ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL13, ISSUE 05, 2022

Risk Factors of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Middle and Elderly Urban Population of Cuttack City

HemantaSahoo¹, Sunil Kumar Habada², Dr. Susajit Kumar Pradhan³ Dr. Sangram Kishore Sabat⁴

1. Assistant professor, Department of Community Medicine, SaheedLaxmanNayak Medical

College and Hospital, Koraput-764020

- 2. Assistant professor, Department of Surgery, SaheedLaxmanNayak Medical College and Hospital Koraput-764020
 - 3. Assistant Professor, Anaesthesiology, ECMO unit, Department of Cardio Thoracic & Vascular Surgery, SCBMCH, Cuttack, Odisha, India.
- 4. Dr. Sangram Kishore Sabat, Assistant professor, Dept. of Orthopedics,MKCG Medical College, Berhampur, Odisha

Address of the Corresponding Author

Dr.Sangram Kishore Sabat, Assistant professor, Dept. of Orthopedics, MKCG Medical College, Berhampur, Odisha

Abstract-

Background- Type 2 diabetes is one of the major public health problems in India. Diabetes can affect almost every organ in the body, causing blindness, kidney disease, amputation, and increased risk of stroke, heart disease, peripheral neuropathy.

Objectives: 1. To detect the spread of type 2 diabetes in Urban Population of Cuttack City 2. How obesity is associated with Diabetes Mellitus

Methods: A community-based study was conducted from March 2013 to Feb 2014 at the SCB Medical College gym, at the Jobra urban health center. A collection sample method was used using a total of 502 participants and a pre-developed proforma interviewed.

Results: The prevalence of Mellitus diabetes in the current study was 19.5%. Diabetes mellitus gradually increases over the years (X2 = 12.24, df = 3, p = 0.0066). Type Diabetes Mellitus most closely related to duration of exercise (X2 = 4.37, 1df, P = 0.03), family history of Diabetes (X2 = 136.1, 4df, p = 0.001), Weight Index (X2 = 58.18, 3df, p < 0.001).

Keywords- Diabetes, Risk factors, prevalence, Duration of Exercise, Family history, BMI.

Introduction-

Diabetes mellitus, long considered a major challenge of Public Health, now appears as one of the major threats to human health in the 21st century.¹ The last two decades have seen a dramatic increase in the number of people diagnosed with diabetes. all around the world. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that 135 million people were diabetic in 1995 and that number will increase to 300 million by 2025.²

India currently has the largest number of people with diabetes in the world with an estimated 19.4 million people. This is expected to be 57.2 million by 2025The city centers are the nation's diabetic capitals and every sixth person has type 2 diabetes which is 16.6% prevalence. ³ Type 2 Diabetes mellitus is the most common type of diabetes worldwide. This type of diabetes is considered to be a lifestyle disorder. Hidden genetic predisposition is revealed in the presence of natural factors such as sedentary lifestyles, changes in eating habits from junk food to high-calorie foods, high carbohydrate intake and the stress of urban life. ⁴

This is causing great concern as the cost of treating diabetes begins to weigh heavily on health resources.⁵ In Type 2 diabetes the risk of some of these complications (eg cardiovascular disease), may begin even before the onset of diabetes. People with diabetes have a 25 chance of blindness, 17 chances of kidney disease, 30-40 times major cuts, 2 - 4 chances of myocardial infarction and a double chance of developing diabetes ^{6,7, 8.}

Diabetes mellitus reflects what happened in Iceberg, where an unknown illness surpassed a known illness. The

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL13, ISSUE 05, 2022

disease itself begins in a subtle way, with subtle symptoms of malaise, polydipsia, polyphagia and polyuria. Diagnosis is made during a routine medical examination or when a patient has complications.

A few factors have been involved in the etiology of diabetes mellitus. These include environmental considerations, nationality, genetics, socioeconomic status, social and cultural patterns, food status, gender and biodiversity. In most comprehensive studies not a single factor has been identified in the cause. Thus, preventing diabetes or the initial delay of diabetes even in a single patient demonstrates victory in the health care system and the national economy.

The objectives of our research were to:

- 1. Detecting the prevelance of type 2 diabetes in the suburbs of Cuttack City
- 2. Identify certain known risk factors associated with Type 2 Mellitus Diabetes

Methodology-

The present study was carried out in the urbanhealthcentreareaJobra,Cuttackforaperiodof one year from March 2013 to Feb 2014

(includinganalysisandconclusions). ACrosssectional community based study was conducted among the 35 years and above general population of field practice area of SCB Medical College. The urban health training centre Jobra, falls under Muncipal Corporation of Cuttack, Government of Odisha. The area of urban health training centre has divided into 33 under developed slums and 8 developed slums and coversato talpopulation of 18,902.

