

Original Research Article**COMPARATIVE STUDY ON EFFECT OF
COMBINATORIAL IRON-CHELATION AND OXIDATIVE
STRESS ON THE GROWTH OF ASPERGILLUS SPECIES**

¹Dr. Desh Nidhi Singh, ²Dr. Ramesh Yadav, ³Dr. Khutija Sarah,
⁴Dr. Amit Kumar Singh

¹Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre

²Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rajkiya Medical College, Jalaun, Orai, U.P.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre

⁴Assistant Professor, Varun Arjun Medical college and Rohilkhand Hospital, Shahjahanpur, U.P.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Amit Kumar Singh

Abstract

Aspergillus fumigatus has to cope with a combination of several stress types while colonizing the human body. A functional interplay between these different stress responses can increase the chances of survival for this opportunistic human pathogen during the invasion of its host. In this study, we shed light on how the H₂O₂-induced oxidative stress response depends on the iron available to this filamentous fungus, using transcriptomic analysis, proteomic profiles, and growth assays

Introduction

Aspergillus fumigatus is a ubiquitous fungal species, which occurs commonly on decaying organic matter and in soil under a wide variety of conditions [1, 2]. This mould is also known as one of the most important airborne human pathogenic fungi with an outstandingly high mortality rate (50–95%) in immunocompromised patients, who suffer from an invasive *A. fumigatus* infection (referred to as invasive aspergillosis) [3–6]. The reasons for the unique success of *A. fumigatus* as the most important opportunistic human pathogen among phylogenetically closely related aspergilli are largely unknown. Several fungal factors that determine the outcome of infections have been identified [6–10]. These include the rodlet and cell wall melanin layers of conidia [11, 12]; the cell wall exopolysaccharide galactosaminogalactan, which has possible anti-inflammatory effects [13]; the production of mycotoxins such as the immune response modulator gliotoxin [14]; elastinolytic proteases [15]; efficient iron and zinc acquisition systems [16, 17]; acquisition and detoxification of copper [18, 19]; as well as the suitable oxidative stress defense systems to detoxify reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by macrophages and neutrophils [10, 20]. The stress responses of *A. fumigatus* have also been studied intensively in order to identify a potential Achilles' heel of this pathogen. Researchers aimed to elucidate the orchestration of the signaling network regulating the stress response and understanding

the physiological background of the adaptation process [21–29]. Iron starvation and oxidative stress are typical stresses for *A. fumigatus* and also for other microorganisms, which they may encounter in the human host [29]. *A. fumigatus* acquires iron by low affinity iron transporters, the reductive iron assimilation (RIA) system, and siderophore-mediated iron uptake [30]. It is unable to utilize iron directly from human iron-binding proteins like hemoglobin, transferrin, or ferritin [30], however siderophores can chelate iron from host proteins [31]. The significance of the low affinity iron transport has not been studied in details so far [29, 30].

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre. *A. fumigatus* strain Af293 (CBS 101355), received from the CBS-KNAW culture collection, was used throughout this study with exception of the oxidative stress resistance analysis and was maintained on Barrat's minimal nitrate agar plates. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 5 d. Conidia obtained from the 5-days-old cultures were used in these experiments. Modified Barrat's minimal nitrate broths (100 ml in 500 ml flasks) were inoculated with freshly isolated conidia and were incubated at 37 °C and 3.7 Hz shaking frequency. The modified Barrat's minimal nitrate broth differed from the standard Barrat's minimal nitrate medium in the added trace element solution, which did not contain EDTA and iron. This modified form of Barrat's minimal nitrate broth was either supplemented with 30 µM FeCl₃ (+Fe; iron-replete cultures) or used without addition of any iron (-Fe; iron-depleted cultures). Cultures (+Fe and -Fe) were inoculated with 4×10^8 and 8×10^8 conidia and were incubated for 33 h and 50 h, respectively, before harvest. At the two time points, the +Fe and -Fe cultures showed similar residual glucose content as well as similar dry cell mass. Oxidative stress was induced by addition of H₂O₂ (at 3 mM final concentration) to the cultures 1 h before sampling (+H₂O₂ and -H₂O₂ cultures).

