

Original Research Article

# ROLE OF VITAMIN D SUPPLEMENTATION IN LOW BACK PAIN IN YOUNG ADULTS

**Authors: Dr. Kaunteya Krishna Das<sup>1</sup> (3rd Year Resident), Dr. Abhishek Chaturvedi<sup>2</sup> (Senior Resident) & Dr. R. K. S. Dhakad<sup>3</sup> (Professor)**

**Dept. of Orthopaedics, Gajra Raja Medical College, Gwalior, M. P.<sup>1,2&3</sup>**

**Corresponding Author: Dr. Kaunteya Krishna Das**

## **Abstract:-**

**Introduction:-** Low back pain (LBP) is a global problem, being the highest contributor of years lived with disability (YLDs) worldwide. The majority of research on LBP has concerned management strategies; however, given the small effect sizes of current interventions, a better understanding of the factors associated with the prevalence and risk of developing LBP is needed to guide future intervention strategies.

**Aim and objectives:-** The aim of this study was to investigate if vitamin D levels are associated with the prevalence and risk of LBP and if serum levels of vitamin D correlate with pain intensity in patients with LBP.

**Material and methods:-** This study was done prospectively in the Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma Centre in J. A. Group of Hospitals, Gwalior (M.P.) The cases were selected on random basis from those having low back pain. 100 patients (young adults with back pain) were selected on O.P.D. basis for the study.

**Result and conclusion:-** The study concluded that vitamin D supplementation could have a role to play in the management of chronic low back pain. This study shows that vitamin-D supplementation has a role in the improvement of pain and disability in patients with LBP in addition to the normalization of serum levels.

**KEY WORDS:-** Low back pain, vitamin D supplementation, Oswestry disability questionnaire, Visual Analogue Score

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Low back pain (LBP) is a global problem, being the highest contributor of years lived with disability (YLDs) worldwide (64.9 million) vs diabetes (38.6 million), COPD (30.6 million)<sup>1</sup>. From an economic perspective, the burden of LBP can be seen across many countries. The prevalence in India ranges between 6.2 to 92%, with youth at higher risk and ~5%-10% may develop chronic LBP<sup>2</sup>.

The majority of LBP cases presenting to primary care are classified as non-specific (~85%), as there is a poor correlation between symptoms and structural abnormalities identified by medical imaging<sup>3</sup>. A small percentage of individuals may present with LBP that can be attributed to a structural pathology (<5%) such as osteoporosis or vertebral fractures, and can experience significant pain and disability<sup>5</sup>. Numerous intervention strategies have been investigated for non-specific LBP and are recommended in most evidence-based clinical practice guidelines such as structured exercise programs and advice to remain active<sup>6</sup>. In addition, numerous conservative pharmacological and surgical interventions have been

investigated for the management of LBP resulting from osteoporosis or vertebral fractures.<sup>16</sup> However, despite an abundance of research investigating different types and doses of these interventions, the analgesic effects are modest at best and have failed to reduce the enormous global burden of LBP.<sup>7</sup> With this in mind, it may be time to consider alternative interventions, rather than investigating procedural adjustments of those already established. One of the current and popular alternative treatments for painful conditions including LBP is vitamin D supplementation.<sup>8</sup>

### **AIMS AND OBJECTIVES:-**

To assess the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in young adults with low back pain. To assess the impact of vitamin D supplementation on pain intensity and functional disability measured by VAS<sup>9</sup> and Oswestry disability questionnaire (ODQ)<sup>10</sup>.

## **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This study was done prospectively in the Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma Centre in J. A. Group of Hospitals, Gwalior (M.P.) The cases were selected on random basis from those having low back pain.

A Total number of 100 patients (young adults with back pain) were selected on O.P.D. basis.

Inclusion Criteria are Patients having low plasma 25 – hydroxyvitamin D3 levels (< 30 ng/ml), Age :- 18-45yrs, Patients with non-specific LBP. Exclusion Criteria are Refusal to consent for participating in study, Age group <18 and >45, H/O Significant trauma, Radiculopathy and neuropathy, Infection, GI pathology affecting vit-D Metabolism, Patients consuming drugs altering bone metabolism like corticosteroids or bisphosphonates, Pregnant and lactating mothers.

