# A Prospective Randomised Control Study to Correlate N-Butylcyanoacrylate, Adhesive Tapes, And Sutures for Closing Wounds

# Yenegalla Shiva<sup>1</sup>, Yadavalli V S Vamsi Mohan<sup>2</sup>, Mounika Chowdary Kanakamedala<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Post Graduate 3<sup>rd</sup> Year, Department of General Surgery, Malla Reddy Medical College for Women, Hyderabad, India. <sup>2</sup>Post Graduate 2<sup>nd</sup> Year, Department of General Surgery, Katuri Medical College and

Hospital, Chowdavaram, Guntur, India.

<sup>3</sup>MBBS Graduate, BLDE Hospital and Research Centre, Karnataka, India.

## Abstract

**Background:** The purpose of this study is to compare the rates of wound dehiscence between closed with sutures, N-butyl-2 cyanoacrylate glue, and tape. in order to evaluate how each closure method affects the rate of wound infection. so that we can evaluate how quickly each method allows the wound to heal, to compare the prices of the three different wound-closure options. evaluation of the cosmetic results of the three wound closure procedures. Evaluate how each closure choice is received by patients. in order to evaluate how happy surgeons are with each of the three closure options. Material and Methods: After obtaining the necessary approvals and consent from patients, a prospective randomised controlled study was conducted at Department of General Surgery, Malla Reddy Medical College for Women, Hyderabad, India, from November 2021 to October 2022, document the closure procedure for each patient using the randomisation chart. As a result, sixty coupons were made. Considerations included numbers, wound dehiscence, infection, cosmetics, the Hollander wound evaluation score, the visual analogue score, and patient and surgeon satisfaction. **Results:** The findings showed that 3 groups, each containing 65 patients, were selected at random. Sixty five people participated, with 60 men and 5 women. Patients' average ages ranged from 50.50 to 41.6 and 32.65 years old in the suture, glue, and tape groups. The average length of incisions for wounds treated with sutures, glue, and tape were 6.37, 5.74, and 5.54 millimetres, respectively. On day 2, one patient in the glue group developed wound dehiscence. **Conclusion:** The data suggests that excessive stretching of adhesive glue may cause wound dehiscence. If you take the time to properly treat your wounds, you can avoid this from happening. Selecting a wound closure system should not be based on cost alone. Cosmetic outcomes and the level of satisfaction reported by both the patient and the surgeon are more reliable indicators. This research shows that there is a need for and potential benefit from using non-traditional wound closure techniques in the field of surgical wound care. Keywords: Adhesive tapes, Glues, Sutures, wound dehiscence, Hollander wound evaluation score.

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Yenegalla Shiva, 1Post Graduate 3<sup>rd</sup> Year, Department of General Surgery, Malla Reddy Medical College for Women, Hyderabad, India.

# Introduction

The most typical technique for wound closure continues to be suture, which has been used for centuries. Suture materials have developed from simple, primitive materials to a variety of varieties that are tailored specifically for the tissue to be sutured. Sutures do have some drawbacks. The sutures' needles make the surgeon and the assistant vulnerable to needle stick wounds.<sup>[1-3]</sup> For the purpose of removing the sutures, the patient must return to the hospital.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 01, 2023

Sutures are used to close wounds, leaving marks perpendicular to the incision line. These drawbacks prompted researchers to look for more effective wound closure techniques.

Adhesive glue and adhesive tapes are two of the more appealing substitutes that are currently offered. Over three decades after its discovery, adhesive glue has been applied to traumatic wounds. Adhesive glue for surgical incisions has recently received attention. There have only been a few small-scale clinical studies to back up this claim. The use of adhesive tape as a wound closure technique is another option. In comparison to glue and sutures, the tape is significantly less expensive to purchase, and a previous study found it to be a quick and affordable substitute.<sup>[4-6]</sup> The three techniques for wound closure have only been contrasted in a very small number of studies.

The focus of the research of the was to contrast the effectiveness of sutures, glue, and tape in the treatment of surgical incision wound closure.

#### Methodology

After ethical clearance and informed consent, a prospective randomised controlled study was conducted at Department of General Surgery, Malla Reddy Medical College for Women, Hyderabad, India, from November 2021 to October 2022, to note the closure procedure in accordance with the randomisation chart for each patient. Sixty tokens were produced. Statistics, wound dehiscence, infection, cosmetics, the Hollander wound evaluation score, the visual analogue score, and patient and surgeon satisfaction were considered.

