

Original Article

## Study Of Morphometric Evaluation Of Cadaver Mandible

Dr. Pavan Kumar Sisodia<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of Anatomy, Shri Balaji Institute of Medical Sciences, Mowa, Raipur

Dr. Deepak Jaiswal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of General Medicine, Shri Balaji Institute of Medical Sciences, Mowa, Raipur

Dr. Sushma BJ<sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Professor and Head, Dept. of Biochemistry, National Institute of Medical Sciences, Jaipur

**\*Corresponding Author:** - Dr. Pavan Kumar Sisodia

\*Assistant Professor, Dept. of Anatomy, Shri Balaji Institute of Medical Sciences, Mowa, Raipur

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The largest and strongest bone of the face, the mandible has several morphometric features that serve in identification of sex which is the first step towards age, stature and ethnicity determination. Increase in natural calamities, accidents and violent crimes have resulted in increase in mutilated faces or unidentified bodies parts that pose a great challenge for forensic experts, plastic and maxillofacial surgeons. Mandible is one of the most durable bones of skull, and mandibular remains from excavation sites is representative of the population and is of interest to anthropologists. Features of the lower jaw is of interest to orthodontic and plastic surgeons due to more people wanting to correct dental and facial asymmetries.

**Objectives of the study:** The present study aims to explore and measure bi-condylar and bi-gonial width, angle of mandible, gonion length, mandibular height and Bonwill's triangle of mandibles in our population. **Materials and methods:** The present study was conducted in Department of Anatomy at our hospital 80 (44 male, 36 female) dry adult human mandibles were studied. Fractured, pathological or deformed bones were excluded. Digital vernier callipers and mandibulometer were used to measure: Height of Mandible, Angle of Mandible, Bicondylar width, Bi-gonial width Gonion Length and Bonwill's Triangle.

**Results:** Only 16% were equilateral in males and 40 % in females, rest of the triangles are isosceles in both genders. The mean length of the sides were less than 10cm and lesser for females. Dimensions of Bonwill's triangles show strong positive correlation in both genders between mid-incisor to right condyle and mid-incisor to left condyle.

**Conclusion:** Sex determination has always been an integral part in biological profiling. The mandible has dimorphic traits which can be successfully applied for sex determination. But reliability lies on population-specific morphometric data. Applying an average Bonwill's

triangle values for making articulators and prosthetics for all races has resulted in errors in full mouth reconstruction.

**Key-words:** mandible, bi-condylar width, bi-gonial width, angle of mandible, mandibular height and Bonwill's triangle.

## INTRODUCTION

The largest and strongest bone of the face, the mandible has several morphometric features<sup>[1,2]</sup> that serve in identification of sex<sup>[3]</sup>, which is the first step towards age, stature and ethnicity determination<sup>[4,5,6]</sup>. Increase in natural calamities, accidents and violent crimes have resulted in increase in mutilated faces or unidentified bodies parts that pose a great challenge for forensic experts, plastic and maxillofacial surgeons<sup>[7]</sup>. Mandible is one of the most durable bones of skull, and mandibular remains from excavation sites is representative of the population and is of interest to anthropologists<sup>[8]</sup>. Features of the lower jaw is of interest to orthodontic and plastic surgeons due to more people wanting to correct dental and facial asymmetries<sup>[9,10]</sup>. Anaesthesiologists and ENT surgeons too need knowledge about mandibular parameters of a population for their procedures<sup>[11,12]</sup>. Skeletal characters vary between sex and race, so it is necessary to document sex and ethnicity-based standards.

Modern occlusal concepts began with G. Bonwill's<sup>[13]</sup> works who described an equilateral triangle with sides 10cm formed by joining the middle condylar points and mid-incisal point on mandible. This is considered to be the ideal arch. Some investigators later agreed with Bonwill's theory<sup>[14]</sup>, while some showed that sides of Bonwill's triangle were rarely equal and depended on ethnicity and sex<sup>[15,16,17]</sup>. This triangle helps to simulate the temporomandibular joint movements and gives the cusp angulations to construct complete dentures, articulators for reproducing mandibular movements, making of jaw prosthetics and is of anthropological interest too. There is paucity of data on parameters like gonion length and dimensions of Bonwill's triangle of mandibles from Eastern India. Previous studies show that there is intra-population difference in mandibular features depending on region of residence and cultural practices.