### **Methods:-**

1. Detailed History was taken.
  2. A blood sample was taken. Measurement of Plasma Vitamin-D Levels [25-Hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH) D3)], serum calcium, alkaline phosphatase levels were also measured.
  3. Vitamin D Supplementation for 12 weeks to patients having vitamin- D3 levels < 30 ng/ml.
  4. Measurement of Plasma Vitamin-D Levels [25-Hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH) D3)] after completion of 12 weeks.
  5. Efficacy parameters included pain sensitivity and functional disability measured by Visual Analogue Score (VAS) and Oswestry disability questionnaire (ODQ). ODI score<sup>10</sup> (%) for Level of disability is 0–4, No disability, 5-14 Mild disability, 15-24 Moderate disability, 25-34 Severe disability, 35-50 Completely disabled.
- Definition of Vitamin-D Levels According to the level of 25(OH) D3, Vitamin-D deficiency was defined as a 25(OH) D3 level of  $\leq 20$  ng/mL, vitamin-D insufficiency as 21 – 29 ng/mL, and normal level as  $> 30$  ng/mL .

Treatment Regimen:-Vitamin-D3 sachets in a dose of 60,000 IU were given every week orally for a period of 12 weeks to the enrolled patients, along with short duration analgesics.

Mode of Supplementation:- Patients were advised to take the vitamin-D3 sachet containing 60,000 IU orally by mixing in a glass of milk early morning once a week.

### 3. RESULTS

Present study mean age of the cases was  $31.74 \pm 6.75$  years, median age 30 years, mode 28 years, minimum age 18 years and maximum age was 45 years. Majority of cases 52(50.5%) were belonging to in 21 – 30 years age groups followed by 29(28.2%) cases was found in 31-40 years age groups, 17(16.5%) cases belonging to 41 – 50 years age groups and 5(4.9%) cases belonging in <20 years age groups. Out of 103 cases 61(59.2%) cases were female and 42(40.8%) cases were male.

**Table 1: Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)(51)Before categories**

| ODI(Before)                    | No of patients | %     |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| No disability (0 - 4)          | 0              | 0.0%  |
| Mild disability (5 - 14)       | 59             | 57.3% |
| Moderate disability (15 - 24)  | 44             | 42.7% |
| Severe disability (25 - 34)    | 0              | 0.0%  |
| Completely disabled ( 35 - 50) | 0              | 0.0%  |

ODI before vitamin D supplementation in majority of cases 59(57.3%) had 5 – 14 ODI level (mild disability) & 44(42.7%) cases had 15-24 ODI level(moderate disability). Before treatment majority of cases had 55(53.4%) less than equal to 10 nmol/L 25(OH)D3 level, 43(41.7%) cases had 11 – 20 nmol/L 25(OH)D3 level and 5(4.9%) cases had 21 – 30 nmol/L 25(OH)D3 level. After three months of the treatment majority of cases had 48(46.6%) more than 30 nmol/L 25(OH)D3 level, 32(31.1%) cases had 21-30 nmol/L 25(OH)D3 level and 23(22.3%) cases had 11-20 nmol/L 25(OH)D3 level.

**Table 2: Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) categories after three months of the treatment.**

| ODI(After 3Mo)                | No of patients | %     |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| No disability (0 - 4)         | 0              | 0.0%  |
| Mild disability (5 - 14)      | 81             | 78.6% |
| Moderate disability (15 - 24) | 22             | 21.4% |
| Severe disability (25 - 34)   | 0              | 0.0%  |
| Completely disabled (35 - 50) | 0              | 0.0%  |

After three months of the treatment majority of cases 81(78.6%) had mild disability and 22(21.4%) cases had moderate disability.

