

**A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ORAL PROSTAGLANDIN E<sub>2</sub>, INTRACERVICAL PROSTAGLANDIN E<sub>2</sub> AND INTRACERVICAL EXTRA-AMNIOTIC FOLEY'S CATHETER IN CERVICAL RIPENING FOR INDUCTION OF LABOUR**

**Dr Gupteswar Mishra, Dr Sambit Kumar Mohanty , Dr Prabir Kumar Biswal**

Assistant professor, department of obstetrics and gynecology, hi-tech medical college & hospital, bhubaneswar.

Assistant professor, department of surgery , Hi-tech medical college and hospital, bhubaneswar.

Junior resident, department of obstetrics and gynecology, hi-tech medical college and hospital, bhubaneswar.

**Corresponding author: drgupteswar.mishra@gmail.com , 8249930428**

**ABSTRACT**

**BACKGROUND**

Induction of labour is one in which pregnancy is terminated artificially any time after the age of viability by a method that aims to secure delivery per vias naturalis either because of any maternal or fetal indications or involving both irrespective of the outcome. Various methods are adopted for converting an unfavourable cervix to favourable one by simple oxytocin infusion, use of cervical balloons, prosta - glandins in oral, intra vaginal and extra-amniotic routes have been by different workers, success remains a major observation and complication and availability of the method is another important consideration.

Use of Foley's catheter by Ezimokhai<sup>30</sup> et al (1980) for cervical ripening prior to induction is very encouraging. The technique is simple and can widely practised in a country like ours.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Viewed in this context, this prospective study has been undertaken in the Department of O&G, Hitech Medical College and hospital, bhubaneswar from July 2019 to December 2022. The purpose of my study is to compare the efficacy of intra cervical placement of a Foley's balloon catheter, intra cervical prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> & oral Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> in cervical ripening for induction of labour

## **RESULT**

On comparing the modes of induction, it was found that Spontaneous labour occurred highest in Primiprost group ( 51.62%) followed by Cerviprime group (35.48%) & Foley's group (20.96%) but the induction in the form of Artificial rupture of membrane, oxytocin infusion or both subsequent to cervical ripening was highest in Foley's group (79.03%) followed by Cerviprime group (64.5%) & Primiprost group (48.38%) which was statistically significant.

## **CONCLUSION**

Inflated Foley's catheter for priming of an unfavorable cervix is of proved value but the role in inducing uterine contractility is poor. Prostaglandins are very good inducers of labour but they are not free from side effects like failed induction and foetal jeopardy. Cervical ripening with extra amniotic balloon catheter possesses the advantages of simplicity, low cost, reversibility & lack of severe side effects & so seems to be an ideal method for acceptance where prostaglandins are contraindicated or when uterine hyperstimulation should be avoided such as in cases of intra uterine fetal growth retardation, placental insufficiency, asthmatic patients, diabetics and so on.

## **KEY WORD:**

Induction of labour, prostaglandin, PGE1, PGE2, foley's induction.

## **INTRODUCTION**

In an ideal world, all pregnancies would go to term, and labor would begin spontaneously. In reality, it is often best to deliver the infant before the onset of natural labor. In making the decision for an early delivery, the physician relies on the clinical stability of the mother and foetus to decide between performing a caesarean section and inducing labor for a vaginal delivery.

Induction of labour is one in which pregnancy is terminated artificially any time after the age of viability by a method that aims to secure delivery per vias naturalis either because of any maternal or fetal indications or involving both irrespective of the outcome. Though the story of induction dates back to the time of Hippocrates, the pioneer of successful induction goes to Theobald. Successful induction of labour was first made possible in 1948 by intravenous oxytocin by him. This transformed the art of obstetrics.

An often quoted phrase referring to methods of cervical dilatation states that:-

“These include springs, bougies, dilators, roots, stems, catheters, sponges, screws, pliers, bags & balloons. The cervix has been primed from above & rammed open from below. It has been greased, heated, split & shocked. It has been injected, digested & vibrated. It has been anaesthetized, hormonized, narcotized & paralyzed. (Newton 1972) ”.

The effectivity of oxytocics counts on the numbers of oxytocic receptors in the myometrium and the status of the uterine out let i.e. cervix. Thus the key to successful induction of labour is proper cervical ripening. Cervical ripening whether physiological or pharmacological is the conversion of the rigid cervical sphincter associated with maintenance of pregnancy to a readily dilating structure so that uterine contractility can affect the birth of the fetus with ease.

Various methods are adopted for converting an unfavourable cervix to favourable one by simple oxytocin infusion, use of cervical balloons, prostaglandins in oral, intra vaginal and extra-amniotic routes have been by different workers, success remains a major observation and complication and availability of the method is another important consideration.

Use of Foley's catheter by Ezimokhai<sup>30</sup> et al (1980) for cervical ripening prior to induction is very encouraging. The technique is simple and can widely practised in a country like ours.

