

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

**RISK PERCEPTIONS, PREVENTIVE BEHAVIOURS AND KNOWLEDGE
AMONG PUBLIC ON THE COVID OUTBREAK RESPONSE: A CROSS
SECTIONAL STUDY IN AN URBAN AREA OF COIMBATORE
DISTRICT IN TAMILNADU**

Dr. Uma Priyadharsini Thulasimani¹, Dr. Kokila Kolandasamy², Dr. Karthiyayini Loganathan¹, Dr. Darshan Manoj S³, Dr. Anna Joshua⁴, Dr. Sushmitha Balamurugan⁴, Sharan Prasaanth KS⁵, Dr. Chethu Sarathi KG⁴, Dr. Dhaaneswaran MS⁴, Dr. Lakshmi AB⁴

¹Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Coimbatore Medical College, Tamil Nadu.

²Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Coimbatore Medical College, Tamil Nadu.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Coimbatore Medical College, Tamil Nadu.

⁴CRMI, Coimbatore Medical College, Tamil Nadu.

⁵Undergraduate Medical Student, Final year MBBS, Coimbatore Medical College, Tamil Nadu.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Darshan Manoj S, Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Coimbatore Medical College, Tamil Nadu.

ABSTRACT

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has prompted unprecedented challenges globally, impacting lives, economies, and healthcare systems. Understanding public perceptions and behaviours towards the pandemic is crucial for effective response efforts. This study aims to investigate risk perceptions, preventive behaviours and knowledge levels among the urban population of Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu, India.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted from September to December 2021, involving 609 adult participants residing in urban areas of Coimbatore district. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire covering demographic information, risk perceptions, knowledge levels, trust in authorities, and adherence to protective behaviours. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25, employing descriptive and multivariate techniques.

Results: The majority of participants were female (64.9%) with varying levels of education and occupation. While 7% reported being infected with COVID-19, 81.1% believed their relatives were at risk. Health workers, media, and radio were primary information sources. Despite awareness, willingness to comply with public restrictions varied, and vaccine hesitancy was observed. Financial impacts and lifestyle changes were prevalent among participants.

Conclusion: This study provides valuable insights into COVID-19 awareness, perception, and behaviour among urban residents of Coimbatore district. Findings underscore the need for targeted interventions to address misconceptions, enhance compliance with preventive measures, and mitigate socio-economic impacts. By leveraging these insights, policymakers can formulate

evidence-based strategies to strengthen public health responses and to promote sustained efforts to alleviate current and future infectious disease threats.

Keywords: COVID-19, risk perception, preventive behaviours, knowledge levels, urban population, India

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 epidemic has caused previously unheard-of difficulties that are upending people's lives, economy, and healthcare systems throughout.^[1] Amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, individuals' perceptions of risk play a pivotal role in shaping their behaviours and responses to the crisis.^[2] The degree to which individuals perceive themselves to be at risk influences their adherence to recommended preventive measures and their willingness to comply with public health guidelines.^[3] Risk perception is a central characteristic of many health-behaviour theories. According to the Protection Motivation Theory, Protection motivation is a consequence of risk or threat assessment and coping appraisal. Threat assessment consists of estimating the hazard of contracting a disease (perceived vulnerability or susceptibility) and estimating the seriousness of a disorder or sickness (perceived severity).^[4]

People's behaviours and decision-making processes are significantly influenced by their level of understanding about COVID-19 and the preventive measures that are linked with it, in addition to their perception of risk.^[5] It takes accurate and timely information distribution to enable people to take preventative action to safeguard their communities and themselves. As a result, this study aims to evaluate public awareness in the Metropolitan Coimbatore district, highlighting areas of disinformation and knowledge gaps that could obstruct successful pandemic control initiatives.^[6] Another important aspect impacting people's reactions to the COVID-19 epidemic is trust in Governmental agencies, medical authority, and information sources.^[7] In times of disaster, trust is essential for efficient communication, teamwork, and collective action. Pandemic response attempts have become more difficult, though, as a result of the spread of false information and the politicization of public health initiatives.^[8] Therefore, this study aims to elucidate the nuances of risk perception among the urban populace of Coimbatore district, thereby contributing to a deeper understanding of the cognitive and emotional processes underpinning individuals' appraisal of risk in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Wearing masks, washing hands frequently, and following social distance rules are examples of protective behaviours that serve as first lines of defence against the spread of COVID-19.^[9] However, a variety of factors, such as risk perception, knowledge levels, and faith in authority, determine the levels of engagement among people in these actions.^[10] As a result, the goal of this study is to evaluate the adoption of protective behaviours among the urban population in the Coimbatore district, identifying the factors that encourage and hinder behavioural compliance and providing guidance for focused interventions that advance public health and safety.