Results and Discussion

The iron-chelation tolerance (MIC_{DFP}) varied between 0.9 mM, and 3.6 mM depending on the strain (Table 1). The mean MIC_{DFP} of strains with “large” conidia (conidial diameter >3 µm; MIC_{DFP} $\frac{1}{4}$ 3.2 ± 0.9 mM) was significantly higher than that of the strains with “small” conidia (conidial diameter <3 µm;

MIC_{DFP} 1.3 ± 0.4 mM) (Student's t-test; $p = 0.0015$). When *A. fumigatus* Af293 and *Aspergillus flavus* CBS 128202 were maintained under “iron-limited conditions” (*i.e.*, they formed conidia on DFP containing media), their conidia showed reduced DFP tolerance (Table 2). This is in line with the results of Kang et al. [31] who found that the environment of sporulating *A. fumigatus* cultures determines the properties of the germinating spores including their virulence. Our results can be easily explained by that conidiogenic hyphae help the accumulation of iron in the conidia formed [32] to aid their germination. On DFP containing plates, it was not efficient enough and the smaller iron stores of conidia reduced their success to survive under iron limited conditions. Our data suggest that species with “large”, rather than “small”, conidia may have a better chance of colonizing iron-limited habitats like the human body. However, small conidia have a much better chance of avoiding mucociliary clearance and reaching pulmonary alveoli. Therefore, there must be an optimal range for iron-limitation

stress tolerance depending on conidial size that is the most optimal to colonize the human lung

Table 1
Deferiprone (DFP) tolerance of different *Aspergillus* strains.

Strains	MIC _{DFP} (mM)	Relative growth in the presence of H ₂ O ₂ (%) ^b		Conidium diameter ^c (μm)
		"conidium"	"mycelium"	
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> NCCB IH2624	1.35 ¹	38 ± 5 ¹	45 ± 5 ¹	1.9 ± 0.3 ^d
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> Af293 ¹	1.80	57 ± 5 ¹	94 ± 5	2.2 ± 0.3 ^{de}
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> SZMC3100 ¹	0.90	82 ± 6 ¹	97 ± 3	2.0 ± 0.2 ^{de}
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> SZMC3102 ¹	0.90	88 ± 4 ¹	85 ± 5 ¹	2.0 ± 0.3 ^{de}
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> SZMC3104 ¹	0.90	78 ± 3 ¹	97 ± 4	2.0 ± 0.2 ^{de}
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> F.00056 ¹	1.80	55 ± 6 ¹	64 ± 3 ¹	2.2 ± 0.2 ^{de}
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> F.00948 ¹	1.35	32 ± 8 ¹	48 ± 8 ¹	2.0 ± 0.2 ^{de}
<i>Aspergillus fischeri</i> CBS 544.65	1.35	99 ± 3	93 ± 5	2.6 ± 0.2 ^e
<i>Aspergillus nidulans</i> FGSC A4	1.35	56 ± 8 ¹	80 ± 6 ¹	3.2 ± 0.2 ^f
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> CBS 113.46	3.60	98 ± 2	92 ± 5	3.6 ± 0.3 ^g
<i>Aspergillus brasiliensis</i> CBS 101.740	3.60	85 ± 6 ¹	86 ± 4 ¹	3.8 ± 0.5 ^g
<i>Aspergillus tubingensis</i> CBS 134.48	3.60	85 ± 3 ¹	97 ± 2	3.9 ± 0.4 ^g
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> CBS 128202	3.60	72 ± 3 ¹	98 ± 3	4.0 ± 0.4 ^g
<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> Rib40	3.60	75 ± 4 ¹	91 ± 3	4.8 ± 0.7 ^h

Table 2
Changes in the deferiprone (DFP) tolerance of different *Aspergillus* strains in the presence of H₂O₂.

