

Hysteroscopy as a diagnostic tool in case of abnormal uterine bleeding.

Dr Gupteswar Mishra, Dr Sambit Kumar Mohanty , Dr Prabir Kumar Biswal

Assistant professor, department of obstetrics and gynecology, hi-tech medical college & hospital, bhubaneswar.

Assistant professor, department of surgery , Hi-tech medical college and hospital, bhubaneswar.

Junior resident, department of obstetrics and gynecology, hi-tech medical college and hospital, bhubaneswar.

Corresponding author: drgupteswar.mishra@gmail.com , 8249930428

Abstract

Background

Abnormal Uterine Bleeding is most commonly encountered in peri-menopausal and post-menopausal women. Abnormal uterine bleeding has a negative impact on quality of life and in turn affects the efficiency of the women. As other diagnostic tools Hysteroscopy can also be used as an office procedure.

Material & method

The present retrospective study analysis was conducted on 86 women attending the Gynaecology OPD at Hi-tech Medical college, Bhubaneswar, Odisha. from March 2021 to February 2023. Women attending OPD in the reproductive age group ie (20-40 years) and peri-menopausal age group ie more than 40yrs, with complaints of abnormal uterine bleeding were included. After obtaining post-counseling written informed consent, Thorough physical examination and routine pre-op blood investigations (Hemoglobin, ABO & Rh, Blood sugar, urine REME) done, which was followed by hysteroscopy an. Biopsy/gross specimen was obtained (as the case may be) and subjected to histo-pathological evaluation.

Result

On hysteroscopy, a maximum number of cases (n=48, 55.81%) had no abnormal pathology (24 proliferative, 15 secretory and 9 atrophic). A total of 7 (8.13%) cases were diagnosed to have polyp, 3 (3.48%) had calcified endometrium, 11 (12.79%) had submucous myoma, 2 (2.32%) had necrotic mass, one had adhesions, and two had forgotten IUCD. However, on histopathology, 56

(65.11%) cases had normal/proliferative/atrophic endometrium, 17 (19.76%) had hyperplasia, 3 (3.48%) had calcified endometrium, and 10 (11.62%) had polyp. No significant difference between two modalities was observed with respect to number of normal/ proliferative/ atrophic endometrium. Statistically, no significant difference between two modalities was observed with respect to other pathologies. Overall there was 62.7% agreement between histopathology and hysteroscopy.

Conclusion

The findings of the study suggested that hysteroscopy was quite useful in diagnosis of abnormal uterine bleeding. Although it had an agreement with histopathology to the extent of 62.9% only, it provided additional information for pathologies that remained undiagnosed on histopathology. Hence it is essential that it should be made essential part of diagnostic workup of cases of abnormal uterine bleeding.

Key words:

Hysteroscopy, AUB, abnormal uterine bleeding, D&C, Endometrial hyperplasia.

INTRODUCTION

Abnormal Uterine Bleeding is most commonly encountered in peri-menopausal and post-menopausal women. FIGO has classified AUB into 9 main categories, which are arranged according to the acronym PALM-COEIN (polyp, adenomyosis, leiomyoma, malignancy ; coagulopathy, ovulatory dysfunction, endometrial, iatrogenic and not yet classified. The components of the PALM group are discrete (structural) entities that can be measured with imaging techniques and/or histopathology, whereas the COEIN group is related to entities that are not defined by imaging or histopathology (non-structural).¹ Abnormal uterine bleeding has a negative impact on quality of life and in turn affects the efficiency of the women.² It accounts for nearly 11% of total hysterectomies.

Management of AUB can be difficult without a proper diagnosis. History and physical examination sometimes can not lead us to a diagnosis. The conventional gold standard investigation is D & C. it is an invasive procedure and the discomfort caused to the patient and the cost involved places a burden for its use as a screening tool. After all it can have a diagnostic error ranging from 10-25%.

Other techniques such as trans-vaginal sonography (TVS), being non invasive still remains as a preliminary assessment tool. which needs further reconfirmation with more precise techniques.³⁻⁵

Another procedure ie Hysteroscopy can also be used as an office procedure. Hysteroscopic evaluation allows direct visualization and assessment of the endocervical and uterine cavity, which proved to be a reliable method for diagnosing uterine abnormalities.⁶ This allows the abnormal area to be detected and then it can be subjected to curettage.

The present study tries to explore its potential as an office procedure in evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding with histopathology as the basis for correlation.

METHODS

The present retrospective study analysis was conducted on 86 women attending the Gynaecology OPD at Hi-tech Medical college, Bhubaneswar, Odisha. from March 2021 to February 2023.

