

A PROSPECTIVE, RANDOMIZED STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECT OF PNEUMOPERITONEUM ON ARTERIAL AND ENDTIDAL CARBONDIOXIDE PRESSURE GRADIENT DURING LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY IN ADULTS

Dr. Arabinda Mazumdar, Assistant professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, College of Medicine & J.N.M. Hospital

Dr. Ashis Mazumdar, Assistant professor, MBBS, MD, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Raiganj Government Medical College & Hospital (Corresponding Author)

Dr. Abhijit Halder, Assistant professor, Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, College of Medicine & J.N.M. Hospital

Dr. Mainak Nath, RMO cum clinical Tutor, Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, College of Medicine & J.N.M. Hospital

ABSTACT

Introduction: During laparoscopic surgery, Carbondioxide pneumoperitoneum is created resulting in hypercarbia which has complex effects on various systems of our body. Cholecystectomy, appendectomy, colectomy, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, sleeve gastrectomy, and hysterectomy are some of the most common surgical procedures done using laparoscopic surgery each year in the United States. Laparoscopic surgery has a low overall mortality rate, 0.3% to 1.8%, however it's usual practise to evaluate the risk of perioperative cardiovascular events during preoperative consultation.

Aims: To assess the effects of pneumoperitoneum on arterial and end tidal carbondioxide pressure gradient during laparoscopic surgery in adults.

Materials and Methods: The present study was an Observational study. This Study was conducted from February 2021- March 2023 at Dept. of anesthesiologist. Total 60 patients were included in this study.

Results: Our study demonstrates that the intra-abdominal pressure of 12 mmHg maintained for laparoscopic surgery induces hemodynamic changes characterized by increase in heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, peripheral vascular resistance.

Conclusion: We have shown that abdominal carbon dioxide insufflation during laparoscopic cholecystectomy or appendicectomy generates increases in ETCO₂ and PaCO₂ that are considerably larger than preinsufflation values but still within physiological range.

Keywords: Pneumoperitoneum, Surgery, laparoscopy. Carbon dioxide and Cardiorespiratory system.

INTRODUCTION

Cholecystectomy, appendectomy, colectomy, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, sleeve gastrectomy and hysterectomy are some of the most common surgical procedures done using laparoscopic surgery each year in the United States.¹ Laparoscopic surgery has a low overall mortality rate, 0.3% to 1.8%, however it's usual practise to evaluate the risk of perioperative cardiovascular events during preoperative consultation.^{2,3} Higher risk patient populations, such as the elderly, obese individuals, and those with underlying illnesses, such as cardiovascular and pulmonary disorders, typically have laparoscopic surgery. The Revised Cardiac Risk Index and other commonly used risk assessment instruments have not been validated in patients having laparoscopic surgery.⁴

The American College of Surgeons' National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Myocardial Infarction or Cardiac Arrest score, for example, accounts for differences in surgical procedures based on organ system; analyses limited to risk prediction in laparoscopic patients have not yet been published. Newer scores have been studied in patients undergoing open or laparoscopic surgery.⁵

- Laparoscopy is a minimally invasive surgery allowing endoscopic access to peritoneal cavity after insufflation of gas to create a space between anterior abdominal wall and viscera for safe manipulation of instruments and organ.
- Hans Christian Jacobaeus of Sweden performed the first laparoscopic surgery on humans in 1910. The provision of better equipment and facility with increased knowledge and understanding of anatomy and pathology, has allowed the development of endoscopy for diagnostic and operative procedures.
- Laparoscopy was initially confined to gynaecological surgeries in 1970. In late 1980 it was extended to laparoscopic cholecystectomy, now a days laparoscopy is used in colonic, gastric ,splenic ,hepatic and urologic surgeries.
- Reduction of post-operative pain and ileus, better cosmetic results, less hospital stay less post-operative atelectasis , and wound infection are the advantages of laparoscopy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design: Observational study

Study area:

Sample Size: 60

Department: Anesthesiologist

Study Duration: February 2022 to February 2023

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- ASA physical status 1 and 2
- Patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy or laparoscopic appendectomy.
- SURGERY: elective.

