

## Morphologic Features of Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphomas Using Dermoscopy and High Frequency Ultrasound

Subha Shirraj Mahajan<sup>1</sup>, Girish Dnyandeo Narkhede<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of DVL, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of DVL, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India.

Received Date: 11/11/2022

Acceptance Date: 08/01/2023

### Abstract

**Background:** The diagnosis of cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL) is frequently delayed by a median of three years and requires the clinical evaluation of an experienced dermatologist and a confirmatory skin biopsy. Dermoscopy and high-frequency ultrasound (HFUS) represent two non-invasive diagnostic tools. While dermoscopy is inexpensive and widely used for the diagnosis of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancers, HFUS of skin lymphomas represents a novel diagnostic approach that is not yet implemented in the routine dermatologic practice. **Aim & Objective:** To prospectively assess skin lesions of patients with either CTCL patches or plaques with dermoscopy and HFUS and to compare the findings with atopic dermatitis (AD) and psoriasis. **Methods:** Prospective Study, **Study setting:** Dermatology department of Tertiary care center. **Study population:** The study population included all patients with diagnosis of either CTCL, psoriasis, or AD admitted at a tertiary care center. **Sample size:** 13 **Results:** A total of 13 patients (2 female and 11 males) were included in this study. Six of the 13 patients had CTCL, 5 psoriasis, and 2 AD. The stages of the CTCL patients were as follows: three patients with stage IB (patch only; two classic MF, one folliculotropic MF), one patient with stage IB (patch and plaque), and two patients with Sézary Syndrome (with well-defined patchy skin lesions). Skin types were assessed for every patient. The most common skin type screened was Type II (7/13), followed by Type I (2/13), Type III (2/13), and Type IV (2/13). Images were taken from the following body regions: arms (3/13), legs (5/13), and abdomen/flank (5/13). **Conclusions:** most common in males, majority of cases with CTCL, most common skin type screened was Type II (7/13)

**Keywords:** cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; mycosis fungoides; dermoscopy; high frequency ultrasound; validation; atopic dermatitis; psoriasis

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Girish Dnyandeo Narkhede, Associate Professor, Department of DVL, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India.

**Email:** [patilsubha23@gmail.com](mailto:patilsubha23@gmail.com)

### Introduction

Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL) represent a heterogeneous group of Non-Hodgkin lymphomas that are characterized by neoplastic T lymphocytes infiltrating the skin. Primary CTCL show no evidence of extra-cutaneous manifestation at diagnosis, with Mycosis fungoides (MF) being the most prominent representative [1]. The estimated annual incidence of CTCL is 10.2 per million persons, with an increased incidence in the fifth decade of life [2]. Clinically, MF is characterized by the presence of red scaly patches or plaques, predominantly on sun-protected areas of the body [3].

In later disease stages, patients may develop thick infiltrated plaques, tumours, or leukemic disease with lymph node and visceral involvement [3,4]. Due to its variable clinical presentation, differentiation from inflammatory skin diseases can be challenging. Therefore, MF has been described as one of the great dermatological imitators [5], requiring experienced clinicians and a sophisticated interplay between clinical evaluation, histopathology, and molecular biology to establish the correct diagnosis [6].

Recent prospectively acquired data show that MF is associated with a diagnostic delay between first symptom development and final diagnosis of three years [6]. In many cases, more than one biopsy and several consultations are needed to differentiate the disease from other benign inflammatory conditions [6]. Over the last years dermatologists have been seeking alternative diagnostic tools to facilitate the diagnosis of CTCL [7,8].

Dermoscopy is an inexpensive, non-invasive method that is commonly used for the assessment of melanocytic and non-melanocytic skin neoplasms [9]. Its application has been broadened to inflammatory skin diseases and recently to aid the diagnosis of CTCL [7,10]. High-frequency ultrasonography (HFUS) represents another non-invasive method used to assess skin neoplasms. Preliminary results support its applicability in the diagnosis of MF [11,12].

### **Material and Methods**

**Study design:** Prospective Study, **Study setting:** Dermatology department of Tertiary care center. **Study population:** The study population included all patients with diagnosis of either CTCL, psoriasis, or AD admitted at a tertiary care center.

