

## STUDY OF CONGENITAL MUSCLES AND SKELETON DEFORMITIES EXAMINATION

Dr. K. Thomas Manoj<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Manoj Kumar Bhoomigari<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Shashi Bhushan Gollapalli<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Government Medical College,  
Mancherial, Telangana, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Government Medical College,  
Wanaparthy, Telangana, India

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, PES Institute of Medical Sciences and  
Research, Kuppam, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Corresponding Author:

Dr. Shashi Bhushan Gollapalli

Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, PES Institute of Medical Sciences and  
Research, Kuppam, Andhra Pradesh, India

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** In India congenital malformations have emerged as the third commonest cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality, next only to malnutrition and infections.

**Aim:** To know the frequency of congenital musculoskeletal anomalies in neonates.

**Methods:** The present study was undertaken by collecting the data from the Department of Anatomy, PES institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Kuppam, Andhra Pradesh, India, for a period of one year from October 2021 to October 2022, during which 650 new born neonates were examined which included live births and still births. All birth after 28 completed weeks were examined for congenital musculoskeletal anomalies within 24-48 hours after birth with a written consent from parents/ relatives, opinion of concerned Paediatrician was sought in confirming the diagnosis.

**Results:** Total births during the study period were 650. The total number of cases with musculoskeletal malformations were 25 with an incidence of 2.9/1000 births and the total number of malformations were 25. 80% of cases were born alive and 20% of cases were still born. MSK malformations were more common in male babies (64%) than in female babies (36%). 56% of cases had low birth weight and 44% had normal birth weight (mean birth weight of all neonates = 2.28 kg). 60% of cases attained the term and 40% were

premature babies. Malformations were more common in first and second para(44% each) and declined with increased parity.

**Conclusion:** The current study found a lower incidence of congenital MSK abnormalities than in India and internationally. CTEV incidence was higher than other anomalies in our sample, but lower than other studies. Males had more congenital MSK abnormalities than females. Most newborns with MSK abnormalities were full-term and healthy. Younger moms have more kids with MSK abnormalities, and parity reduces them. Antenatal USG detected most abnormalities. Most patients were from upper-low SES families with poor parental education. Consanguinity seldom caused MSK malformations.

**Keywords:** Musculoskeletal; Congenital anomalies; Pre-natal diagnosis,Ultrasonography; Birth weight; Consanguinity

## **INTRODUCTION:**

Congenital abnormalities have drawn attention since the beginning of time. William Harvey made an effort to investigate the causes of deformities, and his research led him to conclude that teratological abnormalities were the outcome of developmental disorders [1, 2]. Congenital malformations are structural anomalies that are apparent at birth and are caused by improper development [3, 4]. Genetic and environmental factors interact in a complicated way to cause malformations. Most frequent abnormalities are thought to be the result of multifactorial inheritance. When used during intrauterine life, certain teratogens may have an impact on the developing foetus, resulting in persistent postnatal harm, changes in morphology, or changes in function [5]. These agents can include chromosomal problems, radiation, medications like thalidomide, infections like rubella, and dietary inadequacies [6]. Numerous maternal variables, such as maternal age, parity, prenatal sickness, and medications, have been linked to these congenital abnormalities. Mothers of deformed newborns were more likely to have a history of past abortions, concurrent illnesses, or pre-eclampsia during the present pregnancy. When a research on numerous congenital deformities was published in the 1960s using information gathered from many maternity facilities in Mumbai, India, interest in congenital malformations began to grow in that country [7-10].

