

## Outcomes in Early Vs Delayed Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy for Acute Cholecystitis - an Observational Study

Ajay Sivakumar<sup>1</sup>, Praveen G Sekaran<sup>2</sup>, Vimal Kumar Govindan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, PSG Institute of Medical Science and Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, PSG Institute of Medical Science and Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of General Surgery, PSG Institute of Medical Science and Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

### Abstract

**Background:** The study aims to evaluate and compare the clinical outcomes of early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with acute cholecystitis. **Material and Methods:** Thirty patients, with acute cholecystitis, who had undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy were studied. The patients were deemed to have undergone early laparoscopic cholecystectomy if they had their surgery within 72 hours of the initial presentation of the symptoms. All those patients, who underwent the surgery after 4 weeks, were considered to be in the delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group. **Results:** The early and delayed groups had 19 and 11 patients respectively. The overall occurrence of intraoperative complications was found to be similar in both groups. It was 84.2% in the early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group, and in comparison, it was 90.9% in the delayed group. Major bleeding in the early group was 21.1% and that of the delayed group was 18.2%. The bile and gallstone spillage, in our study, among the early and delayed groups were 47.4% and 72.7% respectively. In our study, the drain requirement in the delayed group was 63.6%, while it was 52.6%, in the early group. The duration of surgery on average was 140.5 minutes in the early group when compared to 95.5 minutes in the delayed group. **Conclusion:** There were no statistically significant differences in the outcomes of early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with acute cholecystitis regarding complications. Duration of surgery was more and length of hospital stay less in early group. **Keywords:** Acute Cholecystitis, Early Cholecystectomy, Delayed Cholecystectomy, Complications, Hospital Stay.

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Ajay Sivakumar, Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, PSG Institute of Medical Science and Research, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

### Introduction

Acute cholecystitis is a common condition that most surgeons come across in their practice. Studies conducted in North India showed that the prevalence of gallstone disease, including asymptomatic cases, is around 6 to 8%.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Assuming that 1 to 4 % of them would become symptomatic and complicated, there would be a large number of patients requiring hospitalization and many of them, surgery. With the introduction of laparoscopy surgery, the surgical community witnessed the evolution of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), which gives the surgeon a better angle of vision and magnification of the structures. LC is now the gold standard for all gall bladder surgeries.<sup>[3]</sup>

LC results in fewer complications, reduced mortality and reduced hospital stay.<sup>[4-6]</sup> But the timing of the surgery has long been a subject of debate. The timing of the surgery for acute cholecystitis is believed to have a bearing on the morbidity and mortality, duration of the surgery, and hospital stay. The updated Tokyo guidelines in 2013 opened the doors to the

possibility of early surgical management of acute cholecystitis.<sup>[7]</sup> With increasing training in laparoscopy, surgeons have started to attempt the procedure. However, the vast majority shirk away due to a preconceived notion of a difficult perioperative period.<sup>[8]</sup>

In this study, we have compared the outcomes of early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis.

### Methodology

The study sample consisted of thirty patients, diagnosed with acute cholecystitis who have undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy in our department. A diagnosis of acute cholecystitis was made based on clinical and radiological criteria. Criteria for an acute episode include the following: right hypochondrial tenderness, Murphy's sign, leucocytosis, gall bladder oedema/thickening and pericholecystic fluid on ultrasonography. Patients with common bile duct stones, previous surgeries, and pancreatitis were excluded from the study.


The study was conducted during the period from June 2012 to June 2014. Approval was obtained from the institutional ethics committee. Study was ethically conducted in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. The patients were divided into two groups. The early group patients were those who had their surgery within 72 hours of the initial presentation of the symptoms. Patients, who underwent the surgery after 4 weeks, were considered to be in the delayed group. The delayed group patients were managed conservatively with intravenous fluids, analgesics and antibiotics for acute cholecystitis in their index admissions. The decision to operate early or late was taken by the primary surgeon in charge of the patient.

The total number of patients, who underwent early laparoscopic surgery was 19, while the number, who underwent delayed laparoscopic surgery was 11. The study was a comparative study, partly retrospective and partly prospective. The statistical data were analysed using SPSS software by t-test and z-test. The level of significance was kept at <0.05.