Inclusion criteria

Women attending OPD in the reproductive age group ie (20-40 years) and perimenopausal age group ie more than 40yrs, with complaints of abnormal uterine bleeding were included.

Exclusion criteria

Patients with pregnancy related bleeding, ectopic pregnancy, abortion, those with uterine and cervical infections and pelvic inflammatory diseases, those with STDs and vaginitis, post-menopausal bleeding , lower vaginal tract malignancy and those having medical contraindications to any invasive procedure were all excluded from the study.

After obtaining post-counseling written informed consent, Thorough physical examination and routine pre-op blood investigations (Hemoglobin, ABO&Rh, Blood sugar, urine REME) done, which was followed by hysteroscopy. The

procedure was done in minor operation theatre under sedation, with the patient placed in the

lithotomy position. The patient is given short-acting general anesthesia. Thorough bimanual examination is performed. Then, a Sims speculum is inserted to visualize the cervix and cervix is cleaned with 4% chlorhexidine solution. The cervix is then held with vulsellum, and the hysteroscope is inserted. Normal saline is used as the distension media and a 4 mm 30⁰ hysteroscope is used for the procedure. As the hysteroscope is introduced into the external os, the cervical canal and the internal os is noted. The first evaluation was done in panoramic view of the intrauterine cavity. Next, careful inspection of the lateral uterine walls, superior uterine cavity, and anterior and posterior uterine walls. Gentle movement of the hysteroscope was done during the procedure. Excessive trauma to the endometrial surface was avoided as it may cause bleeding which might obscure the view and has risk of perforation. Any pathology was inspected and documented. Biopsy/gross specimen was obtained (as the case may be) and subjected to histopathological evaluation. The statistics was calculated by calculating the percentage.

RESULTS

The age of the patients ranged from 20years to 50 years with a mean age of 37.2 years. Most of the patients had a history of symptoms for <6 months (60.46%) while only 12.79% patients had symptoms >1 year. Clinically, majority of the patients presented with menorrhagia (54.65%), followed by polymenorrhoea (37.2%) and intermenstrual bleeding (8.13%). Around 50% of the patients had slightly bulky uterus i.e. 6-8 weeks size, 31.39% had normal uterus and 18.6% had uterus size >8 weeks (Table 1).

On hysteroscopy, a maximum number of cases (n=48, 55.81%) had no abnormal pathology (24 proliferative, 15 secretory and 9 atrophic). A total of 7 (8.13%) cases were diagnosed to have polyp, 3 (3.48%) had calcified endometrium, 11 (12.79%) had submucous myoma, 2 (2.32%) had necrotic mass, one had adhesions, and two had forgotten IUCD. However, on histopathology, 56 (65.11%) cases had normal/proliferative/atrophic endometrium, 17 (19.76%) had hyperplasia, 3 (3.48%) had calcified endometrium, and 10 (11.62%) had polyp. No significant difference between two modalities was observed with respect to number of normal/ proliferative/

atrophic endometrium. Histopathology diagnosed hyperplasia in significantly higher proportion of patients as compared to hysteroscopy. Hysteroscopy diagnosed significantly higher proportion of patients with submucous myoma and necrotic mass. Statistically, no significant difference between two modalities was observed with respect to other pathologies (Table 2).

Hysteroscopy provided additional information in 11 cases of sub mucous myoma, 2 cases of forgotten IUCD and necrotic mass each and one case of adhesions. Of the 11 cases of submucous myoma, 5 cases were diagnosed as hyperpasia, five cases as proliferative endometrium and one case as secretory endometrium. Three cases of cervical polyps were missed on hysteroscopy and five cases of hyperplasia. Overall there was 62.7% agreement between histopathology and hysteroscopy.

Table 1: Patient demographic profile and other characteristics.

Sr. No.	Characteristics	Statistics (%)
1.	Mean age	37.2
2.	Duration of symptoms	
	<6 months	52 (60.46)
	6 months – 1 year	23 (26.74)
	>1 year	11 (12.79)
3.	Clinical presentation	
	Menorrhagia	47 (54.65)
	Polymenorrhoea	32 (37.2)
	Intermenstrual bleeding	7 (8.13)
4.	Uterus size	
	Normal	27 (31.39)
	6-8 weeks	43 (50)
	>8 weeks	16(18.60)

Table 2: Comparison of hysteroscopic and histopathological abnormalities.