- Weight : BMI < 25 kg/m²
- Patient who has given valid informed consent.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- Patient not satisfying inclusion criteria .
- History of haemorrhagic diathesis and clotting disorder.
- Patients suffering from respiratory disease like chronic bronchitis, emphysema, bronchial asthma , respiratory failure.
- Congestive heart failure
- Renal failure
- Known allergy or sensitivity to the drugs
- Patient posted for emergency procedure.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

During laparoscopic surgery, carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum is created resulting in hypercarbia which has complex effects on various system of our body.

HEMODYNAMIC EFFECTS

Our study demonstrates that the intra-abdominal pressure of 13 mmHg maintained for laparoscopic surgery induces hemodynamic changes characterized by increase in heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure , peripheral vascular resistance.

These extreme changes are seen in cardiopulmonary insufficiency patient.

Similarly,⁶ JORIS et al Both mechanical and humoral variables contribute to the rise in systemic vascular resistance, as was shown during laparoscopic surgery. He said that a decrease in venous return or an increase in systemic vascular resistance are what lead to a drop in cardiac output.

Both mechanical and humoral variables contribute to the rise in systemic vascular resistance, as was shown during laparoscopic surgery. He said that a decrease in venous return or an increase in systemic vascular resistance are what lead to a drop in cardiac output.

When the normal heart is exposed to pneumoperitoneum, it appears that the normal heart, which can tolerate an increase in after load relatively readily, becomes sensitive to changes in afterload like a compensated heart.

These findings point to the need for care in patients planned for laparoscopic surgery who have compromised cardiac function, anemia, or hypovolemia.

These data suggest that it is prudent to reduce the rate of insufflation and limit abdominal inflating pressures to minimum Also ⁷ V.MURALIDHAR studied physiology of pneumoperitoneum and anaesthesia in laparoscopic surgery. He postulated increased systemic vascular resistance, increased mean arterial pressure, minimal increase in heart rate during pneumoperitoneum.

HEART RATE

Statistical significance: The mean heart rate pre insufflation was 78.83, and 20 minutes after insufflation was 82.78 .and p-values is less than 0.05, thus statistically significant.

Clinical significance; Caused by CO₂ pneumoperitonum, which was in accordance to ⁶ JORIS et al and ⁷ MURALIDHAR et al.

SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE

The mean systolic blood pressure before insufflation is 118.63 mmHg, while the mean systolic blood pressure during insufflation is 125.17 mmHg. The statistically insignificant p value is 0.230.

Similarly,⁸ GUPTA SHOBHANA et al studied the modifications to critical indicators during laparoscopic surgery. Systolic blood pressure fluctuated between 127.56 and 6.46 mm Hg preoperatively and 129.56 and 8.66 mm Hg after surgery, with a p value of 0.1346 that is not statistically significant. This outcome was consistent with our research.

DIASTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE

The mean diastolic blood pressure is 71.80 mmHg before CO₂ pneumoperitonum and 78.13 mmHg during the insufflation. And p value of 0.00, statistically significant.

⁸ GUPTA SHOBHANA et al also studied diastolic blood pressure changes, which was 77.48 □ 3.44mmHg preoperatively and 81.08±3.566 mmHg postoperatively, the p value was 0.004 statistically significant, this result was similar to our study have the p value was 0.00 , highly significant. But it was clinically normal range.

MEAN ARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE

There is statistically significant (p-value 0.00) increase in mean arterial blood pressure during pneumoperitonum. The mean preinsufflation mean arterial blood pressure was 87 mmHg and 20 minutes after insufflation was 93.790 mmHg, which is in accordance with ⁶ JORIS et al.

Alterations in cardiac rhythm may also be seen during laparoscopy and are related to increased intra-abdominal pressure, hypercarbia and surgical stimulation. As we maintained the intra-abdominal pressure between 10-13 mmHg, none of the patients developed intra operative arrhythmias.

¹⁰ WITTGEN et al studied that patients with preoperative cardiopulmonary disease showed significant increase in arterial blood pressure and decrease in pH during CO₂ pneumoperitonum compared with patients without underlying disease. So we included patients with stable cardiac status and excluded patients with compromised cardiopulmonary function.

