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## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design : A Prospective randomised Study

Study Duration :2 year

Sample size : Minimum 100

## Source of the data

This study conducted in Department of OBG, Government General Hospital, Anantapuramu, over period of 2 years .All consecutive patients presenting to the Department of OBG satisfying the inclusion criteria will be included in the study after obtaining informed consent

## Inclusion Criteria

Multigravida with prior vaginal delivery
Term gestation
Multiple pregnancy

## Exclusion Criteria

Primigravida
Previous cesarean delivery
Previous uterine surgery
Period of gestation less than 37 weeks

## METHODOLOGY

This includes the patients reporting directly to the labour room in various stages of labour as well as who were admitted in the wards and taken up for elective lower segment caesarean section.

A thorough obstetrics history was obtained at the time of admission, including information on both the current pregnancy and prior pregnancies. The measurementof height and weight as well as the nutritional status were done. An abdominal examination was performed to determine the baby's estimated weight, presentation, and gestational period.
In order to determine Bishop's score, evaluate the sufficiency of the pelvis, check for membranes, and determine the colour of the liquid, a pervaginal examination was performed (in PROM cases).
Basic investigations like haemoglobin estimation, total leukocyte count, differential leukocytes, blood grouping and Rh typing, urine examination, viral screening, random blood sugar, Renal function test, liver function test, bleeding time, clotting time were done for each
case.
Ultrasonography was done for estimation of gestational age, location of placenta and AFI. Labour monitored by partogram and intrapartum CTG. Decision for mode of delivery was made based on evaluation of progress of labour, foetal indication and maternal indications. All intra operative details were recorded and complications were managed accurately. All the neonates were attended by the pediatrician. Maternal postoperative complications and newborn complications were monitored regularly till they were discharged from the wards. At the time of discharge, the patients were explained about the importance of spacing, contraception and immunization.

## RESULTS

Age Distribution: Frequency Table

|  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 20 yrs | 40 yrs | 27.05 yrs | 3.46 |


| Age group | No. of subjects (n = 100) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 20 yrs | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| $21-25$ yrs | 37 | $37 \%$ |
| $26-30$ yrs | 49 | $49 \%$ |
| Above 31 yrs | 13 | $13 \%$ |

The age group of 26 to 30 years accounts for the majority of women having primary caesarean sections ( $49 \%$ ) followed by the age group of 21 to 25 years ( $37 \%$ ), women over 31 years ( $13 \%$ ) and the age group of less than 20 years ( $1 \%$ ). The youngest mother in the current study was 20 years old, while the oldest was 44.

## Age Distribution Bar chart



## Gravida Distribution

| Particulars | No. of subjects (n = 100) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G2 | 32 | 32 |
| G3 | 37 | 37 |
| G4 | 19 | 19 |
| $>$ G4 | 12 | 12 |

Among the patients undergoing caesarean section, maximum number were Gravida 3 accounts for $37 \%$. number of Gravida 2 were $32 \%$, number of Gravida 4 were $19 \%$ and least were Gravida 5 or more ( $12 \%$ ).

## Gravida Distribution Bar Chart



## ANC Status

| Particulars | No. of subjects (n = 100) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Booked | 24 | 24 |
| Unbooked | 76 | 76 |

It was observed that the patients who were booked were $24 \%$ and the unbooked patients were $76 \%$..This suggests that even multiparous patients do not go for regular antenatal visits.

## ANC Status Pie Chart



## Types of Cases Received

| Case Type | No. of subjects (n = 100) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non- referral | 18 | 18 |
| Referral | 82 | 82 |

There were $82 \%$ of cases that were referred to us and only $18 \%$ of cases thatwe got directly.

## Types of cases pie chart



## Types of Operation

| Types | No. of subjects (n=100) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elective | 6 | 6 |
| Emergency | 94 | 94 |

$98 \%$ of patients underwent an emergency caesarean section, while $2 \%$ ofpatients were chosen for an elective caesarean section.

