 years of age. In advancing age decrease the frequency of appendicitis (Table 1, 2)

Table 1: Age of patients

Age in years	Numbers	%
10-20	17	37.7%
21-30	19	42.2%
30-31	6	13.3%
40-41	2	4.4%
>50	1	2.2%
Total	45	100%
Mean Age \pm SD	25.56 \pm 10.27	

Table 2: Gender of the patients

Gender	Numbers	%
Male	24	53.3 %
Female	21	46.6%
Total	45	100%

Histopathological examination was done in all 45 patients. Based on histopathology and intra-operative findings the Inflamed appendix was diagnosed in 32 (71.1%) patients, Gangrenous appendix in 5 (11.1%) patients and Perforated appendix 4 (8.8%) patients while 4 (8.8%) patients did not have any evidence of appendicular perforation or inflammation (normal appendix). All histological finding are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: histopathology of appendix

Histopathology	Numbers		Total	Level of significance As per chi-square test
	Male	Female		
Normal appendix	1	3	4	
Inflamed appendix	18	14	32	$p > 0.05$ ($p = 0.56$)
Gangrenous appendix	3	2	5	Not Significant
Perforated appendix	2	2	4	
Total	24	21	45	

The results for comparison of (Mean \pm SD) of predictive markers like TLC, CRP and total serum bilirubin levels between normal appendix and different type of appendicitis were done by using one way analysis of variance with post hoc analysis according to Tukey-HSD. As compared to those with a normal appendix patients with any appendicitis were older, mostly females and had higher TLC, CRP and total serum bilirubin levels. Patients with perforated

appendicitis had higher total bilirubin, TLC and CRP levels as compared to patients with normal and inflamed appendix ($p<0.001$) or a normal appendix ($p<0.001$). All significance of level between all different types of appendicitis was shown in table 4.

The subsequently part of the analysis related to choosing cut-off values for calculating sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of TLC, CRP and total bilirubin for diagnosis of appendicitis. This was done by doing sensitivity analysis. The value with highest value of sensitivity and specificity was finally chosen as the cut- off. Table 5 shows the sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of positive test and predictive value of negative, test of TLC in our study is 61.2%, 90.5%, 97.5% and 25.5% respectively. In our study association of TLC count and acute appendicitis has shown to be significant with P value (0.021). Appendicitis and TLC count has been variously reported as either being reliable or unreliable, and hence where TLC count is in variance with clinical features the latter should take precedence. TLC count is statistically significant ($p<0.05$) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis in our study, serum CRP estimation in diagnosis of acute appendicitis acquiesce sensitivity of 75%, specificity of 53%, positive predictive value of 95%, predictive value of negative test 16%. Therefore, serum CRP levels are statistically significant ($p<0.05$) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value was 66%, 71%, 94% and 17% respectively for predictive marker of serum total bilirubin. Total serum bilirubin level is statistically significant ($p<0.001$) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. (Table 5).

Table 4: Predictive markers in normal appendix and different types of appendicitis.

Predictive Markers (Mean \pm SD)	Normal Appendix (n=4)	Inflamed Appendicitis (n=32)	Gangrenous Appendicitis (n=5)	Perforated Appendicitis (n=4)	p value (ANOVA) post hoc analysis according to Tukey-HSD
Age (Years)	20.31 \pm 4.17	25.18 \pm 7.27	26.68 \pm 7.47	29.28 \pm 8.39	<0.001*, <0.001#, <0.007\$
TLC	6.98 \pm 2.23	12.27 \pm 4.18	14.47 \pm 3.29	15.27 \pm 3.50	<0.001*, <0.001#, <0.001\$
CRP	5.87 \pm 0.47	11.38 \pm 4.17	13.58 \pm 4.82	15.87 \pm 6.10	<0.001*, <0.001#, <0.001\$
Bilirubin	2.12 \pm 0.45	2.68 \pm 0.60	3.91 \pm 0.37	4.58 \pm 0.58	<0.725*, <0.001#, <0.001\$

*: Normal Appendix versus Inflamed Appendicitis, **: Normal Appendix versus Perforated Appendicitis, ***: Inflamed Appendicitis versus Perforated Appendicitis

Table 5: Predictive markers in diagnosis of acute appendicitis and association with histopathology

Predictive markers	Status of predictive markers	Histopathological Status (HPE)		values	(%)	P-value
		Positive	Negative			
Total leucocytes count	Positive	25	1	SEN	61.2%	p<0.05
				SPE	90.5%	
	Negative	15	4	PPV	97.5%	
(TLC)				NPV	25.5%	
C-Reactive Protein	Positive	28	2	SEN	75%	p<0.05
				SPE	53%	
	Negative	13	2	PPV	95%	
(CRP)				NPV	16%	
	Positive	26	2	SEN	66%	p<0.05
Serum Total				SPE	71%	
				PPV	94%	
Bilirubin	Negative	14	3	NPV	17%	

