VOL14, ISSUE 06, 2023

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833

Evaluation of Effects of Premedication dose of Dexmedetomidine on Pressor Response to Laryngoscopy and Endotracheal Intubation During Elective Intrabdominal Surgery Under General Anaesthesia

Komal Mann¹, Neha¹, Mukesh K Prasad², Payal Jain³, Rohit K Varshney⁴

¹Resident, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

²Professor and Head, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

³Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

⁴Professor and Head, Department of Emergency Medicine, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

Background: Laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation are frequently linked to hypertension and tachycardia because of the sympathoadrenal stimulation. To combat the pressor response several medications like local anaesthetic, B blockers and opioids. Alpha 2 agonist, Dexmedetomidine (lug/kg) has been used as premedication 10minutes prior to induction of general anaesthesia in order to assess the pressor response of laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. Material and Methods: After ethical clearance 60 patients aged 18-60 years old were randomised and divided into two groups, Group A(n=30) and group B(n=30). Group A received IV normal saline 20ml and group B received IV Dexmedetomidine, 1µg/kg diluted in 20ml of normal saline which was administered over 10 minutes and started 10 minutes prior to induction of general anaesthesia. Hemodynamic parameters were were recorded at preinduction, after induction of anaesthesia, HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were recorded at. base line (T0) after 10 minutes of the administration of the study drug (T1), after 3 minutes of the administration of the neuromuscular blocker T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7 and T8 recorded after 1min,2 min,3 min,5min,10min,15min and 20min after intubation. Results: There was significant difference in heart rate, systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressre at T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7 and T8. (P<0.05). There was significant decrease in hemodynamic parametres at different time interval post laryngoscopy and induction of general anaesthesia. Conclusion: The pressor response to tracheal intubation and laryngoscopy was observed to be successfully attenuated by dexmedetomidine (1 µg/kg) IV, given 10 min before induction, with no major adverse effects.

Keywords: Laryngoscopy, Induction, Pressor Response, Intubation, Dexmedetomidine.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Neha, Resident, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

INTRODUCTION

A period of extremely high hemodynamic stress is seen during laryngoscopy and intubation because of release of mechanical and chemical stimuli during the procedure.^[1,2] In patients with end organ decompensation, pressor response can lead to intra-operative myocardial infarction, abrupt left ventricular failure, dysrhythmias, and intracranial bleeding. These responses hardly take one minute of intubation to occur and the reaction reaches its peak intensity and lasts for five to ten minutes.

These responses can be minimised gentle laryngoscopy, keeping intubation time within 15seconds and blind nasal intubations. Various pharmacological medicines, such as topical, nebulized, or systemic lidocaine, opioids, beta adrenoceptor blocking agents like metoprolol are used to decrease the pressor response.^[3,4]

Alfa 2 agonists had sympatholytic action and it attenuates the hemodynamic response following laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. The other advantages being sedation, anxiolysis and analgesia,^[2,5] and it also decreases minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of volatile anaesthetics during general anaesthesia.^[6,7]

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 06, 2023

We hypothesized that Dexmedetomidine an alpha 2 agonist has no effect on hemodynamic effects after laryngoscopy and intubation when given 10 minutes before induction of anaesthesia.

The primary outcome was to record hemodynamic responses at various time interval during laryngoscopy and intubation.

METHODOLOGY

This Prospective Double Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial was conducted at tertiary care hospital after seeking approval from institutional ethical committee and taking written informed consent from the patient. A total of 60 patients between the ages of 18–60 years of ASA grade I/II posted for various elective intraabdominal surgeries under general anaesthesia were included in study. This study was conducted between September 2021 to September 2022.

Patients on preoperative β blockers, angiotensin receptor antagonist, ACE inhibitors, pregnant or nursing women, Patient refusal to participate in the study, drug allergy, patients with anticipated difficult intubation and more than one intubation attempt or any history of cardiovascular, respiratory, hepatic, renal diseases were excluded from study.

The patients were randomized using chit and box method into two groups of 35 patients each the allocation was concealed by utilising sealed, opaque envelopes.

Group A (n=35) received IV Normal saline 20ml administered over 10 minutes, ten minutes before induction of general anaesthesia.

Group B (n=35) received IV Dexmedetomidine, $1\mu g/kg$ diluted in 20ml of normal saline administered over 10 minutes, started 10 minutes before induction of anaesthesia.

