Original Research Article

TO INTRODUCE A MINIMALLY INVASIVE METHOD TO ELIMINATE THE SYMPTOMS OF CONTACT POINT RHINOGENIC CEPHALGIA.

Dr. Aditya Goel¹ (Senior Resident), Dr. Abhishek Rajagopal² (Post Graduate Student), Dr. Sonith Peter George³ (Resident) & Dr. Anshul Sharma⁴ (Senior Resident)

Dept. of Otorhinolaryngology, Gandhi Medical College Bhopal^{1,2&4}
Jain ENT Hospital Jaipur³
Corresponding Author: Dr. Anshul Sharma

Abstract

Background & Methods: The aim of the study is to introduce a minimally invasive method to eliminate the symptoms of contact point rhinogenic cephalgia. CA detailed clinical examination was undertaken in all the patients with emphasis on anterior and posterior rhinoscopy eliciting sinus tenderness along with nasal endoscopy.

Results: Inferior turbinate hypertrophy was seen in (80%) & (80%) patients of whom (60%) & (64%) had unilateral and remaining (20%) & (16%) patients had bilateral findings in RR & PR study respectively. Middle turbinate hypertrophy was seen in (86.66%) & (88%) patients of whom (33.33%) & (28%) patients had unilateral hypertrophy and rest (53.33%) & (60%) patients had bilateral hypertrophy in RR & PR study respectively.

Conclusion: Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery and Septoplasty is effective in management of facial pain and headache secondary to contact point, chronic sinusitis and other paranasal disorder and otolaryngologist all over the world are taking a critical look at what this procedure has to offer. Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery provides an efficient and safe method for treating sinonasal disease.

Keywords: invasive, rhinogenic & cephalgia. **Study Design:** Prospective Retrospective Study.

1. Introduction

For successful performance of functional endoscopic sinus surgery, thorough knowledge of lateral nasal wall, Osteomeatal complex along with pathophysiology and mucociliary system is necessary[1].

The structure of lateral nasal wall and paranasal sinuses falls into two anatomically and physiologically distinct categories - The anterior and posterior ethmoid complexes: with basal lamella of middle turbinate as clear and distinct partition between two complexes[2].

The characteristic of facial pain and pressure, the location, severity, frequency and duration of the pain or pressure, any association with nausea, vomiting or photophobia is important.

The presence or absence of nasal symptom (particularly purulent discharge) and the temporal relationship between headache and nasal symptom are all-important factor that can guide diagnosis and patient management.

It is the most constant and largest cell of the anterior ethmoid complex formed by pneumatization of bulla lamella and appears as a hollow thin walled bony bleb, attached to the lamina papyracea with convexity towards middle meatus[3]. Anteriorly it attaches with medial wall of Agger nasi and uncinate process. Posteriorly it may fuse with ground lamella and middle turbinate. Its superior attachment reaches roof of ethmoid, then it forms posterior wall of frontal recess[4]. This division may be vestigial or completely absent. In this case, there is a direct communication between the frontal recess and a pneumatized space located above and behind the bulla, the sinus lateralis. Incidence of minimal or absent pneumatization of ethmoid bulla was 8%[5].

2. Material and Methods

This study was conducted at Tertiary Health Care Centre at Central India on 80 patients (Retrospective (RR) 30 & Prospective Study (PR) 50). CA detailed clinical examination was undertaken in all the patients with emphasis on anterior and posterior rhinoscopy eliciting sinus tenderness along with nasal endoscopy. On anterior rhinoscopy condition of the nasal mucous membrane. nature quality and quantity of discharge, patency of nasal cavity, position of nasal septum, spur, status of middle and inferior turbinate with regard to hypertrophy, atrophy, paradoxical curvature, or previous surgical resection, the status of the inferior and middle meatus and presence or absence of mucopurulent discharge were recorded.

Inclusion Criteria:

All patients who were clinically and radio logically diagnosed as having facial pain, chronic sinus headache and rhinosinusitis were included. All the patients included in the present study were refractory to appropriate medical line of management for more than 6 months duration. Patients with catarrh or postnasal drip as only symptoms, nosebleeds, rhinitis medicamentosa, benign or malignant tumors, valve collapse olfactory dysfunction without rhinosinusitis, granulomatous, disorders, vestibulitis were occluded from the study.

3. Result Table 1: Showing main symptoms during the operative evaluation.

Symptom		No of cases	Percentage
	RR	20	66.66
Facial pain	(Retrospective)		
	PR	55	88
	(Prospective)		
Headache	RR	30	100
Treatache	PR	50	100
Post Nasal drip	RR	10	33.33
	PR	16	32

Nasal discharge	RR	20	66.66
ivasai discharge	PR	40	80
Nasal obstruction	RR	24	80
	PR	40	80
enaozina	RR	20	66.66
sneezing	PR	20	40
Ear discharge	RR	08	26.66
	PR	12	24

The patients were considered to have sinus headache & facial pain when they had nasal congestion, nasal obstruction, and recurrent episodes of nasal secretions, post nasal discharge, facial pain and pressure. The patients having all these symptoms and signs for more than 3 months and all those who were ruled out other causes of headache and treated conservatively for 1 month prior to this study were selected.