**Sample size:** 13

#### **Inclusion criteria:**

**1. All cases with definitive diagnosis of either CTCL, psoriasis, or AD**

#### **Exclusion criteria:**

- 1. Not willing to participate**
- 2. Loss to follow up**
- 3. Incomplete proforma**

### **Study procedure:**

#### **Dermoscopy:**

Dermoscopic images were taken of a representative skin lesion, using a DermLite DL4<sup>®</sup> (3Gen Inc., San Juan Capistrano, CA, USA) with 10-fold magnification. The images were stored digitally, and the following criteria were analyzed: vascular pattern (fine short linear vessels, dotted vessels, spermatozoa-like structures, pseudopod-like vessels, arborizing vessels, polymorphous vascular pattern), background color (light red background, dull red background), scales (white scale, yellow scale), structureless patches, orange-yellowish patchy areas, crystalline structures, yellow ulceration, perifollicular accentuation, and comedo openings. We further compared our results with data retrieved from previously published studies, identified through a literature search.<sup>[10,13,14]</sup>

#### **High-Frequency Ultrasound:**

Skin ultrasonography was performed in vivo from the index lesion using a Vevo MD<sup>®</sup> (FUJIFILM VisualSonics, Toronto, ON, Canada) high-frequency ultrasound system. Cross-sectional skin images (B-mode) were obtained using a 70 MHz transducer with a central wavelength of 50 MHz (UHF70, FUJIFILM VisualSonics, Toronto, ON, Canada). To allow acoustic coupling, a conventional ultrasound gel was used to create a thin film and the axis of the transducer was placed perpendicular to the skin surface.

The following parameters were measured in  $\mu\text{m}$ : epidermal thickness, thickness of the

subepidermal low echogenic band (SLEB), dermal thickness. The epidermal thickness was measured from the epidermal entrance echo to the upper border of the SLEB. The SLEB was defined as a clearly visual low-echogenic band directly below the epidermis and was measured from the lower border of the epidermis to the upper dermal border.

The dermal thickness was measured from the lower border of the epidermis or if present from the lower border of the SLEB to the interface of the dermis and hypodermis. Per patient, five measurements were taken for each parameter and the mean thickness was calculated. The SLEB was further graded as follows: grade 0, no visible SLEB; grade 1, subepidermal echolucent spots; grade 2 subepidermal echolucent patches; grade 3, continuous well-defined SLEB [15]. Additional morphologic parameters were documented for every analyzed image: evenness of the epidermis (even/uneven) and SLEB (even/uneven) and presence of dermal hypoechogenic foci.

### Statistics:

All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 26 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous data are expressed as mean standard deviation (SD) or median (range), as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney test and categorical data were compared using the Fisher's exact test. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for dermoscopy features to distinguish patch stage CTCL from AD. A  $p$ -value  $< 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## Results

### Demographic Data:

A total of 13 patients (2 female and 11 males) were included in this study. Six of the 13 patients had CTCL, 5 psoriasis, and 2 AD. The stages of the CTCL patients were as follows: three patients with stage IB (patch only; two classic MF, one folliculotropic MF), one patient with stage IB (patch and plaque), and two patients with Sézary Syndrome (with well-defined patchy skin lesions). Skin types were assessed for every patient. The most common skin type screened was Type II (7/13), followed by Type I (2/13), Type III (2/13), and Type IV (2/13). Images were taken from the following body regions: arms (3/13), legs (5/13), and abdomen/flank (5/13).

### Dermoscopy:

The dermoscopy features of all 13 patients were evaluated. Table 1 summarizes the dermoscopy findings of the CTCL patients including results from two previously published studies.<sup>[10,13]</sup> CTCL patches, CTCL plaques, and folliculotropic MF images were analyzed separately. Table 2 represents a pooled data analysis of observed features of our patient cohort (CTCL, AD, and Psoriasis) along with data retrieved from three studies.<sup>[10,13,14]</sup> and compares the dermoscopy findings of CTCL patches with AD lesions and CTCL plaques with psoriasis plaques.

Commonly observed vascular patterns of CTCL patches include the presence of fine short linear vessels, dotted vessels and spermatozoa-like structures (Figure 1A–I and Table 1). The presence of spermatozoa-like structures represents a highly specific dermoscopy feature for patch stage CTCL, compared to AD where none of the patients exhibited this feature ( $p < 0.001$ ): The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV to differentiate patch stage CTCL from AD were 52.4%, 100%, 100%, and 64.9%, respectively.