All patients had a pre-anesthesia assessment prior to surgery. According to the institution's regulations, all patients were brought for surgery while under general anaesthetic. Patients were induced with propofol, 2 mg/kg, fentanyl, 2 mcg/kg, and vecuronium, 0.1 mg/kg, with all American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) standard monitors attached. If there was a 20% rise in HR and SBP from baseline values, intravenous fentanyl 0.25 g/kg was administered as an analgesic during the maintenance period. The patient was kept on nitrous oxide, isoflurane, and vecuronium, and the residual blockage was reversed with an intravenous infusion of 50 mcg/kg neostigmine and an intravenous infusion of 10 mcg/kg glycopyrrolate. The hemodynamic parameters were noted at various time intervals i.e. base line (T0) after 10 minutes of the administration of the study drug (T1), after 3 minutes of the administration of the neuromuscular blocker (T2), T3, T4, T5, T6, T7 and T8 recorded after 1min,2 min,3 min,5min,10min,15min and 20min after intubation respectively.

Based on similar study by Vishwanath P et al,^[8] the sample size was calculated using the formula $n = (\sigma 12 + \sigma 22) [Z1-\alpha/2 + Z1-\beta] 2 / (\bar{x}1 - \bar{x}2) 2$

where n is sample in each group, $\sigma 1$ and $\sigma 2$ is standard deviation of the variable in group A and group B respectively, Z1- $\alpha/2$ and Z1- β is normal deviate value at considered level of confidence (1.96 at 95% confidence interval) and normal deviate value at considered power (0.84 at 80% power) of the study for two sided tests respectively, $\bar{x}1$ and $\bar{x}2$ is mean of variable in group A and B respectively. The minimal sample size needed for the study to have an 80 percent power was determined to be 27 based on the calculations above and earlier studies, with 0.05 being chosen as the alpha error. The number was increased to 30 in both categories after taking into account any case cancellations or block failures.

Data are expressed as the mean (standard deviation) for normally distributed data. The student's t test was used nonparametric data. Analysis of variance for repeated measures (ANOVA) was used to evaluate hemodynamic changes over different time intervals. Haemodynamic variables were compared using repeated measure of ANOVA. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The consort flow diagram shows the distribution of patients from randomisation to analysis [Figure 1].

The demographic profile was comparable in both the groups [Table 1]. Heart rate continued to increase from first minute till 20 minutes in group a while in group B there was sustained decrease in heart rate and was statistically significant. [Table 2]

There was significant decrease in systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressure at all time intervals in Group B as compared to Group A except baseline preinduction value which was comparable in both the groups. [Table 3-5]

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833

VOL14, ISSUE 06, 2023



Table 1: Comparison of demographic data and of both groups

Parameter	Group Q	Group T	P value
	(n=30)	(n=30)	
Age (Years)	51.47±6.88	49.96±7.49	>0.05
Height (cm)	162.57±8.98	161.17±8.89	>0.05
Weight (kg)	58.9±4.33	59.2±6.23	>0.05
BMI (Kg/m2)	22.10±0.21	21.99±0.63	>0.05
P value <0.05 – Significant, P value >0.05—Non significant			

Mean±SD(Standard Deviation)

Table 2: The distribution of mean heart rate.

Heart rate	Group A(Mean±SD)	Group B(Mean±SD)	P Value
T0	81.97±13.01	82.6±9.82	>0.05
T1	83.34±9.80	79.6±7.22	< 0.05
T2	83.89±5.86	78.69±6.72	< 0.05
T3	81.49±5.54	77.89±5.21	< 0.05
T4	81.66±9.11	79.2±5.47	< 0.05
T5	82.89±8.78	76.11±4.98	< 0.05
T6	83.29±7.66	76.43±6.22	< 0.05
T7	84.67±8.91	77.11±5.78	< 0.05
T8	84.91±6.98	76.71±4.57	< 0.05
P value <0.05 – Significant, P value >0.05—Non significant			
SD- Standard Deviation			

Table 3: The distribution of Systolic Blood Pressure.

Systolic Blood Pressure	Group A(Mean±SD)	Group B(Mean±SD)	P Value
ТО	124.2±4.9	123.3±5.45	>0.05

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833

VOL14, ISSUE 06, 2023

T1	121.2±7.89	125.9±5.61	< 0.05
T2	119.5±9.55	115.3±5.27	< 0.05
Т3	120.4±8.67	115.3±7.31	< 0.05
T4	118.6±8.23	113.7±7.45	< 0.05
T5	118.2±5.12	112.6±5.54	< 0.05
Тб	115.3±9.42	110.5±6.26	< 0.05
T7	117.6±8.98	111.4±6.78	< 0.05
Т8	118.7±9.87	113.3±5.98	< 0.05
P value <0.05 – Significant, P value >0.05—Non significant			

SD- Standard Deviation

Table 4: The distribution of Diastolic Blood Pressure.

