# Diabetes – How Much Affected Our Police Personnel Are? - A Cross Sectional Study in District Gwalior (M.P.)

Vikrant Singh Chauhan<sup>1</sup>, Manoj Bansal<sup>2</sup>, Mahendra Chouksey<sup>3</sup>, Preeti Gupta<sup>4</sup>

1Medical Officer, District Hospital, Damoh, (MP), India.

2Professor, Department of Community Medicine, GR Medical College, (MP), Gwalior, India.

3Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, GR Medical College Gwalior, (MP), India.

4Demonstrator, Department of Community Medicine, Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Medical College, Jabalpur (M.P.), India

## Abstract

Background: Police work is considered as inherently stressful on account of several factors such as long and unpredictable hours of work, constant exposure to traumatic situations, they have irregular diet, take overtime and shift work with no rest. All these factors ultimately lead to diabetes and negative impact of health outcomes. The long-term effects of diabetes mellitus include progressive development of the multiple complications. Objective: To determine the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and its associated risk factors among the police personnel stationed at various Police Stations in District Gwalior. Material and Methods: A cross sectional Study was conducted at various police stations in district Gwalior. Requisite permission was taken from Superintendent of Police District Gwalior, along with in charge of all the police stations visited. Prior consent was taken from all the police personnel for the study. A total of 402 police personnel from rural and urban police stations of District Gwalior were included. Study was conducted from April 2017 to March 2019. Logistic regression analysis was used to describe the possible association between independent variables and the outcome variable as Diabetes Mellitus among the police personnel of district Gwalior. **Results:** Total 402 police personnel participated in the study, among them 200 were from rural and 202 from urban police stations. The overall cases of diabetes were 11.9%, among them 54.2% were diagnosed in the current study. The mean RBS level of the participants was 136.6 mg/dl. 13.4% of urban and 10.5% of rural counterparts was found to be diabetic. 207(51.1%) of the participants had BMI more than 25kg/mtr2. P value <0.05 was considered significant. **Conclusion:** There is high prevalence of diabetes among police personnel. As the hierarchy and duration in service increases the prevalence of diabetes has also increased. Regular health monitoring with physical exercise can put positive impact on the health status among them. Keywords: Police, Gwalior, Diabetes, Duty.

**Corresponding Author: Preeti Gupta,** Demonstrator, Department of Community Medicine, Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Medical College, Jabalpur (M.P.),India.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is emerging as a major healthcare challenge for India. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, India had 32 million diabetic subjects in the year 2000 and this number would increase to 80 million by the year 2030.<sup>[1]</sup> Police work is considered as inherently stressful on account of several factors such as long and unpredictable hours of work, constant exposure to traumatic situations, dealing with anti-social elements, strong disciplinary mechanism, etc.<sup>[2]</sup> Occupational stress can alter blood glucose levels in an undesirable manner and can affect the management of dysglycemia and its complications.<sup>[3]</sup> The term diabetes mellitus describes a metabolic disorder of multiple etiologies characterized by chronic hyperglycemia with disturbances of carbohydrate, fat and protein metabolism resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. The long–term effects of diabetes mellitus include progressive development of the specific complications of retinopathy

with potential blindness, nephropathy, and/or neuropathy with risk of foot ulcers, amputation, Charcot joints, and features of autonomic dysfunction. People with diabetes are at increased risk of cardiovascular, peripheral vascular and cerebro-vascular disease.<sup>[4]</sup>

Given the fact that policemen lead a physically inactive life, they have irregular diet and limited choice of food while on duty, take overtime and shift work, suffer from disrupted sleep patterns with stress and have high rates of tobacco and alcohol consumption than the general population.<sup>[5, 6, 7]</sup>

There is no scientific study done on the prevalence of diabetes among the police personnel of district Gwalior. Therefore, this study was conducted among the Gwalior district police to evaluate and determine the prevalence and associated risk factors for diabetes.

## METHODOLOGY

A cross sectional Study was conducted to find out the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and its associated risk factors among the police personnel stationed at various Police Stations in District Gwalior.

By considering the prevalence of diabetes among police personnel as 12% 8, 9 we calculated the sample size using precision/absolute error of 5% and at type 1 error of 5% with 95% confidence interval, the sample size turns out to be 149.76 ~ 150 minimum. To cover both rural and urban areas together, total sample size taken for the study was minimum 200 police personnel each from rural and urban areas from Gwalior District. All police personnel who wanted to participate in the study and were working for more than 1 year were included in the study. Those who didn't want to participate and working for less than one year or could not fill the form completely due to any reasons were excluded.

The ethical committee permission was taken prior to conducting the study. The purpose of study was explained to the Superintendent of Police, District Gwalior and permission to carry out the study was obtained. Officer in-charge of the respective police station was contacted and requested for help and support to carry out the Data collection and Anthropometric measurements. Informed written consent in Hindi was obtained from police personnel before the commencement of interview one by one.