Inthisstudy"clustersampling"methodwasused. Thisurbanhealthcentrehasfulfilledcertaincharacteristicsofcluster samplingmethods. Insteadofvillagesorhamlets this was divided into developed as well as underdeveloped slums and the clusters should be more than 30 each. Hence, this area was selected and clusters ampling method was used.

In the cluster sampling method, initially we found the sample interval, which can be obtained by dividing the total number of clusters to the total population orcumulativepopulationof the urban health center area and found the sample interval was 1784. Hence, thisvalue fallen in 1stslum area and get to the 2ndclusteraddthisvaluetothefirstclusterpopulation.Similarlyto 3rdcluster, sample get the interval plus 2ndclusternumber and so on.That's how clusters are made tilltherequirednumberofclusters(33).

SelectionoftheHouseholds

In each cluster, first reached the centre of the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the slum where the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the one of the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each other. The reselected the 3 or 4 roads are meeting on each otofthelanebylotterybasedaftergivingthe lanes number.Even in the lane also picked up arandom number from currency note to start the household survey. If the random number is 3, then the survey started the survey started start the survey started stafrom 3rdhouse onwards. In the one house we got 3 eligible age group persons then taken the history of all the three persons. If there are no eligible age group persons is a second secondn the house, then moved to another house till get the required sample in each cluster.

Sample size for this study can be drawn fromprevalence of diabetes mellitus on Cuttack urban population published previously. In this studyprevalencewasshowntobe16.7%,andallowableerrortaken as 20% and formulae¹³ used here is 4PQ / L². Apilot study was conducted and tested and the actualstudy was started after making necessary corrections and advises in it. A total of 502 patients or personswere screened for diabetes mellitus and preventive measures were suggested to the multiple one year period.

DiagnosticCriteria:AspertheAmericandiabetesassociation,whosefastingbloodsugarmorethan126mg / dl with symptoms can be considered as Diabeticindividual.NecessarystatisticaltestslikePercentages,chisquare test and Z tests were applied for statisticalanalysis.

Results-

The present study was conducted on 502 individuals selected in Urban community by cluster sampling method and examined over a period of one year. Of these, the prevalence (old and new cases) of Diabetes mellitus was 19.5% (98 / 502). About 11.3% (57) were found to be impaired glucose tolerance people and 69.1% (347) people have normal glycaemic status.

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL13, ISSUE 05, 2022

Among Study Population	No of cases	Prevalence per 100 study population				
Diabetic people	98	19.5%				
IGT	57	11.35%				
Normal people	347	69.15%				
Total	502	100%				
DM – Diabetes Mellitus, IGT- In	npaired glucose tolerance					

Table: 1. Prevalence Of Diabetes Mellitus In The Study Population

Table 2. Distribution of Diabetes Mellitus in relation to the Socio-demographic Characteristics:

Ageofthestudypopulation	DMp	oresent	DMabsent		Tota	1	StatisticalTests
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	X2=12.24,df=3,p=0.0066
35-44	13	10.0	117	90.0	130	100	
45-54	46	21.2	171	78.8	217	100	
55-64	28	27.7	73	72.3	101	100	
e"65	11	2.03	43	79.7	54	100	
Total	98	19.5	404	80.5	502	100	
Sexwise							X2=0.71,1df,P=0.39
Male	48	18.2	217	81.8	265	100	
Female	50	21.1	187	78.9	237	100	
Total	98	19.5	404	80.5	502	100	

Table 3: Distribution of Diabetes Mellitus in relation to certain risk factors:

FamilyHistory	1	DMpres	E	Mabse	Т		StatisticalTests
	•	ent	n	it	0		
					t		
					a l		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	X2=12.24,df=3,p=0.0066
	0		0.		0		
DiabetesMellitusfam	5	6	3	3	9		Relativerisk38.6,Oddsratio15.6X2=1
ilymembers	7	3.	3	6.	0		36.1,4df,p=0.001
		7		3			
Otherdiseasesfamilymembers	4	9.	3	9	4	1	
	1	9	7	0.	1	0	
			1	1	2	0	
Total	9	1	4	8	5	1	
	8	9.	0	0.	0	0	
		5	4	5	2	0	
BodyMassIndex							
<18.5	0	1	0	8	0	1	X2=58.18 3df,p<0.001
	1	4.	6	5.	7	0	
		3		7		0	
18.5-24.99	3	1	2	8	3	1	
	4	0.	9	9.	3	0	
		1	8	9	2	0	
25-29.99	5	3	9	6	1	1	
	7	7.	5	2.	5	0	
		5		5	2	0	_
30>	0	5	•	4	1	1	
	6	4.	5	5.	1	0	
		5		5		0	