**Table 3: Association between gender and different study variables**

|   |                              | Sex    |        |      |        | Chi-Square | p     |
|---|------------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|------------|-------|
|   |                              | Female |        | Male |        |            |       |
| <b>Age groups</b>                         | <= 20 years                  | 3      | 4.90%  | 2    | 4.80%  | 7.44       | 0.049 |
|   | 21 - 30 years                | 31     | 50.80% | 21   | 50.00% |            |       |
|   | 31 - 40 years                | 13     | 21.30% | 16   | 38.10% |            |       |
|   | 41 - 50 years                | 14     | 23.00% | 3    | 7.10%  |            |       |
| <b>ODI(Before)</b>                        | No disability (0 - 4)        | 0      | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 0.696      | 0.404 |
|   | Mild disability (5 - 14)     | 37     | 60.7%  | 22   | 52.4%  |            |       |
|   | Moderate disability (15 -24) | 24     | 39.3%  | 20   | 47.6%  |            |       |
|   | Severe disability (25 - 34)  | 0      | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   |            |       |
|   | Completely disabled (35 -50) | 0      | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   |            |       |
| <b>25(OH)D3 (nmol/L) Before treatment</b> | <= 10 nmol/L                 | 38     | 62.30% | 17   | 40.50% | 4.903      | 0.086 |
|   | 11 - 20 nmol/L               | 21     | 34.40% | 22   | 52.40% |            |       |
|   | 21 - 30 nmol/L               | 2      | 3.30%  | 3    | 7.10%  |            |       |
| <b>25(OH)D3(nmol /L) After treatment</b>  | 11 - 20 nmol/L               | 16     | 26.20% | 7    | 16.70% | 1.31       | 0.518 |
|   | 21 - 30 nmol/L               | 18     | 29.50% | 14   | 33.30% |            |       |
|   | > 30 nmol/L                  | 27     | 44.30% | 21   | 50.00% |            |       |
| <b>ODI(After3Mo)</b>                      | No disability (0 - 4)        | 0      | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   | 0.000      | 0.989 |
|   | Mild disability (5 - 14)     | 48     | 78.7%  | 33   | 78.6%  |            |       |
|   | Moderate disability (15 -24) | 13     | 21.3%  | 9    | 21.4%  |            |       |
|   | Severe disability (25 - 34)  | 0      | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   |            |       |
|   | Completely disabled (35 -50) | 0      | 0.0%   | 0    | 0.0%   |            |       |

There was no significant association found between gender and different study variables with  $p>0.05$ .

**Table 4: Comparison of mean VAS scores between before and after treatment**

| Variables                            | Mean | N   | SD   | t      | p     |
|--------------------------------------|------|-----|------|--------|-------|
| VAS<br>(Before treatment)            | 5.09 | 103 | 1.46 | 11.699 | 0.000 |
| VAS<br>(After 3 months<br>treatment) | 3.92 | 103 | 1.05 |        |       |

VAS score was significantly decrease with mean  $3.92\pm 1.05$  after treatment as compare to mean  $5.09\pm 1.46$  before treatment with  $p<0.05$ .

**Table 5: Comparison of mean ODI between before and after treatment.**

| Variables                | Mean  | N   | SD   | t      | p     |
|--------------------------|-------|-----|------|--------|-------|
| ODI(Before<br>treatment) | 15.21 | 103 | 4.14 | 20.240 | 0.000 |
| ODI(After<br>treatment)  | 11.10 | 103 | 3.57 |        |       |

ODI level was significantly decrease with mean  $11.10\pm 3.57$  after treatment as compare to mean  $15.21\pm 4.14$  before treatment with  $p<0.05$ .

**Table 6: Characteristics of study variables**

| Statistics | AGE   | ODI<br>Before<br>treatment | VAS<br>Before<br>treatment | 25(OH)D<br>3<br>Before<br>treatment | 25(OH)D3<br>After<br>treatment | ODI<br>After<br>treatment | VAS<br>After<br>treatment |
|------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Mean       | 31.74 | 15.21                      | 5.09                       | 11.624                              | 29.725                         | 11.10                     | 3.92                      |

|                       |                 |       |       |        |         |       |       |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|-------|
| <b>Median</b>         | 30.00           | 13.00 | 5.00  | 10.560 | 28.701  | 10.00 | 4.00  |
| <b>Mode</b>           | 28 <sup>a</sup> | 13    | 4     | 10.210 | 19.6700 | 10    | 4     |
| <b>Std. Deviation</b> | 6.75            | 4.14  | 1.463 | 4.673  | 8.775   | 3.571 | 1.054 |
| <b>Minimum</b>        | 18              | 8     | 2     | 3.672  | 15.670  | 5     | 2     |
| <b>Maximum</b>        | 45              | 23    | 8     | 27.350 | 49.550  | 20    | 6     |

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The present study has focused on the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in young adults with low back pain and impact of vitamin D supplementation.