The introduction of prostaglandins in clinical use in this area has not only increased the efficacy in cases with unripe cervix, but also proved to be a very effective oxytocic bridging between the two interlinked components of labour - cervical ripening and uterine contractility.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Viewed in this context, this prospective study has been undertaken in the Department of O&G, Hitech Medical College and hospital, bhubaneswar from July 2019 to December 2022. The purpose of my study is to compare the efficacy of intra cervical placement of a Foley's balloon catheter, intra cervical prostaglandin E2 & oral Prostaglandin E2 in cervical ripening for induction of labour.

**INCLUSION CRITERIA:** Following criteria are observed during selection of patients for induction of labour.

1. Gestational period from 30-42 wks.
2. Primi or multigravida not more than 5 term pregnancy.

3. Patients should have unfavourable cervix, modified BISHOP`S score between 0-5.

**EXCLUSION CRITERIA:** The following cases are excluded from study.

1. Contracted pelvis & cephalopelvic disproportion.
2. Malpresentation
3. Medical disorder like Cardiac disease, Diabetes ,Renal disease in the mother.
4. Previous caesarean section birth & Hysterotomy scar.
5. Obs. complication like APH,Fetal distress.

**DATA ANALYSIS:** On completion of the study the data will be analysed to draw conclusion regarding which method of cervical ripening is better,low cost,simple, reversible with minimal side effect & ideal method of acceptance.

**RESULT**

**TABLE-1**

**CROSS-TAB OF COMPLICATIONS IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

Complication		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P Value
None	Count	56	56	60	172	0.381
	% within row	32.6%	32.6%	34.9%	100.0%	
maternal tachycardia	Count	2	2	2	6	
	% within row	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	
vomiting	Count	4	4	0	8	
	% within row	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	62	62	62	186	
	% within row	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	

The complications occurred during pre-induction methods in form of maternal tachycardia and vomiting were not statistically significant among the 3 groups however these complications were found only in cerviprime group & Primiprost group whereas Foley’s group was free from it..

**TABLE-2**  
**CROSS-TAB OF MODES OF INDUCTION IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

Modes of Induction		cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P value
Spontaneous labour	Count	22	32	13	67	0.016 (significant)
	% within row	32.8%	47.8%	19.4%	100.0%	
ARM	Count	8	6	7	21	
	% within row	38.1%	28.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
Oxytocin	Count	22	14	22	58	
	% within row	37.9%	24.1%	37.9%	100.0%	
ARM+Oxytocin	Count	10	10	20	40	
	% within row	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	62	62	62	186	
	% within row	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	

The above table shows there were statistically significant differences in modes of induction. Spontaneous delivery occurred maximally in primiprost group followed by cerviprime group and foley’s group.

**TABLE-3**  
**CROSS-TAB OF MODES OF DELIVERY IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

Modes of delivery		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P Value
VD	Count	44	40	58	142	0.002 (Significant)
	% within row	31.0%	28.2%	40.8%	100.0%	
LSCS	Count	14	18	4	36	
	% within row	38.9%	50.0%	11.1%	100.0%	
FORCEPS	Count	4	4	0	8	
	% within row	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	62	62	62	186	

Modes of delivery		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P Value
VD	Count	44	40	58	142	0.002 (Signifi- Cant)
	% within row	31.0%	28.2%	40.8%	100.0%	
LSCS	Count	14	18	4	36	
	% within row	38.9%	50.0%	11.1%	100.0%	
FORCEPS	Count	4	4	0	8	
	% within row	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	62	62	62	186	
	% within row	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	

While comparing among the 3 groups there were statistically significant. Differences in the modes of delivery. However LSCS rate was high in primiprost group (50%) than cerviprime group (38.9%) and foley’s group (11.1%). But spontaneous vaginal delivery occurred maximally in foley’s group.

**TABLE-4**

**CROSS-TAB OF APGAR SCORE AT 1 MIN. IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

APGAR score at 1min.		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley’s	Total	P value
< 6	Count	3	6	2	11	0.300  (Not significan t)
	% within row	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%	100.0%	
≥ 6	Count	51	48	50	149	
	% within row	34.2%	32.2%	33.6%	100.0%	
Total	Count	54	54	52	160	
	% within row	33.8%	33.8%	32.5%	100.0%	

Above table shows that there was no statistical differences of APGAR score at 1 minute among 3 groups . However no. of depressed childs were more in number in Primiprost group than Cerviprime & Foley’s groups.

**TABLE-5**

**CROSS-TAB OF APGAR SCORE AT 5 MIN. IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

APGAR score at 5 min.		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P value
< 6	Count	3	6	2	11	0.300  (Not significant)
	% within row	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%	100.0%	
≥6	Count	51	48	50	149	
	% within row	34.2%	32.2%	33.6%	100.0%	
Total	Count	54	54	52	160	
	% within row	33.8%	33.8%	32.5%	100.0%	

There was no statistical differences of APGAR score at 5 minutes among patients recruited in Cerviprime, Primiprost & Foley's groups.