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833

VOL13, ISSUE 05, 2022

Total	9	1	4	8	5	1	
	8	9.	0	0.	0	0	
		5	4	5	2	0	
Durationofexercise							
<30min/day	1	3	2	6	4	1	Relativerisk38.2,
	8	8.	9	1.	7	0	Oddsratio2.48;X2=4.37,1df,P=0.03
		2		8		0	
>30min/day	1	2	4	8	6	1	
	2	0	8	0	0	0	
						0	
Total	3	2	7	7	1	1	
	0	8	7	2	0	0	
					7	0	

Discussion-

Thepresentstudywasconducted at the urban health centre Jobra, urban community of Cuttack during the period of one year from March 2013 to Feb 2014. Atotal of 502-

studypopulationwereexaminedofwhom98(19.5%)werefoundtobediabetesmellitusand 57 (11.3%) were found to be impaired glucosetolerancegroup.Theprevalenceofthediabetesmellitusin the present study was 19.5% and similar findingwasobservedwithRamachandranA,SnehalathaCetal ³ found the prevalence was 16.6% in Hyderabad.Similarly,accordingtoBaiPV,KrishnaSwamyCVetaltheprevalencewasfoundtobe17.4%inChennaiUrbanP opulation.ThisstudyhasconcordancewiththeKuttyVR et al ¹⁶ who observed a prevalence of 16.9% inTiravananthapuramUrbanPopulation.WeskSKMunozBetalfoundtobe21.4%intheagegroupof40yearsandabove.

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in this studywashigheramongfemalesat21.1%,thanmalesat16.2%.However,this differencewasnotstatistically significant (P > 0.05). Several other researchers have had similar findings. Asha Bai PV, Murthy BN et al ²³found the prevalence was 10.5% infemales aged> or= 40 yrs and 9.2% in males and in the prevalence of Diabetes mellitus and females was stastically significant (P < 0.05). Misra A, Pandey RM et al ²⁴ conducted as tudy in Delhiand they opine that diabetes mellitus was recorded in 11.2% of males and 9.9% offemales. Some of the other studies show no gender difference. Ramachandram Aetalconcluded that prevalence of diabetes mellitus does not have any gender difference.

In the present study, among exercise practicingpeople30.6% prevalence of diabetes was observed and 69.4% prevalence observed in exercise not practicing people. The association between the practice of exercise and diabetes mellitus was statistically significant (p<0. 05). Several other researches found the same results. Naeem AG conducted study in Kashmirmen and stated that exercise in versely related with diabetes mellitus. Ramachandran A, Snehala tha Cetal¹⁷ stated

thatdiabetesmellitusindirectlyrelated with the duration exercise. According to Ferry J ¹⁴stated that persons who were undergone physical activity less than half an hour perday were inversely related with diabetesmellitus.

Among diabetics, 58.2% were giving family history of diabetes and significantly associated with the type 2 diabetes mellitus in the present study. Several researchers found the same finding i.e. 53.1% were giving family history of diabetes. This finding was correlated with De Silva SN, Weerasuriya N et al ¹⁹ (2002) conducted a study in Srilanka, Oneyemere KV, Lipton RB et al ²⁵ conducted a study in Chicago and concluded that a positive parenteral history of DM appears to be more strongly economic related to childhood type 2 than type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. A similar finding was observed with the Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C et al ²⁰ found that families with a positive family history of diabetes significantly associated with the type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Body mass index (BMI) is very important tool in the measurement of obesity. In the present study, 54.5% of diabetics were in 30 plus range, 37.7% of diabetics were in 25-29.99 ranges, 10.1% of diabetics were in 18.5-24.99 range and 14.2% of diabetics were in <18.5 range. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus associated with the high BMI (>25kg / m2) and statistically significant (x2-58.18, p<0.001). This study correlated with the Vikram NK, Misra AD et al ¹⁸ conducted a study in New Delhi and defined that cut offs for defining obesity by

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL13, ISSUE 05, 2022

BMI are lower than the suggested limit of 25 kg / m2. Most of the studies revealed that BMI associated with type 2 diabetes.