Sr. No.	Findings	Hysteroscopy (%)	Histopathology (%)
---------	----------	------------------	--------------------

	Hormonal	48 (55.81)	56 (65.11)
	Proliferative	24 (27.9)	29 (33.72)
1.	Secretory	15 (17.44)	17 (19.76)
	Atrophic	9 (10.46)	10 (11.62)
2.	Hyperplasia	12 (13.96)	17 (19.76)
	Polyp	7 (8.13)	10 (11.62)
3.	Endometrial	5 (5.81)	5 (5.81)
	Cervical	2 (2.32)	5 (5.81)
4.	Submucous myoma	11 (12.79)	0
5.	Calcified	3 (3.48)	3 (3.48)
6.	Forgotten IUCD	2 (2.32)	0
7.	Adhesion	1 (1.16)	0
8.	Necrotic mass	2 (2.32)	0

DISCUSSION

In the present study, hysteroscopy showed the absence of any abnormal pathology in slightly more than half (55.81%) of the patients. Among these 24 were proliferative phase, 15 were in secretory phase and rest of the 9 were atrophic. Hysteroscopically diagnosed abnormal pathology rates have been shown to vary substantially across different series. In a study, Mukhopadhyay and Ashis reported it to be 32.6%.⁷ Similar to present study, Katke and Zakariya reported pathological abnormalities in 51.6% of their patients, whereas Guin et al reported them in 56% of their patients.^{8,9} However, the differences in prevalence of hysteroscopically diagnosed pathologies might be incidental and might vary from series to series.

Abnormal pathology was found in 40.69% of cases excluding calcification in the present study. Among different abnormal pathologies diagnosed by hysteroscopy, 6/18 previous studies (33.3%) reported polyps to be the diagnosis while 9/18 (50%) reported hyperplasia to be the most common abnormal pathologies. In our study, endometrial hyperplasia (13.95%) was the most common abnormal pathology, which was followed by endometrial polyps

(5.81%), cervical polyp, necrotic mass and forgotten IUCD in 2.32% and adhesions in one case. Although in present study, no case of endometrial carcinoma was diagnosed, a sizeable number of cases (7.1%) were diagnosed to have necrotic mass reflective of a progression towards malignancy¹⁰. These findings are in accordance with the observations of a number of other studies that did not report a single case of endometrial carcinoma in their series. Endometrial cancer is a rare finding in AUB cases with only few studies reporting its prevalence in the range of 1.7–4.1%.^{11,12}

In present study, on histopathology, 56 (65.11%) cases were shown to have normal/proliferative/atrophic endometrium, 17 (19.76%) had hyperplasia, 3 (3.48%) had calcified endometrium, and 10 (11.62%) had polyp. Similarly, Patil et al.¹³ also showed the absence of abnormal pathologies in 67% of their patients. In their study, they reported hyperplasia with and without atypia (16%), complex hyperplasia with and without atypia (4%), endometrial/fibroid polyps (6%) and endometrial cancer (2%) as the major pathologies involved. Valson et al¹⁴ in their study also reported normal/atrophic findings in 72% of their patients of AUB and reported simple hyperplasia with/without atypia (12%), polyp (8%), submucous myoma (2%) and endometrial cancer (2%) as the major abnormal pathologies involved. In contrast, Katke and Zawariya found abnormal pathologies in only 5/66 (7.6%) of their patients—4 having simple hyperplasia with/ without atypia and 1 (1.5%) showing abnormal shedding.⁸ A low prevalence of histopathological abnormalities was also reported by Sunitha and Somlatha¹⁵ who reported 68% of their cases to have a normal/atrophic endometrium and found endometrial hyperplasia as the most common abnormal pathology involved (20%) and did not find any case of endometrial cancer. Dinic´ et al also found abnormal pathologies in majority of their cases (50.63%) and found endometrial polyp (21.8%), cervical polyp (13.2%) and hyperplasia (6.83%) as the major abnormal pathologies and endometrial cancer in 1.28% of their cases.¹⁶ The findings of present study are thus more proximal to the results of Patil et al and Sunitha and Somlatha who reported abnormal pathologies in less than half of patients in their series.^{13,15}

As far as detection rate of different abnormal pathologies was concerned, the present study did not show a significant difference between hysteroscopic and histopathological findings for almost all the hysteroscopic findings except for detection rate of hyperplasia, submucous myoma and necrotic mass. In the

present study, hysteroscopy had a significantly lower detection rate for hyperplasia (13.95%) as compared to HPE (19.76%); while hysteroscopy showed a higher detection rate for submucous myoma (12.79%) and necrotic masses (2.32%) as compared to HPE (nil in both) as blind D&C may miss both these findings.

