

Original research article

Effects of the Celiac Plexus Block versus Splanchnic Nerve Block for Upper Abdominal Tumors on Pain Relief and Quality of Life-randomized Comparative Study

¹S Subramaniam, ²A Rajendran, ³M Gobinath, ⁴G Raghulraj, ⁵M Bhaskar

¹Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology-Madras Medical College Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

²Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Government Dharmapuri Medical College, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu, India

³Assistant Surgeon, Department of General Surgery, Government Taluk Hospital, Attur, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Government Tiruvannamalai Medical College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu, India

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Government Tiruvannamalai Medical College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author:

M Bhaskar

Abstract

Introduction: The diagnosis of early cancer and therapeutic options in advanced management has improved of patients expectancy of life. However, pain management for these patients is important concerns since pain is the most common symptom in 88% of these patients with an advanced stage of the disease. **Aim:** This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of a neurolytic celiac plexus block versus a splanchnic nerve block for control of pain and the effects of these methods on the quality of life. **Materials and Methods:** This is a randomized comparative study from September 2018 to August 2019 in Tamil Nadu Government Multi Super Speciality Hospitals Omandurar Estate. A total of 30 patients, 15 in each group, were allocated randomly into celiac plexus block and splanchnic nerve block, and the results were analyzed statistically and discussed below. **Results:** Out of 30 patients, 22 were males, and eight were females. The mean age was 53.07 years in group splanchnic nerve block (SNB) and 56.6 years in group celiac plexus block (CPB), respectively. There was a significant decrease in visual analog scale score in group SNB versus group CPB on the 1st week and 2 week of post-procedure and last week. Strong opioid consumption significantly decreased in group SNB versus group CPB at following times of post-procedure visit during days 7, 14, 28, 42, 56, 70, and 84. In the SNB group nine patients had a backache, four had diarrhea, and two patients had hypotension. In the CPB group three patients had a backache, seven had diarrhea, and eight patients had hypotension. **Conclusion:** The statistical data and results of this study illustrate that a SNB appears to be clinically comparable to the CPB However all statistically significant differences are of little clinical value.

Introduction

Early cancer and therapeutic options in advanced management have improved the patients expectancy of life. However, pain management for these patients is important concerns since pain is the most common symptom in 88% of these patients with an advanced stage of the disease It was found that many of the cancer patients have inadequate management have improved the patient's expectancy of life. pain control, and many of them end in mortality with pain A combination of an interventional treatment with neurolysis (alcohol chemical neurolysis) and pharmacotherapy (oral opioids) is recommended as a collective approach as palliative treatment. Neurolysis reduces pain by disrupting pain signals along the neural pathway! Interventional therapy is needed for patients whose pain has not been controlled by drugs (pharmacotherapy) or patients who have suffering drug-related side effects.

The celiac plexus is complex network of nerves located the abdomen. The celiac trunk can give me to the superior mesenteric artery or one or both of the inferior phrenic arteries Coeliac plexus block (CPB) is recommended in the upper abdomen cancer cases, chronic pancreatitis, abdominal metastases, retroperitoneal tumors and chronic abdominal pain in patients who are on high-dose narcotic analgesia or those who not respond to pharmacotherapy.

Thoracic splanchnic nerves are splanchnic nerves that arise from the sympathetic trunk in the thorax and travel internally to provide sympathetic innervation to the abdomen The nerves contain preganglionic sympathetic fibers and general visceral afferent fibers. Interruption of the splanchnic nerve at the level of

T11 can provide relief from intolerable pain associated with intra-abdominal tumors." This study aims to compare the effectiveness of a splanchnic nerve block (SNB) versus CPB for effective control of pain and the effects of these interventions on the quality of life upon a 3 months follow-up post-intervention for patients with upper abdominal tumors.

Quality of life on follow-up has been assessed using the QLQ-C30 questionnaire. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (QLQ-C30) developed a quality of life questionnaire version 3.0 to assess cancer patients quality of life. It is composed of both multi-item scales and single-item scales. It is classified into

1. Five functional scales (role, physical, cognitive, emotional and social)
2. Three symptom scales (fatigue, pain, nausea, and vomiting)
3. Two questions are assessing the overall quality of life.

Both multi-item scales and single-item measures range in score from 0 to 100. A high scale score shows a higher response level. Thereby high score for the functional scale, the global health scale represents a high quality of life. However, a high score for a symptom scale represents a low quality of life.

Aim

This study aimed to assess the effectiveness of a neurolytic CPB versus a SNB for control of pain and the effects of these methods on the quality of life.