One of the most often reported neonatal diseases is a flail upper extremity caused by a brachial plexus injury during childbirth or a lower limb weakness caused by myelodysplasia. The condition known as developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) is another one that

frequently affects newborns [11]. Flexion contractures are frequent in newborns, however they typically go away over time. Even though they are less frequent, other diseases including congenital muscle torticollis and congenital knee dislocation have been frequently documented [12]. It is crucial for both pediatricians and orthopaedic surgeons to recognise and classify these diseases. A newborn's future health can be ensured as well as the prevention of later complications with prompt identification and treatment [13]. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the risk variables associated with orthopaedic congenital abnormalities in newborns in our context, as well as to determine the prevalence of these abnormalities and compare our findings to those from earlier surveys [14]. We wanted to make clear the actions that may be taken at the right moment to avoid and treat these abnormalities and, in turn, ensure a safer future. To do this, we sought to analyse these risk factors [15]. It is now much simpler to investigate and identify different congenital defects, intervene, and take the appropriate measures thanks to the development of interventions like ultrasound and amniotic fluid analysis.

## **METHODOLOGY**

**Source of data:** The data for the present study was collected from Department of Anatomy, PES institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Kuppam, Andhra Pradesh, India. The study was done for a period of one year, from October 2021 to October 2022. All births after 28 completed weeks were examined for congenital musculoskeletal anomalies within 24-48 hours after birth with a written consent from parents/relatives. The details of each baby with congenital musculoskeletal anomaly, regarding birth weight, sex, period of gestation and family history including mother's age, antenatal history, parental education, socioeconomic status and parental consanguinity were recorded as per the proforma. After birth babies with musculoskeletal anomalies were examined clinically. Photographs and Radiographs were taken in necessary situations.

## **INCLUSION CRITERIA**

- All births after 28 completed weeks (live birth and stillbirths) with musculoskeletal anomalies were included.
- Babies who have undergone surgery for the correction of musculoskeletal defects during the early neonatal period were included.
- Babies with other system anomalies along with musculoskeletal anomalies were included.

## **EXCLUSION CRITERIA**

- Babies born before 28 completed weeks were excluded from the study.

## RESULTS:

The present study was undertaken by collecting the data which included Live births, Still births (>28 weeks of gestation) during which period 650 newborn neonates were examined. Out of 650 births, 25 cases of congenital musculoskeletal malformations were observed with an incidence of 2.9/1000 births, 16 cases (64%) were male, 9 cases (36%) female. In these 25 cases, a total of 20 malformations were noted with an incidence of 3.2/1000 births.

The distribution of congenital MSK malformation is high among male children with a male to female ratio of 1.31:1. (Table-1)

### Gender wise distribution of musculoskeletal malformations

**TABLE 1: GENDER WISE DISTRIBUTION OF MUSCULOSKELETAL MALFORMATIONS**

Sex of the child	Total No.	%
Male	16	64.00
Female	09	36.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100</b>

**Birth weight of the babies:** Out of 25 cases, significant number of babies (14 babies – 64.00%) were found in the birth weight range of 2,460 gm and above constituting 64.00% (p=0.006). The number of cases increased with increase in the birth weight of babies. Only 2 babies had the birth weight <1000 gm. (Table 2)

**TABLE 2: BIRTH WEIGHT OF CASES**

Weight (grams)	No. of cases	%
<1000	1	4.0
1001-1500	3	12.0
1501-2000	5	20.0
2001-2500	5	20.0
2501 and above	11	44.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100</b>

**Relation of Birth weight to MSK anomalies:** Babies weighing less than 2.5 kg are considered as low birth weight babies. Most of the babies born with congenital MSK malformations had normal birth weight. Out of 25 cases, 14 cases (56%) had low birth weight, weighing less than 2.5

kg. whereas 11 cases (44.00%) weighed more than 2.5 kg. But the mean birth weight of all neonates was 2.28 kg showing relationship between the occurrence of congenital malformations and low birth weight. The mean birth weight of female babies (2.35 kg) was more than male babies (2.22 kg) but the difference was not statistically significant. (Table 3 and 4)

**TABLE 3: MEAN BIRTH WEIGHT OF CASES (N=25)**

Sex	Mean Weight in kg	SD
Male	1.99	0.83
Female	2.70	0.71

P=0.6

**TABLE 4: CONGENITAL MSK CASES IN RELATION TO BIRTH WEIGHT**

Birth weight (kg)	No. of cases	%
<2.5	09	36.00
>2.5	16	64.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100</b>

