RISK FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH AMPUTATION IN PATIENTS WITH DIABETIC FOOT: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

[1]**Dr Sudarshan N,**[2]**Dr Narasimha Swamy P,** [3]**Dr. Muralimohan R.**

[1]Assistant Professor, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, College in Mandya, Karnataka India.

[2]Professor and HOD Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, College in Mandya, Karnataka India.

Corresponding Author
Dr Sudarshan N
Assistant Professor, Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, College in Mandya, Karnataka India.

Abstract-

Background/aim: Diabetic foot ulcers and related complications are a major cause of morbidity and hospital admissions. Our aim was to

1. To describe socio-demographic profile of diabetic foot patients

2. To identify the risk factors for lower extremity amputation in diabetic foot patients

Introduction

Diabetes is the most common underlying cause of foot ulcers, infection, and ischemia, which are among the most serious complications of diabetes, leading to hospitalization and the most frequent cause of nontraumatic lower extremity amputation. Compromise of blood supply from micro vascular disease, often in association with lack of sensation because of neuropathy, predisposes persons with diabetes mellitus to foot infections.Patients with diabetes are 15 to 30 times more likely to have an amputation than are patients without diabetes.The incidence of diabetic foot is increasing due to the increased prevalence of diabetes and the prolonged life expectancy of diabetic patients.

Despite well-defined risk factors for diabetic foot ulcer development, limited data are available as to which factors predict amputation in a diabetic foot ulcer episode. Several risk factors for amputations among diabetics have been cited in the literature. However, there are inconsistencies between the studies.

There are numerous classification systems for diabetic foot ulcer outcome prediction, but only few studies evaluated their reliability or external validity. These classification systems are essential tool for assessing and selecting treatment and for improving communication among health care professionals. Thus, a single or simplified classification system, which

includes the most accurate predictive factors for amputation, would facilitate decision-making.

The lifetime incidence of developing diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) can reach 25%.Different factors and causes can lead to DFU, such as uncontrolled diabetes may lead to neuropathy and minor trauma can be associated with delayed healing. Furthermore, deformity, high plantar pressures, and peripheral arterial disease (PAD) can also lead to DFU.

The prevalence of diabetes for all age-groups worldwide is estimated to be 2.8% in 2000 and which is likely to rise to 4.4% by the year 2030. The total number of persons affected by diabetes is also projected to rise from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million by 2030. Approximately 20% of all diabetics who enter the hospital are admitted for the foot problems. The most common components in the causal pathway leading to limbloss include peripheral neuropathy, ulceration, infection, and peripheral vascular disease. Annual incidence of foot ulcers is 1% to 4% and prevalence 5% to 10% in patients with diabetes. 30% of all diabetics have peripheral vascular disease and 7% of all hospitalized patients require surgery. Amputations are considered as a very debilitating complication of diabetes. Both the social impact of such amputations for the patients and the financial implications for the health care are grave.

Importantly, lower extremity amputation (LEA) is always one of the feared complications of DFU, and it was estimated that 85% of amputation in diabetic patients are preceded by a foot ulcer.The pathway to LEA is multifactorial,involving many risk factors which include duration of diabetes mellitus,previous amputation,hypertension,poor glycemic control, nephropathy, dyslipidemia, PAD, retinopathy,peripheral neuropathy, osteomyelitis, and wound severity.In terms of clinical management, thorough and systematic evaluation and classification of a foot ulcer are necessary for the appropriate treatment.Furthermore, with adequate foot care and education of people with diabetes, amputation can be prevented. The purpose of this study is to analyse the risk of lower limb amputations in diabetic patients and to study the risk factors.

Therefore, to predict lower limb amputation occurrence and to determine the factors associated with the risk of amputation in diabetic patients, we conducted this study.

Methods

A hospital based longitudinal study was conducted at Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences,MIMS Hospital, Mandya.The aim of the study was to assess the risk factors associated with amputation in diabetic foot infectios. The inclusion criteria were patients with foot infections defined as infection of soft tissue in diagnosed case of diabetes mellitus with or without treatment and newly-diagnosed diabetics, above 18 years of age and of either gender. We excluded patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy or radiotherapy, infections at or above the ankle joint, or ulcers due to causes other than diabetes mellitus. Formal written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was approved by the Ethical committee of the hospital.

The study factors were demographic details of all patients including age, gender, smoking

Parameters	Amputated n=25	Non-Amputated n=39	P. value (NS)

history, and any recent history of trauma to the foot.

Figure   1:   Wagner   grading   system.