Species	MIC _{DFP} (mM) ^a						
	H ₂ O ₂ concentration (mM):						
	0	0.63	1.25	2.5	0.63	1.25	2.5
	"Pretreated with H ₂ O ₂ "			"Pretreated with DFP"			
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> NCCB IH2624	1.35	1.35	0.90	0.45	0.90	0.45	0.45
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> Af293	1.80	2.25	2.25	0.90	1.35	0.90	0.45
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> Af293 (DFP) ^b	0.90	1.35	1.35	0.45	0.90	0.45	0.00
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> SZMC3100	0.90	1.35	1.80	1.80	0.90	0.90	0.90
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> SZMC3102	0.90	1.35	1.35	1.35	0.90	0.90	0.45
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> SZMC3104	0.90	1.80	1.80	0.45	0.90	0.90	0.90
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> F.00056	1.35	1.80	1.80	0.45	0.90	0.45	0.45
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> F.00948	1.35	1.35	1.35	0.45	1.35	0.90	0.45
<i>Aspergillus fischeri</i> CBS 544.65	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35
<i>Aspergillus nidulans</i> FGSC A4	1.35	1.80	1.80	1.35	0.90	0.90	0.90
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> CBS 113.46	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60
<i>Aspergillus brasiliensis</i> CBS 101.740	3.60	>3.60	>3.60	3.60	1.35	1.80	1.80
<i>Aspergillus tubingensis</i> CBS 134.48	3.60	>3.60	>3.60	>3.60	1.80	1.80	1.80
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> CBS 128202	3.60	>3.60	>3.60	>3.60	3.60	2.25	1.35
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> CBS 128202 (DFP) ^b	1.80	2.25	2.25	1.35	1.80	1.35	0.90
<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> Rib40	3.60	>3.60	>3.60	>3.60	3.60	2.25	1.35

Importantly, H₂O₂ had no strong growth inhibitory effect even at 2.5 mM final concentration (Table 1). Despite of this observation, the applied H₂O₂ treatment markedly modified the DFP tolerance of the strains (Table 2). The outcome of the experiments highly depended on how the two stressors (DFP and H₂O₂) were combined. If conidia that had already germinated in the presence of DFP were exposed to H₂O₂, the MIC_{DFP} values generally decreased (Table 2). In this case, mycelia suffering from iron (and probably other metal ion [33]) limitation were treated with oxidative stress ("pretreated with DFP"). The decreasing MIC_{DFP} values suggest that even low iron-chelation stress could enhance the growth inhibitory effect of H₂O₂. Oxidative stress sensitivity of iron-limited *A. fumigatus* cultures is a well-documented phenomenon [34]. Our data demonstrate that this phenomenon can be general within the *Aspergillus* genus which concurs well with the strategy of mammalian immune systems combining iron withdrawal with oxidative attack to suppress microbial growth. Moreover, the antifungal effect of iron chelators can be higher *in vivo* where iron-chelation stress may combine with oxidative stress than that based on *in vitro* studies. When H₂O₂ (final concentration 0.65 mM or 1.25 mM) was added together with DFP to the conidia, it surprisingly increased the MIC_{DFP} in most strains (Table 2). In this case, germinating conidia had to cope with oxidative stress relying on their own iron storages, and the negative consequences of iron-

chelation stress was developed only following that (“pretreated with H₂O₂”). This observation suggests that adaptation to oxidative stress can counterbalance the growth inhibitory effect of iron- chelation stress. There are several data in the literature indicating that oxidative stress, depending on its type and strength, can either enhance or reduce iron acquisition [35,36]. The upregulation of iron uptake by oxidative stress could explain our results. This behavior suggests that inhaled conidia surviving the oxidative attack of alveolar macrophages may have better chance to germinate and penetrate into the lung tissue, despite of the iron-limited conditions occurring there. Actually, this may represent an Achilles’ heel of the combined iron withdrawal e oxidative attack strategy of our immune system. However, making the iron-limitation stress stronger by applying iron-chelators as antifungal adjuvants may reduce the risk of the successful invasion of the host. Interestingly, those strains, where the growth inhibitory effect of H₂O₂ on conidia was strong (*Aspergillus terreus* NCCB IH2624, and *A. fumigatus* F.00948) or weak (*Aspergillus fischeri* CBS 544.65, and *Aspergillus niger* CBS 113.46) (Table 1), did not show this phenomenon (Table 2). It raises the possibility that if the oxidative stress tolerance is too weak or too strong, oxidative stress is unable to initiate the appropriate changes in the physiology of germinating conidia to enhance their iron-limitation stress tolerance.