**Period of gestation:** In our study out of total 25 cases, 15 cases (60.00%) of congenital MSK malformation were seen in full term neonates and 10 cases (40.00%) in preterm babies and the difference was statistically significant ( $p=0.033$ ) (Table-5). When birth weight and gestational age was analysed, it showed 7 cases (28%) of full term and 6 (24%) of preterm neonates were of low birth weight. 3 cases (12%) of pre-term and 9 cases (36%) of term neonates had normal birth weight (Table 6). Out of 25 cases, 8 cases were belonging to first birth order, in that 6 cases (24%) were term neonates and 7 cases (28%) were preterm. There remaining 17 cases were of second birth order and above, of which 9 cases (36%) were term and 3 cases (12%) were preterm (Table 7).

**TABLE 5: PERIOD OF GESTATION (N=25)**

Gestation period	Total No.	%
Pre-term	10	40.00
Term	15	60.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100</b>

P=0.033

**TABLE6:BIRTHWEIGHTV/SGESTATIONALAGE(N=25)**

Birthweight	Pre-term	Term	Total
<2.5kg	7(28%)	6(24%)	13
>2.5kg	3(12%)	9(36%)	12
Total	10	15	25

**TABLE7:ORDEROFBIRTHV/SPERIODOFGESTATION(N=25)**

Order	Pre-term	Term	Total
G1	3(12%)	5(20%)	8
G2andabove	7(28%)	10(40%)	17

**Maternalage:**

Outof25cases,10cases(40%)ofMSKmalformationsweredistributedintheagegroupof21-25years,whereas6cases(24 %)eachweredistributedinthe agegroup of15-20years and26-30years. Only3 cases wereborntoanelderlyprimi(12%). (Table8). Thehighestoccurrenceofcasesweredistributedintheagegroupof21-25years(40 %)andthedifferencewasstatisticallysignificant(P=0.006).

**TABLE8:DISTRIBUTIONOFCASESACCORDINGTOMATERNALAGE**

MaternalAgeGroup(years)	No.ofcases	%
15-20	6	24
21-25	10	40
26-30	6	24
31andabove	3	12
Total	25	100

P=0.006

**Parity:**

Outof25casesweobserved11 cases(44%)eachbelongedtoparaIandII,2cases(8%)toparaIIIand1case(4%)toparaIV. Thedifferen ceinthedistributionofcasesindifferentparitywasstatisticallysignificant(Table 9).

**TABLE 9: DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO PARITY**

Parity	No. of cases	%
P1	11	44
P2	11	44
P3	2	8
P4	1	4
Total	25	100

Chi-square test for goodness of fit,  $P=0.001$

**Socioeconomic status of parents:**

The socioeconomic status of parents of neonates with congenital MSK anomalies was classified according to Prasad's classification, based on per capita per month income. 11 cases (44%) belonged to upper lower class and the difference was statistically significant. Our study is based on a government institution where in patients belonging to poorer section of society attend on a larger scale and form a sizable population visiting the hospital (Table 10).

**TABLE 10: NUMBER OF CASES ACCORDING TO PRASAD'S CLASSIFICATION OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS**

Socio-economic class	No. of cases	%
Upper class	2	8
Upper middle class	3	12
Lower middle class	4	16
Upper lower class	11	44
Lower class	5	20
Total	25	100

$p=0.047$

**Distribution of anomalies in relation with Geographic area:**

In our study 11 cases (44%) belonged to Hyderabad, India. proper, 14 cases (56%) belonged to rural areas within a radius of 30 kms from Hyderabad, India. Thus most of the cases belong to rural population.