Table 2: Anterior Rhinoscopic findings

Finding		Total no. of case	%	Unilateral case	%	Bilateral case	%
DNS	RR	28	93.33	24	80	04	13.33
	PR	50	100	44	88	06	12
ITH	RR	24	80	18	60	06	20
	PR	40	80	32	64	08	16
MTH	RR	26	86.66	10	33.33	16	53.33
	PR	40	88	14	28	30	60
MPD in nasal	RR	20	66.66	16	53.33	04	13.33
cavity	PR	20	80	30	60	10	20
Spur	RR	12	40	10	33.33	02	6.66
	PR	20	40	14	28	06	12

Inferior turbinate hypertrophy was seen in (80%) & (80%) patients of whom (60%) & (64%) had unilateral and remaining (20%) & (16%) patients had bilateral findings in RR & PR study respectively. Middle turbinate hypertrophy was seen in (86.66%) & (88%) patients of whom (33.33%) & (28%) patients had unilateral hypertrophy and rest (53.33%) & (60%) patients had bilateral hypertrophy in RR & PR study respectively.

Tuble 5. Burgicul technique used in present study				
Surgery		No of cases	Percentage (%)	
Concha bullosa	RR	12	40	
exteriorization	PR	30	60	
Anterior ethmoidectomy	RR	20	66.66	
Anterior eminoraectomy	PR	36	72	
Post ethmoidectomy	RR	04	13.33	
/sphenoidectomy	PR	04	8	
Cauterization of ITH	RR	06	20	
Cauterization of 11 ft	PR	08	16	

Table 3: Surgical technique used in present study

After complete pre-operative evaluation Middle turbinate lateralization was done. Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS) was performed using Messerklinger technique. Septoplasty was also done in association with FESS in order to get wide access for nasal endoscope where needed.

4. Discussion

ET al differentiated true concha bullosa from simple pneumatization of middle turbinate[6]. True concha bullosa is extensive pneumatization of middle turbinate in both the lamellar and inferior bulbar portions and in his series in 15.7% cases. In the present study concha bullosa were noted in 14(50%) & 15(75%) patients in RR & PR study respectively. Such a wide discrepancy in the reported prevalence of middle turbinate pneumatization may be due to factors such as inherent difference in studied populations, difference in criteria for pneumatization and the sensitivity of the method used for analysis[7].

Another variation is a paradoxically bent middle turbinate with the convexity of middle turbinate laterally. Depending on the degree of paradoxical curvature narrowing of middle meatus may result. S. K. Kaluskar (1990) encountered paradoxical turbinate in 14% of cases in his study of 100 cases of chronic maxillary sinusitis. Only 1.89% cases in a series of 158 cases of chronic maxillary sinusitis. In our study we encountered. 6(21.43%) & 4(20%) case in RR & PR study respectively of paradoxical middle turbinates[8].

The Uncinate process, which is a bony wall of the medial wall of the ethmoid: infundibulum, may be bent medially to a varying degree. It may come in contact with middle turbinate and produce stenosis of the middle meatus[9].

Goldmith in 1993 presented eight patients described as having nasal-contact facial pain. 2 were better after medical treatment for rhinusinusitis. 6 had surgery for their contact points. 5 were asymptomatic post-operatively, while 1 patient continued to have occasional headaches at 3 months[10].

5. Conclusion

Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery and Septoplasty is effective in management of facial pain and headache secondary to contact point, chronic sinusitis and other paranasal disorder and otolaryngologist all over the world are taking a critical look at what this procedure has to offer. Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery provides an efficient and safe method for treating sinonasal disease.

6. References

- 1. Stanckiewicz J, Chow J. Cost analysis in the diagnosis of chronic rhinusinusitis. Am J Rhinol 2003; 17(3):139-42.
- 2. Jones NS, Cooney TR. Facial pain and sinonasal surgery. Rhinology 2003; 41:193-200.
- 3. Col SB Mahajan, Air Cmde LK Kochhar, Dr. AK Gupta, Sinugenic Headache and Nasal Endoscopy MJAFI 2003: 59: 121-224.
- 4. Patel ZM, Setzen M, Poetker DM, DelGaudio JM. Evaluation and management of "sinus headache" in the otolaryngology practice. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 2014;47(2):269–287
- 5. Marmura MJ, Silberstein SD. Headaches caused by nasal and paranasal sinus disease. Neurol Clin 2014;32(2):507–523
- 6. Roozbahany NA, Nasri S. Nasal and paranasal sinus anatomical variations in patients with rhinogenic contact point headache. Auris Nasus Larynx 2013;40(2):177–183
- 7. Stammberger H, Wolf G. Headaches and sinus disease: the endoscopic approach. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol Suppl 1988; 134:3–23
- 8. Kaluskar SK, Patil NP (1992). The Role of Outpatient Endoscopy in Evolution of Chronic Sinus Diseases. Clinical Otorhinol Laryngol. (Editorial). 17(3):193-194.
- 9. Eweiss AZ, Lund VJ, Barlow J, Rose G. Do patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps suffer with facial pain? Rhinology 2013;51(3):231–235
- 10. Goldsmith AJ, Zahtz GO, Stegnjajic A, et al. Middle turbinate headache syndrome. Am J RhinoI1993; 7(1):17-23.