All infections were classified according to the MeggittWagner grading system (Figure 1). Baseline investigations including hemoglobin (Hb), Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), total leucocyte count (TLC), serum creatinine and fasting blood sugar levels were collected. X-ray of foot was done to assess features of osteomyelitis. Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) was evaluated by measuring ankle brachial index (ABI) using a hand held doppler. Peripheral neuropathy was assessed using RMS computerized system, on which it was defined as a delay of >45 m/sec. The main outcome factor was amputation which was defined as the complete loss in the transverse anatomical plane of any part of the lower limb.

Statistical analysis

After confirming the normality of the data, the continuous variable were analyzed using student 't' test and categorical variables was analyzed using Chi Square and Fischer Exact test. The data was divided into amputation group and non-amputation group and univariate and multivariate analysis

Table 1.

 was done to identify the predicting factors statistically associated with amputation.

RESULTS

A total of 64 patients were included in the study, male: female ratio was 3:1 and the mean age was 55.57±10.44 years. Amongst the 64 patients, 25 (39.1%) underwent amputation, out of which 10 (40%) underwent below knee amputation while 60% patients had Ray amputation. History of Smoking and recent history of trauma to the foot was present in 48% of patients who underwent amputation. Amongst the various blood investigations, only Fasting blood sugar and glycosylated hemoglobin were statistically significant (Table 1).

Table 1: Univariate analysis of laboratory parameters.

Parameters	Amputated n=25	Non-Amputated n=39	P value
Hb	10.07±2.3	10.43±2.18	0.53 (NS)
TLC	14424±6827	11925±5026	0.09 (NS)
FBS	232±100	188±70	0.04 (S)
HbA1c	10.02±2.32	8.82±2.3	0.04 (S)
S.Creatinine	1.45±0.71	1.16±0.44	0.052 (NS)

The patients were arbitrarily divided into 2 groups according to the Wagner grading system (lower grade – Wagner grade 0 to 2; Higher grade – Wagner grade 3 to 5), and it was observed that out of the 26 patients in higher grade, 22 (84.6%) patients underwent amputation while out of the 38 patients in lower grade, 3 (7.9%) patients underwent amputation. These results were statistically significant (p=0.000) showing high amputation rate in Wagner grade 3 and above.

Six patients had radiographic features suggestive of osteomyelitis and all of them required amputation.

A total of 44 (68.75%) patients had sensory-motor neuropathy on electrophysiological studies, out of which 22 (50%) underwent amputation, while out of the 20 patients with normal conduction studies, 3 (15%) patients underwent amputation. These results were statistically significant (p=0.008), thus suggesting that neuropathy is a risk factor for amputation (Table 2).

Table 2: Association of amputation with peripheral neuropathy.

Electrophysiological Tests	Amputation Yes (%)	Amputation No (%)	Total (%)
Normal study	3 (15.0)	17 (85.0)	20 (100.0)
Sensory motor neuropathy	22 (50.0)	22 (50.0)	44 (100.0)
Total	25 (39.1)	39 (60.9)	64 (100.0)

Peripheral arterial disease was assessed using ABI, which was arbitrarily divided into two groups, one with ABI ≤0.9, and another with ABI >0.9 (Normal). A total of 25 patients (39.1%) had values ≤0.9, out of which 20 patients (80%) underwent amputation while out of the 39 patients (60.9%) with normal ABI, 5 patients (12.8%) underwent amputation. These results were statistically significant (p=0.001) (Table 3).

Table 3: Association of ABI with amputation.

Ankle Brachial Index	Amputation Yes (%)	Amputation No (%)	Total (%)
≤0.9	20 (80)	5 (20)	25 (100)
>0.9	5 (12.8)	34 (87.2)	39 (100)
Total	25 (39.1)	39 (60.9)	64 (100.0)

When these significant predictive factors were analyzed in a multivariate analysis, only Wagner grading system was shown to be strongly associated with amputation (Table 4).

Table 4: Multivariate analysis.

	Unstandardized coefficients B	Unstandardized coefficients Std. error	Standsardized coefficients Beta	Sig.
(Constant)	2.769	0.240		0.00 0
FBS	0.000	0.001	-0.032	0.721
HbA1c	-0.027	0.018	-0.134	0.132
Wagner Grading	-0.238	0.041	-0.712	0.000

a. Dependent variable: amputation

DISCUSSION

Diabetic foot infections are a common and potentially serious problem in persons with diabetes. Despite well- defined risk factors for diabetic foot ulcer development, limited data are available as to which factors predict amputation in a diabetic foot ulcer episode.