Anthropometric and clinical examinations were done to assess height (cm), weight (kg), waist circumference (cm), body mass index (BMI), blood pressure (BP) (mm Hg), and random blood sugar (RBS) levels (mg/dl). RBS was measured using, Dr Morepen Gluco One BG-03 instrument. Mercury sphygmomanometer was used to measure the blood pressure of each participant. If abnormally high reading was recorded on 1stmeasurement, one more reading was taken for confirmation after 15-30 min of 1st reading. BMI was calculated using Asian Classification of BMI.10

The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) is the most widely used psychological instrument for measuring the perception of stress. It's a 10 item question with 5 options (0 to 4) to choose from which includes 0 - never, 1 - almost never, 2 - sometimes, 3 - fairly often, 4 - very often. To calculate the score first we had to reverse the scores for questions 4, 5, 7, and 8. On these 4 questions, scores can be changed like e.g. 0 = 4, 1 = 3, 2 = 2, 3 = 1, 4 = 0. Scores ranging from 0-13 would be considered low stress. Scores ranging from 14-26 would be considered moderate stress. Scores ranging from 27-40 would be considered high perceived stress.11

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software, version 16.0. Simple frequency format was used for Categorical variables. Standard deviation was applied to percentages and quantitative and numerical variables. For hours of duties performed by the police personnel, we had calculated Median and Range as mean hours of duties was less than the standard deviations calculated, also wherever applicable. Logistic regression analysis was used to describe the possible association between independent variables and the outcome variable as Diabetes Mellitus among the police personnel of district Gwalior.

# RESULTS

Total 402 police personnel were included in the study from both rural and urban police stations. The overall cases of diabetes (RBS  $\geq$ 200mg/dl) were 48(11.9%), among them 29(60.4%) were diagnosed in the current study. The mean RBS level of the participants was 136.6 mg/dl. 27(13.4%) of urban and 21(10.5%) of rural counterparts were found to be diabetic and had RBS  $\geq$ 200mg/dl while 22(10.9%) of Urban and 19(9.5%) of rural police participants had RBS in range of 146-199mg/dl.

The mean age of the participants in the study was 42.6years (SD11.7). As the age advanced the prevalence of DM has also increased. The statistically significant socio-demographic variables of the participants are shown in Table 1. Total 377(93.8%) were male police personnel, among them 47(12.5%) were diabetic with RBS  $\geq$ 200mg/dl and 39(10.3%) had RBS between 146–199mg/dl. while 1(4.0%) and 2(8.0%) of total 25(6.2%) female participants were diabetic with RBS  $\geq$ 200mg/dl and between 146–199mg/dl respectively [Table 1].

Majority of the participants i:e. 18 (18%) with intermediate level education had RBS  $\geq 200$  mg/dl while it was only 5 (11.1%) for post graduates or higher education level. With the education the prevalence of Diabetes has also decreased, which justifies that education plays a significant role in understanding diabetes and appropriate measures for the same.

As per the BG Prasad classification of socioeconomic status, 32(14.7%) of the participants in the upper class were diabetic while it was 0(0.0%) for those who come in the category of lower middle class.

The mean BMI of the male participants in the current study was 25.3kg/mtr2 (SD 3.2) while for female it was 23.8kg/mtr2 (SD 3.2). As per the Asian Classification of BMI it comes in the category of Obesity class I for male participants and pre-obese for female. For BMI and DM, 207(51.5%) had BMI >25 kg/mtr2 among them 31(14.9%) developed diabetes. Also with increase in Waist circumference the proportion of participants with Diabetes has also increased. The mean waist circumference for male police personnel was 88.5 cm (SD 7.6) while for female it was 87.0 (SD 7.6) which is a significant risk factor for diabetes and other comorbidities.

Duration of service in police department was also associated with the increased prevalence of Diabetes. The mean duration of service for the participants was 19.3 years (SD 11.8). While mean 2.2yrs (SD 1.8) was the duration for which police personnel were deployed in the current postings. Increase in hierarchy was also associated with increased prevalence of Diabetes and was significant statistically. Significant findings for service profile and diabetes can be seen in [Table 2].

Exercise helps in dealing with stress and disease free living. As per the current study the mean duration of exercise was 52.3 min (SD39.8) per day while 184(45.7%) didn't do exercise at all. Mean 3.2 days per week of exercise was done by police personnel in District Gwalior. The variables which are risk factors for Diabetes and statistically significant, are shown in [Table 3].

Police personnel smoke with median 0 cigarettes (range 30) per day. 69(17.4%) has h/o tobacco consumption for more than 20 years. 112(27.8%) reported consuming alcohol.

The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) is a measure of the degree to which situation in one's life are appraised as stressful. As per findings the mean score of the participants was 16.9 (SD 3.6) which comes in the category of moderate level score.

Anova and Multinomial Logistic regression analysis was used to describe the possible association between independent variables and the outcome as diabetes. At start all the variables were included in the regression model, later less significant variables were excluded one by one. Independent variables are having positive impact on outcome variables with p value less than 0.05 and chi square of 251.9 for final model suggests that model is a fit. Both

Pearson and Deviance in goodness of fit model is not significant with p value more than 0.05 and chi square of 912.5 and 708.2 respectively. Pseudo R square in Cox and Snell model and Nagelkerkes model explains that 46.6% and 62.6 % of variance observed in the outcome variables can be explained by independent variables.