## Types of operation Pie-chart



## Antenatal Complications

| Complications | No. of subjects | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Abnormal Doppler | 1 | 1 |
| Abruptio placenta | 2 | 2 |
| Type 2 Placenta Previa | 2 | 2 |
| Oligohydramnios | 20 | 20 |
| Polyhydramnios | 2 | 2 |
| Antepartum Eclampsia/APE | 1 | 3 |
| Anemia | 1 | 1 |
| BOH | 1 | 1 |
| DCDA Twin Single Fetal Demise | 5 | 1 |
| MCDA Twin Single Fetal Demise | 1 | 1 |
| DCDA Twins | 1 | 1 |
| PIH | 1 | 1 |
| Recurrent PIH | 2 | 1 |
| GDM | 2 | 1 |
| Overt DM | 1 | 1 |
| Hypothyroid | 1 | 2 |
| Imminent Eclampsia | 1 | 1 |
| Severe Pre-eclampsia | 3 | 1 |
| Epilepsy | 1 | 1 |
| Rh Negative | 1 | 1 |
| Pass Dates | 1 | 1 |
| IUGR | 1 | 1 |
| NIL | 1 | 1 |

Among the antenatal complications, maximum with medical disorders like gestational diabetes mellitus, gestational hypertension, anaemia complicating
pregnancy, hypothyroidism were $18 \%$ those with Oligohydramnios 20\%, postdatismwere $2 \%$, RH Neg were 3\%, BOH were 7\%

## Antenatal complications Bar Chart



## Indications for cesarean section

| Indication | No. of subjects | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imminent Eclampsia | 1 | 1 |
| Antepartum Eclampsia | 2 | 2 |
| PIH | 4 | 4 |
| DCDA Twins | 1 | 1 |
| DCDA Twin Single Fetal Demise | 1 | 1 |
| Twin with Single Fetal Demise | 1 | 1 |
| Placenta Previa | 2 | 2 |
| BOH | 2 | 2 |
| Abmormal Doppler | 1 | 1 |
| Oligohydramnios | 17 | 17 |
| Anhydramnios | 1 | 1 |
| Polyhydramnios | 2 | 2 |
| Failed Induction | 7 | 7 |
| Non Progress of Labour | 3 | 3 |
| Breech | 17 | 17 |
| Transverse Lie | 1 | 1 |
| CPD | 11 | 11 |
| Obstructed Labour | 6 | 6 |
| Prolonged PROM | 14 | 14 |
| Fetal Distress | 6 | 6 |
| Fetoplacental Insufficiency/IUGR | 6 | 6 |
| Hand Prolapse | 1 | 1 |
| Hydrocephalus | 1 | 1 |

## Indications for cesarean section bar chart



Oligohydramnios had the greatest incidence of caesarean sections among the multiparous women of $17 \%$. Malpresentation, which occurs $17 \%$ more frequently than the other two, comes in second. Cephalopelvic disproportion (CPD) cases made up $11 \%$ of all cases, prolonged PROM cases made up $14 \%$, failed induction cases made up $7 \%$, medical disorders like GDM, gestational hypertension, and twin gestations with twin A noncephalic cases made up $4 \%$ each, obstructed labour
cases made up $6 \%$, and BOH cases made up $2 \%$, hand prolapse cases made up $1 \%$, foetal alarm signal cases made up $4 \%$, and APH cases made up $4 \%$.

## Malpresentations

| Particulars | No. of Subjects | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Breech | 17 | $89.50 \%$ |
| Compound (Hand prolapse) | 1 | $5.26 \%$ |
| Transverse lie | 1 | $5.26 \%$ |
| Total | 19 | $100 \%$ |

The most frequent malpresentation was breech presentation. There were 17 occurrences, with 2 transverse lie and 1 compound presentation [hand prolapse] following.
Malpresentation pie chart

Breech ■Compound (Hand prolapse) ■Transverse lie


## Intraoperative findings

| Complications | No. of subjects (n=100) | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Uneventful | 52 | 52 |
| Scanty Liqour | 24 | 24 |
| Bladder Injury | 1 | 1 |
| Muconeum stained liquor | 7 | 7 |
| Atonic PPH | 5 | 5 |
| Excess liquor | 4 | 4 |
| Angle extension | 1 | 1 |
| Edematous bladder | 2 | 2 |
| Thinned out LUS | 3 | 3 |
| Arcuate uterus | 1 | 1 |

## Intra-operative complications bar chart



In this study $11 \%$ patients had intraoperative complications. Among them the most common intraoperative complications were Postpartum Haemorrage [PPH] were 5\%. They were treated medically. And $1 \%$ of cases had extension of uterine incision. Thinned out lower
uterine segment noted in $3 \%$ of cases.