## RESULTS

### Study groups

The distribution of age showed that the majority of the patients were in their 6th decade of life or older. There were 57.9% in the early group and 72.8% in the delayed group and. There were only 3 patients (1 in the early group and 2 in the delayed group), who presented before the age of 30.



### Timing of surgery

The average time for surgery among the early group was 51.3 hours following presentation with symptoms. The earliest was within 40 hours and all were done within 70 hours. Among the delayed group, participants were taken up for surgery on average, around the 31st day with the latest being 40 days.

**Table 1: ?**

	Early Cholecystectomy	Delayed Cholecystectomy
Average time	51.3 hours	31.5 days
Range	40 to 70 hours	30 to 40 days

### Complications

The intraoperative complications were similar in the early and delayed groups. If minor bleeding was excluded from the list, the early group had 26.3% and the delayed group 36.3%. There were no bile duct or visceral injuries in either of the two groups. Major bleeding (defined as a drop in Hb>2g/dl or transfusing of more than 2 units of blood) was encountered in 4 of the early group patients and 2 of the delayed group. Stone and bile spillage was seen in 9 and 8 cases respectively, in the early and delayed groups.

**Table 2: ?.**

Intra-operative Complications	Early Cholecystectomy	Delayed Cholecystectomy	P Value
Present	16 (84.2%)	10 (90.9%)	
Absent	3 (15.8%)	1 (9.1%)	
Major Bleeding	4 (21.1%)	2 (18.2%)	>0.05
Minor Bleeding	11 (57.9%)	6 (54.6%)	>0.05
Bile Duct Injury	0	0	
Gallstone, Bile spillage	9 (47.4%)	8 (72.7%)	>0.05
Visceral Injuries	0	0	
Conversion	1 (5.3%)	0	

There were no post-operative complications in the delayed group. Bile leak was encountered in 2 of the early group patients.

### Intraoperative findings

Adhesions were encountered in most of the patients in both groups (79% in the early group and 54.6% in the delayed group;  $p>0.05$ ). There were 2 anatomical variations in the early group.

### Duration of surgery

The majority of the patients in the delayed group had their procedure performed within 2 hours (72.7%), while most of the patients in the early group took longer than 2 hours (74.3%). The average duration of surgery in the early group was 140.5 minutes, while it was only 95.5 mins in the delayed group. This was a statistically significant difference.

**Table 3: ?**

Operative Duration	Early Cholecystectomy	Delayed Cholecystectomy	P Value
<60 min	0	0	

60 - 120 min	3 (15.8%)	8 (72.7%)	>0.05
121 - 180 min	12 (63.2%)	3 (27.3%)	>0.05
>180 min	4 (21.1%)	0	

### Length of hospital stay

84.3% of the patients in the early group were discharged within 8 days, while more than half of the delayed group patients had a hospital stay above 8 days (54.6%);  $p=0.025$ . There is a significant decrease in the length of hospital stay in the early group.

## DISCUSSION

Cholecystectomy or the removal of the gallbladder is one of the most commonly performed procedures in the general surgery department. The most common indication remains the inflammation of the gallbladder termed acute cholecystitis. Treatment for the condition has seen rapid progress in the last few decades, fuelled mainly by the introduction of laparoscopy which has shown decreased morbidity, complications and hospital stay.<sup>[4]</sup>

Some of the earlier studies by Thomas B Hugh and R Schmitz have shown that the incidence of cholecystitis is common in the fourth and fifth decades of life.<sup>[9]</sup> A recent study conducted in India has shown that there was a shift from middle-aged females to younger women in their twenties.<sup>[10]</sup> Similar findings were obtained in our study with a higher proportion of female patients in both groups.

While laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the gold standard for acute cholecystitis, the timing of surgery has been the subject of many studies. In the pre-laparoscopic surgery era, early surgery had no increased complication or death rate in comparison with delayed surgery.<sup>[11]</sup> On the contrary, it had been seen that delaying the surgery could increase the rate of gallstone-related complications.<sup>[1,12]</sup> The proponents of delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy have said that inflammation in Calot's triangle can make dissection difficult if done early,<sup>[13]</sup> while those of early surgery believe, fibrotic adhesions in delayed surgery cases, are fraught with complications.<sup>[14]</sup> A meta-analysis has concluded that laparoscopic cholecystectomy is possible for up to 7 days after the onset of acute cholecystectomy.