Diastolic Blood Pressure	Group A(Mean±SD)	Group B(Mean±SD)	P Value
Т0	78.6±7.1	78.1±5.35	>0.05
T1	78.7±7.89	81.2±7.78	<0.05
T2	76.4±3.35	72.1±5.47	<0.05
Т3	78.6±8.17	73.2±6.23	<0.05
T4	79.9±7.53	74.8±7.76	<0.05
T5	77.3±9.22	74.6±6.23	<0.05
T6	75.5±5.52	71.2±5.76	<0.05
Τ7	80.6±7.28	76.4±8.49	<0.05
Т8	78.3±6.37	75.3±6.67	<0.05
P value <0.05 – Significant, P value >0.05—Non significant			
SD-Standard Deviation			

Table 5: The distribution of Mean Arterial Pressure

Mean Arterial Pressure	Group A(Mean±SD)	Group B(Mean±SD)	P Value	
Т0	89.9±4.9	90.3±5.45	>0.05	
T1	91.3±7.89	85.9±6.65	<0.05	
T2	90.7±9.55	86.3±5.47	<0.05	
T3	89.7±8.67	87.4±6.43	<0.05	
T4	90.8±8.23	82.9±7.56	<0.05	
T5	91.2±10.12	84.2±5.78	<0.05	
T6	89.8±9.42	86.7±7.21	<0.05	
Τ7	90.2±8.98	84.1±7.56	<0.05	
T8	90.1±9.87	82.4±6.98	<0.05	
P value <0.05 – Significant, P value >0.05—Non significant				

SD- Standard Deviation

DISCUSSION

In current study, we found that there was significant decrease in heart rate, systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressure in post induction period as compared to baseline hemodynamic parameters in preinduction period.

Endotracheal intubation during general anaesthesia leads to sympatho-adrenal stimulation caused by laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation which is linked with hypertension and tachycardia. Though thyese incidence is temporary and well tolerated in health individuals but in already compromised patients can be catastrophic and can lead to myocardial ischaemia or infarction, arrhythmias, cardiac failure, aortic dissection, raised ICP, and cerebral haemorrhage. The hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation should be reduced, and this is by far the most significant rationale for doing for conducting the current study.

To maintain hemodynamic stability throughout the intraoperative phase, the majority of practising anaesthesiologists frequently utilise opioids, vasodilators, or beta blockers.^[6] High dosages of inhalational/ intravenous anaesthetics and opioids may have inevitable adverse effects, such as respiratory depression, postoperative drowsiness, and delayed recovery.^[9] So, to promote an early recovery, it would be advantageous

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 06, 2023

to utilise a medication or procedure that reduces intraoperative hemodynamic alterations and also reduces the need for high concentrations or dosages of anaesthetic drugs and opioids.

The alpha2 agonist dexmedetomidine is very selective and had advantage of maintaining sedation without causing much hemodynamic stability. The sedative effect of dexmedetomidine is increased when midazolam, fentanyl, propofol, or sevoflurane are also administered.^[1,10]

Dexmedetomidine has been used effectively for providing conscious sedation. Studies have shown that loading dose (1 ug/kg) of intravenous dexmedetomidine provided conscious sedation without respiratory depression or upper airway obstruction for fibreoptic nasotracheal intubation. They have proven to be very successful at reducing the hemodynamic reaction to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation.^[13,14]

When dexmedetomidine was infused 10 min before induction of patients in a dose of 1mcg/kg was assessed in our study. Various studies used 1mcg/kg dose of dexmedetomidine without significant adverse affect leading us to select this dose for current study.^[11,15-17]

Though bradycardia is a noted complication in many previous studies, it was not observed in any of our patients further empowering the selection of dose of dexmedetomidine.^[18,19]

Dexmedetomidine has added advantage of keeping hemodynamics stable besides providing optimum sedation.

There were some limitation of the study. We could not use invasive monitoring which could have provided us beat to beat variation of hemodynamic changes. Perioperative analgesic consumtion was also not assessed. Further research is needed to validate the findings with large sample size.