Conclusion

our results suggest that opportunistic human pathogens not necessarily have superior stress tolerance attributes. Any stress tolerance that is within an appropriate range (i.e. neither too strong nor too weak) may support pathogenicity. Our results also confirm the validity of antifungal approaches based on iron- chelating agents.

References

1. Jensen HL. The fungus flora of the soil. *Soil Sci.* 1931;31:123–58.
2. Gugnani HC. Ecology and taxonomy of pathogenic aspergilli. *Front Biosci.* 2003;8:346–57.
3. Maschmeyer G, Haas A, Cornely OA. Invasive aspergillosis: epidemiology, diagnosis and management in immunocompromised patients. *Drugs.* 2007; 67:1567–601.
4. Park SJ, Mehrad B. Innate immunity to *Aspergillus* species. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2009;22:535–51.
5. Balloy V, Chignard M. The innate immune response to *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Microbes Infect.* 2009;11:919–27.
6. Paulussen C, Hallsworth JE, Álvarez-Pérez S, Nierman WC, Hamill PG, Blain D, et al. Ecology of aspergillosis: insights into the pathogenic potency of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and some other *Aspergillus* species. *Microb Biotechnol.* 2016;10:296–322.
7. Tekaiia F, Latgé JP. *Aspergillus fumigatus*: saprophyte or pathogen? *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2005;8:385–92.
8. McDonagh A, Fedorova ND, Crabtree J, Yu Y, Kim S, Chen D, et al. Subtelomere directed gene expression during initiation of invasive aspergillosis. *PLoS Pathog.* 2008;4:e1000154.
9. Kwon-Chung KJ, Sugui JA. *Aspergillus fumigatus* - what makes the species a ubiquitous human fungal pathogen? *PLoS Pathog.* 2013;9:e1003743.
10. Abad A, Fernández-Molina JV, Bikandi J, Ramírez A, Margareto J, Sendino J, et al. What makes *Aspergillus fumigatus* a successful pathogen? *Genes and*

- molecules involved in invasive aspergillosis. *Rev Iberoam Micol.* 2010;27: 155–82.
11. Amanianda V, Bayry J, Bozza S, Kniemeyer O, Perruccio K, Elluru SR, et al. Surface hydrophobin prevents immune recognition of airborne fungal spores. *Nature.* 2009;460:1117–21.
 12. Heinekamp T, Thywißen A, Macheleidt J, Keller S, Valiante V, Brakhage AA. *Aspergillus fumigatus* melanins: interference with the host endocytosis pathway and impact on virulence. *Front Microbiol.* 2013;3:440.
 13. Gresnigt MS, Bozza S, Becker KL, Joosten LA, Abdollahi-Roodsaz S, van der Berg WB, et al. A polysaccharide virulence factor from *Aspergillus fumigatus* elicits anti-inflammatory effects through induction of Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014;10:e1003936.
 14. Scharf DH, Heinekamp T, Remme N, Hortschansky P, Brakhage AA, Hertweck C. Biosynthesis and function of gliotoxin in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Appl Microbiol Biotech.* 2012;93:467–72.
 15. Binder U, Lass-Flörl C. New insights into invasive aspergillosis - from the pathogen to the disease. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2013;19:3679–88.
 16. Haas H. Iron - a key nexus in the virulence of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Front Microbiol.* 2012;3:28.
 17. Amich J, Calera JA. Zinc acquisition: a key aspect in *Aspergillus fumigatus* virulence. *Mycopathologia.* 2014;178:379–85.
 18. Wiemann P, Perevitsky A, Lim FY, Shadkchan Y, Knox BP, Landero Figueora JA, et al. *Aspergillus fumigatus* copper export machinery and reactive oxygen intermediate defense counter host copper-mediated oxidative antimicrobial offense. *Cell Rep.* 2017;19:2174–6.
 19. Cai Z, Du W, Zeng Q, Long N, Dai C, Lu L. Cu-sensing transcription factor Mac1 coordinates with the Ctr transporter family to regulate cu acquisition and virulence in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Fungal Genet Biol.* 2017;107:31–43.
 20. Hillmann F, Bagranyan K, Straßburger M, Heinekamp T, Hong TB, Bzymek KP, et al. The crystal structure of peroxiredoxin asp f3 provides mechanistic insight into oxidative stress resistance and virulence of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:33396.
 21. Hagiwara D, Suzuki S, Kamei K, Gonoï T, Kawamoto S. The role of AtfA and HOG MAPK pathway in stress tolerance in conidia of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Fungal Genet Biol.* 2014;73:138–49.
 22. Altwasser R, Baldin C, Weber J, Guthke R, Kniemeyer O, Brakhage AA, et al. Network modeling reveals cross talk of MAP kinases during adaptation to caspofungin stress in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *PLoS One.* 2015;10:e0136932.
 23. Brandon M, Howard B, Lawrence C, Laubenbacher R. Iron acquisition and oxidative stress response in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *BMC Syst Biol.* 2015;9:19.
 24. Pereira Silva L, Alves de Castro P, Reis TF, Paziani MH, Von Zeska Kress MR, Riaño-Pachón DM, et al. Genome-wide transcriptome analysis of *Aspergillus fumigatus* exposed to osmotic stress reveals regulators of osmotic and cell wall stresses that are SakAHOG1 and MpkC dependent. *Cell Microbiol.* 2016; <https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12681>.
 25. Albrecht D, Guthke R, Brakhage AA, Kniemeyer O. Integrative analysis of the heat shock response in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *BMC Genomics.* 2010;11:32–49.
 26. Fréalle E, Aliouat-Denis CM, Delhaes L, Hot D, Dei-Cas E. Transcriptomic insights into the oxidative response of stress-exposed *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2013;19:3713–37.