**TABLE-6**

**CROSS-TAB OF POST-PARTUM MORBIDITY IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

Post partum morbidity		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P Value
Absent	Count	62	62	56	180	0.054  (Not significant)
	% within row	34.4%	34.4%	31.1%	100.0%	
Fever	Count	0	0	2	2	
	% within row	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
UTI	Count	0	0	2	2	
	% within row	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
PPH	Count	0	0	2	2	
	% within row	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	62	62	62	186	
	% within row	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	

There was non-statistically difference of post partum morbidity in the form of Fever, UTI,PPH, among the 3 groups.

**TABLE-7**

**CROSS-TAB OF INDUCTION OUTCOME IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

Induction Outcome		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P value
No problem	Count	62	47	62	171	0.000 ( Highly significant )
	% within row	36.3%	27.5%	36.3%	100.0%	
Failed Induction	Count	0	13	0	13	
	% within row	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Prolonged Labour	Count	0	2	0	2	
	% within row	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	
Total	Count	62	62	62	186	
	% within row	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	

There was statistically significant differences in the form of induction outcome among the 3 groups. No.of Failed induction (13) were highest in primiprost group and also the prolonged labour (2).

**TABLE-8**

**CROSS-TAB OF FOETAL OUTCOME IN DIFFERENT GROUPS**

Foetal outcome		Cerviprime	Primiprost	Foley's	Total	P value
NICU admission	Count	3	6	2	11	0.300 (Not significant)
	% within row	27.3%	54.5%	18.2%	100.0%	
Normal	Count	51	48	50	149	
	% within row	34.2%	32.2%	33.6%	100.0%	
Total	Count	54	54	52	160	
	% within row	33.8%	33.8%	32.5%	100.0%	



The above cross table shows there were no statistically significant differences in the foetal outcome among the 3 groups. NICU admissions were highest in Primiprost group 6 (11.11 % ) out of 54 child birth in comparison to Cerviprime group 3(5.55%) out of 54 child birth & Foley's group 2 (3.86%) out of 52 child birth.

## DISCUSSION

In the present study of 186 cases requiring termination of pregnancy with unfavorable cervical score, equal number of cases (62) were selected at random & 3 series of works were conducted, 1st series is cervical priming with inflated balloon catheter followed by induction with oxytocin, 2nd series with intra cervical PGE<sub>2</sub>(0.5mg) Gel & 3<sup>rd</sup> series with oral Primiprost tab(PGE<sub>2</sub>). Embrey<sup>28</sup> (1976) concluded that success of cervical balloon catheter for induction of labour was more frequent after the estimated date of delivery because he only included at term cases (37-42wks).

Ezimokhai<sup>30</sup> et al (1980) observed in their series of 21 Primigravida, the mean pretreatment & post treatment modified Bishop's score (0-3) & (6.2± 2.4) respectively. In this series, the mean scoring before & after priming by Foley's catheter was (3.32 ±0.94) & (7.51 ±0.88) respectively with the mean increase in Bishop's score was (4.19± 1.00) .These values compared well with their series.

Warke<sup>81XX</sup> et,al,1999 observed the preinduction cervical score in 75 patients after ripening with PGE<sub>2</sub> gel(0.5 mg ).In their study group ,the pre treatment Bishop's score & Change in Bishop's score was 2.24 & 7 respectively which are comparable.

Embrey<sup>28</sup> et al (1976) used Foley's catheter to ripen the cervix prior to surgical induction taking the induction onset of labour interval as less than 48hrs. Excluding the interval during which effacement of the cervix was being affected by the balloon & taking only the rupture of the membranes as the onset of labour, success in 48hrs was achieved in 94% of cases, but in their series the improvement was not quantitatively assessed.

Different authors used PGE<sub>2</sub> by various routes & by various preparations to ripen the cervix.

Mackenzie<sup>55,56,57</sup> (1977) used 2mg & 5mg PgE<sub>2</sub> Gel & observed the cases.

Among all the methods, extra amniotic PGE<sub>2</sub>& oral PGE<sub>2</sub> are proved efficacious for induction of labour. Result of intra cervical Foley's balloon catheter ripening followed by induction is also comparable to PGs.

In the present study, vaginal delivery was the commonest mode of delivery. Caesarean section rates were 6.45% in Foley's series, 22.58% extra-amniotic PGE2 series and these are comparable to Franchi<sup>43</sup> et al (2000) studies, in which LSCS rate was 14.7% in Foley's compared to 26.5% in PGE2 Group. 13 patients in this series with Foley's catheter priming went into spontaneous labour where as in Ezimokhais<sup>30</sup> observation only 2 patients went to spontaneous labour. In Warke<sup>81XX</sup> et.al(1999) studies, LSCS rate was 17.33% with PGE2 gel (intracervical), which is well within the LSCS rate given in all international literature, i.e, 6% - 26.66 %. In St Onge<sup>6</sup> (1995) figure et al in their study found 17.6% delivered by LSCS.