## Post-operative morbidity

| Particulars | No. of subjects | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Blood Transfusion | 6 | $6 \%$ |
| Uneventful | 86 | $86 \%$ |
| Bladder drainage for 21 days | 1 | $1 \%$ |
| Wound discharge | 4 | $4 \%$ |
| Resuturing | 3 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 100 | $100 \%$ |

In this study, totally 14 cases reported postoperative complications. wound infection were noted in $4 \%$, among those $3 \%$ of cases underwent secondary suturing. and blood transfusion done postoperatively in $6 \%$ of cases. $86 \%$ of them were without anypostoperative morbidity.

## Post-operative morbidity bar chart



Birth Weight: Descriptive statistics

|  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth Weight | 1.75 kg | 4 kg | 2.97 kg | 0.47 |


| Birth weight (Kg) | No. of subjects | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<2$ | 8 | 7.77 |
| $2.1-2.5$ | 16 | 15.53 |
| $2.6-3.0$ | 43 | 41.75 |
| $3.0-3.4$ | 17 | 16.50 |
| $>3.5$ | 19 | 18.45 |
| Total | 103 | 100.00 |

## Birth weight Bar chart



The babies delivered by caesarean section in multiparous women were maximum birth weight between $2.6-3.0 \mathrm{~kg}(41.75 \%)$, followed by birth Weight $>3.5 \mathrm{kgs}(18.45 \%)$. The percentage of babies with birth weight between 2.1 to 2.5 kgs were $15.53 \%$ and those with less than 2 kg were $7.77 \%$.

## Foetal Outcome

| Foetal Outcome | No. of subjects (n=100) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother side | 76 | 76 |
| SNCU | 23 | 23 |
| Still Birth | 1 | 1 |

Among the foetus delivered through caesarean section, $23 \%$ of babies were admitted in NICU. The remaining $76 \%$ were without any perinatal morbidity., $1 \%$ of stillbirth.

## Foetal outcome Pie-chart



## DISCUSSION

This prospective study was conducted to examine 100 cases of multiparouswomen who underwent caesarean sections for the first time.

## AGE-RELATED CASE DISTRIBUTION IN MULTIPARA:

$49 \%$ of women who had their first caesarean section were between the ages of 26 and 30.

Most common age group of women undergoing cesarean section amongdifferent studies

| Study | Most common age <br> group | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jyoti Rao et al | $25-29$ | $41.5 \%$ |
| Partha Saradhi et al | $25-29$ | $45.18 \%$ |
| P.himabindhu et al | $21-25$ | $68.8 \%$ |
| Rural samal et al | $21-30$ | $83.8 \%$ |
| Sethi et al | $25-29$ | $41 \%$ |
| Present study | $26-29$ | $49 \%$ |

## CASES ARE DISTRIBUTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGISTRATION STATUS :

In this analysis of 100 cases, 24 patients had appointments and 76 had none.

| Study | Booked | Unbooked |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Jyoti Rao et al | 27.9 | 72.9 |
| Partha Saradhi et al | 90.13 | 9.86 |
| P.himabindhu et al | 29 | 71 |
| Rural samal et al | 97.1 | 2.9 |
| Sethi et al | 38 | 62 |
| Present study | 24 | 76 |

## MULTIPAROUS WOMEN: INDICATIONS FOR PRIMARY CAESAREAN SECTION

Oligohydramnios had the greatest incidence of caesarean sections among the various indications in multiparous women, occurring $17 \%$ of the time. Malpresentation, which occurs $17 \%$ more frequently than the other two, comes in second. Cephalopelvic disproportion (CPD) cases accounted for $11 \%$ of all cases, while prolonged PROM cases accounted for $14 \%$, failed induction cases accounted for $7 \%$, medical disorders such as GDM and gestational hypertension $7 \%$, twin gestations $3 \%$, obstructed labour $6 \%$, and BOH cases $2 \%$, hand prolapse $1 \%$, and APH cases $4 \%$ each.
Indications for primary caesarean section in multiparous women compared toother studies

| Indications | Present <br> study | Himabind <br> $\mathbf{u}$ | Desai <br> et al | Jyothi <br> rao <br> Et al | Partha <br> Saradhi | Samal <br> Et al |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fetal distress | 6 | 24.7 | 25.5 | 17 | 8.02 | 42.6 |
| Malpresentation | 17 | 19.3 | 17.4 | 33.5 | 30.7 | 26.4 |
| CPD | 11 | 3.2 | 19.7 | 18.5 | 10.3 | 14.7 |
| APH | 4 | 11.2 | 22.1 | 19.5 | 8.9 | 5.9 |
| Non progress | 3.1 | 8.6 | 4.6 | - | 8.25 | - |
| Failed Induction | 3.1 | 5.9 | - | - | 15.3 | 4.5 |
| Oligohydramnios | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |
| PROM | 14 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Obstructed <br> labour | 6 | 3.2 | - | 18.5 | 5.04 | - |