By investigating risk perceptions, knowledge, trust, and protective behaviours adopted by the public in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic within the urban Coimbatore district, this cross-sectional study seeks to close a significant gap in the body of current work. This study aims to provide evidence-based solutions for improving community resilience, pandemic preparedness, and public health protection against current and emerging infectious disease threats by clarifying these intricate interactions.^[11]

MATERIALS & METHOD

Study Settings: The cross-sectional study was conducted in urban areas of Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu, India. The study period spanned over a period of four months from September 2021 to December 2021. The study design adhered to established guidelines for cross-sectional research, allowing for the assessment of risk perceptions, knowledge levels, trust, and protective behaviours among the public within a specified timeframe.

Study Participants: The study targeted individuals (age group of 18-65 years) residing in urban areas of Coimbatore district. Inclusion criteria encompassed individuals who provided informed consent to participate in the study and were able to comprehend the study questionnaire in either English or Tamil. Exclusion criteria included individuals below the age of 18 and those with cognitive impairments that precluded their ability to provide informed consent or participate meaningfully in the study.

Sample Size: The sample size was calculated using standard formula for cross-sectional studies. At 95% confidence levels, 5% margin of error, and expecting 50% prevalence of perceived awareness, the sample size was calculated to be 384. Adding a non-response rate of 20%, the minimum sample size of 461 was required to achieve the study objectives and hence the sample size was rounded off to 500. At the end of the study, data was collected from a total of 609 participants.

Sampling Technique: A multi-stage cluster sampling technique was employed to ensure the representativeness of the study sample. Initially, urban areas within Coimbatore district were stratified based on geographical zones. Subsequently, clusters were randomly selected from each stratum using probability proportionate to size sampling. Within each selected cluster, households were systematically sampled, and eligible individuals meeting the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study.

Study Methodology: Data collection was carried out using a structured questionnaire administered through face-to-face interviews conducted by trained research personnel. The questionnaire encompassed validated scales and items designed to assess various domains, including risk perceptions, knowledge levels, trust in authorities, and adherence to protective behaviours related to COVID-19. The questionnaire was pre-tested in a pilot study to ensure clarity, relevance, and cultural appropriateness.

Study Tools: The study questionnaire comprised several sections, including

1. Demographic information (age, gender, education level, occupation, household income),
2. Risk perception assessment (perceived susceptibility, severity, efficacy of preventive measures),
3. Knowledge assessment (awareness of COVID-19 symptoms, modes of transmission, preventive measures),
4. Trust in authorities (government, healthcare providers, media), and
5. Self-reported adherence to protective behaviours (mask-wearing, hand hygiene, social distancing).

Ethical Issues: Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC). Informed consent was obtained from all study participants prior to their inclusion in the research. Participants were assured of confidentiality, anonymity, and voluntary participation, and were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without repercussion. Data confidentiality and privacy were strictly maintained throughout the study, with all collected data stored securely and accessible only to authorized research personnel.

Statistical analysis: The collected data underwent thorough statistical analysis using SPSS version 25 for windows with a significance level set at $p < 0.05$. Descriptive statistics summarized demographic characteristics, while bivariate analyses explored associations between variables using Pearson's correlation, chi-square tests, or t-tests. Multivariate techniques like multiple regression were employed to identify independent predictors, controlling for confounders selected based on theoretical relevance and statistical significance. Subgroup analyses and interaction effects were examined to assess variations across demographics and geographical areas.

RESULTS

A total of 609 participants took part in the survey, with females representing the majority at 64.9%. The educational attainment of the participants varied, with 63% having completed higher secondary education, while 14.78% completed graduation and above. 36.62% of the participants worked for daily wages, 36.12% were unemployed and majority were married (84.07%). Regarding financial status, 11.97 % experienced an improvement, 44% experienced a worsening, 20.03% reported no change, and 24% were uncertain about the impact of the pandemic on their finances. The vast majority (94%) reported no chronic illnesses (Table 1).