Analyzing the rate of maternal morbidity in postpartum period, only 2 cases each had fever, urinary tract infection and PPH which was not at all attributable to introduction of infection by extra amniotic balloon catheter & Ezimokhai<sup>30</sup> et al (1980) observed the same. Also in Warke<sup>81XX</sup> et.al.(1999) study (PGE2 gel), PPH rate was 4% in the form of Traumatic PPH, 1.33% & Atonic PPH, 2.67%.

In PGE2 application in present series, 4 patients developed vomiting & 2 tachycardia relieved afterwards. Though hyper tonicity was not a complication in our series, but Warke<sup>81XX</sup> et.al.(1999) study of 75 patients, maternal adverse events recorded in PGE2 gel group were Vomiting 10.67%, Diarrhoea 10.67% & hyperactivity of uterus in 2.67%. Owen, Carey (in Birmingham, Alabama) et al published a paper doing a meta analysis incorporating 18 studies of 1811 patients who received a single application of at least 5mg PGE2 intra vaginally or 0.5mg intra cervically demonstrated no significant decrease in the overall caesarean section rate (P=0.85). So they concluded that the use of single dose intra cervical PGE2 for cervical ripening has little effect on labour induction. No conclusive proof of neonatal septicemia due to Foley's catheter introduction was found.

[Visscher RD](#), [Struyk CD](#), [Visscher HC](#)<sup>81X</sup> reported about the guidelines for the effective induction of labour with oral PGE2. Fifty multiparous patients at 37 weeks or more of gestation with a vertex presentation and a Bishop score of 7 or more had labor induced with oral Prostaglandin E2 tablets. All responded and delivered vaginally. The induction to delivery time averaged 4 hours and 44 minutes. The average number of tablets required was 3.64. Four women experienced nausea and an additional 4 women had some vomiting. Two patients showed hypertonus and 11 had frequent contractions. Many progressed rapidly after they began active labor. There was no evidence of fetal distress. Guidelines are suggested for the use of oral Prostaglandin E2 tablets to adequately control the labor process and prevent hypercontractility.

In [Ang LT](#) & [Frith KM](#)<sup>8X</sup> study group, 14 nulliparas and 28 multiparas were induced at term by combined low amniotomy and oral prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) solution. Doses, after a .5 mg test dose, were 1, 1.5, or 2.0 mg at 2-hour intervals. There were 37 successful deliveries, 3 Caesarean sections, and 2 failures, later successful with oxytocin. Induction delivery intervals averaged 12.6 hours in nulliparas and 8.9 hours in multiparas, and were inversely proportional to pelvic score. Fetal distress occurred in 2 cases. No other fetal side effects were reported, but vomiting was frequent (28.5%) and sometimes severe. In this study group of 62 women, failed induction & prolonged labour was 20.96% & 3.22% respectively which was quite significant.

## SUMMARY

A total of one hundred eighty six patients with unfavorable cervixes (Modified Bishop's Score 0-5) were subjected to ripening with various agents like inflated Foley's catheter, intra cervical (0.5) PGE2 gel & oral (0.5) PGE2 tab of sixty two patients each followed by induction with intravenous oxytocin infusion & amniotomy (reserved at & when required). Pregnancy induced hypertension & its sequels like eclampsia, postdated (prolonged pregnancy) pregnancies, IUGR, Congenital malformations were the possible causes of indication for induction. Majority of the cases in all the 3 series were of age group 20-29yrs. Primigravida comprised of the largest number of cases in all 3 groups and majority of cases were with gestational age 34-38 wks.

The Means of pretreatment cervical score in the three groups were, Cerviprime ( $3.38 \pm 1.07$ ), Primiprost ( $3.16 \pm 1.17$ ) & Foley's ( $3.32 \pm 0.93$ ). Similarly the Means of Change in Bishop's score in the three groups were, Cerviprime ( $3.80 \pm 1.23$ ), Primiprost ( $3.83 \pm 1.20$ ) & Foley's ( $4.19 \pm 1.06$ ). Though there was no statistically significant differences in the change of Bishop's score, Foley's group had highest change among all.

On comparing the modes of induction, it was found that Spontaneous labour occurred highest in Primiprost group (51.62%) followed by Cerviprime group (35.48%) & Foley's group (20.96%) but the induction in the form of Artificial rupture of membrane, oxytocin infusion or both subsequent to cervical ripening was highest in Foley's group (79.03%) followed by Cerviprime group (64.5%) & Primiprost group (48.38%) which was statistically significant.