The limitations of present study was its sample size. The study was able to explain the usefulness of hysteroscopy in evaluation of gross structural and anatomical pathologies responsible for AUB. Another limitation was the absence of any malignant lesion and hence our inability to evaluate the efficacy of hysteroscopy for this specific and most important diagnosis. Further studies on larger sample size are recommended.

CONCLUSION

The findings of the study suggested that hysteroscopy was quite useful in diagnosis of abnormal uterine bleeding. Although it had an agreement with histopathology to the extent of 62.9% only, it provided additional information for pathologies that remained undiagnosed on histopathology, eg. submucous fibroid, adhesion and necrotic mass. Hence it is essential that it should be made essential part of diagnostic workup of cases of abnormal uterine bleeding.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

REFERENCES

1. Munro MG, Critchley HO, Broder MS, Fraser IS. FIGO classification system (PALM-COEIN) for causes of abnormal uterine bleeding in nonpregnant women of reproductive age. *Int J Gynecol Obstet.* 2011;113:3-13.
2. Frick KD, Clark MA, Steinbach's DM, Langenberg P, Stovall D, Munro MG, Dickersin K, STOP-DUB Research Group. Financial and quality-of-life burden of dysfunctional uterine bleeding among women agreeing to obtain surgical treatment. *Women's Health Issues.* 2009;19(1):70-8.
3. Veena BT, Shivalingaiah N. Role of transvaginal sonography and diagnostic hysteroscopy in abnormal uterine bleeding. *J Clin Diagn Res JCDR.*

- 2014;8(12):OC06-8.
4. Jain M, Kanhere A, Jain AK. Abnormal uterine bleeding: a critical analysis of two diagnostic methods. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2014;3(1):48-53.
 5. Goyal BK, Gaur I, Sharma S, Saha A, Das NK. Transvaginal sonography versus hysteroscopy in evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding. *Med J Armed Forces India.* 2015;71(2):120-5.
 6. Razzes A, Shankar-ud-Din S, Soomro N. Role of diagnostic hysteroscopy in case of abnormal uterine bleeding. *Pak J Surg.* 2011;27(4):309-15.
 7. Mukhopadhyay SR, Ashis K. Correlation between diagnostic hysteroscopy and its histopathological examination in the evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding. *Indian J Prev Soc Med.* 2015;45(1-2):62-5.
 8. Katke RD, Zarariya AN. Use of diagnostic hysteroscopy in abnormal uterine bleeding in perimenopausal age group and its clinicopathological co-relation with ultrasound and histopathology findings: experience in a tertiary care institute. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;4(2):413-8.
 9. Guin G, Sandhu SK, Lele A, Khare S. Hysteroscopy in evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding. *J Obstet Gynaecol India.* 2011;61(5):546-9.
 10. Bredholt G, Mannelqvist M, Stefansson IM, Birkeland E, Bø TH, Øyan AM et al. Tumor necrosis is an important hallmark of aggressive endometrial cancer and associates with hypoxia, angiogenesis and inflammation responses. *Oncotarget.* 2015;6(37):39676-91.
 11. Nandan N, Manjeera L, Rai S, Gowri M. Diagnostic hysteroscopy in abnormal uterine bleeding and its histopathologic correlation: our experience. *NUJHS.* 2013;3(2):13-7.
 12. Singh S, Taneja BK, Singh P, Ahlawat R. Role of diagnostic hysteroscopy in abnormal uterine bleeding. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2014;3(3):544-51.
 13. Patil SG, Bhute SB, Inamdar SA, Acharya NS, Shrivastava DS. Role of diagnostic hysteroscopy in abnormal uterine bleeding and its histopathologic correlation. *J Gynecol Endosc Surg.* 2009;1(2):98- 104.
 14. Valson H, Kulkarni C, Mukerjee S, Gowda SN. The role of diagnostic hysteroscopy in abnormal uterine bleeding and its histopathological correlation following blind dilatation and curettage. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;5(3):609-14.
 15. Sunitha C, Somalatha R. Clinical study of diagnostic hysteroscopy in abnormal uterine bleeding and its histopathological correlation. *IOSR J Dent*

Med Sci. 2013;5(3):43-6.

16. Dinic' SPT, Kopitovic' V, Antic' V, Stamenović S, Mitić D, Milošević J. Role of hysteroscopy in evaluation of patients with abnormal uterine bleeding. Acta Facultatis Medicae Naissensis.2011;28(3):177-81