In our study, among the 30 participants, 19 underwent early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (within 72 hrs of admission). The other 11 underwent delayed surgery at a later admission; an average of 31 days from the index presentation. The delayed group patients were managed conservatively during the first admissions with fluids, analgesics and antibiotics.

The presence of co-morbidities among patients with acute cholecystitis is a factor which can change the course of a patient and therefore the management of the patient. Studies have shown diabetes mellitus and hypertension to be risk factors for gallstone disease.<sup>[15,16]</sup> Diabetes mellitus has also been associated with poor outcomes. In our studies, the groups were well matched for diabetes while hypertensive patients were more in the early group. Despite the presence of risk factors, our study has shown similar outcomes concerning complications.

The intraoperative complications that occurred were noted during the surgery. They were the presence of major and minor bleeding, bile duct injuries, and bile and gallstone spillage. Conversion from a laparoscopic procedure into an open procedure was also analysed. The overall occurrence of intraoperative complications was looked at. They were found to be similar among both groups. It was 84.2% in the early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group, and in comparison, it was 90.9% in the delayed group.

One-third of all major complications in laparoscopic cholecystectomy are bleeding-related.<sup>[17]</sup> Bleeding could be intra-operative when it is due to vascular or visceral injury, or post-operative when the most common cause is slippage of a ligature or clip. Uncontrolled bleeding has been reported in various series from 2% to 10 %, though the actual figures could

be much higher.<sup>[18]</sup> All the cases of major bleeding were due to inadvertent injury to the cystic artery. Dissection was difficult in cases of inflammation in Calot's triangle or due to adhesions. Our study showed no significant difference in complications between the two groups.

The bile and gallstone spillage, in our study, among the early and delayed groups were 47.4% and 72.7% respectively. None of our patients developed any complications as a result of the spillage. There was a solitary conversion to open procedure, which was in the early group. Conversion rates in the two groups are similar in other studies.<sup>[16]</sup> The main reason for conversion in the early surgery is inflammation in Calot's triangle and fibrotic adhesions in delayed surgery.<sup>[1,13,14]</sup> In early cases, the gall bladder is often friable and would tear when grasped leading to considerable oozing. These two factors have been responsible for a higher conversion in early cases.<sup>[3]</sup> The study by Gurusamy et al also noted no significant difference between early vs delayed cholecystectomy.<sup>[5]</sup>

Similarly, post-operative complications like bile leak or wound infections among the two groups were found to be not significant. Similar results have been reported in studies by Choi et al and Chandler CF et al.<sup>[19,20]</sup>

One would expect a longer duration of surgery when laparoscopic cholecystectomy is done in the setting of acute cholecystitis. Studies have also noted the increased duration of surgery in early cholecystectomy.<sup>[3,12]</sup> In our study, we noticed a statistically significant increase in the duration of surgery in the early group. A retrospective study, showed a significantly less duration of surgery in early laparoscopic cholecystectomy, as opposed to delayed surgery.<sup>[21]</sup> The hospital stay has been noted to be lower in the early group. This is because the delayed group has two treatment phases, one for conservative management of acute inflammation and the other for the procedure. Studies by Gurusamy et al and Gutt et al have noted hospital stay in the early group was lower by 4 days.<sup>[5]</sup> Our study showed that the average duration of hospital stay for the early group is significantly less than that of delayed group.

Limitations of the study included a small sample size. The results of the study will hopefully set the stage for larger-scale clinical studies and further cost analysis which was not performed in this study.

## CONCLUSION

There was no statistically significant difference in outcomes between early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis regarding complications. Duration of surgery for early cholecystectomy is noted to be significantly more than delayed surgery while length of hospital stay has been found to be significantly less in the early surgery group.