In this study, the overall rate of amputation in diabetic patients was 39.1%. Similar high rate of amputation was also reported by Yesil et al (37.1%), Uysal et al (33.2%), Wang et al (29.6%), Jeon et al (48.9%).[6-9] This is likely because most of the patients in this study presented to the department of surgery when infection or ischaemic change had already occurred, and so the overall amputation rate was relatively high. Also the percentage of distal amputation was higher as compared to below knee amputation (40%), which could be due to decreased perfusion distally, thus leading to delay in wound healing, and also increased occurrence of osteomyelitis of phalanges.

Risk factors for amputation in terms of diabetic complications have been investigated intensively and can be used to define the risk assessment models. Risk factors for lower extremity amputation among patients with diabetes include age, sex (male), comorbidities such as hypertension, chronic complications such as vasculopathy, nephropathy, duration of diabetes, sensory neuropathy and HbA1c. However, inconsistent results have been reported and the risk factors have not yet been clarified.

The most important finding in our study was that poor glycemic control had increased risk for amputation. A raised fasting blood sugar level was statistically significant for amputation in the present study. In an Iranian study, Shojaiefard reported that FBS was on the higher side in patients who required amputation as compared to the ones who did not require amputation.Similar results were observed by Pemayun et al in their study conducted in Indonesia.

Few studies have shown that levels of blood glucose over periods of time, as assessed by HbA1C, would be a better predictor for amputation. The level of HbA1c is directly related to the average glucose concentration over the life span of the hemoglobin. In the present study, the strong association of HbA1c with amputation could reflect a greater pathogenic role of chronic hyperglycemia probably via neuropathy, autonomic dysfunction, PAD, and susceptibility to infection. The United Kingdom prospective diabetes study reported that the hazard ratio of death from amputation declines 43% when HbA1c declines by 1%.

It was reported in this study, that Wagner Grade was strongly associated with amputation as compared to other risk factors on multivariate analysis. Oyibo et al reported that the Wagner grade significantly correlated with the risk of amputation.Calhoun et al reported that increased Wagner grade was associated with a higher treatment failure.Ulcers of Wagner grades 4 and 5 denote the presence of local or diffuse gangrene, which are usually due to a combination of ischemia and infection. It is thus not surprising that grade 4 and 5 ulcers were very strongly associated with amputation in our study. In a Turkish cohort, Yesil et al reported that Wagner grade (Wagner grade 4 and 5) was a strong predictor for amputation.

PAD is a manifestation of widespread systemic atherosclerosis, characterized by atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the lower extremities and is one of the macro vascular complications highly prevalent in adult diabetic patients. Various studies reported PAD was an independent risk factor associated with prevalent foot complications in patients with diabetes and an independent factor related to major amputation in neuroischaemic/ischemic ulcers as in this study. Thus, low ABI is a risk factor for amputation as it indicates decreased perfusion to the foot.

Peripheral neuropathy was statistical significant (<0.008) for amputation in the current study and is a major risk factor for amputation. Due to peripheral neuropathy, there is loss of sensation. As a result, neuropathic changes, such as foot deformity, decreased protective sensation and skin fissures, caused by diminished sweating lead to formation of diabetic foot infections, which leads to further damage ultimately leading to gangrene formation. Diabetic neuropathy develops as consequence of chronically elevated blood sugar levels, which cause vascular and metabolic abnormalities.

CONCLUSION

In the results of our analysis, poor glycemic control, peripheral arterial disease,peripheral neuropathy and higher Wagner grade are significant risk factors for amputation in diabetic foot infections. Strict control of diabetes, which is the primary disease, is first of all required for the risk reduction.