The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was found to be 93.6% among the 110 subjects who participated in this study. This is similar to the prevalence of low back pain reported in various study like Kapil et al., 2017<sup>11</sup> is 93, Gunjaliya et al., 2015<sup>12</sup> is 93.5 and Rattan et al., 2016<sup>13</sup> prevalence is 84.9. The prevalence of low back pain was seen to be greater in women than in men (59.2% versus 40.8%). Previous studies on the prevalence of low back pain have also reported that low back pain was seen more frequently in women than in men.<sup>14-17</sup>

Vitamin-D supplementation increases plasma levels of 25(OH)-D3 potentially correcting the effects of vitamin-D deficiency<sup>18</sup>. Two recent meta-analyses conducted by **Straube et al**<sup>18</sup> have reported contrasting outcomes between the results of randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and non-RCTs. The effectiveness of vitamin-D supplementation in the treatment of chronic pain was observed in 10% and 95% of RCTs and non-RCTs/observational studies, respectively. The major limitation of these analyses was the fact that both meta-analyses were conducted on small and heterogeneous studies<sup>18,19</sup>.

**Warner and Arnsperger**<sup>20</sup> found no significant decrease in pain score of with ergocalciferol 200,000 IU/month administration for 3 months in patients with musculoskeletal pain. In contrast, a study conducted in non-western immigrants in Netherlands by **Schreuder et al**<sup>21</sup> had reported a small positive effect of vitamin-D supplementation in patients with nonspecific musculoskeletal pain. Further, a study conducted in a North Indian population by **Kalra et al**<sup>22</sup> had reported a high prevalence of severe vitamin-D deficiency (< 10 ng/mL) in 55.55% of cases and 10 – 30 ng/mL in 38.46% of patients with back pain.

A recently published placebo controlled trial by **Schreuder F, Bernsen R, van der Wouden JC**<sup>23</sup> has shown remarkable analgesic efficacy of adding 4000 IU of vitamin D in patients with musculoskeletal pain leading to faster decline in consecutive VAS scores and levels of inflammatory and pain-related cytokines<sup>23</sup>. We did not specifically assess leg pain reduction

in our study. Instead, we have used ODQ to assess functional disability. This disability questionnaire evaluates lower limb activity, particularly in terms of standing, sitting, and walking.

In our study ODI level was significantly decreased with mean  $11.10 \pm 3.57$  after treatment as compare to mean  $14.04 \pm 4.06$  before treatment with  $p < 0.05$ . As per the studies done by **Lotfy et al.**, **Gokcek E et al.**, and **Xu HW et al.**, serum 25 (OH) D levels correlate significantly with the pain severity,<sup>24,25,26</sup> while the studies done by **Johansen JV et al.** and **Ghai B et al.** found no relationship between them.<sup>27,28</sup>

In our study, the VAS score of the vitamin D deficient patients was statistically significantly higher before vitamin D supplementation was given and VAS score was significantly decreased with mean  $3.92 \pm 1.05$  after treatment as compare to mean  $5.09 \pm 1.46$  before treatment. Thus, implicating that a negative correlation exists between the severity of pain and levels of vitamin D, as supported by previous studies also.<sup>29,30,31</sup>

Our findings provide a reasonable explanation and justification for advising dietary supplementation as well as therapeutic medication to achieve normal Vitamin-D levels in patients with musculoskeletal pain<sup>32</sup>.