Fine short linear vessels were observed in the majority of CTCL patches but only in one AD case ( $p < 0.001$ ): The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV to differentiate patch stage CTCL from AD were 88.1%, 97.3%, 97.4%, and 87.8%, respectively. Dotted vessels, white

scales and yellow scales were more common in AD.

To distinguish AD from CTCL the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV for dotted vessels were 86.5%, 50.0%, 60.4%, and 80.8%, respectively. For white scales, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were 67.6%, 71.4%, 67.6%, and 71.4%, respectively, and for yellow scales the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were 56.8%, 100%, 100%, and 72.4%, respectively.

The dermoscopy characteristics of CTCL plaques were assessed in two patients (one own patient and one patient published by Ghahramani et al. [13]). Both CTCL plaques showed dotted vessels on a dull red background with white scale (Tables 1 and 2). dotted vessels were also frequently found in psoriatic plaques, while none of the psoriatic patients had spermatozoa-like structures.

**Table 1: Dermoscopy features of cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL).**

Dermoscopic Features		CTCL-Patch			CTCL-Plaque		Folliculotropic MF	
		Own Cases	Ghahramani <sup>[13]</sup>	Lallas et al. <sup>[10]</sup>	Own Cases	Ghahramani et al. <sup>[13]</sup>	Own Cases	Ghahramani et al. <sup>[13]</sup>
Vascular patterns	Fine short linear vessels	2/4(50%)	5/6 (83.3%)	30/32 (93.8%)	0/1	0/1	1/1(100%)	2/5 (40%)
	Dotted vessels	2/4 (50%)	1/6(16.7%)	18/32(56.3%)	1/1(100%)	1/1(100%)	1/1(100%)	2/5 (40%)
	Spermatozoa-like structures	2/4(50%)	4/6(66.7%)	16/32(50.0%)	0/1	0/1	0/1	1/5(20%)
	Pseudopod-like vessels	0/4	0/6	-	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/5
	Arborizing vessels	0/4	0/6	-	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/5
	Polymorphous vascular pattern	0/4	0/6	-	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/5
Background	Light red background	3/4 (75%)	1/6(16.7%)	-	0/1	0/1	0/1	1/5(20%)
	Dull red background	1/4(25%)	3/6(50%)	-	1/1(100%)	1/1(100%)	0/1	4/5(80%)
scale	White scale	3/4(75%)	3/6(50%)	6/32(18.8%)	1/1(100%)	1/1(100%)	0/1	4/5(80%)
	Yellow scale	0/4	0/6	0/32	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/5
	Structureless Patches	2/4(50%)	6/6(100%)	-	0/1	1/1(100%)	0/1	3/5(60%)


<b>Other features</b>	Orange-yellowish patchy areas	0/4	0/6	29/32(90.6%)	0/1	1/1(100%)	0/1	0/5
-----------------------	-------------------------------	-----	-----	--------------	-----	-----------	-----	-----

Crystalline structures		(33.3%)					
Yellow ulceration	0/4	0/6	-	0/1	0/1	0/1	1/5(20%)
Perifollicular accentuation	0/4	0/6	-	0/1	0/1	1/1(100%)	5/5(100%)
Comedo openings	0/4	0/6	-	0/1	0/1	1/1(100%)	3/5(60%)

**Table 2: A comparison of dermoscopy features seen in CTCL and atopic dermatitis (AD) and psoriasis patients.**

<b>Dermoscopy Features</b>	<b>CTCL-Patch (n = 42)</b>	<b>AD (n = 37)</b>	<b>p-Value</b>	<b>CTCL-Plaque (n = 2)</b>	<b>Psoriasis (n = 88)</b>	<b>p-Value</b>
Fine short linear vessele	37/42	1/37	<0.001	0/2	2/88	1.0
Dotted vessels	21/42	32/37	0.001	2/2	88/88	n.a.
Spermatozoa-like structures	22/42	0/37	<0.001	0/2	0/5 *	n.a.
Pseudopod-like vessels	0/42	0/37	n.a.	0/2	0/5 *	n.a.
Arborizing vessels	0/42	0/37	n.a.	0/2	0/5 *	n.a.
Polymorphous vascular patterns	0/42	0/37	n.a.	0/2	0/5 *	n.a.
Light red background	4/10*	2/2*	0.46	0/2	37/88	0.51
Dull red background	4/10*	0/2*	0.52	2/2	51/88	0.51
White scale	12/42	25/37	0.001	2/2	65/88	1.0
Yellow scale	0/42	21/37	<0.001	0/2	3/88	1.0


The table represents pooled data from our own cases and data retrieved from the literature.<sup>[10,13,14]\*</sup> Dermoscopic features were only assessed in our own patients and not assessed in previously published cases.