CONCLUSION

Intravenous dexmedetomidine whe given in infusion 10 minutes before induction can provide optimum hemodynamic stability and could aptly counter stress of laryngoscopy and intubation. Without causing much adverse effects.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sudheesh K, Harsoor S. Dexmedetomidine in anaesthesia practice: A wonder drug? Indian J Anaesth. 2011;55:323
- Le Guen M, Liu N, Tounou F, Augé M, Tuil O, Chazot T, et al. Dexmedetomidine reduces propofol and remifentanil requirements during bispectral index-guided closed-loop anesthesia: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Anesth Analg 2014;118:946-55.
- Scheinin B, Lindgren L, Randell T, Scheinin H, Scheinin M. Dexmedetomidine attenuates sympatoadrenal responses to tracheal intubation and reduces the need for thiopentone and preoperative fentanyl. Br J Anaesth. 1992; 68:126 31.
- 4. Rao SH, Sudhakar B, Subramanyam PK. Haemodynamic and anaesthetic advantages of dexmedetomidine, Southern African Journal of Anaesthesia and Analgesia 2012;18(6): 326-31,
- Arora S, Parikh H, Karia S, Garg N, Upadhyaya R. A comparative study of haemodynamic responses t Rao AV, Sreelatha V, Kumar MV. Dexmedetomidine and Clonidine on Induction, Hemodynamic and Cardiovascular Parameters for Intubation in General Anesthesia Cases a Comparative Study. IOSR-JDMS 2015; 1(14):57-68.
- Uysal HY, Tezer E, Türkoğlu M, Aslanargun P, Başar H. The effects of dexmedetomidine on hemodynamic responses to tracheal intubation in hypertensive patients: A comparison with esmolol and sufentanyl. J Res Med Sci. 2012; 17(1): 22-31.
- 7. Zhang X, Schmidt U, Wain JC, Bigatello L. Bradycardia leading to asystole during dexmedetomidine infusion in an 18 year-old double-lung transplant recipient. J Clin Anesth 2010;22:45-9.
- Vishwanath P, Rao R, Vasudevarao SB. The effects of a single preanesthetic dose of dexmedetomidine on propofol induction, hemodynamics, and cardiovascular parameters. J Pharmacol Pharmacother2020;11:8-12.
- 9. Park HY, Kim JY, Cho SH, Lee D, Kwak HJ. The effect of low-dose dexmedetomidine on hemodynamics and anesthetic requirement during bis-spectral index-guided total intravenous anesthesia. J Clin Monit Comput 2015;30:429-35.
- Le Guen M, Liu N, Tounou F, Augé M, Tuil O, Chazot T, et al. Dexmedetomidine reduces propofol and remifentanil requirements during bispectral index-guided closed-loop anesthesia: A double-blind,placebo-controlled trial. Anesth Analg 2014;118:946-55.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 06, 2023

- 11. Agrawal A, Jadon A, Parida SS, Chakraborty S, Sinha N, Chandra O. Comparative evaluation of Dexmedetomidine and Fentanyl Midazolam combination as sedative adjunct to fibreoptic intubation under topical anaesthesia. American Journal of Advances in Medical Science. 2014; 2(4): 29-37.
- 12. Johnston, K.D., Rai, M.R. Conscious sedation for awake fibreoptic intubation: a review of the literature. Can J Anesth/J Can Anesth.2013; 60, 584–599.
- 13. Singh P, Punia TS, Kaur B, Ramachandriah P, Kaur J, Kumar D. A randomised comparative study of dexmedetomidine and midazolam for sedation during awake fiberoptic intubation in laproscopic cholecystectomy patients. Int J Clin Trials, 2015; 2:1-9.
- 14. Yallapragada SV. Evaluation of the role of Dexmedetomidine premedication on rate pressue product following tracheal intubation. IJSR.2014; 3(12): 229-231.
- 15. Sulaiman S, Karthekeyan RB, Vakamudi M, Sundar AS, Ravullapalli H, Gandham R. The effects of dexmedetomidine on attenuation of stress response to endotracheal intubation in patients undergoing elective off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. Ann Card Anaesth. 2012; 15:39-43.
- 16. Keniya VM, Ladi S, Naphade R. Dexmedetomidine attenuates sympathoadrenal response to tracheal intubation and reduces perioperative anaesthetic requirement. Indian J Anaesth. 2011; 55: 352-7.
- Shin HW, Yoo HN, Kim DH, Lee H, Shin HJ, Lee HW. Preanesthetic dexmedetomidine 1 μg/kg single infusion is a simple, easy, and economic adjuvant for general anesthesia. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2013 Aug; 65(2): 114-120.
- Patel CR, Engineer SR, Shah BJ, Madhu S. The effect of dexmedetomidine continuous infusion as an adjuvant to general anesthesia on sevoflurane requirements: A study based on entropy analysis. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2013;29:318-22.
- 19. Lee SH, Choi YS, Hong GR, Oh YJ. Echocardiographic evaluation of the effects of dexmedetomidine on cardiac function during total intravenous anaesthesia. Anaesthesia 2015;70:1052-9.