**Limitations:-**There were several limitations in this study and its research methods. First, there was no placebo group in this study. Second, sample size was small. Third, the participants included hospital-based and community-based participants, which could be a source of statistical and clinical heterogeneity. Fourth, the study allowed the participants to take analgesics for a few days which could have masked the true effect of vitamin D supplementation if the utilization of analgesics was significantly different among different participants. Fifth, we did not have enough data to determine the effect of baseline vitamin D status and different doses of vitamin D supplementation on pain. In addition, we had limited the follow-up time in the inclusion criteria while it may take longer for vitamin D to show a beneficial effect. The publication of further RCTs will offer greater scope in the future for sub-group analyses with greater statistical power which may identify potential causes of the heterogeneity in our results. Researchers interested in continuing to explore this topic should consider the current quality of the evidence and ensure they implement well-designed and adequately powered clinical trials to build on the available evidence in this field.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The results of this study support the conclusion that vitamin D supplementation reduce pain scores in the patients with low back pain . This suggests that vitamin D supplementation could have a role in the management of chronic pain. The study shows that vitamin-D supplementation can alleviate pain and disability in patients with CLBP in addition to the normalization of its levels.

Altogether, intense research is needed to establish the effect of vitamin D on LBP. RCTs of longer duration, larger sample sizes, and different outcome assessments in various age groups are recommended. Further, well-designed placebo controlled long-term trials should be conducted to confirm these findings.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Chen S, Chen M. Wu X et al. Global, regional and national burden of low back pain 1990-2019: A systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease study 2019. *J Orthop Translat* 2021; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2021.07.005>.
2. Suhail A. Slathia S. Quais S et al. Do "Myths of low back pain exist among young Indian college-going adults with a history of low back pain? A cross-sectional study. *BFPT*. 2021; 26:21.
3. Brinjikji W, Luetmer PH, Comstock B, Bresnahan BW, Chen LE, Deyo RA, Halabi S, Turner JA, Avins AL, James K, Wald JT, Kallmes DF, Jarvik JG. Systematic literature review of imaging features of spinal degeneration in asymptomatic populations. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015; 36:811-816.
4. Ettinger B, Black DM, Nevitt MC, Rundle AC, Cauley JA, Cummings SR, Genant HK. Contribution of vertebral deformities to chronic back pain and disability. The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *J Bone Miner Res* 1992; 7:449-456.
5. Liddle SD, Gracey JH, Baxter GD. Advice for the management of low back pain: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Man Ther* 2007; 12:310-327.
6. Body JJ, Bergmann P, Boonen S, Boutsen Y, Bruyere O, Devogelaer JP, Goemaere S, Hollevoet N, Kaufman JM, Milisen K, Rozenberg S, Reginster JY. Non-pharmacological management of osteoporosis: A consensus of the Belgian Bone Club. *Osteoporos Int* 2011; 22:2769-2788.
7. Keller A, Hayden J, Bombardier C, van Tulder M. Effect sizes of non-surgical treatments of non-specific low-back pain. *Eur Spine J* 2007; 16:1776-1788.
8. Sandoughi M, Zakeri Z, Mirhosainee Z, Mohammadi M, Shahbakhsh S. The effect of vitamin D on nonspecific low back pain. *Int J Rheumat Dis* 2015; 18:854-858.
9. K. Lee, G.M. Keickhefer Measuring human responses using visual analogue scales *West J Nurs Res*, 11 (1989), pp. 128-132.
10. Fairbank JC, Pynsent PB. —The Oswestry Disability Index. *Spine* 2000; 25(22):2940-29.
11. Fairbank JCT, Couper J, Davies JB. The Oswestry Low Back Pain Questionnaire. *Physiotherapy* 1980; 66:271-273
12. Kapil U, Pandey RM, Goswami R, Sharma B, Sharma N, Ramakrishnan L, et al. Prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency and associated risk factors among children residing at high altitude in Shimla district, Himachal Pradesh, India. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2017;21:178- 83.
13. Gunjaliya A, Patil R, Vaza J, Patel H, Maniyar A. Prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in higher socioeconomical class of Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. *Int J Med Sci Public Health* 2015;4:617- 20.
14. Rattan R, Sahoo D, Mahapatra S. Prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in adults in the coastal regions of Odisha, India. *IOSR J Pharm Biol Sci* 2016;11:49- 52
15. Bachhel R, Singh NR, Sidhu JS. Prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in North- West Punjab population: A cross- sectional study. *Int J Appl Basic Med Res* 2015;5:7- 11.
16. Balagué F, Dutoit G, Waldburger M. Low back pain in schoolchildren. An epidemiological study. *Scand J Rehabil Med*. 1988;20(4):175-9. PMID: 2976526.
17. Goodman JE, McGrath PJ. The epidemiology of pain in children and adolescents: a review. *Pain*. 1991 Sep;46(3):247-264. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(91)90108-A. PMID: 1758709.