* SEN; Sensitivity, SPE; Specificity, PPV; Positive Predictive Value, NPV; Negative Predictive Value

Discussion

In our study, female high proportion is seen in the present study. Appendicitis is most frequent in the age group of 21-30 years and <20 years of age group in this study. Appendicitis reaches its climax frequency in the teens and before 20 years of age. Based on histopathology and intra-operative findings Inflamed appendix was diagnosed in 32 (71.1%) patients, Gangrenous appendix in 5 (11.1%) patients and Perforated appendix 4 (8.8%) patients while 4 (8.8%) patients did not have any evidence of appendicular perforation or inflammation (normal appendix). This finding supported in study by Goonroos et al, in their study 62% female and 38% male patients had negative appendicectomies.¹⁶ The diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis in women of child bearing age group was low because of thus numerous circumstances mimicking appendicitis. Among the 80 patients reported positive on HPE examination, 70 cases were reported to have inflamed appendix, rest 18 cases were reported to have complication of acute appendicitis.

In our study, As compared to those with a normal appendix patients with any appendicitis were older, mostly females and had higher TLC, CRP and total serum bilirubin levels. Patients with perforated appendicitis had higher total bilirubin, TLC and CRP levels as compared to patients with normal and inflamed appendix ($p<0.001$) or a normal appendix ($p<0.001$). This observation is supported by Patel et al, who found that the mean bilirubin levels in patients diagnosed with complicated appendicitis were higher as compared to that in patients with acute uncomplicated appendicitis.¹⁷ This is in contrast to the findings of Sengupta A et al, who have suggested that normal TLC with normal CRP levels decrease the possibility of AA and that the patient can be discharged without more reviews.¹⁸ Riazi et al, reports that the possibility of negative appendectomy in patients with both positive tests has been less than 10.0%.¹⁹ In our case series, the sensitivity, specificity, predictive value of positive test and predictive value of negative, test of TLC in our study is 61.2%, 90.5%, 97.5% and 25.5% respectively. In our study association of TLC count and acute appendicitis has shown to be significant with P value (0.024). Our results are in accordance with other studies done by previous authors.²⁰⁻²² According to study done by Goonroos et al, WBC was the test of choice in diagnosing uncomplicated acute appendicitis; however it's a poor predictor of protracted inflammation.²³ The WBC count when done individually distinguishes normal appendix from uncomplicated acute appendicitis whereas does not differentiate uncomplicated from complicated appendicitis. Coleman et al, reported that WBC is a poor predictor of severity of disease.²⁴ In our study, serum CRP estimation in diagnosis of acute appendicitis acquiesce sensitivity of 75%, specificity of 53%, positive predictive value of 95%, predictive value of negative test 16%. Therefore, Serum CRP levels are statistically significant ($p<0.05$) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. The sensitivity and specificity in our study are considered by other studies.^{25,22,23} Study done by Oosterhuis et al, showed that serial CRP measurement can improve the accuracy of diagnosing acute appendicitis.²⁶ Gurleyiket al in their study found that mean CRP level was 33.8 mg/l in patients with non- perforated appendix (range, 5-85.1) mg/l and 128.5 (range, 79.2-230) mg/l in patients with perforated appendix these differences were highly significant.²⁷ Similarly in our study 88.8% of complicated appendix had very high value of CRP. In the present study, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value was 66%, 71%, 94% and 17% respectively for predictive marker of serum total bilirubin. Total serum bilirubin level is statistically significant ($p<0.001$) in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Similarly study done by Sander et al, in his study found the mean bilirubin levels in patients

with Appendicle perforation to be significantly higher than those with a non-perforated appendicitis.

Conclusion

The outcomes of this study showed that in addition to history and physical examination, some basic laboratory findings like TLC, CPR and Bilirubin may be helpful in the diagnosis and decision making of patients with suspected appendicitis. A combination of elevated levels of TLC, CRP and serum total bilirubin has high sensitivity and specificity to find out different types of appendicitis.