Based on the above results, simple life style modifications like practicing exercise and correction of obesity would decrease the burden of type 2 Diabetes Mellitus some extent in the future among the general population especially in the age group of 35 years and above group both in males and females.

References-

1. Zimmet P. Globalization, coca-colonization and the chronic disease epidemic, can the dooms day scenario be overted, Jr. of Intern. Med. 2000 ; 247 : 301–310.

2. King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH Global burden of Diabetes, 1995 – 2025, Prevalence, numerical estimates and projections Diabetes care : 1998 : 21 : 1414 – 1431.

Ramachandran A Snehalatha C et alNational Urban diabetes survey Diabetologia 2001 ; 44 : 1999 –

4. Zargar Ah, Wani AI, Masooda MR, Laway BA, Basheer MI Mortality in Diabetes Mellitus, data from a developing region of the world. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 1997 : 43 : 67 – 74.

5. B Jork S. The cost of diabetes and diabetes care Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2001; 54 (suppl): 53 - 8.

6. Sanger TJ, Zimmet PZ Epidemiology of Type 2 diabetes : An international perspective pharmaco economic 1995 : 1 Suppl (8) : 1-11.

7. Zimmet PZ Diabetes epidemiology as a tool to trigger diabetes research and care Diabetologic 1999 : 42 : 499 – 518.'

8. Turtle JR The economic burden of insulin resistance Int. J. clinpractsuppl 2000; 113 : 23-8.

9. Tripathi BB, Kar BC et al Population Survey for detection of frank and latent diabetics in one part of Cuttack, Orrissa J. Ind. Med. Assoc 1970, 54(2): 55 - 62.

10. Ramachandran A, Jali MV et al High prevalence of diabetes Mellitus in urban population in South India. Br. Med. J. 1988 ; 297 : 587 – 590.

11. Ramachandran A.(Chennai) Epidemiology of type 2 diabetes in Indians. J Indian Med Assoc. 2002 Jul; 100(7): 425-437.

12. Iyer SR, Iyer RR et al Diabetes mellitus in Dombivli—an urban population study. J Assoc Physicians India. 2001 Jul; 49: 713-716.

13. Lecomte P, Vol S et al Impaired fasting glycaemia and undiagnosed diabetes: prevalence, cardiovascular and behavioural risk factors. Diabetes Metab 2002 Sep;28(4 Pt 1):311-20Related Articles

14. Perry IJ (Ireland) Healthy diet and lifestyle clustering and glucose intolerance. Proc NutrSoc 2002 Nov;61(4):543-51Related Articles, Links

15. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C et al Impact of poverty on the prevalence of diabetes and its complications in Urban southern India. Diabet Med. 2002 Feb; 19(2): 130-135.

16. Kutty VR, Soman Cr et al Random capillary blood sugar and coronary risk factors in a south Kerala population. J Cardiovasc Risk. 2002 Dec; 9(6): 361-367.

17. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C et al Temporal changes in prevalence of type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in urban southern India. Diabetes Res ClinPract. 2002 Oct; 58(1): 55-60.

18. Vikram NK, Misra AD et alAnthropometry and body composition in northern Asian Indian patients with type 2 diabetes: receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis of body mass index with percentage body fat as standard. Diabetes NutrMetab. 2003 Feb; 16(1): 32-40.

19. De Silva SN, Weerasuriya N et al Excess maternal transmission and familial aggregation of Type 2 diabetes in Sri Lanka. Diabetes Res ClinPract. 2002 Dec; 58(3): 173-177.

20. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C et al Cosegregation of obesity with familial aggregation of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes ObesMetab. 2000 Jun; 2(3): 149-154.

21. Deepa M, Pradeepa R et al :The Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES)—study design and methodology (urban component) (CURES-I). J Assoc Physicians India. 2003 Sep; 51: 863-870.

22. Yajnik CS The lifecycle effects of nutrition and body size on adult adiposity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Obes Rev. 2002 Aug; 3(3): 217-224.

23. Asha Bai PV, Murthy BN et al Prevalence of known diabetes in Chennai City. J Assoc Physicians India. 2001 Oct; 49: 974-981.

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL13, ISSUE 05, 2022

24. Misra A, Pandey RM et al High prevalence of diabetes, obesity and dyslipidaemia in urban slum Population in northern India. Int J ObesRelatMetabDisord. 2001 Nov; 25(11): 1722-9. Int J ObesRelatMetabDisord. 2002 Sep;26(9):1281..

25. Onyemere KU, Lipton RB et al Parental history and early-onset type 2 diabetes in African Americans and Latinos in Chicago. J Pediatr 2002 Dec;141(6):825-9