Materials and Methods

This randomized comparative study was done from September 2018 to August 2019 in Tamil Nadu Government multi Speciality Hospital Omandur Estate to assess the effectiveness of a CPB versus a SNB for control of intolerable pain and the effects of these on the quality of life on a 3 months follow-up period from post intervention for patients with upper gastrointestinal tract (GIT) tumors. A total of 30 patients, 15 in each group were allocated randomly to CPB and SNB. Informed consent from patients who were involved in this study was obtained. Inclusion criteria include patients on palliative care who had inoperable upper GIT tumors, including cancer of the lower one-third of the Esophagus, Stomach and cancer of the Biliary tract, Chronic abdominal pain due to cancer, ASA III, III, no comorbid cardiovascular illness/psychiatric illness, Coagulation disorders/Technical difficulties (e.g., Huge tumors with altered anatomy) Refractory to analgesics, opioids, and patients who had given valid informed consent. Exclusion criteria include patient refusal, patients with cardiac disorders comorbid illness/psychiatric illness, coagulation disorders/technical difficulties (e.g., huge tumors with altered anatomy patients who had coagulation defects, local infections, hypotension, any metastatic lesions, uncooperative mental illness, and failed previous neurolytic block.

The patients were kept nil per oral for 6 h before the procedure. All patients were preloaded with 500 ml of normal saline. The patient was asked to stop any form of pain relief tablets on the day of the procedure. The oral immediate-release morphine tablet (oxycodone) was stopped for 4 h, and the morphine sustained/extended-release tablet morphine slow release tablet (MST) was stopped 12 h before the procedure. The analgesics such as tablet paracetamol were stopped 6 h, and the other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were stopped either 12-24 h preoperatively before the injection.

For the SNB, the T12 vertebrae were visualized under a posteroanterior view of the C arm with fluoroscopy. The C-arm was rotated to the ipsilateral side by 20-30° until the T12 transverse processes are merged with the anterolateral border of the T12 vertebrae (Scott's dog sign). The skin and subcutaneous tissue was infiltrated with 1% lignocaine. After local infiltration, a 22-gauge long spinal needle was advanced toward the anterolateral border of T12 vertebrae under fluoroscopy guidance (3 ml of contrast material was injected) in lateral view, and the final position was confirmed by the spread of contrast (omnipaque) adhering to the T11 and T12 vertebral body with no posterior leaking of contrast.

The neurolytic SNB was given using 3 ml of 1% xylocard through the long spinal needle, after 5 min of local anesthetic action, 10 ml of 99.9% alcohol followed by 1 ml of 1% xylocard to prevent tract formation was given on both sides after a negative aspiration of blood or fluid (contrast).

For the CPR the L1 vertebrae were visualized under an oblique view of the C-arm with fluoroscopy. After subcutaneous infiltration of local anesthetic, a 22G 15 cm long spinal needle inserted on the left side along the body of L1 vertebrae and advanced following the twelfth rib direction medially until contact is made with the anterior border of the L1 vertebral body. The needle is then withdrawn a bit and redirected

to graze by the vertebral body to 1-2 cm beyond the vertebral body's anterior margin. The procedure is repeated on the right side and a contrast medium is injected after negative aspiration under fluoroscopic guidance. Neurolysis is carried out with 5 ml of 1% xylocard through the long spinal needle after 5 min of LA action, 10 ml of 99.9% alcohol, followed by 1 ml of 1% xylocard to prevent tract formation through each needle. The neurolytic block was considered positive if there was a significant reduction in pain intensity (measured in NRS) for at least 60 min after the injection. Further patients were observed for any immediate hemodynamic events and the delayed side effects. The regular analgesics were started once the patient experience pain after 6 h of procedure as per the WHO guidelines.

Results

Out of 30 patients, 22 were males, and eight were females. Mean age and body weights were 53.07 years and 53.93 in group SNB and 56.6 years and 57.8 in group CPB. Based on the tumor site, four patients had in the gall bladder, three in the pancreas, six patients in the pancreas tail and body, three in the colon, one in the liver, one in the secondary's Inver, and 12 in the stomach.