On analyzing the outcome of induction, Primiprost group had majority of induction failure (20.96%) & prolonged labour (3.22%) among the study groups.

The mode of delivery contributed a significant impact on all the three study groups. A large no. of normal vaginal deliveries were conducted in Foley's group (93.54 %) in comparison to Cerviprime group (70.96%) & Primiprost group (64.5%) but the Primiprost group contributed maximum number of LSCS (29.03%) among the three groups.

On comparing the Means of induction delivery interval (IDI), Foley's group had the highest mean IDI ( $14.56 \pm 2.92$  hr) where as Primiprost group had the lowest mean IDI ( $10.72 \pm 5.48$  hr) & Cerviprime group had in between the two ( $13.67 \pm 8.69$ ).

On analysis though no statistical differences in Foetal outcome in the study groups was made, NICU admission was maximum in Primiprost group (11.11 %) in comparison to Cerviprime group (5.55%) & Foley's group (3.84%).

## CONCLUSION

The ideal priming agent is one that causes cervical changes i.e. most similar to that seen in natural ripening process. Such an agent would not cause contractions and in no way affect uterine blood flow or the foeto-placental unit. Many of foetuses involved in these induction are at high risk and especially susceptible to having late and variable deceleration. Indeed fetal demise during the ripening process has been reported when laminaria was used and in two cases when extra amniotic PGE<sub>2</sub> was used. Thus the presence of uterine activity would require continuous fetal monitoring, even during the pre induction ripening process if the foetus is at risk.

Inflated Foley's catheter for priming of an unfavorable cervix is of proved value but the role in inducing uterine contractility is poor. Prostaglandins are very good inducers of labour but they are not free from side effects like failed induction and foetal jeopardy. Cervical ripening with extra amniotic balloon catheter possesses the advantages of simplicity, low cost, reversibility & lack of severe side effects & so seems to be an ideal method for acceptance where prostaglandins are contraindicated or when uterine hyperstimulation should be avoided such as in cases of intra uterine fetal growth retardation, placental insufficiency, asthmatic patients, diabetics and so on.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. [Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.](#) 2005 Apr;84(4):362-7. Comparison of extraamniotic Foley catheter and intracervical prostaglandin E gel for preinduction cervical ripening by Rabindranath Dalui, Suri V, Ray P.

2. Adair CD. Nonpharmacologic approaches to cervical priming and labor induction. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2000;43:447–54.
3. Allot HA, Palmer CR, Sweeping of Membrane: A valid procedure in stimulating the onset of labour? Br. J Obstet & Gynecol 1993; 100:898-903.
4. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Induction of labor. Practice bulletin no. 10. Washington, D.C.: ACOG, 1999.
5. [Am J Obstet Gynecol.](#) 1999 Jan;180(1 Pt 1):55-60.A prospective, randomized comparison of Foley catheter insertion versus intracervical prostaglandin E2 gel for preinduction cervical ripening by Sciscione AC,MC Cullough H,Manley JS.
6. [Am J Obstet Gynecol.](#) 1995 Feb; 172(2 Pt 1):687-90.Preinduction cervical ripening: a comparison of intracervical prostaglandin E2 gel versus the Foley catheter by [St Onge RD, Connors GT.](#)
7. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG):Induction and augmentation of labor. ACOG TechnicalBulletin, Washington DC, USA, 1995a; No 217.
8. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists: Quality,evaluation and improvement in practice: Post-termpregnancy. Committee on Quality Assessment Criteria, SetAugust 1995b; No 10.
- 8X. Ang LT. et, al.Med. Jour. Malayasia,1977, Mar;31(3).226-8, Experiences with Oral PGE2 & Amniotomy in the Induction of Labour.
9. Arias F. Pharmacology of oxytocin and prostaglandins. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2000; 43:455–68.
- 9x. Arulkumaran S,et,al.,: The Management of Labour;2<sup>nd</sup> edition,2005.
10. Atad J, Bornstein J, Kogan O, et al.,: Gradual cervical dilatation for termination of early second trimester pregnancy with a double balloon device. Obstet Gynecol, 1991 b: 78: 1142.
11. Atad J, Bornstein J, Petrikovsky B, et al.,: Non pharmaceutical ripening of the unfavorable cervix and induction of labor by a novel double balloon device. Obstet Gynecol, 1991a; 77: 146.
12. Benrubi GI: Labor induction: Historic prespective. Clin Obstet Gynecol, 2000; 43(3): 429-432.