## REFERENCES

1. Lawrentschuk N, Hewitt PM, Pritchard MG. Elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy: implications of prolonged waiting times for surgery. *ANZ J Surg.* 2003 Nov;73(11):890–3.
2. Sachdeva S, Khan Z, Ansari MA, Khalique N, Anees A. Lifestyle and Gallstone Disease: Scope for Primary Prevention. *Indian J Community Med.* 2011 Dec;36(4):263–7.
3. Kolla SB, Aggarwal S, Kumar A, Kumar R, Chumber S, Parshad R, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a prospective randomized trial. *Surg Endosc.* 2004 Sep;18(9):1323–7.
4. Chang TC, Lin MT, Wu MH, Wang MY, Lee PH. Evaluation of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the treatment of acute cholecystitis. *Hepatogastroenterology.* 2009 Feb;56(89):26–8.

5. Gurusamy K, Samraj K, Gluud C, Wilson E, Davidson BR. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on the safety and effectiveness of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *Br J Surg.* 2010 Feb;97(2):141–50.
6. Macafee D a. L, Humes DJ, Bouliotis G, Beckingham IJ, Whyne DK, Lobo DN. Prospective randomized trial using cost-utility analysis of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute gallbladder disease. *Br J Surg.* 2009 Sep;96(9):1031–40.
7. Miura F, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Solomkin JS, Pitt HA, Gouma DJ, et al. TG13 flowchart for the management of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. *J Hepato-Biliary-Pancreat Sci.* 2013 Jan;20(1):47–54.
8. Sandzén B, Haapamäki MM, Nilsson E, Stenlund HC, Oman M. Surgery for acute gallbladder disease in Sweden 1989-2006--a register study. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2013 Apr;48(4):480–6.
9. Hugh TB, Chen FC, Hugh TJ, Li B. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A prospective study of outcome in 100 unselected patients. *Med J Aust.* 1992;156(5):318–20.
10. Johansson M, Thune A, Blomqvist A, Nelvin L, Lundell L. Management of acute cholecystitis in the laparoscopic era: results of a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *J Gastrointest Surg Off J Soc Surg Aliment Tract.* 2003 Aug;7(5):642–5.
11. Spaner SJ, Warnock GL. A brief history of endoscopy, laparoscopy, and laparoscopic surgery. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 1997 Dec;7(6):369–73.
12. Papi C, Catarci M, D'Ambrosio L, Gili L, Koch M, Grassi GB, et al. Timing of cholecystectomy for acute calculous cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2004 Jan;99(1):147–55.
13. Peng WK, Sheikh Z, Nixon SJ, Paterson-Brown S. Role of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the early management of acute gallbladder disease. *Br J Surg.* 2005 May;92(5):586–91.
14. Lo CM, Liu CL, Fan ST, Lai ECS, Wong J. Prospective Randomized Study of Early Versus Delayed Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy for Acute Cholecystitis. *Ann Surg.* 1998 Apr;227(4):461–7.
15. Conte D, Fraquelli M, Fornari F, Lodi L, Bodini P, Buscarini L. Close relation between cirrhosis and gallstones: cross-sectional and longitudinal survey. *Arch Intern Med.* 1999 Jan 11;159(1):49–52.
16. Karamanos E, Sivrikoz E, Beale E, Chan L, Inaba K, Demetriades D. Effect of diabetes on outcomes in patients undergoing emergent cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *World J Surg.* 2013 Oct;37(10):2257–64.
17. Mases A, Montes A, Ramos R, Trillo L, Puig MM. Injury to the abdominal aorta during laparoscopic surgery: an unusual presentation. *Anesth Analg.* 2000 Sep;91(3):561–2.
18. Kaushik R. Bleeding complications in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Incidence, mechanisms, prevention and management. *J Minimal Access Surg.* 2010;6(3):59–65.
19. Choi SI, Lee SM, Ko YG, Koh SH, Hong SW, Joo HZ. Early Versus Delayed Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Acute Cholecystitis. *J Korean Surg Soc.* 2000;702–7.
20. Chandler CF, Lane JS, Ferguson P, Thompson JE, Ashley SW. Prospective evaluation of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for treatment of acute cholecystitis. *Am Surg.* 2000 Sep;66(9):896–900.
21. Zhu B, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Gong K, Lu Y, Zhang N. Comparison of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis within and beyond 72 h of symptom onset during emergency admissions. *World J Surg.* 2012 Nov;36(11):2654–8.