ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

DEMOGRAPHIC AND ANGIOGRAPHIC PROFILE IN ELDERLY PATIENTS (>70 YEARS)WITH ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME AND IN- HOSPITAL OUTCOMES – A SINGLE CENTRE EXPERIENCE.

Dr.Sreedhara R[1], Dr Yamasandi siddegowda shrimanth[2],Dr.Nikhil Basavanagouda[3], Dr Sachin Rao[4], Dr Sadananda K S[5]

1, 2, 3and 4 – Assistant Professor, Department of Cardiology, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences & Research, Mysuru.

5- Professor and superintendent, Department Of Cardiology, Sri Jayadeva Institute Of Cardiovascular Science And Research, Mysuru, 570016,Karnataka, India.

Corresponding author- Dr Sachin Rao. DM cardiology. No 203, IVY Sankalp central park, yadavagiri,mysuru.-570020. Email- kummas82@gmail.com. Mobile No-9591852123

Abstract.

Background: As elderly remain a vastly understudied population with significant differences from the younger patients, we aimed to study the profile of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) exclusively in elderly along with in hospital outcomes.

Methods: This prospective observational study enrolled 200 elderly ACS patients and studied their demographic, clinical and angiographic profile. After any coronary intervention as indicated, in hospital occurrence of death due to any cause and major adverse cardiovascular events were noted.

Results: Average age of study population was 75 years with majority being male (69%). Dyslipidemia, family history of CAD, diabetes, hypertension and smoking constituted 9%, 9%, 22%, 30% and 61% of patients respectively. STEMI was the commonest presentation (53%). LAD was the most common artery involved (79%) and ostio-proximal left circumflex (LCx) involvement (32%) was common (p-value < 0.05). Cardiac cause accounted for 80% of total mortality. LVEF <35%, presence of mitral regurgitation (MR), involvement of left main (LM), LCx or right coronary artery (RCA) and triplevessel disease (TVD) showed a significant association with mortality (p-value < 0.05).

Conclusions: Elderly patients with ACS commonly have a multivessel disease which correlates with elevated. The important predictors of short-term mortality include higher serum troponin levels, LVEF < 35%, significant MR, involvement of LM and presence of TVD.

ABBREVIATIONS:

ACS - Acute coronary Syndrome, **ACC** - American College of Cardiology

AHA - American Heart Association, **CAD** - Coronary Artery Disease

LVEF- Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction, **HDL** - High Density Lipoproteins

IHD - Ischemic Heart Disease, **LAD** - Left Anterior Descending

LCx - LeftCircumflex,**LDL** - Low Density Lipoproteins

LM - Left Main, **MACE** - Major Adverse Cardiac Event

MR - Mitral Regurgitation,**MVD** - Multi Vessel Disease

UA - Unstable Angina,**NSTEMI** - Non ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

STEMI - ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction, **PCI** - Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. **RCA** - Right Coronary Artery, **SVD** - Single Vessel Disease

DVD- Double Vessel Disease,**TVD** - Triple Vessel Disease

Introduction.

Coronary heart disease remains the leading cause of death in the developed world. Advanced age is the single strongest risk factor for coronary artery disease (CAD) and independent predictor for poor outcomes following an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Global improvement in life expectancy has led to increased incidence and prevalence of coronary arterydisease (CAD) in older individuals. However, the elderly patients, especially those with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) remain an underrepresented population in most clinical studies either because exclusion of patients of more than 65 years of age [1,2] or their representation was not more than 10%. Angiographic studies have shown that extent and severity of CAD in older patients is more than in younger patients. Despite the fact that elderly patientsform a high risk group and various studies have proved significant benefits of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in these patients, [4–6] the invasive procedures are performed relatively less frequently in the elderly. Evaluating the age-related differences in prevalence and risk factor profile of CAD and their clinical significance is important for better understanding and management of the disease. We conducted this study to evaluate the demographic, clinical and angiographic profiles of elderly patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome along with in hospital outcomes[3].

Further the profiles of ACS in elderly tend to differ from younger patients in many aspects, especially with reference to clinical presentation, risk factor and angiographic profile. The relativeaccumulation of risk factors with increasing age and increase in extent and severity of coronary artery disease further alters the prognosis in elderly.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This observational study was carried out between March 2023 and November 2023 at a tertiary care institute in Southern India. Patients of either sex, aged >70 years, presenting with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and fulfilling the inclusion criteria were eligible for recruitment. ACS spectrum included patients diagnosed as unstable angina (UA), non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), and ST- elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), in accordance with American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA)definitions and all patents were treated as per ACC/AHA guidelines [7,8].