**Maternal risk factors:**

Out of 25 cases of congenital MSK anomalies, 13 cases (52%) were associated with various types of risk

kfactorsseenduringpregnancywhereasin12cases(48%)therewasnoriskfactor.However,chromosomalstudycouldnotbedoneduetolackoffacilityinourinstitutionandunaffordabilityofparentsduetohighcost. Altogether, 13 cases (52%) were associated with various risk factors ofwhich,3 cases (12%) were associated witholigohydromnios, 3 cases (12%)withpolyhydromnios,2cases(8%)gaveH/Ofeverduringfirsttrimester and during20weeksof pregnancyandwasonregularinsulinmedication,2cases(8%)withH/Oprevious abortions, 2 case (8%) of PIH patient developed intrapartum eclampsia (Table 11).

**TABLE11:MATERNALRISKFACTORS**

MaternalRiskfactors	No.ofcases	%
Oligohydramnios	3	12
Polyhydromnios	3	12
Eclampsia	2	8
Infections/fever	1	4
Diabetesmellitus	2	8
Previousabortions	2	8
Total	13	52

**Consanguinity:** Out of 25 cases, 6 cases (24%) were born to consanguineous couplesand19 cases(76%)tonon-consanguineouscouples.Seconddegreeconsanguinitymeansmarriagesbetweenuncle-nieceoraunt-nephew.The differencewasstatisticallysignificant (Table 12).

**TABLE 12: RELATION OF CONSANGUINITY TO MSKMALFORMATIONS**

Consanguinity	No.ofcases	%
Consanguineous	6	24
Non-consanguineous	19	76
Total	25	100

Chi-squaretestforgoodnessoffit,p<0.0001



**Percentage of cases among live born and stillborn:** Out of 25 cases, 20 cases (88.2%) were born alive and 5 cases (20%) were stillborn and the difference was statistically significant. ( $p=0.000$ ) (Table 13) Among 2 still births, 2 case (no.22 in MC) had B/L CTEV, hydrocephalus, spina bifida of lumbosacral spine. 1 Case (no.11) had spina bifida, anencephaly, and thoracic meningocele. 1 Case (no.8) had omphalocele with liver, spleen, small and large intestine protruding out of the abdomen associated with Pentalogy of Cantrell and it was a term baby. 1 Case (no.10) had large omphalocele with liver, small and large intestine protruding out of the abdomen and it was also associated with severe shortening of spine and large meningocele. Only one baby was still born at term gestation and others were pre-term babies and these babies had associated

CNS anomalies and in most of the studies it has been documented that babies having CNS anomalies will die at an early gestation period.

**TABLE 13: PERCENTAGE OF CASES AMONG LIVE BORN AND STILL BORN**

Type of birth	No. of cases	%
Live born	20	80
Still born	5	20
Total	25	100

Chi-square test for goodness of fit,  $p < 0.0001$

**Percentage of cases according to type of delivery:** Out of 25 cases, 16 cases (64%) were born by normal vaginal delivery, whereas 9 cases (36%) were extracted by LSCS. The difference was not statistically significant (Table-14).

**TABLE 14: PERCENTAGE OF CASES ACCORDING TO TYPE OF DELIVERY**

Type of delivery	No. of cases	%
Vaginal	16	64
LSCS	09	36
TOTAL	25	100

Chi-square test for goodness of fit,  $p = 0.5$

**Cases detected by ultrasonography:** Out of 25 cases 15 cases (60%) of musculoskeletal malformations were detected by ultrasonography. Rest of the 10 cases (40%) were not detected by ultrasonography (Table 15) the difference was not significant statistically. All 25 cases had antenatal screening for anomalies at least once but in most of the cases anomalies were

not detected.