The present study aims to explore and measure bi-condylar and bi-gonial width, angle of mandible, gonion length, mandibular height and Bonwill's triangle of mandibles in our population.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS:

The present study was conducted in Department of Anatomy at our hospital 80 (44 male, 36 female) dry adult human mandibles were studied. Fractured, pathological or deformed bones were excluded. Digital vernier callipers and mandibulometer were used to measure:

**Height of Mandible** (at symphysis) – distance between infradentale and gnathion.

**Angle of Mandible**– Angle between lower and posterior borders of ramus.

**Bicondylar width**– **Distance** between the lateral poles of right and left mandibular condyles.

**Bi-gonial width**– **Distance** between right and left angle angles of mandible.

**Gonion Length**– **Distance** between angle of mandible (gonion) and gnathion (lowest point of mandible in anterior median plane ).

### **Bonwill's Triangle– 3**

- Distance between right mid-condylar point and mid-incisal point
- Distance between left mid-condylar point and mid-incisal point
- Distance between left and right mid-condylar points.

In case of angle of mandible and gonio gnation lengths, average of right and left sides was computed. SPSS was used for statistical analysis, p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

Parameters	Male	Female	p value
Bi-condylar width	10.98±0.68	11.10±0.58	NS
Bi-gonial length	9.38±0.67	8.34±0.68	S
Age of the mandible	120.1±1.56	129.8±2.34	HS
Height of the mandible	2.81±0.54	2.72±0.46	S
Gonio-gnation length	8.34±0.48	7.62±0.41	S

It is evident from the above table that Except bi-condylar width, all above parameters showed statistically significant gender difference.

Parameters	Male	Female	p value
Mid right condyle to incisal length	9.87±0.42	9.62±0.38	S
Mid left condyle to incisal length	9.76±0.54	9.58±0.62	S
Mid bi-condylar length	9.52±0.36	9.48±0.42	NS

Variable	Male	Female	p value
Mid incisor to right condyle vs mid incisor to left condyle	0.82	0.96	Strong positive correlation
Mid incisor to right condyle vs bi-condylar midpoint	0.72	0.62	Strong positive correlation
Mid incisor to left condyle vs bi-condylar midpoint	0.76	0.58	Strong positive correlation

**Bonwill's Triangle:** Only 16% were equilateral in males and 40 % in females, rest of the triangles are isosceles in both genders. The mean length of the sides were less than 10cm and lesser for females ( Table 2 ). Dimensions of Bonwill's triangles show strong positive correlation in both genders between mid-incisor to right condyle and mid-incisor to left condyle ( Table 3).

## Discussion

The present study was conducted in Department of Anatomy at our hospital 80 (44 male, 36 female) dry adult human mandibles were studied. Fractured, pathological or deformed bones were excluded. Digital vernier callipers and mandibulometer were used to measure: Each bone was measured for 6 parameters, compared with earlier studies to utilise the information to identify the gender and create a database for reference for further studies,

**Bi-condylar width:** In this study mean value for male mandibles was greater than in females but not significant. In 207 mandibles studied by Jayakaran F et al<sup>[22]</sup> found a mean of  $11.26 \pm 0.53$  cm in males and  $10.77 \pm 0.53$  cm in females of Karnataka. Datta A et al<sup>[21]</sup> got a mean of  $11.27 \pm 0.56$  cm in males and  $10.75 \pm 0.77$  cm in females in Devangere, Karnataka. The gender differences were statistically significant. Similarly, Sreelekha et al<sup>[19]</sup> found highly significant gender differences for bi-condylar width for population of South India. Ongkana N et al<sup>[3]</sup> had similar results for Thai population, Bertsatos et al<sup>[29]</sup> for Greek population and Steyn et al<sup>[30]</sup> for South African whites. Kumar et al<sup>[27]</sup> studied mandibles from Morgantown (USA); values were  $11.29 \pm 1.31$  cm and  $7.27 \pm 1.69$  cm for males and females respectively. Unlike our findings, Ranganath V et al<sup>[23]</sup> found females had greater bicondylar width than males.