13. Bernnand JE, Calder AA, Leitch CR, et al.: Recombinant human relaxin as a cervical ripening agent. *Br J Obstet Gynecol*,1997; 104: 775.
- 13x Barclay CG, Brennand JE, Kelly RW and Calder AA (1993) Interleukin-8production by the human cervix American Journal of Obstetrics andGynecology 169 625–632**
14. Bishop EH: Pelvic score for elective induction. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1964;24:266.
15. BrickerL,Luckas M. Amniotomy alone for induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2002;2:CD002862.
16. Burnett JE: Preinduction scoring, an objective approach to induction of labor. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1966; 28: 479-483.
17. Calder A, Embrey, M.P. 1974. PGs & the unfavourable Cervix *Lancet*2:1322-1323.
18. Calder A. Embrey M.P. Hither K. (1974) Extra-amniotic PgE<sub>2</sub> for induction of labour at term *J. Obst. & Gynaecology Br. Common W* 81:39.
19. Calder AA, Bygdeman M, Berger GS and Keith LC: Cervical ripening prostaglandins and their inhibitors in obstetric andgynecology. MTP Press, 1986; 145-64.
20. Calder AA, Kriese MJNC and Anderson ABM: Management of unripecervix, human parturition. Leideo University Press, 1991;201-17.
21. Cervical contraction: The response of cervix of the cervix to Oxytocin stimulation in the latent phase of labour by Olah, Brown, Published on line 19 Aug 2005 DOI: 10.1111/J.1471-0528.1993.tb142999.
- 21x. Chenoweth JN et.al., Preparations for induction of labour of the unfavourable cervix with foley catheter compared with vaginal prostaglandin. *Aust NZJ Obstet Gynaecol* 1986; 26: 30-5.
22. Chyu JK and Strassner HT: Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> for cervical ripening: A randomized comparison of cervidil versus prepidil. *Am JObstet Gynecol*, 1997; 177: 6006.
23. *Clin. Obstet. Gynecol.*1983 March; 26 (1) : 87-94 . Characteristics of oral Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>-Induced labour . Ueland K,Conrad JT.
- 23X.CRAFT et.al.1972:BJOG,1972,2,191-194.Amniotomy & Oral PGE<sub>2</sub> titration for Induction of Labour.
24. Danforth DN: The fibrous nature of the human cervix & pts. Relation to isthmic segment in gravid and non gravid uterus. Published on *Am J. Obst Gynecol*. 1947; 53:541-547.
25. Danforth's obstetrics &Gynecology Book 1136 pages.

- 25x.D.C.Dutta,Textbook of Obstetrics; 7 th Edition,2011.
26. Edwards RK and Richards DS: Preinduction cervical assessment. *ClipObstet Gynecol*, 2000; 43(3): 440-446.
  27. Elliot JP and Flaherty JF: The use of breast stimulation to ripen the cervix in term pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 1983; 145:553.
  28. Embrey & Mollison – the unfavourable cervix & induction of labour using cervical balloon *J. Obst. & Gynecology Br. Common W.* (1976) 74:44.
  29. *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology and reproductive biology*, ISSN: 03012115, Vol: 97, Issue: 2, Date: August, 2001, Pages: 183-187 Extra-amniotic Foley catheter and prostaglandin E 2 gel for cervical ripening at term gestation by Franchi,Ghezzi,Massimo,Bolis.
  30. Ezimokhai & Newbineli Use of Foley’s catheter in ripening of Unfavourable cervix prior to induction of labour. *Br. J. Obst. & Gynecology* (1980): 87:281.
  31. Failed induction of labour by Arul Kumaran *Aust. NZ J Obst & Gynecol* 1985 Aug; 25(3): 190-3.
  32. Feeney JG: Water intoxication and oxytocin. *Br Med J*, 1982; 2: 243.
  33. Fields PA and Larkin LH: Purification and immune histochemical localization of relaxin in the human term placenta. *J ClinEndocrinol. Metab*, 1981; 52: 79.
  34. Foong LC, Vanaja K, Tan G, Chua S. Membrane sweeping in conjunction with labor induction. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;96:539–42.
  35. Friedman, E.A. (1954) the graphic analysis of labour *Au. J. Obst. & Gynecology* 68:1568.
  36. Funk CD, Funk LB, Kennedy ME, Peng AS and FitzGerald G.4:Humene platelet/erythro leukemia cell prostaglandin G/H synthase: cDNA cloning, expression and gene chromosomal assignment, *FASEB J S*, 1991;2304.
  37. Garite TJ, Porto M, Carlson NJ, Rumaney PJ, Reibold PA. The Influence of elective aminotomy on fetal heart rate pattern & course of labour. In term patients: A Randomized study *Am J. Obstet Gynecol* 1993; 168:1827-1832.
  38. Gilson GJ, Russel DJ, Izquierdo LA, et al.,: A prospective randomized evaluation of hygroscopic cervical dilator, Dilapan, in thepre-induction ripening in patients undergoing induction of labor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 1996; 175: 145.