**Figure 1: (A–I): Vascular patterns. Dermoscopic features of vascular patterns. (A–C): clinical, dermoscopy and schematic image of dotted vessels. (D–F): clinical, dermoscopy and schematic image of fine short linear vessels. (G–I): clinical, dermoscopy and schematic image of spermatozoa-like structures.**

#### High-Frequency Ultrasound:

The ultrasound measurements of 12 patients were analyzed (HFUS images could not be acquired in one patient due to technical difficulties on the day of the examination). Figure 2A, B shows an HFUS scan of a CTCL patient and a schematic representation of the skin in HFUS. All skin measurements are summarized in Table 3. The measurements of the mean epidermal-, SLEB-, and dermal thickness were compared within the three patient groups (CTCL, AD, and psoriasis). The mean epidermal thickness for CTCL patch lesions was 271 124  $\mu\text{m}$ , SLEB was observed in all CTCL patients, with grade 2 seen in (16.7%) and grade 3 in (83.3%). The mean SLEB thickness was 193 78  $\mu\text{m}$  and the mean dermal thickness was 1847 460. Patients with CTCL and psoriasis tended to have an increased epidermal thickness compared to AD, although this was not significant in our limited sample size (Figure 3A–C). Patients with psoriasis had a slightly increased dermal thickness (1900  $\pm$  897) compared with the CTCL patients (Figure 3C).




**Figure 2: (A, B): Assessment of CTCL using HFUS. (A): High-frequency ultrasound image obtained in a CTCL patient depicting the epidermis (b), SLEB (c), papillary dermis (d), reticular dermis (e) and hypodermis/subcutis (f). (B): Schematic**

representation of the skin in high-frequency ultrasound images. Abbreviations: CTCL, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; HFUS, high-frequency ultrasound;  $\mu\text{m}$ , micrometer; SLEB, subepidermal lower echogenic band.

**Table 3: High frequency ultrasound measurements.**

Skin Thickness ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	CTCL-Patch ( $n = 5$ )	CTCL-Plaque ( $n = 1$ )	AD ( $n = 2$ )	Psoriasis ( $n = 4$ )	$p$ -Value
Epidermis	271 $\pm$ 124	322	154 $\pm$ 32	215 $\pm$ 51	0.381
SLEB	193 $\pm$ 78	274	161 $\pm$ 55	168 $\pm$ 39	0.571
Dermis	1847 $\pm$ 460	1265	1663 $\pm$ 276	1900 $\pm$ 897	0.571
SLEB-Grade	3(2-3)	3	2(2)	3(2-3)	na

Mean standard deviation of epidermal-, SLEB- and dermal thickness in CTCL-patch, CTCL plaque, atopic dermatitis and psoriasis as well as median (range) SLEB grade. Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CTCL, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma;  $\mu\text{m}$ , micrometer; SLEB, subepidermal lower echogenic band.



**Figure 3: (A–C): Epidermal-, SLEB-, and Dermal thickness in CTCL, AD and Psoriasis. (A): Epidermal thickness in  $\mu\text{m}$  measured from the epidermal entrance echo to the upper border of the SLEB (average of 5 measurements per patient); (B): SLEB thickness in  $\mu\text{m}$  measured from the lower border of the epidermis to the upper dermal border (average of 5 measurements per patient); (C): dermal thickness in  $\mu\text{m}$  measured from the lower border of the epidermis or if present from the lower border of the SLEB to the interface of the dermis and hypodermis (average of 5 measurements per patient). Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CTCL, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma;  $\mu\text{m}$ , micrometer; SLEB, subepidermal lower echogenic band.**

Nine of the 12 patients (75%) had an uneven epidermis and all patients exhibited an uneven SLEB. Dermal hypoechogenic foci were observed in seven patients.