**Bi-gonial length:** The mean value in male mandibles was more in males compared to female mandibles in this study, with statistically significant gender difference. Datta A et al<sup>[21]</sup> too recorded highly significant difference between male ( $9.57 \pm 0.52$  cm) and females ( $8.88 \pm 0.68$  cm) bi-gonial length. Jayakaran F et al<sup>[22]</sup> similarly concluded that mean length in males ( $9.38 \pm 0.54$  cm) was more than in females ( $8.71 \pm 0.48$  cm). Sreelekha et al<sup>[19]</sup> recorded a very highly significant gender difference; mean bi-gonial length in males and females were ( $8.94 \pm 0.69$  cm) and ( $7.78 \pm 0.52$  cm), respectively. Study on Thai population by Ongkana et al<sup>[3]</sup> showed similar results (males:  $9.68 \pm 0.77$  cm, females:  $8.97 \pm 0.59$  cm).

**Angle of mandible:** We found that this angle was less in males than in, with was statistically highly significant. Datta A et al<sup>[21]</sup> derived  $126.6 \pm 6^\circ$  and  $139 \pm 72^\circ$  for males and females respectively. Jayakaran F et al<sup>[22]</sup> too found mean mandibular angle in males ( $121.43^\circ$ ) was less than in females ( $124.19^\circ$ ). Sreelekha et al<sup>[19]</sup> also found significant difference between males ( $106 \pm 5.05^\circ$ ) and females ( $116.36 \pm 5.5^\circ$ ). Ranganath V et al<sup>[23]</sup> and Leversha J et al<sup>[18]</sup> showed similar results.

**Height of Mandible:** In this study, mean value in males more compared to females. Similar results were provided by Datta A et al<sup>[21]</sup> (males:  $2.88 \pm 0.32$ cm, females:  $2.28 \pm 0.38$  cm). Sreelekha et al<sup>[19]</sup> reported male and female values as  $2.99 \pm 0.31$ cm and  $2.83 \pm 0.28$ cm respectively.

**Goniognation Length:** Our study shows males have greater goniognation length than females and the difference is statistically significant. Ongkana<sup>[3]</sup> found significant gender difference between Thai males ( $8.32 \pm 0.52$  cm) and females ( $7.92 \pm 0.46$  cm). In South African whites, Styne M et al<sup>[30]</sup> showed similar significant gender differences (males:  $7.68 \pm 0.57$  cm; females:  $7.27 \pm 0.53$  cm). We could not find record of goniognation length for Indians.

**Bonwill's Triangle:** In our study, Bonwill's triangle is equilateral in only 16% males and 40% females. Majority are isosceles triangles, with the sides formed by midcondylar-incisal length being equal and statistically significant gender differences existed. All the sides were larger in males than females, although the difference for mid-bicondylar length was not significant. All sides were  $<10.16$ cm. Bonwill<sup>[13]</sup> measured 6000 skulls and 4000 living persons and deduced that the triangles were equilateral and named it after himself. He showed that the average side length was 4" (10.16cm). Ohm E et al<sup>[17]</sup> studied Norwegian population to show that all sides of the triangle were nearly equal, less than 10cm and sexually dimorphic. Shen Y W et al<sup>[28]</sup> showed in the Taiwanese population length of sides of Bonwill's triangle were consistent with Bonwill's theory but larger by 2mm. Lotric N et al<sup>[16]</sup> showed Yugoslav mandibles rarely had equilateral triangle, the mid-bicondylar breadth

was lesser than 10cm and the other 2 sides recorded sexual dimorphism. Zivanovic S et al<sup>[15]</sup> found in East African Bantu-speaking males, the triangles were isosceles, but in females they were nearly equilateral; all sides were larger in males. Nikolopoulou F et al<sup>[14]</sup> showed the Greek population had equilateral Bonwill's triangle, the sides of which were greater in males with a mean of 10.1cm. Such studies on Bonwill's triangle are scanty in India.

### **Conclusion:**

Sex determination has always been an integral part in biological profiling. The mandible has dimorphic traits which can be successfully applied for sex determination. But reliability lies on population-specific morphometric data. Applying an average Bonwill's triangle values for making articulators and prosthetics for all races has resulted in errors in full mouth reconstruction.