29. Kroll K, Pähtz V, Hillmann F, Vaknin Y, Schmidt-Heck W, Roth M, et al. Identification of hypoxia-inducible target genes of *Aspergillus fumigatus* by transcriptome analysis reveals cellular respiration as an important contributor to hypoxic survival. *Eukaryot Cell*. 2014;13:1241–53.
30. Irmer H, Tarazona S, Sasse C, Olbermann P, Loeffler J, Krappmann S, et al. RNAseq analysis of *Aspergillus fumigatus* in blood reveals a just wait and see resting stage behavior. *BMC Genomics*. 2015;16:640.
31. Brown NA, Goldman GH. The contribution of *Aspergillus fumigatus* stress responses to virulence and antifungal resistance. *J Microbiol*. 2016;54:243–53.
32. Schrettl M, Bignell E, Kragl C, Joechl C, Rogers T, Arst HN Jr, et al. Siderophore biosynthesis but not reductive iron assimilation is essential for *Aspergillus fumigatus* virulence. *J Exp Med*. 2004;200:1213–9
33. Kang ES, Celia BN, Bensasson D, Momany M. Sporulation environment drives phenotypic variation in the pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *G3 (Bethesda)* 2021;11:jkab208.
34. Misslinger M, Hortschansky P, Brakhage AA, Haas H. Fungal iron homeostasis with a focus on *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res* 2021;1868:118885
35. Crisponi G, Nurchi VM, Crespo-Alonso M, Sanna G, Zoroddu MA, Alberti G, et al. A speciation study on the perturbing effects of iron chelators on the homeostasis of essential metal ions. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0133050.
36. Brandon M, Howard B, Lawrence C, Laubenbacher R. Iron acquisition and oxidative stress response in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *BMC Syst Biol* 2015;9:19
37. Orosz E, Antal K, Gazdag Z, Szabo Z, Han KH, Yu JH, et al. Transcriptome-based modeling reveals that oxidative stress induces modulation of the AtfA-dependent signaling networks in *Aspergillus nidulans*. *Int J Genomics* 2017;2017:6923849
38. Peralta DR, Adler C, Corbalán NS, Paz García EC, Pomares MF, Vincent PA. Enterobactin as part of the oxidative stress response repertoire. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0157799