There was a statistically significant difference in heart rate and mean arterial pressure during and after the procedure. There was a significant decrease in visual analog scale (VAS) score in group SNB versus group CPB on the 1st week and 2nd week of post-procedure ($P= 0.0001$) Meantime there were no statistical differences between both groups after the 2 week onwards (with P values of 0.054, 0.266, 0.559, 0.793, and 0.432 in each visit time, respectively) Later the VAS decreased significantly in both groups SNB and CPB, compared to its VAS before the procedure Strong opioid consumption significantly decreased in group SNB versus group CPB at following times of post-procedure visit during days 7, 14, 28, 42, 56, 70, and 84 with P values of 0.001, 0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0001, 0.005, 0.0001, and 0.0001, respectively. However, opioid consumption during follow-up was significantly increased in group CPB than group SNB. In the SNB group, nine patients had a backache, four had diarrhea, and two patients had hypotension. In the CPB group, three patients had a backache, seven diarrhea and eight patients had hypotension. There was a significant improvement on the global functioning scale in group SNB versus group CPB at 4, 6, 8, and 12 weeks with $P=0.0001$ and there was a significant improvement on the symptom scale in group SNB versus group CPB at the 4, 6, and 12 weeks with requirement as compared to the trans aortic neurolytic celiac plexus groups. One of the earliest studies performed to evaluate a SNB's effectiveness, by Raj et al involving 107 patients with abdominal pain of malignant and non-malignant origins, revealed good to excellent results in 55-70% of patients for pain scores. Still no information was given regarding the quality of life. Ozyalcin et al evaluated the efficacy of celiac plexus versus splanchnic nerve neurolysis in patients with pancreatic cancer pain. It revealed that splanchnic nerve neurolysis led to significantly better pain relief, quality of life, and analgesic consumption until the end of the patients' lives. Marra et al compared both neurolytic methods and found that applying a SNB under computed tomography guidance produced more effective pain relief than a CPB. Meanwhile, Gangi et al noted that a SNB requires a smaller volume of alcohol and has indications similar to those for a CPB. In this study the mortality for both groups SNB and CPB was nil and minor complications such as transient backache, hypotension, and self-limiting diarrhea noted. They were treated symptomatically. In the present study hypotension incidence was 13% in group SNB and 53% in the group CPB. Diarrhea was reported at 26% in the SNB group and 46% in the CPB group. These lesser incidences than other studies done earlier were that the performance of a block with image guidance and an after injection of a local anesthetic before the injection of neurolytic agents significantly reduces the risks of such complications.

Conclusion

The statistical data and results of this study illustrate that a SNB appears to be clinically comparable to the CPB. However, all statistically significant differences are of clinical value.

References

1. Hameed M, Hameed H., Erdek M Pain management in pancreatic cancers (Basel) 2011,3:43-60.
2. Kayan M, Wilson L, Mailis-Gangnon A, Srivatsava A, Canadian guidelines for safe and effective use of opioids for chronic non cancer pain. Clinical summary for family physicians. Part 2. Special populations. *Physician* 2011, 57, 1269, 76.
3. Firdous FH, Sharma D, Raina VK, palliation by celiac plexus block for upper visceral cancer pain: *Trop Doct* 2002,32,22,6.
4. Cousins MJ, Bridenbough PO, Neural Blockade in Clinical Anesthetic management of pain, Philadelphia PA, Lippincott Williams and Williams, 1988.
5. Noble M, Gress FG. Techniques and results of neurolysis for chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer pain *curr Gastroenterol* 2006,8,99-103.
6. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullingers M, Cuff A, Duez M et al. The European Organization for Research and treatment of cancer QLQ-C30. A quality-of-life questionnaire for use in international clinical trials in oncology. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993;85-365-76.

7. Stefaniak T, Basinski A, Vingerhoet A, Makarewicz W, Kafka L ,et Al. A comparison of two invasive techniques in the management of intractable pain due to inoperable pancreatic cancer. Neurolytic celiac plexus block and video thoracic scopic splanchnectomy 2005;31,768-73.
8. Tewari S, Agarwal A, dhiraaj S, Gautam SK, Khulna S, Madshi et al. Comparative evaluation of retrocral versus transaortic neurolytic celiac plexus block for pain relief in patients with upper abdominal malignancy. A retrospective observational study. Indian J palliative care 2016;22,301-6.
9. Raj PP, Thomas J, Heavner J, Racz G, Lao L, Day M, et al The development of a technique for radio frequency lesioning of splanchnic nerves. 1999,3:377-87.
10. Saley man Ozyalcin N, Talu GK, Camlica H, Endine S Efficacy of celiac plexus and Splanchnic nerve blockades in body and tail located pancreatic cancer pain. Eur J Pain 2004,8,539-45.
.Marra V, Debemardi F, Frigerio A, Menna S, Musso L, Di Vigio ME Neurolytic block of the celiac plexus and splanchnic nerves with computed tomography. The experience in 150 cases and an optimization of the techniques -. Radiol Med 1999,98:183-8,
11. Gangi A, Dictermann JL, Schultz A, Mortaravi R, Jang MY, Roy C . Interventional radiologic procedures with CT guidance in pain management. Radio graphics 1996,16:1289-304.