RESPIRATORY SYSTEM

³²TAN and et al, proposed an increase in tidal volume rather than respiratory rate controls hypercarbia efficiently . Also ³² P.L.TAN , T.L.LEE, et al demonstrated an increase in tidal volume is sufficient to eliminate excess CO₂ and maintain normal pulmonary oxygenation. Therefore, in our study we maintained tidal volume 10 ml /kg.and respiratory rate 12-15 /min.

ETCO₂ AND PaCO₂

ETCO₂ increased from 33.77 to 38.08 mmHg, and PaCO₂ increased from 37.52 to 41.36 mmhg respectively during the procedure, and the p value was < 0.05 for both. Thus it is statistically significant, but the ETCO₂ and the PaCO₂ were under clinically normal range . According to ¹² MULLET et al rapid rise in PaCO₂ and ETCO₂ occurs within 10 minutes of insufflation. So we took data after 20 minute CO₂ insufflation.

Similar to the findings of ¹² MULLET et al , In our investigation, during CO₂ insufflation, ETCO₂ and PaCO₂ gradually increased. The rate of rise in CO₂ peaked in the first 20 minutes, following which it plateaued and remained constant for the next 15 to 45 minutes.

A correlation between PaCO₂ and ETCO₂ was observed in our study, this is similar to findings of ¹³ NYARWAYA et al who also noted a correlation between the PaCO₂ and ETCO₂.

²⁷ P.PELOSÌ et al During laparoscopic surgery, it was discovered that abdominal insufflation significantly reduces the static compliance of the chest wall, lungs, and respiratory system, as well as lung capacity. On the other hand, PETCO₂ and PaCO₂ closely correspond during laparoscopy. P(a-et)co₂ gradient remained unchanged as a result. During abdominal insufflation, oxygen saturation did not change considerably.

P(a-ET) GRADIENT

Prior to insufflation, the mean difference between the PaCO₂ and ETCO₂ pressure gradients was 3.75 mmHg, whereas the mean difference between the two pressure gradients following pneumoperitoneum was 4.28 mmHg. The pressure gradient in healthy people with a normal ventilation-perfusion ratio is 3-6 mmHg. PaCO₂ and ETCO₂ gradient's p value was 0.008, which is statistically significant. Despite being statistically significant, the p value is still within the normal physiological range.

pH AND BICARBONATE

The mean pH before pneumoperitoneum was 7.50 and the mean pH after pneumoperitoneum was 7.36. pH significantly decreases after 15 minutes, and the p value is 0.00 , less than 0.05. statistically significant.

The mean bicarbonate before and during CO₂ insufflation is 26.05 mmHg and 24.52 mmHg .and the p value is 0.30 , statistically insignificant. 31 Se-yuan Liu et al demonstrated that ETCO₂ and PaCO₂ increased from 31.5 ±0.7 mmhg to 42.2±1.7 mmhg and 33.4 ±0.8 mmhg to 43.8±1.3 mmhg respectively, during the course of the procedure. Arterial p H decreased from 7.44±0.02 mmhg to 7.34±0.01 mmHg, while bicarbonate concentration remain same, similar to our study.

PEAK AIRWAY PRESSURE

The mean peak airway pressure before insufflation was 15.47 cmH₂O and the mean of peak airway pressure after pneumoperitoneum was 18.32 cmH₂O. The p value is 0.00 (<0.05) statistically significant.

CONCLUSION

We have shown that abdominal carbon dioxide insufflation during laparoscopic cholecystectomy or appendectomy generates increases in ETCO₂ and PaCO₂ that are considerably larger than preinsufflation values but still within physiological range. Throughout the insufflation period, a link between the PaCO₂ and ETCO₂ was seen. With the caveat that the physician is aware of an elevated P (a-ET) CO₂ gradient which represents lower cardiac output, ETCO₂ can be utilised as an indicator of PaCO₂. Even after CO₂ pneumoperitoneum in ASA 1 and 2 patients, the arterial and end tidal carbon dioxide pressure gradients remain below the usual limits. The normal pressure P (a-ET)CO₂ gradient denotes appropriate perfusion and ventilation of the alveoli;(blood flow to pulmonary capillaries). According to these findings, laparoscopic surgery performed on ASA1 and ASA2 patients may be monitored for CO₂ excretion and arterial oxygenation using endtidal capnography and pulse oximetry as non-invasive procedures.

