Original research article

Clinical profile of patients admitted to tertiary care hospital with acute appendicitis

¹Dr.Karunakar GK, ²Dr.Sathish V Shivamallaiah, ³Dr.Greeshma S, ⁴Dr.Chandrashekar S

^{1,3}Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Kodagu Institute of Medical sciences, Madikeri, Karnataka, India

²Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Kodagu Institute of Medical sciences, Madikeri, Karnataka, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, KVG Medical College, Sulliya, Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:

Dr.Chandrashekar S

Abstract

Acute appendicitis is a common cause of non-traumatic surgical acute abdomen. The incidence of acute appendicitis in the United States population to be 11 cases per 10,000 populations annually. Incidence of appendicitis seems to have greatly increased in the first half of this century, particularly in Europe, America and Australia with incidence up to 16% of the population undergoing appendectomy. After complete history, clinical examination and laboratory investigations RIPASA score was calculated and Patients with score 7.5 or >7.5 undergone Appendectomy and Histopathological results were analyzed. Patients had acute appendicitis, chronic appendicitis and subacute appendicitis accounting to 0.49%, 9.9% and 86.6% of the total patients respectively.

Keywords: Acute appendicitis, chronic appendicitis and subacute appendicitis

Introduction

Appendicitis refers to the inflammation of vermiform appendix. Vermiform is a Latin word which means a worm shaped object. Literally speaking appendix denotes to an appendage to a larger or major part as a tail or limb. The vermiform appendix is a worm shaped tubular structure arising from caecum^[1].

Acute appendicitis is a common cause of non-traumatic surgical acute abdomen. The incidence of acute appendicitis in the United States population to be 11 cases per 10,000 populations annually. Incidence of appendicitis seems to have greatly increased in the first half of this century, particularly in Europe, America and Australia with incidence up to 16% of the population undergoing appendectomy^[2].

In the past 30 years the incidence has fallen dramatically in these countries, such that individual life time risk of appendectomy is 8.6% and 6.7% respectively.

The disease is slightly more common in males, with a male: female ratio of 1.4:1. In a lifetime, 8.6% of males and 6.7% of females can be expected to develop acute appendicitis. Young age is a risk factor, as nearly 70% of patients with acute appendicitis are less than 30 years of age. The highest incidence of appendicitis in males is in the 10-to 14-year-old age group (27.6 cases per 10,000 populations), while the highest female incidence is in the 15 to 19-year-old age group (20.5 cases per 10,000 populations)^[3, 4].

Patients at extremes of age are more likely to develop perforated appendicitis. Overall, perforation was present in 19.2% of cases of acute appendicitis. This number was significantly higher, however, in patients under 5 and over 65 years of age. Although less common in people over 65 years old, acute appendicitis in the elderly progresses to perforation more than 50% of the time^[5, 6].

There has been an increase in the incidence rate of non-perforated appendicitis. The reason for this is not clear, but it has been proposed that the increased use of diagnostic imaging has led to a higher detection rate of mild appendicitis that would otherwise resolve undetected.

Methodology

Source of data

Participants are those patients with suspected clinically as AA, presenting to Department of General Surgery.

Study design: Prospective non-randomised study.

JournalofCardiovascularDiseaseResearch

ISSN:0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13, ISSUE04,2022

Consent: Written informed consent was taken from the patients who fell into the inclusion criteria of the study.

Sample size: 202.

Inclusion criteria

 Patients with the clinical diagnosis of AA and undergoing surgery and willing to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria

- Patients withgeneralized peritonitis.
- Appendicular abscess.
- Appendicular lump/mass.
- Blunt trauma abdomen.
- Recurrent appendicitis.
- Pregnancy.

Method of collection of data

After complete history, clinical examination and laboratory investigations RIPASA score was calculated and Patients with score 7.5 or >7.5 undergone Appendectomy and Histopathological results were analysed.