Medcalc Software Version 2,3 Mariakerke, Belgium statistically analysed all master chart data. ANOVA examined demographics. A Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test determined whether time and length are significant. The Fishers Exact test was used to determine statistical significance for the Hollander scar evaluation score. Kruskal-Wallis and Mann Whitney tests were used to analyse the visual analogue scale, patient satisfaction score, and surgeon satisfaction score. P values below 0.05 indicated significance.

#### **Inclusion criteria**

3 groups of patients undergoing elective hernia surgery were randomly assigned, and the skin incision was therefore closed with suture, adhesive glue, or adhesive tape.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

Individuals with a history of keloid or hypertrophic scars, diabetes mellitus, tuberculosis, steroid use, connective tissue disorders, drug allergies, or known cyanoacrylate or formaldehyde allergies.

#### RESULTS

The suture method took 293.1 seconds on average, adhesive glue 265.15 seconds, and adhesive tape 226.85 seconds. Applying adhesive tape took significantly less time than suture and glue (P = 0.0067). There was no discernible difference in the time required to apply glue and suture.

		Average time for closure
Suture		294.0
Glue		262.14
Таре		227.84
P = 0.0067	Tape vs Suture, Glue	

#### Table 1: Time

On day 1, there was no statistically significant difference in the Hollander wound evaluation score between the three groups.

	Optimum Scar	Sub Optimum Scar	Suture	Glue
Suture	13	9		
Glue	18	3	P = 0.1551	
Tape	16	6	P = 0.5006	P = 0.6947

Table 2: Hollander wound evaluation score Day 1
---

On day 2, there was no significant difference in the Hollander wound evaluation score between the three groups.

Table 3: Hollander wound evaluation s	score Day 2.
---------------------------------------	--------------

	<b>Optimum Scar</b>	Sub Optimum Scar	Suture	Glue
Suture	13	9		
Glue	16	5	P = 0.5006	
Таре	14	8	P = 1.0000	P = 0.73109

On day 3, there was no significant difference in the Hollander wound evaluation score between the three groups.

Table 4: Hollander wound evaluation score Day 3.	
--	--

	<b>Optimum Scar</b>	Sub Optimum Scar	Suture	Glue
Suture	14	8		
Glue	18	3	P = 0.2733	
Таре	15	7	P = 1.0000	P = 0.4505

On day 7, there was no discernible difference in the three groups' Hollander wound evaluation scores.

Table 5: Hollande	r wound evalua	tion score Day 7	/

	Optimum Scar	Sub Optimum Scar	Suture	Glue
Suture	10	12		
Glue	16	6	P = 0.1053	
Tape	16	5	P = 0.1053	P = 1.0000

The Hollander wound evaluation score varied significantly between adhesive glue and tape and between adhesive glue and suture. On day 30, there was no discernible distinction between the tape and the suture.

## Table 6: Hollander wound evaluation score Day 30

	<b>Optimum Scar</b>	Sub Optimum Scar	Suture	Glue
Suture	5	17		
Glue	16	5	P = 0.0012	
Tape	8	14	P = 0.4801	P = 0.0248

On day 90, there was a sizable difference in the Hollander wound evaluation scores between the three groups.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 01, 2023

Tuble / Tionander Wound Cranadion Score Day > 0				
	Optimum Scar	Sub Optimum Scar	Suture	Glue
Suture	3	19		
Glue	16	6	P < 0.0001	
Tape	8	14	P = 0.0012	P = 0.0248

#### Table 7: Hollander wound evaluation score Day 90

On day 1, there was no discernible difference between the three groups' visual analogue scales.

## Table 8: The Visual Analogue ScoreDay 1

	Mean Visual Analogue Score
Suture	64.7
Glue	69.95
Таре	65.85
P > 0.05 = No significant difference	

On day 2, there was a sizable difference in the visual analog scale when comparing glue with suture and tape.

#### Table 9: The Visual Analogue Score day 2

	Mean Visual Analogue Score
Suture	64.6
Glue	69.75
Таре	65.52
P < 0.0001 Glue vs Suture, Tape	

On day 3, there was a sizable difference in the visual analog scale when comparing glue, suture, and tape.