REFERENCES

1. Tsui C, Klein R, Garabrant M. Minimally invasive surgery: national trends in adoption and future directions for hospital strategy. *Surg Endosc.* 2013; 27:2253–2257. doi: 10.1007/s00464-013-2973-9
2. Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, Barnason SA, Beckman JA, Bozkurt B, Davila-Roman VG, Gerhard-Herman MD, Holly TA, Kane GC, Marine JE, Nelson MT, Spencer CC, Thompson A, Ting HH, Uretsky BF, Wijeyesundera DN. 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2014; 130:e278–e333. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000106.
3. Aminian A, Brethauer SA, Kirwan JP, Kashyap SR, Burguera B, Schauer PR. How safe is metabolic/diabetes surgery? *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2015; 17:198–201. doi: 10.1111/dom.12405.
4. Lee TH, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Thomas EJ, Polanczyk CA, Cook EF, Sugarbaker DJ, Donaldson MC, Poss R, Ho KK, Ludwig LE, Pedan A, Goldman L. Derivation and prospective validation of a simple index for prediction of cardiac risk of major noncardiac surgery. *Circulation.* 1999; 100:1043–1049
5. Gupta PK, Gupta H, Sundaram A, Kaushik M, Fang X, Miller WJ, Esterbrooks DJ, Hunter CB, Pipinos II, Johannig JM, Lynch TG, Forse RA, Mohiuddin SM, Mooss AN. Development and validation of a risk calculator for prediction of cardiac risk after surgery. *Circulation.* 2011; 124:381–387. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.015701.
6. Joris, J. L., Noirot, D. P., Legrand, M. J., Jacquet, N. J. & Lamy, M. L. Hemodynamic changes during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 76, 1067–1071 (1993).
7. V. Muralidhar Physiology of Pneumoperitoneum and Anaesthesia in Laparoscopic Surgery . images.org.in/ media/ files/ chapter6pdf 2007; 5:307-11
8. Gupta Shobhana, Hina Gadani M. and Patel Mita Comparative Clinical Study of Preinsufflation versus Postdesufflation Arterial Blood Gas Analysis in Laparoscopic Surgeries . *The Internet Journal of Anesthesiology.* 2010; 25 (1)
9. D. B. Scott and D. G. Julian Observations on Cardiac Arrhythmias during Laparoscopy *Br Med J* 1972; 1(5797):411-3.
10. Wittgen CM, Andras CH, Fitzgerald SD, Baudendistel LJ, Dahms TE and Kaminski DL Analysis of haemodynamic and ventilator effects of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Arch Surg* 1991 Aug; 126(8):997- 1002
11. P.L.TAN , T.L.LEE et al carbondioxide and gas exchange during pelvic surgery , can j , sep 1992 volume 39n, 677-681.
12. Mullet, C. E. et al. Pulmonary CO2 Elimination During Surgical Procedures Using Intraor Extraperitoneal CO2 Insufflation. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 76, 622–626 (1993).
13. NYARWAYA ,J. B.MAZOITT ,J.-X.& saamii,K. Are pulse oximetry and endtidal carbon dioxide tension monitoring reliable during laparoscopic surgery ? *anaesthesia* 49 , 775-778.
14. O’leary, E., Hubbard, K., Tormey, W. & Cunningham, A. J. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy:

haemodynamic and neuroendocrineresponses after pneumoperitoneum and changes in position. British journal of anaesthesia 76, 640–644 (1996).

TABLE

PaCO₂ – ETCO₂GRADIENT AFTER CO₂ INSUFFLATION

N	Valid	60
	Missing	0
Mean		4.28
Std. Deviation		.936

PEAKAIRWAY PRESSURE BEFORE CO₂ INSUFFLATION

N	Valid	60
	Missing	0
Mean		15.47
Std. Deviation		2.0

PEAKAIRWAY PRESSURE AFTER CO₂ INSUFFLATION

N	Valid	60
	Missing	0
Mean		18.32
Std. Deviation		1.936