39. Goldman JB, Wigton TR. A randomized comparison of extra-amniotic saline infusion and intracervical dinoprostone gel for cervical ripening. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1999;93:271–4.
40. Gower RH, Toroya I, and Miller JM Jr: Laminaria for preinduction cervical ripening. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1982; 60: 617.
41. Guinn DA, Goepfert AR, Christine M, Owen J, Hauth JC. Extra-amniotic saline, laminaria, or prostaglandin E(2) gel for labor induction with unfavorable cervix: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;96:106–12.
42. Hach H: Cervical ripening and labor induction: Clinical guidelines. *ClinObstet Gynecol*, 2000; 43(3): 524-536.
43. Hadi H. Cervical ripening and labor induction: clinical guidelines. *ClinObstet Gynecol.* 2000;43:524–36.
- 43x Hamilton J. Historical review of British obstetric and gynecology:1800-1950. *Edinburg: Livingstone; 1954. 1800-1950.*
44. Hecker M, Faegh ML and Ramwell PW: The eicosanoids: Prostaglandins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes and related compounds. In: *Basic and Clinical Pharmacology*. Katzung, BG (ed.) 1992, Appleton LANG, Norwalk, Connecticut.
45. Hendricks CH, Brenner WE, Kraus G: Normal cervical dilation pattern in late pregnancy and labor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 106:1970
- 45x. Ian Donald's PRACTICAL OBSTETRIC PROBLEMS; 6<sup>th</sup> edition, 2007.
- 45xx. Katzung's BASIC AND CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY; 12<sup>th</sup> edition, 2011.
46. Karim SMM: Action of prostaglandins in the pregnant women. *Ann NY Acad Sci*, 1971; 180: 483.
47. Kavanagh J, Kelly AJ, Thomas J. Sexual intercourse for cervical ripening and induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002;2:CD003093
48. Kazzi GM, Bottoms SF, and Rosen MG: Efficacy and safety of laminaria digitata for preinduction ripening of the cervix. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1982; 60: 440.
49. Kelly AJ, Kavanagh J, Thomas J. Relaxin for cervical ripening and induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002;2:CD003103.
50. Kenneth G. Perry. Jr. J. Elaine Larmon. *Am Obst. & Gynaecology* June (1998) P-1338 on cervical Ripening: A randomized comparison between intravaginal misoprostol & intracervical balloon catheter combined with intravaginal dinoprostone.



51. Krammer J, Williams MC, Sawai SK, et al.,: Pre-induction cervical ripening. A randomized comparison of two methods. *ObstetGynecol*, 1995; 85-: 614. 51
52. Kurzok R and Lich CC: biochemical studies of human semen. Action odsemen on the human uterus. *Pro Soc Esp Bol Med*, 1930; 28:268-270. Quoted from Abdel-Fattah SA: Comparative study between active management and conservative management in post-term pregnancies. MD Thesis, Cairo, Faculty of Medicine, 1994.
- 52x. **Liggins GC** (1981) Cervical ripening as an inflammatory reaction. In *The Cervix in Pregnancy and Labour, Clinical and Biochemical Investigations* pp 1–9 Eds DA Ellwood and ABM Anderson. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh
53. Lin A, Kupferminc M, Dooley SL. A randomized trial of extra-amniotic saline infusion versus laminaria for cervical ripening. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1995;86(4 part 1):545–9.
54. Ludmir J, Sehdev HM. Anatomy and physiology of the uterine cervix. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;43:433–9.
55. Mackenzie, I.Z. & Embrey M.P. cervical ripening with intra vaginal PGE<sub>2</sub> gel upon subsequent labour (1977) *Br. Med*. June 2. 1981.
56. Mackenzie I.Z. & Embrey M.P. the influence of pre induction vag PGE<sub>2</sub> upon subsequent labour (1978) *Br. Journal of Obst. & Gynecology* 85, 657.
57. Mackenzie I.Z. (1990). *The therapeutic role of Pgs in Obstetrics* J.W. W. Studd (Vol.8) P.149. Mc. Naughton, M.C. (1980) Induction of labour. The case for a high rate in: Beard, R.W. Paintin, D.B. outcome of obst. Intervention in Britain RCOG publication P 131.
58. Mac Vicar J. (1971) failed induction of labour *J. Obst. & Gynaecology B.C. Wealth* 78:1007.
- 58x Mc. Naughton, M.C. (1980) Induction of labour. The case for a high rate in: Beard, R.W. Paintin, D.B. outcome of obst. Intervention in Britain RCOG publication P 131.
59. Modified Bishop Score & induction of labour in patients with previous C.S. delivery *Am J Obstet. Gynaecol*, 191(5):1644-1648. 93 guise JM Denman.
- 59x. MOKGOKONG. E.(1976);*S.Afr.Med.J.*50,699(1976):Induction of Labour with Oral PGE<sub>2</sub>.
- 59xx. MOREWOOD et, al.,(1975):3(4):232-6;Fixed dose PGE<sub>2</sub> tablet in the Induction of Labour in multipara.
60. Neilson JP. Mifepristone for induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2002;2:CD002865.