**TABLE 15: NUMBER OF MSK ANOMALIES DETECTED BY ULTRASONOGRAPHY**

Anomalies detected by ultrasound	No. of cases	%
Yes	15	60
No	10	40
Total	25	100

### **DISCUSSION:**

Congenital abnormalities have become a significant contributor to prenatal mortality in developed nations due to improved infection and nutritional deficiency illness control, and they will likely soon play a significant role in perinatal mortality in India. The current study found that there are 4.4/1000, or 0.44%, musculoskeletal abnormalities overall. In our analysis, the most prevalent abnormalities, accounting for 22.4% of cases, were musculoskeletal. According to several workers, defects of the musculoskeletal system are the most common anomalies in the majority of India. The incidence in various studies can differ based on the population sampled, the choice of research material, the clinical judgement of the treating physician, and the accessibility of lab assistance [16]. Talipes and polydactyly were the most frequent malformations of this system that were found in the current investigation (8cases). According to Stevenson et al., the incidence of talipes varied from 3.42 per 1000 people in Kolkata to 10.95 per 1000 people in Panama City in a global research sponsored by the WHO [17]. This variance may have occurred primarily because in some institutions, any foot malposition was labelled as a talipes. Perhaps because they are outwardly evident and hence easily recognised at birth, musculoskeletal anomalies rank near the top of most series' lists of malformations. Sometimes they could be overdiagnosed and merely be foetal postural restrictions [18]. The prevalence of deformed newborns did not appear to be influenced by the mother's age. Numerous other researchers have reported having similar results. Additionally, it was found that the prevalence of congenital abnormalities is mostly unaffected by better maternal care and rising levels of life.

Similar to what Parmar et al. reported, there is a higher incidence of abnormalities in the primipara group as compared to multipara. However, a number of studies have identified a

greater frequency of multipara, with Naoom et al. reporting 76.7% and Jehangir et al. reporting 88.89%, respectively, whereas Anand et al. found no significant correlation. We discovered a strong relationship between congenital anomalies and maternal age. Taksande et al. reported similar outcomes. Other research found no association between maternal age and congenital abnormalities. Foot abnormalities made up a significant portion of all deformities (43%) with the highest CTEV frequency of 5.62/1000 [19]. In contrast to the 0.66/1000 calcaneovalgus in our study, Chotigavanichaya et al. reported CTEV as 4/1000, polydactyly as 2.6/1000, and calcaneovalgus as 60/1000 live infants. They also reported 7.6/1000 live newborns having metatarsus adductus. In their investigation, Widhe found that 3.1% of people had adductus, and 0.5% had calcaneovalgus. 4.78 per 1000 people had a hand deformity, with poly- and syndactyly being the most common types. In 0.19/1000 cases, a radial club hand was observed. Upper limb abnormalities were reported to occur 1 in 506 times by Giele et al. and 21.5 times per 10,000 live births by Ekblom et al. Anencephaly (0.19/1000 live births), spina bifida (0.11/1000 live births), and encephalocele (0.07/1000 live births), which are far less common than those in our study [17, 19], are the most prevalent neural tube abnormalities (NTDs) according to the Malaysian registry. But Bhide et al.'s review, which reported an overall birth prevalence of 4.1/1000 and a live birth prevalence of NTDs at 1.3/1000 births, was quite similar to our findings.

Birth-related trauma is not unusual. The incidence may rise as a result of the use of forceps or caesarean sections. Although the clavicle has been identified as the bone that fractures most frequently during birth, other bones, including the femur, humerus, and ribs, have also been implicated. 73 occurrences of clavicular fracture were found by Ozdener et al., with a prevalence of 0.75 percent (73/9700). A number of humerus diaphyseal fractures have been documented by Husain et al., whereas six neonatal distal humeral epiphysis separations have been documented by Jacobsen et al. With a combined frequency of 1.12/1000, we discovered three occurrences of humeral and femoral fractures, as well as one clavicle fracture. Our study's incidence of Erb's palsy matches Jaggat et al., perfectly. In their study, Ouzounian et al. reported a 3/1000 incidence rate. There are between 1.5 and 2.5 cases of DDH recorded per 1000 live births. In contrast, we discovered substantially fewer DDH in our study. Our study's primary drawback is that it was conducted exclusively in hospitals, meaning that the results might not be generalizable to other populations [20]. Additionally, because OPD patients were not included in the study, the study group, which consisted primarily of neonatal unit patients, may have been biased. The most crucial step in lowering

the prevalence of congenital abnormalities, which are a significant source of illness and mortality in newborns, is raising awareness among parents and medical professionals. Prenatal screening should be a standard practise for all patients as part of preventive efforts, as well as genetic counselling for the parents.