#### Table 10: The Visual Analogue Score day 3

	Mean Visual Analogue Score
Suture	64.21
Glue	68.81
Таре	65.23
P < 0.0001 Glue vs Suture, Tape	

On day 3, there was a sizable difference in the visual analog scale when comparing glue, suture, and tape.

#### Table 11: The Visual Analogue Score Day 7

	Mean Visual Analogue Score
Suture	62.82
Glue	69.95
Таре	63.95
D < 0.0001 Club via Surface T	

P < 0.0001 Glue vs Suture, Tape

On day 30, there was a sizable discrepancy in the visual analogue scores between the three groups.

Table 12: The visual Analogue Score	Day 50
	Mean Visual Analogue Score
Suture	64.82
Glue	72.05
Таре	66.35
P < 0.0001 Suture vs Glue vs Tape	

# Table 12: The Visual Analogue Score Day 30

On day 90, there was a sizable difference in the visual analogue scores between the three groups.

#### Table 13: The Visual Analogue Score Day 90

<u> </u>	Mean Visual Analogue Score
Suture	65.28
Glue	72.41
Таре	67.26
P < 0.0001 Glue vs Suture vs Tape	· · · ·

On day seven, there was no discernible difference in patient satisfaction between the three groups.

## Table 14: Patient satisfaction day 7

	Mean Patient Satisfaction Score
Suture	11.2
Glue	12.1
Таре	10.5
P = 0.6422 = No significant difference	

Patient satisfaction varied significantly depending on whether glue, sutures, or tape was used. On day 30, there was no discernible distinction between the tape and the suture.

#### Table 15: Patient satisfaction day 30

	Mean Patient Satisfaction Score
Suture	16.16
Glue	15.72
Таре	14.4
P < 0.0001 Glue vs Suture, Tape	

Patient satisfaction varied significantly depending on whether glue, sutures, or tape was used. On day 90, there was no discernible distinction between the tape and the suture.

#### Table 16: Patient satisfaction day 90

	Mean Patient Satisfaction Score
Suture	14.65
Glue	17.25
Таре	15.13
P < 0.0001 Glue vs Suture, Tape	· ·

On day 7, there were noticeable differences in the three groups' surgeon satisfaction.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 01, 2023

Table 17. Surgeon satisfaction day	Mean Surgeon Satisfaction Score
Suture	13.01
Glue	22.05
Таре	17.27
P < 0.0001 Suture vs Glue vs Tape	

#### Table 17: Surgeon satisfaction day 7

On day 30, there was a sizable difference in the three groups' surgeon satisfaction levels. **Table 18: Surgeon satisfaction day 30** 

	Mean Surgeon Satisfaction Score
Suture	14.14
Glue	22.4
Таре	17.6
P < 0.0001 Suture vs Glue vs Tape	

On day 90, there was a sizable difference in the three groups' surgeon satisfaction levels.

	Mean Surgeon Satisfaction Score
Suture	14.21
Glue	23.28
Таре	18.54
P < 0.0001 Suture vs Glue vs Tape	

#### Table 19: Surgeon satisfaction day 90

# DISCUSSION

Wound dehiscence is important when assessing wound closure methods. An effective system would prevent wound dehiscence throughout healing, especially early on. Because sutures are tied and knotted, dehiscence is rare unless the wound gets infected.<sup>[7-9]</sup> In this study's adhesive glue-treated patient, excessive skin stretching at the incision site during mobilisation or improper glue application may have caused wound dehiscence. Dehiscence began research. Dehiscence rates dropped dramatically and stopped with proper closure and patient restraint. Infections of surgical wounds are common and dangerous for patients and doctors. The doctor cannot check for infections after many outpatient surgeries.<sup>[10-12]</sup>

This study did not report wound infections, but previous studies have found 0% in the Maartense study to 11% in the Malone study. Tissue adhesive glue can prevent infection by blocking microorganisms. Adhesive glue has not been shown to reduce infection rates. Time matters in surgery. Modern surgery has increased efficiency by shortening procedure times. Gut staplers reduce bowel anastomosis time compared to sutures. Wound closure has improved.<sup>[12,13]</sup>