The probability of RBS less than 140 mg/dl is 2.7 units less in general category police participants as compared to SC/ST participants (with respect to RBS  $\geq$ 200mg/dl) and is statistically significant with p value less than 0.05.

For BMI, there is 11.4 units more chances of RBS <140mg/dl in underweight participants as compared to Obese I category (relative to RBS  $\geq 200$ mg/dl). Findings are significant with p value less than 0.05.

Those who work for 8 to 12 hrs a day are predicted to have 2.48 units less chances of having RBS between 141-199 mg/dl as compared to those who work for more than 12 hrs a day (relative to RBS  $\geq$ 200 mg/dl). All the significant findings in the Anova and Multinomial regression model can be seen in the Table 4 and 5 repectively [Table 4].

| Table 1: Distribution of police personnel | according to their Socio-demographic variables  |
|---|---|
| and anthropometric measurements           | in context to Diabetes Mellitus with calculated |
| Proportions, Pearson Chi Square and P     | values. (N=402)                                 |

| Vor            | ahlaa              | Random Blood Sugar Level |                    |             | Total             |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| vari           |                    | $\leq$ 140 mg/dl         | 141- 199<br>mg/dl  | ≥ 200 mg/dl |                   |
| Age (years)    | Upto30 (99)        | 93 (93.9%)               | 5 (5.1%)           | 1 (1.0%)    |                   |
|                | 31-40 (73)         | 62 (84.9%)               | 8 (11.0%)          | 3 (4.1%)    | χ2 -36.78         |
|                | 41-50 (94)         | 70 (74.5%)               | 10 (10.6%)         | 14 (14.9%)  | P value =         |
|                | >50 (136)          | 88 (64.7%)               | 18 (13.2%)         | 30 (22.1%)  | 0.000001          |
| Caste          | General (214)      | 150 (70.1%)              | 31 (14.5%)         | 33 (15.4%)  |                   |
| Category       | OBC* (98)          | 83 (84.7%)               | 7 (7.1%)           | 8 (8.2%)    | χ2 -17.21         |
|                | SC/ST† (90)        | 80 (88.9%)               | 3 (3.3%)           | 7 (7.8%)    | P value = $0.002$ |
| Marital Status | Single (30)        | 29 (96.7%)               | 1 (3.3%)           | 0 (0.0%)    |                   |
|                | Married (369)      | 282 (76.4%)              | 39 (10.6%)         | 48 (13.0%)  | χ2 -16.21         |
|                | Divorced (01)      | 0 (0.0%)                 | 1 (100.0%)         | 0 (0.0%)    | P value = $0.013$ |
|                | Widowed (02)       | 2 (100.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)           | 0 (0.0%)    |                   |
|                | Under weight       | 7 (100.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)           | 0 (0.0%)    |                   |
| Body Mass      | (07)               |                          |                    |             | χ2 -14.82         |
| Index-Asian    | Normal (188)       | 159 (84.6%)              | 12 (6.4%)          | 17 (9.0%)   | P value = $0.022$ |
| Classification | Over weight        | 127 (72.6%)              | 24 (13.7%)         | 24 (13.7%)  |                   |
|                | (175)              |                          |                    |             |                   |
|                | Obese (32)         | 20 (62.5%)               | 5 (15.6%)          | 7 (21.9%)   |                   |
| Waist          | $\leq$ 90 for Male | 187 (84.6%)              | 16 (7.2%)          | 18 (8.1%)   |                   |
| circumference  | (221)              |                          |                    |             | χ2 -19.82         |
| (cm)           | $\leq 80$ for      | 6 (100.0%)               | 0 (0.0%)           | 0 (0.0%)    | P value = $0.003$ |
|                | Female (06)        |                          |                    |             |                   |
|                | > 90 for Male      | 104 (66.7%)              | 23 (14.7%)         | 29 (18.6%)  |                   |
|                | (156)              |                          |                    |             |                   |
|                | > 80 for           | 16 (84.2%)               | 2 (10.5%)          | 1 (5.3%)    |                   |
|                | Female (19)        |                          |                    |             |                   |
| Location of    | Urban (202)        | 153(75.7%)               | 22(10.9%)          | 27(13.4%)   | χ2 -1.12          |
| police station | Rural (200)        | 160(80.0%)               | 19(9.5%)           | 21(10.5%)   | P value $= 0.5$   |
|                | * Other bac        | kward class, † Sch       | eduled caste/Scheo | luled tribe |                   |

Table 2: Distribution of police personnel according to their service profile in context toDiabetes Mellitus withcalculated Proportions, Pearson Chi Square and P values.(N=402)

| Service | Random Blood Sugar Level | Total |
|---------|--------------------------|-------|
|         |                          |       |

|                 |                     | < 140      | 1/1 100    | > 200     |                 |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|
|                 |                     | $\leq 140$ | 141-177    | ≥ 200     |                 |
|                 |                     | mg/dl      | mg/dl      | mg/dl     |                 |
| Years of        | $\leq 10 (135)$     | 125        | 08 (5.9%)  | 02 (1.5%) |                 |
| services        |                     | (92.6%)    |            |           | χ2 -38.11       |
|                 | 11 – 20 (65)        | 53 (81.5%) | 08 (12.3%) | 04 (6.2%) | P value =       |
|                 | > 20 (202)          | 135        | 25 (12.4%) | 42        | 0.000001        |
|                 |                     | (66.8%)    |            | (20.8%)   |                 |
|                 | Constable (261)     | 222        | 21 (8.0%)  | 18 (6.9%) |                 |
| Present         |                     | (85.1%)    |            |           | χ2 -30.87       |
| Service Rank    | Head Constable (64) | 42 (65.6%) | 08 (12.5%) | 14        | P value =       |
|                 |                     |            |            | (21.9%)   | 0.000027        |
|                 | ASI/SI (70)         | 46 (65.7%) | 09 (12.9%) | 15        |                 |
|                 |                     |            |            | (21.4%)   |                 |
|                 | Inspector and above | 03 (42.9%) | 03 (42.9%) | 01        |                 |
|                 | (07)                |            |            | (14.3%)   |                 |
| Duty            | ≤10 (54)            | 45 (83.3%) | 05 (9.3%)  | 04 (7.4%) |                 |
| hours/day       | 11 - 15 (175)       | 136        | 17 (9.7%)  | 22        | χ2 -1.5         |
| -               |                     | (77.7%)    |            | (12.6%)   | P value $= 0.8$ |
|                 | > 15 (173)          | 132        | 19 (10.9%) | 22        |                 |
|                 |                     | (75.4%)    |            | (12.7%)   |                 |
| Any kind of     | No (114)            | 88 (77.2%) | 13 (11.4%) | 13        | χ2 -0.2         |
| patrolling duty |                     |            | . ,        | (11.4%)   | P value $= 0.8$ |
| - •             | Yes (288)           | 225        | 28 (9.7%)  | 35        |                 |
|                 |                     | (78.1%)    |            | (12.2%)   |                 |

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833

VOL14, ISSUE 10, 2023

| Table 3:        | Distribution  | of police | personnel  | according t  | to risk  | factor  | profile in | context to  |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|------------|--------------|----------|---------|------------|-------------|
| <b>Diabetes</b> | Mellitus with | calculate | d Proporti | ons, Pearsor | n Chi Se | quare a | nd P value | es. (N=402) |

| Dick factor profile |                       |                  | Total      |            |                   |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| RISK                | factor prome          | $\leq$ 140 mg/dl | 141-199    | $\geq 200$ | Total             |
|                     |                       | - 8              | mg/dl      | mg/dl      |                   |
| Exercise            | No Exercise (184)     | 153 (83.2%)      | 19 (10.3%) | 12 (6.5%)  | -                 |
| (Minutes per        | < 30 (79)             | 62 (78.5%)       | 10 (12.7%) | 7 (8.9%)   | χ2 - 17.97        |
| day)                | 30 - 60 (83)          | 57 (68.7%)       | 9 (10.8%)  | 17 (20.5%) | P  value = 0.006  |
|                     | > 60 (56)             | 41 (73.2%)       | 3 (5.4%)   | 12 (21.4%) |                   |
|                     | No Exercise (184)     | 153 (83.2%)      | 19 (10.3%) | 12 (6.5%)  | χ2 -9.7           |
| Exercise            | 1-6 (96)              | 70 (72.9%)       | 10         | 16 (16.67) | P value = $0.046$ |
| (days in a          |                       |                  | (10.41%)   |            |                   |
| week)               | 7 (122)               | 90 (73.8%)       | 12 (9.8%)  | 20 (16.4%) |                   |
| Alcohol             | Absent (290)          | 225 (77.6%)      | 30 (10.3%) | 35 (12.1%) |                   |
| (Duration in        | ≤ 10 (42)             | 40 (95.2%)       | 1 (2.4%)   | 1 (2.4%)   | χ2 -16.22         |
| years)              | 11 - 20 (41)          | 31 (75.6%)       | 3 (7.3%)   | 7 (17.1%)  | P value = $0.012$ |
|                     | >20 (29)              | 17 (58.6%)       | 7 (24.13%) | 5 (17.24%) |                   |
|                     | Absent (82)           | 70 (85.4%)       | 9 (11.0%)  | 3 (3.7%)   |                   |
| Tea/Coffee          | ≤ 5 (270)             | 201 (74.4%)      | 28 (10.4%) | 41 (15.2%) | χ2 - 9.27         |
| per day             | >5 (50)               | 42 (84%)         | 4 (8%)     | 4(8%)      | P value $= 0.05$  |
|                     | No (383)              | 313 (81.7%)      | 41 (10.7%) | 29 (7.6%)  | χ2 - 147.07       |
| Past h/o            | Yes (19)              | 0 (0.0%)         | 0 (0.0%)   | 19         | P value =         |
| Diabetes            |                       |                  |            | (100.0%)   | 0.000001          |
| Family h/o          | No (351)              | 282 (80.3%)      | 31 (8.8%)  | 38 (10.8%) | χ2 -10.12         |
| Diabetes            | Yes (51)              | 31 (60.8%)       | 10 (19.6%) | 10 (19.6%) | P value $= 0.006$ |
| Spouse Health       | Absent (316)          | 262 (82.9%)      | 30 (9.5%)  | 24 (7.6%)  | χ2 - 28.91        |
| - Present (86)      |                       | 51 (59.3%)       | 11 (12.8%) | 24 (27.9%) | P value =         |
| Morbidities         |                       |                  |            |            | 0.000001          |
|                     | Low score stress (43) | 30 (69.8%)       | 5 (11.6%)  | 8 (18.6%)  | χ2 - 2.55         |
| Stress scale        | Moderate to high      | 283              | 36         | 40         | P value = $0.63$  |
| category            | level stress (359)    | (79.05%)         | (10.05%)   | (11.17%)   |                   |

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 10, 2023

| Table 4: ANOVA for variables with respect to Diabetes Mellitus among Police Personne |
|--|
| n District Gwalior   |

| Model |            | Sum of<br>Squares | Df  | Mean<br>Square | F      | Significance |
|-------|------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|--------|--------------|
|       | Regression | 797.64            | 9   | 88.62          | 312.78 | 0.000001     |
| ANOVA | Residual   | 111.35            | 393 | 0.28           |        |              |
|       | Total      | 909               | 401 |                |        |              |

# Table 5: Logistic Regression Analysis in context to Random Blood Sugar (402)

| Blood sugar  |            | Regression  | Significance | ODDs  | ODDs 95% confidence |         |            |
|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|---------------------|---------|------------|
|              |            |             | coefficients |       | ratio               | in      | terval     |
| Vari         | ables      | Sub         |              |       |                     | Lower   | Upper      |
|              |            | variables   |              |       |                     | bound   | bound      |
|              | Intercepts |             | -31.867      | 0.981 |                     |         |            |
|              | Category   | General     | -2.730       | 0.034 | 0.06                | 0.005   | 0.812      |
|              |            | Other       | -2.197       | 0.092 | 0.111               | 0.009   | 1.430      |
|              |            | backward    |              |       |                     |         |            |
| Random       |            | class       |              |       |                     |         |            |
| Blood        |            | Scheduled   |              |       | 0                   |         |            |
| $Sugar \leq$ |            | caste/tribe |              |       |                     |         |            |
| 140 mg/dl    | Body       | Under       | 11.452       | .949  | 94105.437           | 7.585E- | 1.168E+156 |
|              | Mass       | weight      |              |       |                     | 147     |            |
|              | Index      | Normal      | 4.810        | .002  | 122.764             | 6.238   | 2415.855   |
|              |            | Pre Obese   | 3.400        | .007  | 29.954              | 2.514   | 356.913    |
|              |            | Obese I     |              |       | 0                   |         |            |
|              | Duty       | <8          | 10.361       | .928  | 31593.752           | 2.623E- | 3.806E+101 |
|              | hours per  |             |              |       |                     | 093     |            |
|              | day        | 8-12        | -2.528       | .004  | .080                | .014    | .455       |
|              |            | > 12        |              |       | 0                   |         |            |
|              | Smoking    | Absent      | 2.054        | .014  | 7.801               | 1.514   | 40.192     |
|              | -          | Present     |              |       | 0                   |         |            |

# Table 5: Logistic Regression Analysis in context to Random Blood Sugar (402)

| Blood sugar<br>Variables Sub<br>variables |                       | Regression          | Significance | ODDs ratio   | 95% confidence<br>interval |                |                |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|   |                       | Sub<br>variables    | coefficients | Significance | ODDs ratio                 | Lower<br>bound | Upper<br>bound |
| Random                                    | Intere                | cepts               | -66.673      | .972         |                            |                |                |
| Blood<br>Sugar                            | Education             | Upto high<br>school | 2.265        | .113         | 9.634                      | .585           | 158.659        |
| 141 –                                     |                       | Intermediate        | .998         | .439         | 2.712                      | .216           | 33.962         |
| 199                                       |                       | Graduate            | 2.923        | .035         | 18.606                     | 1.229          | 281.758        |
| mg/dl                                     |                       | Post<br>Graduate    |              |              | 0                          |                |                |
|   | Body mass index       | Under<br>weight     | 1.606        | .996         | 4.985                      | 7.473E-<br>251 | 3.325E+251     |
|   |                       | Normal              | 4.184        | .013         | 65.648                     | 2.448          | 1760.332       |
|   |                       | Pre Obese           | 3.905        | .005         | 49.664                     | 3.191          | 772.994        |
|   |                       | Obese I             |              | -            |                            |                |                |
|   | Duty hours<br>per day | <8                  | 11.591       | .919         | 108103.099                 | 8.877E-<br>093 | 1.317E+102     |
|   |                       | 8-12                | -2.483       | .018         | .083                       | .011           | .648           |
|   |                       | > 12                |              | -            | 0                          |                |                |
|   | Past h/o              | Absent              | -2.277       | .069         | .103                       | .009           | 1.197          |
|   | Hypertension          | Present             |              |              | 0                          |                |                |
|   | Smoking               | Absent              | 2.482        | .010         | 11.961                     | 1.814          | 78.849         |
|   |                       | Present             |              |              | 0                          |                |                |

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 10, 2023

#### DISCUSSION

The diabetes was detected in overall 48 (11.9%) of the participants among them 29(60.4%) of the participants were diagnosed in the current study as past h/o Diabetes was reported by 19 (4.7%) of the total participants and were on some kind of Oral medications. The prevalence of diabetes was 27 (13.4%) in urban police personnel while it was 21(10.5%) for Rural. As per the study by Ganesh KS et al,<sup>[12]</sup> and Rathi K et al,<sup>[13]</sup> both had 10.1% diabetic among Police personnel while Aggarwal SS et al,<sup>[14]</sup> had 11.9% diabetic in Akola Police personnel which is almost in accordance with the current study.

377(93.8%) were male police personnel in the current study among them 47 (12.5%) were diabetic as compared to 1 (4.0%) of female participant. 95.1% of police personnel were male in study conducted by Bhatia KMKet al,<sup>[15]</sup> in Vadodara. Similar finding was shown by Sridher S et al.<sup>[16]</sup> Study by Hartley TA et al,<sup>[17]</sup> (2011) in Bufallo, NY police department had 27.8% male and 11.8% females with impaired glucose tolerance. The disproportionate no of women police personnel put increased work load on existing staff in dealing with crime related to women.

The mean age of police personnel was 42.3±11.3 years with 34.9% aged 50 years or more. Current study found 22.1% diabetic with age more than 50 years. A study conducted by Tesfaye T et al,<sup>[18]</sup> in Ethiopia showed that 21.3% were Diabetic at age more than 45 years, while 51.8% showed impaired fasting glucose. Similar was the findings of Oputa RN et al at Nigeria.<sup>[19]</sup> Prevalence of diabetes was 45.3% in the 50–59 years age groups as shown by Ramakrishnan J et al,<sup>[20]</sup> in his study among Puducherry police. While it was 55% in 51-55 years age group as per study by Kumar N et al,<sup>[21]</sup> in eastern district of India. The difference in findings in the current study might be due to random blood sugar calculation and their might be more diabetic among those which fell in the category of impaired blood sugar level (141-199mg/dl).

48 (13.0%) of married participants were diabetic in this study. 50.7% of the respondents were married as per Phiri Met al,<sup>[22]</sup> at Zambia, among them 61.5% were diabetic while rest 30.8% of the diabetics were divorced or separated. This difference might be due to regional variation in the physical built of the participants and work profile.

163 (40.5%) were graduates in the current study. 18 (18.0%) and 13(8.0%) of the intermediate and graduates respectively were diabetic. Tharkar S et al,<sup>[23]</sup> had 24.5% participants were atleast graduates. It suggests that better education level has positive impact on DM. The findings were not significant statistically though.

As per Asian classification of BMI, 175 (43.5%) were overweight while 32 (7.9%) were obese in current study. 7 (21.9%) of the obese participants were diabetic. Study also found that the diabetes had increased from 18(8.1%) to 29 (18.6%) in male as waist circumference increased (>90 cm), also for female it increased from 0(0.0%) to 1 (5.3%) (>80cm). In a study by Satapathy et al,<sup>[24]</sup> 25.7% were overweight, 57.6% were obese and 62.1% had abdominal obesity. Mahajan D C et al25 revealed 28% with BMI >25Kg/m2 and waist circumference >94cm in 53.33% of the subjects. Study by Bhatia KMK et al15 in Vadodara reported mean BMI of 24.00 $\pm$ 3.44 kg/m2.

In this study total 202(50.2%) participants had more than 20 years of service and among them participants with diabetes had increased to 42 (20.8%). Study by Tesfaye T et al,<sup>[18]</sup> in Ethiopia revealed that 21.5% police personnel with diabetes had more than 20 years of service. Sridher S et al,<sup>[16]</sup> at Chennai had 48.2% participating police personnel with service more than 20 years. Ramakrishnan J et al,<sup>[20]</sup> in his study had mean duration of service as policemen were 16.3 years (SD  $\pm$  11.7). This concludes that as the service duration increased the combined stress with imbalance between work and personal/health life has negative impact on diabetes status. As per current study 173 (43.1%) police personnel work for more than 15 hours a day among them 22 (12.7%) had diabetes. While only 54 (13.4%) reported to be working for less than 10hrs a day Continuous round the clock duty without proper rest in between makes body

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 10, 2023

susceptible for disease which hampers the productive life as a police professional. As per Makarani MA et al,<sup>[26]</sup> at Pune, 69% were working for more than 12 hours while it was 94% for Rathi K et al,<sup>[13]</sup> at Delhi. Current study found that 351 (87.3%) had no family history of diabetes but among them 38 (10.8%) developed diabetes as compared to 10 (19.6%) with family history of Diabetes. Mahajan DC et al,<sup>[25]</sup> in Mumbai had found that 29.3% of the participants had family history of DM. While it was 11.7% as per Kumar P et al,<sup>[27]</sup> in Bankura. Current study revealed that 184 (45.8%) participants were physically inactive. While study conducted by Lohakpure VR et al28 in Ambajogai and Jahnavi G et al,<sup>[29]</sup> in Vijaywada found that 65.2% and 64% respectively were physically inactive.

359 (89.1%) were in moderate level stress scale score in this study. Ragesh Get al30in Calicut had 83.2% participants with moderate to high level operational stress which is in accordance with our study. This reveals that combined effect of workload leads to increase in stress which increases with service duration.

In a study among female police personnel by Roy B et al,<sup>[31]</sup> at Kerala had found that those who were working for more than 12 hours in a day experienced significantly (P< 0.05) more stress. This might be due to different perception of stress by female counterparts, regional variations or as facing dual burden of family and professional life as a police officer.

Current study found that 97 (24.1%) participants were consuming tobacco in any form (smoking or chewing), among them 16 (16.5%) developed diabetes. Also 112 (27.9%) revealed that they consumed alcohol and 13 (11.6%) were diabetic among them. As per Ganesh KS et al,<sup>[12]</sup> in urban Puducherry smoking was highly prevalent in 21.6% of the participants while alcohol use was among 50.3%.

312 (77.6%) participants were engaged in taking excess sweets per day in current study. As per Ganesh KS et al,<sup>[12]</sup> in urban Puducherry, 40% used to add extra salt in their diet. Lohakpure VR et al,<sup>[28]</sup> in Ambajogai had 72.8% participants had mixed diet which includes non-vegetarian diet. As per Bhatia KMK et al,<sup>[15]</sup> at Vadodara 53.1% had mixed diet. High salt intake and high caloric diet may lead to impaired glucose level in blood.

Police duty is stressful and most of the police personnel who join forces as a normal healthy cadet end up being diabetic with time in police forces. Timely intervention and proper guidance for health is very important for prevention and appropriate management of diabetes and its complications.

## CONCLUSION

There is very high prevalence of diabetes among police personnel as duration of service/ hierarchy increases, make us state that Police personnel join the police department in extremely good health but later they end up unfit. Obesity, increased waist circumference is also a contributory factor for diabetes. Regular health checkup should be made mandatory for all police personnel; so that self-indulgent habits and negligent behavior do not harm them and they can dedicate themselves more efficiently for their law enforcement duties. Health improvement measures in the form of regular exercise, rest at least once in a week, along with regular counseling can help existing police personnel in dealing with day-to-day matters.

#### Acknowledgement

Special thanks to Superintendent of Police, Distt Gwalior for the support given and provision of all the necessary documents to carry out research work smoothly. We would like to thank all the police personnel and station in charges for their consent and cooperation in collecting the required information.

#### Limitation of the study

Study needs to be replicated in police personnel from other cities with more number of participants. Participant needs to get fasting and post prandial blood sugar along with HbA1c measured for better measurement of diabetes status.

Conflict of interest - none.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: Estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1047-53.
- 2. Selokar D, Nimbarte S, Ahana S, Gaidhane A, Wagh V.Occupational stress among police personnel of Wardha city, India. Australas Med J 2011;4:114-7.
- 3. American Diabetes Association. Living with diabetes: Stress Available from: http://www.diabetes.org/living-with-diabetes/complications/stress.html.
- 4. Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complications-Report of a WHO Consultation, World Health Organization, Department of Noncommunicable Disease Surveillance Geneva.
- 5. Franke WD, Anderson DF. Relationship between physical activity and risk factors for cardiovascular disease among law enforcement officers. J Occup Med 1994;36:1127-32.
- 6. Violanti JM, Marshall JR, Howe B. Police occupational demands, psychological stress, and the coping function of alcohol. J Occup Med 1984;25:455-8.
- 7. Derek RS, Sue D, Peter AL, Tatsuya Ishitake. Alcohol and Tobacco Consumption among Police Officers. Kurume Medical Journal 2005;52:63-5.
- 8. Charan J, Biswas T, How to Calculate Sample Size for Different Study Designs in Medical Research? Indian J Psychol Med. 2013 Apr-Jun; 35(2): 121–126.
- 9. Meena JK, Kumar R, Meena G S. Protect the protector: Morbidity and health behavior among police personnel in national capital region of India. Indian J Occup Environ Med 2018;22:86-91
- 10. Table 2.3. The Asia Pacific Perspective: redefining Obesity and its treatment. Feb 200. World Health Organisation. Western pacific region. IASO International Association for the study of obesity. International obesity task force.
- 11. As in https://das.nh.gov/wellness/docs/percieved%20stress%20scale.pdf. page-20,
- 12. Ganesh KS, Naresh AGV, Bammigatti C. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Hypertension Among Male Police Personnel in Urban Puducherry, India. Kathmandu Univ Med J 2014;48(4):242-6.
- Rathi K, Singh K. Assessment of Weight Status among Police Head Constables in Delhi. International Journal of Health Sciences & Research (www.ijhsr.org) Vol.8; Issue: 1; January 2018
- 14. AggarwalS.S, Ambalkar D.D, Kale K.M, Aswar N.R, Bhatkule P.R. Cross Sectional Study of Obesity in Police Personnel in Akola City, Maharashtra, India . International Journal of Health Sciences & Research (www.ijhsr.org) Vol.5; Issue: 3; March 2015.
- 15. Bhatia KMK, Pandit N. Prevalence of Chronic Morbidity and Sociodemographic Profile of Police Personnel A Study from Gujarat. Journal of clinical and Diagnostic research. Volume-11, Issue-9, 2017.
- 16. Sridher S, Thulasiram S, Rishwanth R, Sakthivel G, Rahul V, Uma Maheswari R. Health status of traffic police personnel in Chennai city. Public Health Review: International Journal of Public Health Research Vol 4, No 04 (2017)
- 17. Hartley TA, Burchfiel C.M, Fekedulegn D, Andrew M.E, Knox S.S, and Violanti J.M-Associations between Police Officer Stress and the Metabolic Syndrome- Int J EmergMent Health. 2011; 13(4): 243–256.
- Tesfaye T, Shikur B, Shimels T and Firdu N. Prevalence and factors associated with diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose level among members of federal police commission residing in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Endocrine Disorders (2016) 16:68 DOI 10.1186/s12902-016-0150-6

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL14, ISSUE 10, 2023

- 19. Oputa RN, Chinenye S. Diabetes mellitus: a global epidemic with potential solutions. Afr J Diabetes Med2012; 20:33-35.
- 20. Ramakrishnan J, Majgi SM, Premarajan KC, Lakshminarayanan S, Thangaraj S, and Chinnakali P, High prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors among policemen in Puducherry, South India , Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research 4 (2013) 112e115
- 21. Kumar N, Rana R.K, Jha J, Chaudhary A.K, Gupta A.K, Roy C. A Cross Sectional Observational Study, to Explore the Presence of Cardio Vascular Risk Factors For Heart Diseases Along With Exploration Of Metabolic Syndrome in Police Personnel of An Eastern District in India" IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS) e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 16, Issue 11 Ver. II (Nov. 2017), PP 43-49 www.iosrjournals.org
- 22. Phiri M, Mulenga D, Mazaba M.L, Siziya S, Prevalence of Diabetes mellitus and association between knowledge of diabetes and Diabetes mellitus among police officers in Peter Singogo camp, Zambia. Asian Pac. J. Health Sci., 2016; 3 (3):87-89.
- 23. Tharkar S, Kumpatla S, Muthukumaran P, Viswanathan V. High Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome and Cardiovascular Risk Among Police Personnel Compared to General Population in India. JAPI Vol. 56 November 2008. www.japi.org.
- 24. Satapathy D, Behera T, Tripathy R. Health status of traffic police personnel in Brahmapur city. Indian J Community Med. 2009 Jan;34(1):71-2. doi: 10.4103/0970-0218.45380.
- 25. Mahajan DC, Birari SS, Khainar G.S, Patil Y.P, Kadam V.J, Joshi Y.M. Prevalence of non- communicable disease risk factors in two groups of urban population. Asian journal of epidemiology2 (1); 1-8, 2009. ISSN 1992- 1462
- 26. Makarani MA, Bhardwaj G, Singh J and Narwal A. An Exploratory Study To Assess The Effect Of Air Pollution On Respiratory Status Among Traffic Police Personnel In Selected Areas Of Pune City. International Journal of Nursing Sciences and Practice Vol. 1, No. 1 (2016), pp. 9-15
- 27. Kumar P, Mallik D, MukhopadhyayD.K, Sinhababu A, Mahapatra B.S, Chakrabarti. P-Prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus, Impaired Fasting Glucose, Impaired Glucose Tolerance, and its Correlates among Police Personnel in Bankura District of West Bengal. Indian Journal of Public Health, Vol. 57, Issue 1, January-March, 2013.
- 28. Lohakpure V R, Vedpathak V L, Jogdand M S. Assessment of the cardiovascular risk factors among police personnel in rural area of Maharashtra. MedPulse International Journal of Community Medicine July 2017; 3(1): 21-24
- 29. Jahnavi G, Patra S.R, Chandrasekhar C.H., Rao B.N Unmasking the health problems faced by the police personnel GJMEDPH, Vol 1(5) Sep-Oct 2012.
- 30. Ragesh. G, Tharayil H.M, Meharoof RTP3, Philip. M, Hamza. A. Occupational stress among police personnel in India. Open Journal of Psychiatry & Allied Sciences
- Roy B, KMK, Krishna AKI. Assessment of Psychological Stress among Female Police Personnel in Kerala. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS) e-ISSN: 2279-0853, p-ISSN: 2279-0861.Volume 15, Issue 10 Ver. VI (October. 2016), PP 64-66.