References

1. Andrén-Sandberg A, Kørner H. Quantitative and qualitative aspects of diagnosing acute appendicitis. *Scand J Surg.* 2004; 93:4–9.
2. Flum DR, Morris A, Koepsell T, Dellinger EP. Has misdiagnosis of appendicitis decreased over time? A population based analysis. *JAMA.* 2001;286:748–1753.
3. Andersson RE, Hugander A, Thulin AJ. Diagnostic accuracy and perforation rate in appendicitis: association with age and sex of the patient and with appendectomy rate. *Eur J Surg.* 1992;158:37–41.
4. Teicher I, Landa B, Cohen M et al. Scoring system to aid in diagnoses of appendicitis. *Ann Surg.* 1983;198:753–759.
5. Alvarado A. A practical score for the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1986;15:557–564.
6. Saidi RF, Ghasemi M. Role of Alvarado scores in diagnosis and treatment of suspected acute appendicitis. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2000;18:230–231.
7. Terasawa T, Blackmore CC, Bent S, Kohlwes RJ. Systematic review: computed tomography and ultrasonography to detect acute appendicitis in adults and adolescents. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;141:537–546.
8. Pedrosa I, Lafornera M, Pandharipande PV, et al. Pregnant patients suspected of having acute appendicitis: effect of MR imaging on negative laparotomy rate and appendiceal perforation rate. *Radiology.* 2009;250:749–757.
9. Jones PF. Suspected acute appendicitis: trends in management over 30 years. *Br J Surg.* 2001;88:570–1,577.
10. Vermeulen B, Morabia A, Unger PF. Influence of white cell count on surgical decision making in patients with abdominal pain in the right lower quadrant. *Eur J Surg.* 1995;161:483–486.
11. Birchley D. Patients with clinical acute appendicitis should have pre-operative full blood count and C-reactive protein assays. *Ann R CollSurg Engl.* 2006;88:27–32.
12. Körner H, Søreide JA, Söndernaa K. Diagnostic accuracy of inflammatory markers in patients operated on for suspected acute appendicitis: a receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. *Eur J Surg.* 1999;165:679–685.
13. Vaughan-Shaw PG, Rees JR, Bell E, et al. Normal inflammatory markers in appendicitis: evidence from two independent cohort studies. *JRSM Short Rep.* 2011;2:43.
14. Andersson RE. Meta-analysis of the clinical and laboratory diagnosis of appendicitis. *Br J Surg.* 2004;91:28–37.
15. Khan S. Elevated serum bilirubin in acute appendicitis: a new diagnostic tool. *Kathmandu Univ Med. J* 2008;6:161–165.
16. Gronroos M, Gronroos P. leucocyte count and C- reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *BJS.* 1999;86:501-4.
17. Patel D, Shah NJ, Patel B, Parikh M, Patel D, Dalal C. Evaluation of hyperbilirubinemia as a new diagnostic marker for acute appendicitis and its role in the prediction of complicated appendicitis *Int J Res Med.* 2014;3:28-33.

18. Sengupta A, Bax G, Paterson-Brown S. White cell count and C-reactive protein measurement in patients with possible ap-pendicitis. *Ann R CollSurg Engl.* 2009;91:113-5.
19. Riazi A, Dashti S, Farzaneh MR. Diagnostic value of Creac-tive protein (CRP) in acute appendicitis. *Iran South Med J.* 2001;4116-21.
20. Borushok KF, Jeffrey RB Jr, Laing F. Sonographic diagnosis of perforation in patients with acute appendicitis. *AJR.* 1990;154:275-8.
21. McGowan DR, Sims HM, Shaikh I, Uheba M. The value of hyperbilirubinaemia in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Ann R CollSurg Engl.* 2011;93:498.
22. Mir MA, Haq I, Manzoor F. Diagnostic Value of Total Leucocyte Count (TLC), C-reactive protein (CRP) and Bilirubin in Patients with Suspected Acute Appendicitis. *International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research.* 2016;3(5):1249- 53.
23. Gronroos M, Gronroos P. leucocyte count and C- reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *BJS.* 1999;86:501-4.
24. Coleman C, Thompson J, Bennion R, Schmit P. White Blood Cell count is a poor predictor of severity of disease in diagnosis of appendicitis. *Am Surg.* 1998;64(10):983.
25. Sand M, Bechara FG, Holland Letz T, Sand D, Mehnert G, Mann B. Diagnostic value of hyperbilirubinemia as a predictive factor for appendiceal perforation in acute appendicitis. *Am J Surg.* 2009;198:193 8.
26. Oosterhuis WP, Zwinderman AH, Teeuwen M, van Andel G, Oldenziel H, Kerkhoff JF et al. C reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Eur J Surg.* 1993;1599(2):115-9.
27. Gurleyik E, Gurleyik G, Unalmiser S. Accuracy of serum C-reactive protein measurements in diagnosis of acute appendicitis compared with surgeon's clinical impression. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 1995;38(12):1270-4.