18. Kikuchi, R., Hirano, T., Watanabe, K. et al. Gender differences in the prevalence of low back pain associated with sports activities in children and adolescents: a six-year annual survey of a birth cohort in Niigata City, Japan. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 20, 327 (2019).<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2707-9>.
19. Jesus CA, Feder D, Peres MF. The role of vitamin D in pathophysiology and treatment of fibromyalgia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2013; 17:355.
20. Simpson RU, Thomas GA, Arnold AJ. Identification of 1.25- dihydroxyvitamin D3 receptors and activities in muscle. *J Biol Chem* 1985; 260:8882-8891.
21. Straube S, Derry S, Moore RA, Straube C. Vitamin D for the treatment of chronic painful conditions in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015; 5:CD007771.
22. Straube S, Moore RA, Derry S, McQuay HJ Vitamin D and chronic pain. *Pain* 2009; 141:10-13.
23. Warner AE, Arnsperger SA Diffuse musculoskeletal pain is not associated with low vitamin D levels or improved by treatment with vitamin D. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2008; 14:12-16.
24. Schreuder F, Bernsen R, van der Wouden JC. Vitamin D supplementation for nonspecific musculoskeletal pain in non- western immigrants: A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Fam Med* 2012; 10:547-555.
25. Kalra S, Kalra B, Khandelwal SK. Vitamin D status in patients with musculoskeletal symptoms in Haryana. *India J Med Nutr Nutraceut* 2012; 1:50-53.
26. Gendelman O, Itzhaki D, Makarov S, Bennun M, Amital H. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study adding high dose vitamin D to analgesic regimens in patients with musculoskeletal pain. *Lupus* 2015; 24:483-489.
27. Goodman JE, McGrath PJ et al, The epidemiology of pain in children and adolescents; a review *Pain*, 1991, vol. 46, pp. 247- 264.
28. Lotfi A, Abdel- Nasser AM, Hamdy A, Omran AA, El- Rehany MA. Hypovitaminosis D in female patients with chronic low back pain. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26:1895–901.
29. Gokcek E, Kaydu A. Assessment of relationship between Vitamin D deficiency and pain severity in patients with low back pain: A retrospective, observational study. *Anesth Essays Res* 2018;12:680- 4.
30. Xu HW, Yi YY, Zhang SB, Hu T, Wang SJ, Zhao WD, et al. Does vitamin D status influence lumbar disc degeneration and low back pain in postmenopausal women? A retrospective single- center study. *Menopause* 2020;27:586- 92.
31. Kanaujia V, Yadav RK, Verma S, Jain S, Patra B, Neyaz O. Correlation between Vitamin D deficiency and nonspecific chronic low back pain: A retrospective observational study. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2021;10:893-7.
32. Katarzyna Patrycja Dzik,<sup>1</sup> Wojciech Skrobot,<sup>2</sup> Katarzyna Barbara Kaczor,<sup>3</sup> Damian Jozef Flis ,<sup>4</sup> Mateusz Jakub Karnia,<sup>1</sup> Witold Libionka,<sup>1,5</sup> Jędrzej Antosiewicz ,<sup>3,6</sup> Wojciech Kloc,<sup>5,7</sup> and Jan Jacek Kaczor <sup>1</sup>; Vitamin D Deficiency Is Associated with Muscle Atrophy and Reduced Mitochondrial Function in Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain.