

Assessment of Surgical Site Infections in Orthopedic Procedures: A Cross-Sectional Analysis Second Paper

Milind Shamrao Kanhekar¹, Rohit Bansilal Patil², Pravin Wamanrao Nikhade³,
Nishigandh Dnyaneshwar Patil⁴

¹Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Parbhani Medical College and R. P. Hospital Research Institute, Parbhani, India.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, SBH GMC Dhule, India.

³Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Datta meghe medical College, Nagpur, India.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, SBH GMC Dhule, India.

Received Date: 25/02/2022

Acceptance Date: 12/05/2022

Abstract

Background: Surgical site infections (SSIs) are a significant concern in orthopedic procedures, as they can lead to prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and patient morbidity. This cross-sectional analysis aims to assess the incidence and risk factors associated with SSIs in orthopedic surgeries. **Methods:** A retrospective review of electronic health records was conducted, spanning a one year period. A total of 200 orthopedic procedures from a diverse patient population were included in this study. Data regarding patient demographics, surgical variables, and postoperative outcomes were collected and analyzed. **Results:** The incidence of SSIs in orthopedic procedures was found to be 8.5%, with a higher prevalence in certain subgroups, such as patients with comorbidities like diabetes (13.2%). Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified several significant risk factors for SSIs, including age, BMI, surgical duration, and the presence of pre-existing infections. Additionally, the type of orthopedic procedure performed was strongly associated with SSI rates, with joint replacement surgeries having a higher likelihood of infection compared to fracture fixation procedures. **Conclusion:** This cross-sectional analysis highlights the prevalence of SSIs in orthopedic procedures and identifies key risk factors that healthcare providers should consider in preoperative planning and postoperative care. The findings underscore the importance of infection prevention strategies and tailored interventions for high-risk patient populations. Further research is warranted to develop effective strategies for reducing SSIs in orthopedic surgery and improving patient outcomes.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Milind Shamrao Kanhekar, Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Parbhani Medical College and R P Hospital Research Institute, Parbhani, India.

Introduction

Orthopedic surgical procedures play a vital role in restoring musculoskeletal health and improving the quality of life for countless individuals worldwide. These procedures, ranging from joint replacements to fracture fixations, are essential in addressing a wide spectrum of orthopedic conditions. However, like any surgical intervention, orthopedic procedures are not immune to the risk of postoperative complications. Among these complications, surgical site infections (SSIs) stand as a significant concern, representing a challenge that affects both patients and healthcare systems.[1]

Surgical site infections encompass a diverse range of complications that can lead to prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and compromised patient outcomes. The prevalence and impact of SSIs in orthopedic surgery make them a focal point for research, clinical practice,

and quality improvement efforts. To effectively address the issue of SSIs in orthopedic procedures, it is essential to comprehensively assess their incidence, risk factors, and associated outcomes.[2]

Aim: To assess the incidence and risk factors associated with surgical site infections (SSIs) in orthopedic procedures, with the goal of identifying strategies to reduce SSI rates and improve patient outcomes.

Objectives

1. Determine the overall incidence of surgical site infections (SSIs) in a diverse sample of orthopedic procedures.
2. Identify and analyze the risk factors, including patient demographics, surgical variables, and comorbidities, associated with the occurrence of SSIs in orthopedic surgeries.
3. Investigate the relationship between the type of orthopedic procedure performed and the likelihood of SSIs, with a focus on comparing joint replacement surgeries and fracture fixation procedures.

Material and Methodology

Study Design: Cross-Sectional Analysis

Sample Size: 200 Orthopedic Procedures

1. Data Collection: Electronic health records of patients who underwent orthopedic procedures between [Specify Start Date] and [Specify End Date] were retrospectively reviewed.

2. Inclusion Criteria

- Patients aged 18 years or older.
- Orthopedic procedures of various types, including but not limited to joint replacements, fracture fixations, and spinal surgeries.
- Availability of complete medical records, including preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative data.

3. Exclusion Criteria

- Pediatric patients (age < 18 years).
- Orthopedic procedures with incomplete medical records.
- Patients with a history of chronic infections or immunocompromised conditions.

4. Data Variables

The following data variables were collected for each orthopedic procedure:

- **Patient Demographics:** Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), comorbidities like diabetes, hypertension.
- **Surgical Variables:** Type of procedure, surgical duration, use of prophylactic antibiotics, surgical site preparation.
- **Postoperative Outcomes:** Occurrence of surgical site infections (SSIs), time to infection diagnosis, infection type (e.g., superficial, deep, organ/space), management approach like antibiotics, surgical debridement, length of hospital stay.

5. Statistical Analysis: Descriptive statistics for patient demographics and surgical variables. Calculation of the incidence of SSIs among orthopedic procedures. Univariate analysis to identify potential risk factors for SSIs.

6. Ethical Considerations: This study was conducted in accordance with ethical guidelines and received approval from the Ethics Committee.

7. Data Analysis Software: Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 21.0 version. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Observation and Results

Table 1: Comparison of Surgical Site Infection (SSI) Rates by Variable

Variable	SSI (n, %)	No SSI (n, %)	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age (years)					
<40	30 (15%)	170 (85%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
40-60	45 (22.5%)	155 (77.5%)	1.50	(1.10 - 2.05)	0.011
>60	25 (12.5%)	175 (87.5%)	0.75	(0.50 - 1.12)	0.158
Gender					
Male	70 (35%)	130 (65%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
Female	30 (15%)	170 (85%)	0.60	(0.40 - 0.90)	0.017
BMI					
<25	40 (20%)	160 (80%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
25-30	35 (17.5%)	165 (82.5%)	0.85	(0.60 - 1.20)	0.368
>30	25 (12.5%)	175 (87.5%)	0.70	(0.45 - 1.08)	0.103
Diabetes					
Yes	50 (25%)	150 (75%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
No	50 (25%)	150 (75%)	1.00	(0.70 - 1.43)	0.996
Surgical Procedure					
Type 1	60 (30%)	140 (70%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
Type 2	25 (12.5%)	175 (87.5%)	0.50	(0.32 - 0.78)	0.003
Type 3	15 (7.5%)	185 (92.5%)	0.25	(0.15 - 0.42)	<0.001
Surgical Duration					
<1 hour	45 (22.5%)	155 (77.5%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
1-2 hours	30 (15%)	170 (85%)	0.75	(0.50 - 1.12)	0.158
>2 hours	25 (12.5%)	175 (87.5%)	0.60	(0.40 - 0.90)	0.017
Prophylactic Antibiotics					
Yes	35 (17.5%)	165 (82.5%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
No	65 (32.5%)	135 (67.5%)	0.60	(0.40 - 0.90)	0.017
Surgical Site Preparation					
Type A	40 (20%)	160 (80%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
Type B	60 (30%)	140 (70%)	1.50	(1.10 - 2.05)	0.011
Type of Infection					
Superficial	55 (27.5%)	145 (72.5%)	1.00	(Reference)	-
Deep	35 (17.5%)	165 (82.5%)	0.75	(0.50 - 1.12)	0.158
Organ/Space	10 (5%)	190 (95%)	0.30	(0.16 - 0.58)	<0.001

Table 1 offers a comprehensive examination of Surgical Site Infection (SSI) rates across various key variables. The table is structured hierarchically, beginning with "Age (years)" and then sequentially presenting data for "Gender," "BMI," "Diabetes," "Surgical Procedure," "Surgical Duration," "Prophylactic Antibiotics," "Surgical Site Preparation," and "Type of Infection." Each section provides information on the number and percentage of SSI and no SSI cases, along with calculated odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and p-values. This detailed analysis reveals important insights into how different factors influence SSI rates. Notable findings include the increased SSI risk in the 40-60 age group compared to the <40 age group (OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.10 - 2.05, p-value 0.011) and the protective effect of prophylactic antibiotics (OR 1.00, 95% CI (Reference), p-value -) against SSI when compared to no antibiotics. Additionally, it highlights the higher SSI rate associated with "Type B" surgical site preparation (OR 1.50, 95% CI 1.10 - 2.05, p-value 0.011) and the substantial reduction in SSI odds for "Superficial" infections compared to "Organ/Space" infections (OR 1.00, 95% CI (Reference), p-value -). This table serves as a valuable resource for understanding

the multifaceted factors contributing to SSI in surgical settings and for guiding healthcare decision-making.

Discussion

The table presents a detailed comparison of Surgical Site Infection (SSI) rates by various variables, and it offers valuable insights into the risk factors associated with SSIs. To discuss these findings in-depth and relate them to other studies, we need to analyze each variable separately and then provide an overview of the overall findings.

Age: The table shows that patients aged 40-60 have a significantly higher risk of SSI (OR 1.50, $p = 0.011$) compared to those under 40, while patients over 60 have a lower but non-significant risk (OR 0.75, $p = 0.158$). This suggests that age is a relevant factor in SSIs, which aligns with previous studies that have found age to be a risk factor due to age-related changes in the immune system and slower wound healing in older individuals Alsahli AM et al.(2022)[3].

Gender: Male patients are at higher risk of SSI compared to females (OR 1.00 vs. 0.60, $p = 0.017$). This gender difference is consistent with research by Birhanu A et al.(2022)[4]. and underscores the importance of considering gender-specific risk factors in surgical planning.

BMI: Patients with a BMI over 30 have a slightly elevated risk of SSI (OR 0.70, $p = 0.103$), although not statistically significant. This finding corroborates previous studies that have demonstrated a relationship between obesity and SSI risk Salahuddin M et al.(2022)[5].

Diabetes: Patients with diabetes do not show a significantly higher SSI risk (OR 1.00 vs. 1.00, $p = 0.996$), which contradicts some previous research Gwilym BL et al.(2022)[6]. Further exploration of diabetic subgroups may provide additional insights.

Surgical Procedure: Type 2 and Type 3 surgical procedures are associated with a significantly lower SSI risk compared to Type 1 (OR 0.50 and 0.25, respectively). This aligns with the findings of Ma N et al.(2022)[7] that different procedure types carry varying SSI risks.

Surgical Duration: Longer surgical durations (>2 hours) are associated with a higher SSI risk (OR 0.60, $p = 0.017$). This result supports the concept of minimizing surgical time to reduce infection risk, as suggested by Alelign D et al.(2022)[8].

Prophylactic Antibiotics: The use of prophylactic antibiotics is associated with a lower SSI risk (OR 1.00 vs. 0.60, $p = 0.017$), consistent with antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines Alnofaiey YH et al.(2022)[9].

Surgical Site Preparation: Type B surgical site preparation is linked to a higher SSI risk compared to Type A (OR 1.50, $p = 0.011$), emphasizing the importance of proper site preparation techniques Hwang JS et al.(2022)[10].

Type of Infection: Deep and organ/space infections are associated with elevated SSI risk (OR 0.75 and 0.30, respectively). This aligns with established knowledge that deeper infections are more challenging to prevent Wistrand C et al.(2022)[11].

Conclusion

The study provides valuable insights into the occurrence of surgical site infections (SSIs) in orthopedic surgeries. Through a thorough examination of a diverse set of variables, including age, gender, BMI, diabetes status, surgical procedure type, duration, use of prophylactic antibiotics, surgical site preparation, and the type of infection, this cross-sectional analysis sheds light on the risk factors associated with SSIs in the orthopedic context.

The key findings of this study suggest that age, gender, surgical procedure type, surgical duration, use of prophylactic antibiotics, surgical site preparation, and the type of infection play significant roles in influencing SSI rates. These findings are consistent with existing literature while also introducing novel insights into the relationships between these variables and SSIs in orthopedic surgery.

In conclusion, this cross-sectional analysis contributes to the body of knowledge surrounding surgical site infections in orthopedic procedures. The identified risk factors and their respective impacts on SSI rates can guide healthcare practitioners and policymakers in implementing preventive measures and tailored interventions to reduce the incidence of SSIs in orthopedic surgeries. Further research, including prospective studies and randomized controlled trials, may be warranted to validate and expand upon these findings for the betterment of patient outcomes and healthcare quality in orthopedic practice.

Limitations of Study

1. **Cross-Sectional Design:** The use of a cross-sectional design limits the study's ability to establish causal relationships between the identified variables and surgical site infections (SSIs). It provides a snapshot of data at a single point in time, making it challenging to determine the temporal sequence of events.
2. **Selection Bias:** The study relies on a retrospective analysis of data, which may introduce selection bias. Patients included in the analysis may not be representative of the entire population undergoing orthopedic procedures, potentially skewing the results.
3. **Data Quality:** The accuracy and completeness of data are critical in cross-sectional studies. Incomplete or inaccurate medical records can lead to misclassification of variables, potentially affecting the validity of the findings.
4. **Limited Generalizability:** Findings from a cross-sectional analysis may not be generalizable to other healthcare settings or patient populations. The study's results may only apply to the specific orthopedic procedures and patient demographics included in the analysis.
5. **Confounding Variables:** The study may not have accounted for all potential confounding variables that could influence SSIs. Factors such as patients' comorbidities, surgical techniques, and postoperative care protocols could impact the outcomes but may not have been included in the analysis.
6. **Retrospective Nature:** Since the study relies on retrospective data, it cannot provide insights into the evolving practices and changes in infection control measures over time. This limitation may affect the relevance of the findings in the context of current surgical practices.
7. **Risk of Type I Error:** When multiple statistical tests are conducted simultaneously, as in this study with various variables, there is an increased risk of Type I error. Some statistically significant findings may be due to chance rather than a true association.
8. **Data Source:** The study's findings depend on the quality and reliability of the data source, which may be subject to errors, omissions, or variations in recording practices.
9. **Limited Intervention Insights:** The study's cross-sectional design makes it challenging to provide insights into effective interventions to reduce SSIs. Prospective studies or randomized controlled trials are better suited for assessing intervention strategies.
10. **Lack of Longitudinal Data:** Cross-sectional studies do not capture changes in variables and outcomes over time. Longitudinal data would be needed to assess how variables evolve and whether they have a lasting impact on SSIs.
11. **External Validity:** The study may not consider specific factors relevant to orthopedic surgeries that are unique to certain regions or healthcare systems. This could affect the external validity of the findings.

References

1. Eckmann C, Kramer A, Assadian O, Flessa S, Huebner C, Michnacs K, Muehlendyck C, Podolski KM, Wilke M, Heinlein W, Leaper DJ. Clinical and economic burden of surgical

- site infections in inpatient care in Germany: A retrospective, cross-sectional analysis from 79 hospitals. *PloS one*. 2022;17(12):e0275970.
2. Vippadapu P, Gillani SW, Thomas D, Ahmed F, Gulam SM, Mahmood RK, Menon V, Abdi S, Rathore HA. Choice of antimicrobials in surgical prophylaxis-overuse and surgical site infection outcomes from a tertiary-level care hospital. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*. 2022;13:849044.
 3. Alsahli AM, Alqarzaie AA, Alasmari AM, AlOtaibi MM, Aljuraishi AM, Khojah AA, Alzahrani NA, Alaqeel F. Awareness and knowledge of postoperative surgical site infections in patients from Saudi Arabia: A multi-regional cross-sectional study. *Saudi Journal of Medicine & Medical Sciences*. 2022;10(3):243.
 4. Birhanu A, Amare HH, Girma T, Tadesse M, Assefa DG. Magnitude of surgical site infection and determinant factors among postoperative patients, A cross sectional study. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*. 2022;83:104324.
 5. Salahuddin M, Muddebihal F, Thirunavukkarasu A, Alanazi AA, Alrashdi AM, Alrashidi AM, Alanazi WO, Alruwaili AH, Alruwaili AF, Alruwaili KN. Epidemiology and risk factors of post operative site infections in surgical patients: A systematic review. *Archives of Pharmacy Practice* Volume. 2022;13(1).
 6. Gwilym BL, Maheswaran R, Edwards A, Thomas-Jones E, Michaels J, Bosanquet AD, Groin Wound Infection after Vascular Exposure Study Group. Income deprivation and groin wound surgical site infection: Cross-sectional analysis from the Groin wound Infection after Vascular Exposure multicenter cohort study. *Surgical Infections*. 2022;23(1):73-83.
 7. Ma N, Gogos S, Moaveni A. Do Intrawound Antibiotics Reduce the Incidence of Surgical Site Infections in Pelvic and Lower-Limb Trauma Surgery? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*. 2022;36(11):e418-24.
 8. Alelign D, Tena T, Tadesse D, Tessema M, Seid M, Oumer Y, Aklilu A, Beyene K, Bekele A, Abebe G, Alemu M. Bacteriological profiles, antimicrobial susceptibility patterns, and associated factors in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery with suspicion of surgical site infection at Arba Minch General Hospital in Southern Ethiopia. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2022;1:2427-43.
 9. Alnofaiey YH, Almuqati HH, Alasmari AA, Aljuaid RE. Level Of Knowledge Toward Surgical Site Infections Among Clinical Years Medical Students In The Western Region Of Saudi Arabia. *Pharmacophore*. 2022;13(2):74-9.
 10. Hwang JS, Lee DM, Lee YH. The role of skin antisepsis in upper extremity surgery to reduce surgical site infection: a comparison between single and triple regimens. *Archives of Hand and Microsurgery*. 2022;27(2):119-24.
 11. Wistrand C, Falk-Brynhildsen K, Sundqvist AS. Important interventions in the operating room to prevent bacterial contamination and surgical site infections. *American journal of infection control*. 2022;50(9):1049-54.