## TYPE OF CESAREAN SECTION

The number of patients who underwent Emergency Caesarean section were $98 \%$ and number of patients who were selected for Elective Caesarean section were 2\%

| Study | Emergency LSCS | Elective LSCS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jyoti Rao et al | 67.7 | 32.5 |
| Partha Saradhi et al | 89.44 | 10.56 |
| P.himabindhu et al | 78.5 | 21.5 |
| Sethi et al | 91 | 9 |
| Present study | 98 | 2 |

## MATERNAL MORBIDITY

The caesarean section is a major surgical operation. Blood vessels, the bowel, the ureter, the bladder, as well as the cervix, the vagina, and broad ligaments, could all sustain damage.

Additionally, it raises the risk of diseases like urinary tract infections, pulmonary embolism, paralytic ileus, and postpartum haemorrhage. There was no maternal mortality in our study due to good preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative care.

In this study, totally 14 cases reported postoperative complications. wound infections were noted in $4 \%$, among those $3 \%$ of cases underwent secondary suturing. and blood transfusion done postoperatively in $6 \%$ of cases. $86 \%$ of them were without any postoperative morbidity.

## MATERNAL MORBIDITY AMONG VARIOUS STUDIES

| Postoperative <br> morbidity | Present <br> study | Himabindu | Desai <br> et al | Jyothi rao <br> Et al | Sethi <br> Et al |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wound infection | 4 | 8.6 | 10.97 | 7.5 | 6 |
| Blood transfusion | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Pyrexia | - | 18.5 | 11.63 | 3.5 | 5 |
| Urinary tract infection | - | 9.6 | 2.33 | 2 | - |
| Paralytic ileus | - | 7.5 | 13.95 | - | 1 |

## NEONATAL MORBIDITY

Among fetuses delivered by cesarean section, 23 were admitted in NICU. 1 \%stillbirth

| Study | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Jyoti Rao et al | 16.5 |
| Partha Saradhi et al | 11.5 |
| P.himabindu | 15 |
| Sethi et al | 17 |
| Present study | 23 |
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## SUMMARY

Over the course of two years, the study "Primary caesarean section in multiparous women" was carried out in the Department of OBG at the Government General Hospital in Anantapuram. This study, which involved 100 primary caesarean sections in multiparous women, was prospective and randomised.

The majority of the study's participants were between the ages of 26 and 30 .
The majority of the patients were pregnant women in their third trimester, and
$24 \%$ of them had appointments while $76 \%$ did not. $98 \%$ of patients underwent Emergency Caesarean section .
Malpresentations breech (17\%), hypertensive disorders of pregnancy
(11\%)anemia (1\%), antepartum hemorrhage (4\%), were the most common antenatal complications.
Oligohydramnios 17\%, Fetal distress (28.8\%), malpresentations (breech)
( $17 \%$ ), prolonged PROM $14 \%$, cephalopelvic disproportion (11\%), failed induction $7 \%$ were the most common indications for cesarean section.
Patients with postoperative maternal morbidity made up (14\%). Among these, $6 \%$ of the patients required blood transfusions. The current study did not have any maternal mortality.

## CONCLUSION

The challenge of multiparity populations is exacerbated by factors including poverty, ignorance, illiteracy, and a lack of familiarity with antenatal care and family planning options. In fact, multiparas are linked to high rates of mother and foetal morbidity even when they had previously given birth vaginally and may still need a caesarean section for safe delivery.
The need of adequate prenatal care and vigilant labour management is emphasised by this study. Negligence frequently calls for operational measures to ensure the welfare of both mother and child.

The patient and her loved ones experience a false sense of security because of a previous vaginal delivery. The occurrence of one or more vaginal deliveries in a multipara should be viewed as an encouraging historical event rather than diagnostic evidence for the present pregnancy's spontaneous delivery.

Despite making up a small portion of all deliveries, primary caesarean in pregnant women is not unusual. Maternal deaths can be eliminated with proper intrapartum and postpartum care. Therefore, the same care must be given to a multiparous woman in labour as to a primigravida.

Improved prenatal care in multipara with early detection of high-risk pregnancies, high-quality emergency obstetric care, the adoption of an integrated and composite approach to improve women's health, and finally, health education and counselling for the adoption of small family norms are some of the measures to be implemented for lowering maternal morbidity and mortality in multipara.
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