Adhesive tapes closed wounds faster than sutures or glue. Suture and adhesive glue required similar times. Hemostasis in the skin's edges affected glue application most. Adherent glue required hemostasis before application. In a previous study, sutures closed the slowest, followed by adhesive glue and tape. Any project must consider finances. High operational costs burden patients and doctors.<sup>[13,14]</sup>

Newer innovations and methods are often more effective but more expensive until they are widely adopted. Adhesive tapes were eight times and three times cheaper than glue and sutures, respectively. However, wound discharge made adhesive tapes wet after surgery, requiring replacement through day seven. Closing wounds and wound care may cost more. Glue is the most expensive but also the most cost-effective because it requires less wound

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 01, 2023

dressing and no suture removal.<sup>[14,15]</sup> Scarless is best. Operation scars are permanent. Thus, doctors seek scar-free wound closures. Surgery scars haven't changed much despite centuries of sutures. Silk, unlike monofilament sutures, left visible suture marks when used for skin closure. Monofilament sutures reduce scarring from older techniques.<sup>[15,16]</sup>

Adhesive glue and tapes avoid sutures and post-surgery scarring. On postoperative days 1, 2, 3, 7, 30, and 90, the Modified Hollander Scar Evaluation Score and Visual Analogue Scale for Scar assessed the scar's cosmetic appearance. The Hollander scar evaluation showed no significant difference between the three groups on days 1, 2, 3, or 7. 30 days post-surgery, adhesive glue outperformed suture. Instead of day 7 or 30, look at your scar's cosmetic appearance on day 90 to predict its appearance in a year.

In this study, the suture method left the worst scar and the adhesive glue method the best. Day 90 visual analogue scale results were consistent. On days 2, 3, and 30, glue outperformed suture and adhesive tape on the visual analogue scale. Day 1 was unremarkable. Previous research found that adhesive glue cosmetic scars were significantly better than those made using other methods. Many people avoid open surgery and prefer less invasive treatments. Thus, positive patient feedback is essential for assessing wound closure system efficacy.<sup>[16,17]</sup>

To assess patient satisfaction, a pre-validated questionnaire was given on days 7, 30, and 90 after surgery. Bilateral hernia patients who had two procedures could tell the difference. Patients reported identical satisfaction 7 days after surgery. Adhesive glue was more popular than sutures or tape 30 and 90 days after surgery. Patients can take baths sooner without reapplying the adhesive glue, which may explain the satisfaction gap.<sup>[18,19]</sup>

Every doctor prefers safe, fast, and easy wound closure. Adhesives beat sutures every time. On postoperative days 7, 30, and 90, sutures had the lowest satisfaction scores, followed by adhesive glue and adhesive tape.<sup>[20]</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

The use of adhesive glue and tapes to close surgical wounds has a bright future. Because it has the following benefits over suture, adhesive glue is demonstrated in this study to be a superior option for wound closure.

They look more aesthetically pleasing than sutures. They are more readily accepted by patients than sutures. Compared to sutures, they have a higher surgeon satisfaction rate. After applying glue, a second trip to the hospital is not required, unlike with sutures. However, using adhesive glue has drawbacks as well. The suture is significantly more expensive than the glue. The study demonstrates that there is a risk of wound dehiscence after excessive stretching with adhesive glue. With the right technique and attention to wound care, this can be avoided.

The system chosen for wound closure should not be influenced by cost. Patient and surgeon satisfaction, as well as cosmesis, are better predictors. The study highlights the significance of alternative techniques for wound closure and their promise for improved surgical wound care in the future.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Quinn, J., Wells, G., Sutcliffe, T., Jarmuske, M., Maw, J., Stiell, I., & Johns, P. (1997). A randomized trial comparing octylcyanoacrylate tissue adhesive and sutures in the management of lacerations. Jama, 277(19), 1527-1530.
- 2. Dowson, C. C., Gilliam, A. D., Speake, W. J., Lobo, D. N., & Beckingham, I. J. (2006). A prospective, randomized controlled trial comparing n-butyl cyanoacrylate tissue adhesive (LiquiBand) with sutures for skin closure after laparoscopic general surgical

procedures. Surgical Laparoscopy Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques, 16(3), 146-150.