REFERENCES

1. Edward J. Boyko, Karin M.Nelson : Prediction of Diabetic Foot Ulcer occurrence using commonly available clinical information. Diabetes care,2006,29:1202-1207.
2. Ilona Statius Muller, J.C. De Grauw, Willem H.E.M. Foot Ulceration and Lower Limb Amputation in Type 2 Diabetic Patients in Dutch Primary Health Care. Diabetes Care 2002; 25:570–574.
3. Lavery LA, van Houtum WH, Armstrong DG, Harkless LB, Ashry HR, Walker SC: Mortality following lower extremity amputation in minorities with diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract; 1997, 37:41–47.
4. Lavery LA, van Houtum WH, Harkless LB: In-hospital mortality and disposition of diabetic amputees in The Netherlands. Diabet Med; 1996, 13:192–197.
5. Martin,Levin,LawrenceW.O Neal : The Diabetic foot. Second Edition, vol-1,130-200.
6. Mayfield JA, Reiber GE, Nelson RG, GreeneT: A foot risk classification system to predict diabetic amputation in Pima Indians. Diabetes Care; 1996, 19:704–709.
7. Nicholas Tentolouris, Andrew J.M.Boulton: Mortality in diabetic and non diabetic patients after amputations performed from 1990 to 1995. Diabetes care2004,27:15981604.
8. Payne CB: Diabetes-related lower-limbamputations in Australia. Med J Aust; 2000 173: 352–354.
9. Pecoraro RE, Reiber GE, Burgess EM: Pathways to diabetic limb amputation: basis for prevention. Diabetes Care; 1990, 13 : 513 – 521
10. Probal K.Moulik, Robert Mtonga, Geffrey V.Gill. Amputation and mortality in new-onset diabetic foot ulcers stratified by etiology. Diabetes care, 2003; 26:491-494.
11. Reiber GE, Pecoraro RE, Koepsell TD: Risk factors for amputation in patients with diabetes mellitus: a case control study. Ann Intern Med; 1992, 117:97–105.
12. Samson O.Oyibo, Hienvu C.Nguyen: A comparison of two diabetic foot ucer classification systems. Diabetes Care.2001,24:84-88.
13. Sarah Wild, Gojka Roglic, Anders Green, Global Prevalence of Diabetes, Estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care: 2004:27,1047–1053.
14. Stone H H, MartinJ D. Synergistic necrotising cellulitis. Ann Surg. 1972; 175; 702 – 711.
15. Todd WF, Armstrong DG, Liswood PJ.Evaluation and treatment of the infected foot in a community teaching hospital. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 1996;86(9):421-6.
16. William H.van Houtan, Nicholas C.schaper. Reduction in diabetes-related lower extremity amputations in Netherlands: 1991-2000. Diabetes care, 2004; 27:10421046.
17. Gemechu FW, Seemant F, Curley CA. Diabetic foot infections. Am Fam Physician. 2013;88(3):177-84.
18. Borrero Pachon MP. Diabetic foot. Rev Enferm. 2006;29(3):8-14.
19. Nouvong A, Armstrong DG. Diabetic Foot Ulcers. Eighth Edi. Vol. 2, Rutherford's Vascular Surgery. Elsevier Inc.; 2014: 1816-35.
20. Martins-Mendes D, Monteiro-Soares M, Boyko EJ, Ribeiro M, Barata P, Lima J, et al. The independent contribution of diabetic foot ulcer on lower extremity amputation and mortality risk. J Diabetes Complications. 2014;28(5):632-8.

21. 5. Monteiro-Soares M, Martins-Mendes D, VazCarneiro A, Sampaio S, Dinis-Ribeiro M. Classification systems for lower extremity amputation prediction in subjects with active diabetic foot ulcer: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2014;30(7):61022.6.

22. Yesil S, Akinci B, Yener S, Bayraktar F, Karabay O, Havitcioglu H, et al. Predictors of amputation in diabetics with foot ulcer: Single center experience in a large Turkish cohort. Hormones. 2009;8(4):28695.

23. Uysal S, Arda B, Taşbakan MI, Çetinkalp Ş, Şimşir IY, Öztürk AM, et al. Risk factors for amputation in patients with diabetic foot infection: A prospective study. Int Wound J. 2017:1-6.

24. Wang DD, Jamjoom RA, Alzahrani AH, Hu FB, Alzahrani HA. Prevalence and Correlates of LowerExtremity Amputation in Patients with Diabetic Foot Ulcer in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Int J Low Extrem Wounds. 2016;15(1):26-33.

25. Jeon BJ, Choi HJ, Kang JS, Tak MS, Park ES. Comparison of five systems of classification of diabetic foot ulcers and predictive factors for amputation. Int Wound J. 2017;14(3):537-45.

26. Shojaiefard A, Khorgami Z, Larijani B, Shojaiefard CTA. Independent risk factors for amputation in diabetic foot. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries. 2008;28(2):32-7.

27. Pemayun TGD, Naibaho RM, Novitasari D, Amin N, Minuljo TT. Risk factors for lower extremity amputation in patients with diabetic foot ulcers: a hospital-based case–control study. Diabet Foot Ankle. 2015;6(1):29629.

28. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HAW, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ. 2000;321(7258):405-12.

29. Oyibo SO, Jude EB, Tarawneh I, Nguyen HC, Harkless LB, Boulton AJMM. A Comparison of Two Diabetic Foot Ulcer Classification Systems: The Wagner and the University of Texas wound classification systems. Diabetes Care. 2001;24(1):84-8.

30. Calhoun JH, Cantrell J, Cobos J, Lacy J, Valdez RR, Hokanson J, et al. Treatment of Diabetic Foot Infections: Wagner Classification, Therapy, and Outcome. Foot Ankle. 1988;9(3):101-6.