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of study participants

| S.No | Characteristic | Number | Percentage | |
|------|---------------------|--|------------|-------|
| 1 | Gender | Female | 396 | 64.9 |
| | | Male | 213 | 35.1 |
| 2 | Educational Status | Primary School | 4 | 0.60 |
| | | Middle School | 11 | 1.81 |
| | | High School | 120 | 19.70 |
| | | Higher Secondary | 384 | 63.05 |
| | | Graduation and above | 90 | 14.78 |
| 3 | Occupational Status | Employed in Govt / private organisations | 40 | 6.57 |
| | | Daily wages | 223 | 36.62 |
| | | Self Employed | 126 | 20.69 |
| | | Unemployed | 220 | 36.12 |
| 4 | Marital status | Married | 512 | 84.07 |
| | | Unmarried/Single | 97 | 15.93 |
| 5 | | Improved | 73 | 11.97 |
| | | Worsened | 268 | 44.0 |

| | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|-----|-------|
| | Financial status during COVID-19 | No change | 122 | 20.03 |
| | | Unsure | 146 | 24.0 |
| | | Not infected | 566 | 92.94 |
| 7 | Chronic illness | Present | 36 | 5.92 |
| | | None | 573 | 94.08 |

Awareness and Perception towards COVID-19 among Participants

A majority of 75.69% of participants suffered from COVID-19 during the pandemic. Among them, 66.05% were diagnosed through laboratory tests, 52.54% underwent RT-PCR tests whereas 55.7% were subjected to X Rays or CT Scans. Only 38.8% participants aware about number of COVID-19 related deaths during peak pandemic. Regarding information sources, 46.8% felt adequately informed, with health workers (80%), media (79%), and radio (60%) being the primary sources. 65.7% followed public restrictions van 34.3% did not follow pubic restrictions. 78.7 % and 81.11% of participants perceived that there were high chances of acquiring and preventing COVID 19 infection respectively. (Table 2).

Table 2: Awareness and perception regarding COVID-19 among study participants.

| S.No | Variable | | Number | Percentage |
|------|---|-------------------------|--------|------------|
| 1 | Number of participants who suffered from COVID-19 during the pandemic | No | 148 | 24.31 |
| | | Yes | 461 | 75.69 |
| 2 | Mode of diagnosis of COVID-19 | Clinical symptoms alone | 186 | 30.50 |
| | | Laboratory tests | 405 | 66.50 |
| | | Others | 18 | 3.0 |
| 3 | Number of participants who underwent RT-PCR test for COVID-19 | Yes | 289 | 47.45 |
| | | No | 320 | 52.54 |
| 4 | Number of participants who had X-ray or CT scan during COVID-19 | Yes | 270 | 44.3 |
| | | No | 339 | 55.7 |
| 5 | Number of participants aware about number of COVID-19 related deaths during peak pandemic | Yes | 236 | 38.8 |
| | | No | 373 | 61.2 |
| 6 | Sources of Information about COVID-19 | Through Health workers | 285 | 46.8 |
| | | Through Media | 324 | 53.2 |
| 7 | Number of participants who followed public restrictions | Yes | 209 | 34.3 |
| | | No | 400 | 65.7 |
| 8 | Participant's perception of chances of acquiring COVID-19 infection | High | 479 | 78.7 |
| | | Low | 130 | 21.3 |
| 9 | | High | 494 | 81.11 |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-----|-----|-------|
| | Participant's perception of chances of preventing COVID-19 infection | Low | 115 | 18.88 |
|--|--|-----|-----|-------|

Attitude and Behaviour towards COVID-19 among Participants

A notable portion (32.2%) acknowledged the media's role in the pandemic's spread and control. Concerning public restrictions, 34.3% were willing to follow them, with 82.1% willing to comply with workplace-related restrictions, and 55.8% avoided public gatherings. Additionally, 59.1% were aware of the importance of hand hygiene, and 59.3% preferred hand sanitizer over soap and water

A significant majority (81.1%) believed their relatives were at risk of infection, with 84.2% willing to undergo testing if a close contact tested positive. However, 15.8% were reluctant to disclose the identities of infected relatives, and 23% preferred not to seek medical care if infected themselves. The pandemic induced lifestyle changes, with 88.7% reporting COVID-related depression and 76.7% fearing infection. Despite advisories, only 8.4% were willing to receive vaccination, with willingness increasing to 23% if advised. Furthermore, 37.1% exercised less, 7.1% increased alcohol consumption, and 42.9% reported adopting healthier dietary habits.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study shed light on the awareness, perception, attitude, and behavior of the urban population in Coimbatore district towards the COVID-19 pandemic. These findings are consistent with and contribute to the existing body of literature on COVID-19 risk perceptions, preventive behaviors, and knowledge levels among the public.