- 3. Chen, H. H., Tsai, W. S., Yeh, C. Y., Wang, J. Y., & Tang, R. (2001). Prospective study comparing wounds closed with tape with sutured wounds in colorectal surgery. Archives of surgery, 136(7), 801-803.
- 4. Stuart Enoch, David John Leaper. Basic Science of Wound Healing.Surgery. 2005: 23:2:37-42.
- 5. Cover HN, Joyner FB, Sheere NH. Chemistry and performance of cyanoacrylate adhesive. Journal of the Society of Plastic Surgery of England 1959;1:5–6.
- Lee BB, Do YS, Yakes W, Kim DI, Mattassi R, Hyon WS (March 2004). "Management of arteriovenous malformations: a multidisciplinary approach". J. Vasc. Surg. 39 (3): 590–600
- 7. Lo GH, Lai KH, Cheng JS, Chen MH, Chiang HT (May 2001). "A prospective, randomized trial of butyl cyanoacrylate injection versus band ligation in the management of bleeding gastric varices". Hepatology 33 (5): 1060.
- 8. Chen WC, Hou MC, Lin HC, Chang FY, Lee SD (February 2000). "An endoscopic injection with N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate used for colonic variceal bleeding: a case report and review of the literature". Am. J. Gastroenterol. 95 (2): 540–2.
- 9. Coulthard P, Esposito M, Worthington HV, van der Elst M, van Waes OJF, Darcey J. Tissue adhesives for closure of surgical incisions.Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2010, Issue 5
- 10. Hollander JE, Singer AJ, Valentine S, Henry MC. Wound registry:Development and validation. Annals Emergency Medicine 1995;25:675–85.
- 11. Quinn J, Wells G, Sutcliffe T, Jarmuske M, Maw J, Stiell I, Johns P. Tissue adhesive versus suture wound repair at 1 year: randomized clinical trial correlating early, 3-month, and 1-year cosmetic outcome. Annals of Emergency Medicine 1998;32(6):645–9.
- 12. Blondeel P, Murphy J, Debrosse D, Nix, J, Puls LE, Theodore N, Coulthard P. Closure of long surgical incisions with a new formulation of 2-octylcyanoacrylate tissue adhesive versus commercially available methods. American Journal of Surgery 2004; 188:307–13.
- 13. Maartense S, Bemelman WA, Dunker MS, de Lint C, Pierik EGJM, Busch ORC, Gouma DJ. Randomised study of the effectiveness of closing laparoscopic trocar wounds with octylcyanoacrylate, adhesive papertape or poliglecaprone. British Journal of Surgery 2002;89:1370–5.
- 14. Malone DL, Genuit T, Tracy JK. Surgical Site Infections: reanalysis of risk factors. Journal of Surgical Research 2002;103:89–95
- 15. Sniezek P, Walling H, DeBloom III J, Messingham M, VanBeek M, Kreiter C, et al.Arandomised controlled trial of high-viscosity 2- octyl cyanoacrylate tissue adhesive versus sutures in repairing facial wounds following Mohs micrographic surgery. Dermatological Surgery 2007;33(8):966–71.
- 16. Swtizer E, Dinsmore R, North J. Subcuticular closure versus dermabond: A prospective randomised trial. The American Surgeon 2003;69:434–6.
- 17. Ong C, Jacobsen A, Joseph V. Comparing wound closure using tissue glue versus subcuticular suture for paediatric surgical incisions: a prospective, randomised trial. Paediatric Surgery International 2002;18:553–5.
- 18. Shamiyeh A, Schrenk P, Stelzer T, Wayand WU. Prospective randomised blind controlled trial comparing sutures, tape, and octylcyanoacrylate tissue adhesive for skin closure after phlebectomy. Dermatologic Surgery 2001;27(10):877–80.
- 19. Brown JK, Campbell BT, Drongowski RA, Alderman AK, Geiger JD, Teitelbaum DH, Quinn J, Coran AG, Hirschl RB. A prospective, randomized comparison of skin adhesive

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 01, 2023

and subcuticular suture for closure of pediatric hernia incisions: cost and cosmetic considerations. Journal of Paediatric Surgery 2009;44 (7):1418–22.

20. Chibbaro S, Tacconi L. Use of skin glue versus traditional wound closure methods in brain surgery: A prospective, randomized, controlled study. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience 2009;16(4): 535–9.