### **Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil

### **Conflicts of Interest**

There are no conflict of interest.

### **REFERENCES**

1. DiGangi EA, Moore MK. Research Methods in Human Skeletal Biology. Saint Louis: Elsevier Science;2014.
2. Datta A.K.Essentials of human osteology . 2<sup>nd</sup> edition . Kolkata: Current Books International ; 2005.
3. Ongkana N, Sudwan P. Gender difference in Thai mandibles using metric analysis. Chiang Mai Med J. 2009;48(2):43-8.
4. Indira AP, Markande A, David MP. Mandibular ramus: An indicator for sex determination-A digital radiographic study. J Forensic Dent Sci. 2012 Jul;4(2):58.
5. Ishwarkumar S, Pillay P, Haffajee MR, Satyapal KS. Morphometric analysis of the mandible in the Durban Metropolitan population of South Africa. Folia Morphol. 2017;76(1):82-6.
6. Upadhyay RB, Upadhyay J, Agrawal P, Rao NN. Analysis of gonial angle in relation to age, gender, and dentition status by radiological and anthropometric methods. J Forensic Dent Sci. 2012 Jan;4(1):29.
7. Saini V, Srivastava R, Rai RK, Shamal SN, Singh TB, Tripathi SK. Mandibular ramus: An indicator for sex in fragmentary mandible. J Forensic Sci. 2011 Jan;56:S13-6.
8. Thakur KC, Choudhary AK, Jain SK, Lalit K. Racial architecture of human mandible—An anthropological study. J Evol Med Dent Sci. 2013 Jun 10;2(23):4177-88.

9. Lo LJ, Wong FH, Chen YR. The position of the inferior alveolar nerve at the mandibular angle:: an anatomic consideration for aesthetic mandibular angle reduction. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2004 Jul 1;53(1):50-5.
10. Morris DE, Moaveni Z, Lo LJ. Aesthetic facial skeletal contouring in the Asian patient. *Clin Plast Surg.* 2007 Jul 1;34(3):547-56.
11. Akinosi JO. A new approach to the mandibular nerve block. *Br J Oral Surg.* 1977 Jul 1;15(1):83-7.
12. Handler SD, Keon TP. Difficult laryngoscopy/intubation: the child with mandibular hypoplasia. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 1983 Jul;92(4):401-4.
13. Bonwill WG. Geometrical and mechanical laws of articulation. *Trans Odont Soc Penna.* 1885:119-130.
14. Nikolopoulou F, Xrysostomidis A, Psari X, et al. Bonwill's Triangle in Greek Human Mandibles. *Advan Dent Oral Health.* 2019;11(4):123-5.
15. Živanović S. Bonwill's triangle and asymmetry in East African human mandibles. *Arch Oral Biol.* 1969 Sep 1;14(9):1041-1044.
16. Lotrić N, Jovanović S. Varijacije Bonwillvog trougla. *Stomat Gl Srbije.* 1958;2:54-62.
17. E Ohm, J Silness. The size of the Balkwill angle and the height of the Bonwill triangle. *J Oral Rehabil.* 1982;9(4): 301-306.
18. Leversha J, McKeough G, Myrteza A, et al. Age and gender correlation of gonial angle, ramus height and bigonial width in dentate subjects in a dental school in Far North Queensland. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2016 Feb;8(1):e49.
19. Sreelekha D, Madhavi D, Jothi SS, et al. Study on mandibular parameters of forensic significance. *J Anat Soc India.* 2020 Jan 1;69(1):21.
20. Sharma M, Gorea RK, Gorea A, et al. A morphometric study of the human mandible in the Indian population for sex determination. *Egypt J Forensic Sci.* 2016 Jun 1;6(2):165-9.
21. Datta A, Siddappa SC, Gowda VK, et al. A study of sex determination from human mandible using various morphometrical parameters. *Indian J Forensic Community Med.* 2015 Jul;2(3):158-66.
22. Jayakaran, F., Rajangam, S., Janakiram, S. et al. Sexing of the Mandible. *Anatomica Karnataka.* 2000; 1: 11-16.