Results

Table1:Sex-wise distribution of patients

Sex	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Female	78	38.61	38.61	
Male	124	61.38	61.38	
Total	202	100	100	100

Patients had acute appendicitis, chronic appendicitis and subacute appendicitis accounting to 0.49%, 9.9% and 86.6% of the total patients respectively.

Histological diagnosis	Frequency	Percentage	p-value
Normal	7	2.9	
Sub-Acute appendicitis	1	0.49	
Chronic appendicitis	20	9.9	.000
AA	174	86.6	
Total	202	100	

Table 2: Histological Wise Distribution of Frequency

Discussion

Even though acute appendicitis is a most common surgical condition encountered in clinical practice sometimes, it is challenging task for the surgeon in diagnosing. There always exists fear of negative appendicectomy and also fear of appendicular perforation if diagnosis is delayed and so the morbidity and mortality. A higher negative appendectomy rate of 15% to 25% has been accepted in the past in the cost of preventing appendicular perforation^[7].

Negative appendicectomy is not devoid of complications, though the mortality is low, it can be associated with the mortality of 10 to 15%, Negative appendicectomy is associated with significant hospital stay. Hence, negative appendicectomy should be lowered as low as $possible^{[8]}$.

Diagnosis of acute appendicitis is mainly based on surgeon's clinical examination, the accuracy rate of clinical examination ranges from 70% to 87%^[9].

Along with clinical examination, various laboratory parameters of inflammation (TLC, CRP) USG CT & Laparoscopy are used to establish an accurate diagnosis of AA. This armamentarium has definitely increased the diagnostic accuracy and help to reduce negative appendectomy rate^[10]. However, these techniques are not available universally.

Conclusion

Acute appendicitis is a common surgical emergency. Accurate and timely diagnosis and intervention is critical in the management and so reducing the morbidity and mortality. The diagnosis of acute appendicitis is mainly clinical judgement based on signs and symptoms added by investigations.

JournalofCardiovascularDiseaseResearch

ISSN:0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL13, ISSUE04,2022

References

- 1. Lichtner S, Pflanz M. Appendectomy in the Federal Republic of Germany: epidemiology and medical care patterns. Med Care. 1971;9:311-330.
- 2. Coloborn GL, Rogers RM Jr,Skandalakis JE,*et al.* Appendix. In:Skandalakis JE, Coloborn GL, Weidman TA, Foster RS Jr., Kingsworth AN, Skandalakis LJ, Skandalakis PN, MirilasPS.eds. Skandalakis Surgical Anatomy:The Embryologic and Anatomic Basis of Modern Surgery. Chapter17,28. New York, NY:McGraw Hill, 2004.
- 3. Korner H, Sondenna K, Soreide JA, *et al.* Incidence of acute non-perforated and perforated appendicitis: age-specific and sex-specific analysis. World JSurg. 1997;21:313-7.
- 4. Jess P, BjerregaardbB, BrynitzS, *et al.* Acute Appendicitis. Prospective trial concerning diagnostic accuracy and complications. Am J Surg. 1981;141:232-4.
- 5. Wagner JM, McKinney WP, Carpenter JL. Does this patient have appendicitis?JAMA. 1996;276:1589-1594.
- 6. Kelly HA, Hurdon E. Anatomy of the vermiform appendix and its disease.Philadelphia, W.B Saunders, 1905, 189.
- Townsend CM, Sabiston Textbook of Surgery: Townsend CM, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL.Sabiston Textbook of Surgery: The Biological Basis of Modern Surgical Practice,15th chapter, 19th edition,India: Elsevier India, 2012, 1179-1293.
- 8. Baron EJ, Bennion R, Thompson JE, *et al.* A microbiological comparison between acute and complicated appendicitis. Clin Infect Dis. 1992;14:227-231.
- 9. Izbici JR, Wilker DK, Mandelkow HK, *et al.* Retro and prospective studies on thevalue of clinical and laboratory chemical data in acute appendicitis.Chirurg. 1990;61:887-894.
- 10. De Dombal FT. Diagnosis of Acute Abdominal Pain. Churchill LivingstoneEdinburgh, 1991,105-106.