## **CONCLUSION**

As contrast to India and other Asian countries, our current research showed a decreased incidence of congenital MSK abnormalities. In our population, CTEV incidence was high than some other anomalies although less than in other research. Congenital MSK anomalies were more common in males than females. The majority of neonates with MSK anomalies were healthy full-term babies. Parity decreases MSK abnormalities, which are more common in children of younger mothers. Most problems were found during antenatal USG. The majority of patients came from lower-middle class backgrounds with uneducated parents. It is uncommon for MSK abnormalities to be congenital.

## **Conflict of Interest**

None

## **Funding**

None

## **REFERENCES**

1. Muga RO, Mumah SCJ, Juma PA. Congenital malformations among newborns in Kenya. *AJFAND* 2009;9(3):814-29.
2. Butler NR. The Classification and registration of bone dysplasias. *Postgrad Medical J* 1977;53:427-8.
3. Ventura CS. Congenital malformations: a historical perspective in a
4. Mediterranean community. *Malta Medical Journal* March 2007;19(1):52-55.
5. Strach EH. Clubfoot through the Centuries. *Prog Pediatr Surg*.1986; 20:215-37.
6. Sadler TW. Birth defects and pre-natal diagnosis. *Langman's text book of embryology*, 10th ed. USA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2006. pp. 111-22.
7. Maudlin J. Prenatal Diagnosis and Fetal Therapy - What lies in Future?.*Indian J Pediatr* 2000;67 (12):899.
8. Roderick I, Macpherson, Pai GS. Evaluation of newborns with skeletal dysplasias. *Indian J Paediatr* 2000;67 (12):907-13.
9. Simpkins M, Lowe A. Congenital Abnormalities in the African Newborn. *Arch Dis Med* 1968;22:404-6.

10. Wynne Davies R. Family studies and the cause of Congenital Club foot: Talipes Equinovarus, Talipes Calcaneo-valgus and Metatarsus Varus. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* August 1964;46(3):445-63.
11. Van Meerdervoort HFP. Congenital Musculoskeletal Malformation in South African Blacks. *S Afr Med J* 1976;50:1853-5.
12. Singh M, Sharma NK. Spectrum of congenital malformations in the newborn. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics* 1980;47(3):239-44.
13. Singh M, Jawadi MH, Arya S, Fatima. Congenital malformations at birth among live born infants in Afghanistan, A Prospective study. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics* 1982;49(3):331-5.
14. Chinara PK, Singh S. East West differentials in Congenital malformations in India. *Indian J Pediatr* 1982;49:325-9.
15. Schoenwolf GC, Bleyl SB, Brauer PR, Francis-West PH. Development of the musculoskeletal system. *Larsen's Human Embryology*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier; 2009. pp. 217-21.
16. Bahadur RA, Bhat BV. Congenital musculoskeletal malformations in neonates. *J Indian Med Assoc* 1989;87(2):27-9.
17. Choudhury AR, Mukherjee M, Sharma A, Talukder G, Ghosh PK. Study of 1,26,166 Consecutive Births for Major Congenital Defects. *Indian J Pediatr* 1989;56:493-9.
18. Boo NY, Ong LC. Congenital talipes in Malaysian neonates: Incidence, pattern and associated factors. *Singapore Med J* Dec 1990;31(6):539-42.
19. Walter RS. Ultrasound screening of high-risk infants. A method to increase early detection of congenital dysplasia of the hip. *Am J Dis Child*. 1992 Feb; 146(2):230-4.
20. Loder RT, Guiboux JP. Musculoskeletal involvement in children with Gastroschisis and Omphalocele. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery* 1993 Apr; 28(4):584-90.