Our study revealed a notable level of awareness among participants regarding COVID-19-related issues. Similar to findings reported by Shahin & Hussien (2020), a significant proportion of our participants perceived COVID-19 as a serious risk, with a considerable percentage reporting individuals in their social circle infected with the virus. This underscores the widespread impact of the pandemic on communities, as observed in studies conducted in China by Ning et al. (2020)^[12] and Zhong et al. (2020)^[13], where high levels of perceived risk were associated with negative emotional states.

The study identified health workers, media, and radio as primary sources of information, consistent with findings from Mc Call et al. (2023)^[14], who highlighted the role of media in disseminating information about the pandemic. However, it is noteworthy that a significant portion of participants acknowledged the role of the media in both spreading and controlling the pandemic, echoing concerns raised in Geldsetzer's study (2020)^[15] about the prevalence of misconceptions and myths surrounding COVID-19.

Despite the awareness, our study found varying levels of willingness to comply with public restrictions, with a minority willing to adhere to them. This reluctance echoes findings from studies in Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and Jordan by Shahin & Hussien (2020)^[16], where compliance with preventive measures was suboptimal, indicating the need for targeted interventions to enhance adherence.

The COVID-19 pandemic has induced significant lifestyle changes and psychological impacts on individuals. Our study reported high rates of COVID-related depression and fear of infection, consistent with studies by Ozdemir (2020)^[17] and Alkhalidi et al. (2021)^[18], highlighting the need for mental health support services amid the pandemic. Despite advisories, vaccine hesitancy

remains a challenge, aligning with findings from Kaushik et al. (2020)^[19], indicating the importance of addressing concerns and misinformation surrounding vaccination to achieve widespread immunization.

Furthermore, the pandemic has exacerbated existing socio-economic disparities, as evidenced by our findings on the financial impact of the pandemic. Similar concerns were raised in studies by Kuang et al. (2020)^[20] in Tamil Nadu, India, where a significant proportion of participants reported increased stress about finances. These findings underscore the need for targeted interventions to mitigate the socio-economic repercussions of the pandemic, particularly among vulnerable populations.

Despite the valuable insights provided by this study, several limitations should be acknowledged. Firstly, the cross-sectional design limits our ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Secondly, the reliance on self-reported data may introduce response bias and inaccuracies. Future research employing longitudinal designs and objective measures of behavior would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of risk perception and behavior over time.

Additionally, while our study focused on the urban population of Coimbatore district, future research could explore variations across rural and urban settings to identify context-specific factors influencing risk perception and behavior. Furthermore, interventions targeting specific demographic groups, such as health professionals or individuals with chronic illnesses, could be developed based on the findings of this study to enhance pandemic preparedness and response efforts.

This study contributes to the growing body of literature on COVID-19 risk perceptions, preventive behaviors, and knowledge levels among the urban population of Coimbatore district. The findings underscore the importance of tailored interventions to address misconceptions, enhance compliance with preventive measures, and mitigate the socio-economic impacts of the pandemic. By addressing these challenges, policymakers and public health authorities can effectively promote public health and safety in the face of current and future infectious disease threats.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study highlights the COVID-19 awareness, perception, and behavioural patterns among the urban populace of Coimbatore district. Findings illustrate the importance of targeted interventions to address misconceptions, enhance compliance with preventive measures, and mitigate socio-economic impacts. By leveraging these findings, policymakers and public health authorities can formulate evidence-based strategies to bolster community resilience and safeguard public health in the face of current and future infectious disease threats.

REFERENCES

1. Filip R, Gheorghita Puscaselu R, Anchidin-Norocel L, Dimian M, Savage WK. Global Challenges to Public Health Care Systems during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review of Pandemic Measures and Problems. *J Pers Med.* 2022 Aug 7;12(8):1295. doi: 10.3390/jpm12081295.