61. Norwitz E, Robinson J, Repke J. Labor and delivery. In: Gabbe SG, Niebyl JR, Simpson JL, eds. *Obstetrics: normal and problem pregnancies*. 4th ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 2002:353–94.
62. Olson DM and Zakart T: Intrauterine tissue prostaglandin synthesis:regulatory mechanisms. *Seminars Reprod Endocrinol*, 1993;11: 234.
63. Pearson J.F., Andrews J. (1980) induction of labour. The case for a low rate in Beard, R.W. paintin, D.B. outcome of obst. Intervention in Britain RCOG publication P 137.
64. Pritchard J.A. &Mac Donald P.C.(1980)224.
65. Prostaglandins .1977 Sept; 14 (3) : 577-81.Uterine priming with Oral PGE2 prior to elective induction with oxytocin. Golbus MS,Creasy RK.
66. Rouben D, Arias F. A randomized trial of extra-amniotic saline infusion plus intracervical Foley catheter balloon versus prostaglandin E2 vaginal gel for ripening the cervix and inducing labor in patients with unfavorable cervices. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;82:290–4.
67. Salmon YM,Kee WN,TonsL,et al.,: Cervical ripening by breast stimulation. *Obstet Gynecol*, 1986; 67: 21.
68. Sanchez-Ramos L, Gaudier FL, Kaunitz AM. Cervical ripening and labor induction after previous cesarean delivery. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;43:513–23.
69. Satin AJ, Leveno KJ, Sherman ML, Brewster DS and CunnurghamFG: High versus low dose oxytocin for labor stimulation.*Obstet Gynecol*, 1992; 8: 111-116.
70. Schrocksandel H, Sachsenmaier M, and Reider W: Experience with breast stimulation for labor induction. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheil Kd, EA*, 1990; 50: 569-571.
71. Shepherd, J.H. Bernet M.J. *Obst & Gynaecology* (1981) 55:59.
72. Sherman DJ: Ripening of unfavorable cervix with extra-amnioticcatheter balloon. *Clinical experience and review. ObstetGynecol Surv*, 1996; 10 (51): 621-7.
73. Sherman DJ, Frenkel E, Pansky M, Caspi E, Bukovsky I, Langer R. Balloon cervical ripening with extra-amniotic infusion of saline or prostaglandin E2: a double-blind, randomized controlled study. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;97:375–80.
74. Shlomit RM and Isabelle GV: Controverses in labor management, cervicalripening: *Obstet Gynecol Clinics*, June 1999; Vol 26, No 2.

75. Singapore Journal of obstetrics and Gynecology November 2001; 32(3):56-63 Foley's catheter Versus Prostaglandin For induction of labour by F.Dewan, A.M.Ara &A. Begum.
- 75x.Speroff et,al.Clinical Gyn.Endo.&Infertility: 8<sup>th</sup> edition,2011.
76. Stubbs TM: Oxytocin for labor induction. Clin Obstet Gynecol,2000;43(3): 489-494.
77. Summers L: Methods for cervical ripening and labor induction. J NurseMidwifery, 1997; 42(2): 71-85.
78. Theobald, G.W., Granam, A., Combell J., Grange, P.D. (1948) The use of post. Pituitary extract in physiological amounts in obstetrics. Br. Med. J.2:123.
79. Thiery M and Amy J: Spontaneous and induced labor. Two rules for the prostaglandins. Obstet Gynecol Annual, 1977; 6: 127-61.
80. Tsui JJ, Yiu-Fun LM, and Sharma S: The influence of acupuncture stimulation during pregnancy: The induction and inhibition of labor. Obstet Gynecol, 1977; 50: 479-488.
- 80X.Tsaook FH et,al.(1975):BJOG,1975 Nov;82(11):894-8;The routine use of Oral PGE2 in induction of Labour.
81. Uldbjerg KG, Danielson CC: A Study of interaction in vitro between Type-I collagen & Small dermatan Sulphate proteoglycan Biochem J. 1988, 251:643-648.
- 81x. VISSCHER RO et, al.,Guidelines for the elective induction of Labour with Oral PGE2.
- 81XX. Warke HS et,al.PGE2 gel in ripening of cervix in induction of labour: J.Postgrad Med 1999;45:105-9
82. Weiss RR et al.Obstet. Priming of the uterine Cx with Oral PGE2 in the term multigravida :Gynecol. 1975 Aug 46(2):181-4.
83. Weiss G, O'Bryne EM, Hochman J, et al.,: Distribution of retain in women during pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol, 1978; 52: 569. .
- 83X. WILLIAMS OBSTETRICS;23<sup>rd</sup> edition,2010.
84. Witter FR Prostaglandin E2 Preparation for pre induction cervical ripening, Clin Obstet Gynecol 2000;43:469-74.
85. York P: The history of induction. Midwife Health Visit CommunityNurse,1984; 20(4): 109-116.