## Discussion

The diagnosis of CTCL is frequently delayed by three years.<sup>[16]</sup> Diagnosis is currently based on the clinical assessment and histopathologic evaluation of experienced dermatologists and pathologists.<sup>[16]</sup> Both dermoscopy and HFUS represent non-invasive diagnostic tools. While a hand-held dermatoscope is rather inexpensive and regularly used by dermatologists in all levels of training, HFUS of cutaneous lesions is currently not widely implemented in the dermatologic routine examination. Our study demonstrates the usefulness of additional diagnostic tools in the clinical setting and to facilitate the differentiation between CTCL lesions and inflammatory dermatosis.

## Dermoscopy

Our observed dermoscopy features support the findings of previous studies,<sup>[10,13,17]</sup> indicating that certain vascular patterns are specific for early-stage MF patches. A combination of the two dermoscopy features—spermatozoa-like structures and white scale—could help differentiate an MF patch lesion from AD. Interestingly, our study and the cases published by Ghahramani et al.<sup>[13]</sup> did not observe the presence of orange-yellowish patchy areas, another feature that was previously described for patch stage CTCL.<sup>[10]</sup> Apart from the findings in classic CTCL patches, perifollicular accentuation, and comedo openings were clearly visible in folliculotropic MF patients. The implementation of dermoscopy in suspicious lesions may aid in the reduction of the diagnostic delay, but further large-scale studies will be needed to confirm the present results.

## HFUS:

Early reports of ultrasound in CTCL date back to the late 1990s. However, until recently its clinical utility was limited by low-frequency transducers lacking adequate resolution.<sup>[8,11,12,18,19]</sup>

A recently published Chinese study aimed to describe morphologic features of CTCL patch lesions using both 20 MHz and 50 MHz transducers. The authors described morphologic features, including the evenness of the epidermis and the presence of internal echoes and echogenic foci.<sup>[12]</sup> Mandava et al. published a retrospective multicenter study evaluating the ultrasound features of both cutaneous B-cell lymphoma and T-cell lymphoma patients, including data from four dedicated centers in India, Chile, Italy, and Spain.<sup>[11]</sup> While the authors found that CTCL plaques show irregular hypoechoic infiltrates, they concluded that the observed features are not exclusive for CTCL. This is in accordance with our study, where we found that morphologic features such as the evenness of the epidermis and the presence of internal echoes are too unspecific to distinguish CTCL-patch lesions from AD or CTCL plaques from psoriasis.

Another way of evaluating ultrasound images in CTCL is by measuring the thickness of the skin. Polanska et al. studied the treatment response of three MF patients using an HFUS transducer of 20 MHz. They found that SLEB thickness decreased during phototherapy. The authors concluded that routine measurements of the SLEB thickness could serve as a good complementary assessment tool to monitor treatment response.<sup>[8]</sup>

In our current study, we used an ultra-high frequency transducer with a maximum frequency of 70 MHz. We measured the epidermal-, SLEB-, and dermal thickness and compared CTCL patients with AD and psoriasis, indicating increased epidermal thickness in CTCL and psoriasis compared with AD; however, our data are limited by the small sample size and warrant further large-scale studies from multiple participating sites to evaluate the clinical and diagnostic implication of skin ultrasound in CTCL.

## References

1. Willemze, R.; Jaffe, E.S.; Burg, G.; Cerroni, L.; Berti, E.; Swerdlow, S.H.; Ralfkiaer, E.; Chimenti, S.; Diaz-Perez, J.L.; Duncan, L.M.; et al. Faculty Opinions recommendation of WHO-EORTC classification for cutaneous lymphomas. *Blood* **2005**, *105*, 3768–3785.
2. Korgavkar, K.; Xiong, M.; Weinstock, M. Changing Incidence Trends of Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma. *JAMA Dermatol.* **2013**, *149*, 1295–1299.
3. Wilcox, R.A. Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: 2016 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *Am. J. Hematol.* **2016**, *91*, 151–165.
4. Wohlmuth-Wieser, I.; Wang, C.; Alberti-Violetti, S.; Lyons, G.; Tran, C.; Talpur, R.; Duvic, M. Clinical characteristics, risk factors and long-term outcome of 114 patients with folliculotropic mycosis fungoides. *Arch. Dermatol. Res.* **2017**, *309*, 453–459.