2. Bi X, Zhang Q, Fan K, Tang S, Guan H, Gao X, Cui Y, Ma Y, Wu Q, Hao Y, Ning N, Liu C. Risk Culture and COVID-19 Protective Behaviors: A Cross-Sectional Survey of Residents in China. *Front Public Health*. 2021 Nov 1;9:686705. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.686705.
3. Kamran A, Isazadehfar K, Heydari H, Nasimi Doost Azgomi R, Naeim M. Risk perception and adherence to preventive behaviours related to the COVID-19 pandemic: a community-based study applying the health belief model. *BJPsych Open*. 2021 Jul 13;7(4):e133. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2021.954. Erratum in: *BJPsych Open*. 2021 Nov 16;7(6):e210.
4. Rogers R, Cacioppo J, Petty R (1983) Cognitive and physiological processes in fear appeals and attitude change: a revised theory of protection motivation. In: *Social psychophysiology: a sourcebook*. Guilford, New York, pp 153–177
5. Cipolletta S, Andregretti GR, Mioni G. Risk Perception towards COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Qualitative Synthesis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Apr 12;19(8):4649. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19084649. Erratum in: *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2023 Feb 08;20(4).
6. Muhammed T S, Mathew SK. The disaster of misinformation: a review of research in social media. *Int J Data Sci Anal*. 2022;13(4):271-285. doi: 10.1007/s41060-022-00311-6. Epub 2022 Feb 15.
7. Verma N, Fleischmann KR, Zhou L, Xie B, Lee MK, Rich K, Shiroma K, Jia C, Zimmerman T. Trust in COVID-19 public health information. *J Assoc Inf Sci Technol*. 2022 Sep 20;10.1002/asi.24712. doi: 10.1002/asi.24712.
8. Bernard R, Bowsher G, Sullivan R, Gibson-Fall F. Disinformation and Epidemics: Anticipating the Next Phase of Biowarfare. *Health Secur*. 2021 Jan-Feb;19(1):3-12. doi: 10.1089/hs.2020.0038. Epub 2020 Oct 22.
9. Chiu NC, Chi H, Tai YL, Peng CC, Tseng CY, Chen CC, Tan BF, Lin CY. Impact of Wearing Masks, Hand Hygiene, and Social Distancing on Influenza, Enterovirus, and All-Cause Pneumonia During the Coronavirus Pandemic: Retrospective National Epidemiological Surveillance Study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020 Aug 20;22(8):e21257. doi: 10.2196/21257.
10. Ferrer R, Klein WM. Risk perceptions and health behavior. *Curr Opin Psychol*. 2015 Oct 1;5:85-89. doi: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.03.012.
11. Lal A, Abdalla SM, Chattu VK, Erondur NA, Lee TL, Singh S, Abou-Taleb H, Vega Morales J, Phelan A. Pandemic preparedness and response: exploring the role of universal health coverage within the global health security architecture. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2022 Nov;10(11):e1675-e1683. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00341-2. Epub 2022 Sep 27.
12. Ning L, Niu J, Bi X, Yang C, Liu Z, Wu Q, Ning N, Liang L, Liu A, Hao Y, Gao L, Liu C. The impacts of knowledge, risk perception, emotion and information on citizens' protective

- behaviors during the outbreak of COVID-19: a cross-sectional study in China. *BMC Public Health*. 2020 Nov 23;20(1):1751. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09892-y.
13. Zhong Y, Liu W, Lee TY, Zhao H, Ji J. Risk perception, knowledge, information sources and emotional states among COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. *Nurs Outlook*. 2021 Jan-Feb;69(1):13-21. doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2020.08.005.
 14. Mc Call T, Lopez Lumbi S, Rinderhagen M, Heming M, Hornberg C, Liebig-Gonglach M. Risk Perception of the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic: Influencing Factors and Implications for Environmental Health Crises. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2023 Feb 14;20(4):3363. doi: 10.3390/ijerph20043363.
 15. Geldsetzer P. Knowledge and Perceptions of COVID-19 Among the General Public in the United States and the United Kingdom: A Cross-sectional Online Survey. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020 Jul 21;173(2):157-160. doi: 10.7326/M20-0912.
 16. Shahin MAH, Hussien RM. Risk perception regarding the COVID-19 outbreak among the general population: a comparative Middle East survey. *Middle East Curr Psychiatry*. 2020;27(1):71. doi: 10.1186/s43045-020-00080-7.
 17. Ozdemir S, Ng S, Chaudhry I, Finkelstein EA. Adoption of Preventive Behaviour Strategies and Public Perceptions About COVID-19 in Singapore. *Int J Health Policy Manag*. 2022 May 1;11(5):579-591. doi: 10.34172/ijhpm.2020.199.
 18. Alkhalidi G, Aljuraiban GS, Alhurishi S, De Souza R, Lamahewa K, Lau R, Alshaikh F. Perceptions towards COVID-19 and adoption of preventive measures among the public in Saudi Arabia: a cross sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2021 Jun 29;21(1):1251. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11223-8.
 19. Kaushik M, Agarwal D, Gupta AK. Cross-sectional study on the role of public awareness in preventing the spread of COVID-19 outbreak in India. *Postgrad Med J*. 2021 Dec;97(1154):777-781. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-138349.
 20. Kuang J, Ashraf S, Das U, Bicchieri C. Awareness, Risk Perception, and Stress during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Communities of Tamil Nadu, India. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020 Sep 30;17(19):7177. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17197177.