5. Nashan, D.; Faulhaber, D.; Ständer, S.; Luger, T.; Stadler, R. Mycosis fungoides: A dermatological masquerader. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2006**, 156, 1–10.
6. Scarisbrick, J.J.; Quaglino, P.; Prince, H.; Papadavid, E.; Hodak, E.; Bagot, M.; Servitje, O.; Berti, E.; Ortiz-Romero, P.; Stadler, R.; et al. The PROCLIFI international registry of early-stage mycosis fungoides identifies substantial diagnostic delay in most patients. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2019**, 181, 350–357.
7. Bombonato, C.; Pampena, R.; Lallas, A.; Pellacani, G.; Longo, C. Dermoscopy of Lymphomas and Pseudolymphomas. *Dermatol. Clin.* **2018**, 36, 377–388
8. Polan´ ska, A.; Dan´ czak-Pazdrowska, A.; Olek-Hrab, K.; Osmola-Man´ kowska, A.; Bowszyc-Dmochowska, M.; Z´ aba, R.; Adamski, Z. High-frequency ultrasonography—New non-invasive method in assessment of skin lymphomas. *Skin Res. Technol.* **2018**, 24, 517–521.
9. Kittler, H.; Pehamberger, H.; Wolff, K.; Binder, M. Diagnostic accuracy of dermoscopy. *Lancet Oncol.* **2002**, 3, 159–165.
10. Lallas, A.; Apalla, Z.; Lefaki, I.; Tzellos, T.; Karatolias, A.; Sotiriou, E.; Lazaridou, E.; Ioannides, D.; Zalaudek, I.; Argenziano, G. Dermoscopy of early stage mycosis fungoides. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* **2012**, 27, 617–621.
11. Mandava, A.; Koppula, V.; Wortsman, X.; Catalano, O.; Alfageme, F. The clinical value of imaging in primary cutaneous lymphomas: Role of high resolution ultrasound and PET-CT. *Br. J. Radiol.* **2019**, 92, 20180904.
12. Wang, Y.; Niu, Z.; Liu, J.; Zhu, Q.; Liu, Z.; Liu, Y.; Jin, H. Value of High-Frequency Ultrasound in Accurate Staging of Mycosis Fungoides/Sézary Syndrome. *J. Ultrasound Med.* **2020**, 39, 1927–1937.
13. Ghahramani, G.K.; Goetz, K.E.; Liu, V. Dermoscopic characterization of cutaneous lymphomas: A pilot survey. *Int. J. Dermatol.* **2018**, 57, 339–343.
14. Lallas, A.; Kyrgidis, A.; Tzellos, T.; Apalla, Z.; Karakyriou, E.; Karatolias, A.; Lefaki, I.; Sotiriou, E.; Ioannides, D.; Argenziano, G.; et al. Accuracy of dermoscopic criteria for the diagnosis of psoriasis, dermatitis, lichen planus and pityriasis rosea. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2012**, 166, 1198–1205.
15. Sandby-Moller, J.; Wulf, H.C. Ultrasonographic subepidermal low-echogenic band, dependence of age and body site. *Skin Res. Technol.* **2004**, 10, 57–63.
16. Trautinger, F.; Eder, J.; Assaf, C.; Bagot, M.; Cozzio, A.; Dummer, R.; Gniadecki, R.; Klemke, C.-D.; Ortiz-Romero, P.L.; Papadavid, E.; et al. European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer consensus recommendations for the treatment of mycosis fungoides/Sézary syndrome—Update 2017. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2017**, 77, 57–74.
17. Bosseila, M.; Sayed, K.S.; Sayed, S.S.E.-D.; El Monaem, N.A.A. Evaluation of Angiogenesis in Early Mycosis Fungoides Patients: Dermoscopic and Immunohistochemical Study. *Dermatology* **2015**, 231, 82–86.
18. Giovagnorio, F. Sonography of cutaneous non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *Clin. Radiol.* **1997**, 52, 301–303.
19. Tognetti, L.; Liso, F.G.; Nazzaro, G.; Provvidenziale, L.; De Piano, E.; Carraro, A.; Perrot, J.L. Ultrasound. In *Technol Pract Dermatology*; Fimiani, M., Rubegni, P., Cinotti, E., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2020; pp. 211–218.