Inclusion Criteria: For the assigned period of study, a total of 200 consecutive elderly patients (>70 years age) of either sex, presenting with acute coronary syndrome (UA/NSTEMI /STEMI) and admitted to coronary care unit at our hospital, undergoing coronary angiography followed, if indicated by PCI were included in the study as per protocol.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients were excluded if they had history of prior ACS, Chronic stable angina or associated congenital heart disease, valvular heart disease and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Patients were also excluded if they had acute infections, severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance <30 ml/min), chronic inflammatory disorders or were not willing to participate in the study.

Outcomes: These patients were studied for their demographic, clinical and angiographic profiles and the distribution of various risk factors for CAD. After angiography or PCI, these patients were observed in the hospital for occurrence of any Major Adverse Cardiovascular Event (MACE). MACE included cardiovascular death, reinfarction, stroke, major bleeding and stent thrombosis .

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

Laboratory Investigations: Baseline investigations including complete hemogram, blood sugar, serum electrolytes, blood urea, serum creatinine, and lipid profile were done. Angiographic profile including stenosis location, severity, type of lesion, and the number of arteries involved were analyzed. Significant CAD was defined by luminal stenosis of more than 50% for the left main coronary artery and more than 70% for the remaining major epicardial coronary arteries.

Statistical analysis: Patient characteristics and outcome measures data were presented using numbers and percentages for categorical variables and Mean (±SD) for quantitative variables. Normality of the measurable data over the various outcomes was checked using Kolmogorov test. Depending upon the normality of the measurable data over the various outcomes, comparisons between 3 or more groups were conducted using ANOVA followed by post-hoc tests, Kruskal-Wallis followed by Mann Whitney tests and comparisons between 2 groups were conducted using Student's t-test and Mann Whitney tests. For classified/categorical data, Chi-Square test or exact Fisher test, depending on the nature of the variables were applied to find the association of various classified/categorical data with the outcome parameter(s). A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The baseline characteristics of study population are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 75.03 ± 3.46 (mean ± SD) years and 69% were male. The majority of the patients (62%) were from urban areas and 38% of patients were from rural areas.

Clinical Profile:

Risk Factors: Diabetes, smoking and hypertension was present in 22%, 30% and 61% respectively in this study. A family history of ischemic heart disease (IHD) in the first-degree relative was present in 9% of patients and an equal percentage of patients had dyslipidemia. One fifth of patients were alcohol consumer. Atrial fibrillation and prior stroke was observed in 4% and 2% of patients respectively. The mean serum (±SD) concentration (mg/dL) of Total Cholesterol, Triglyceride, HDL and LDL was 192.91±29.656, 184.43±58.186, 45.22±6.983 and 104.10 ± 25.249 respectively.

Clinical Presentation: In addition to chest pain, diaphoresis was the major symptom observed in approximately 70% of patients. Although, the history of hypertension (treated or untreated) was present as most common risk factor but the blood pressure was controlled in the study population. The presenting diagnosis was UA, NSTEMI and STEMI in 22%, 25% and 53% of ACS patients respectively. Whereas 52.8% of STEMI patients had anterior wall infarction, inferior wall myocardial infarction, was diagnosed in 47.2% patients. 6% and 8% of patients had cardiogenic shock and left ventricular failure respectively at presentation.

Echocardiographic Parameters: Patients were divided into 4 groups according to left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) at presentation i.e. patients having EF >55%, 45-54%, 35-44%, and < 35% .30% were having EF 45-54%, 29% had EF of 35-44%, 26% had EF < 35%, and 15% of the patients had EF >55%. However, for the convenience of comparison, patients with LVEF > 35% were grouped together. 47% patients had mitral regurgitation (MR) at presentation of which (63.82%) had mild, 29.78% had moderate and 6.38% of the patients had severe MR.

Angiographic Findings: The radial artery access for coronary angiography was used

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

in 46% cases. The most common form of CAD was double vessel disease (DVD) in 36% followed by single vessel disease (SVD) in 31%, and triple vessel disease (TVD) in 26% of patients. Chronic total occlusions were seen in 15% and 16 % patients had calcified vessels on fluoroscopy. Angiographically visible thrombus was seen in 14% of the patients. 13% and 38% patients had eccentric lesions and diffuse disease respectively. The left main (LM) coronary artery was significantly stenosed in 10 %cases. While significant stenosis in left anterior descending (LAD) was observed in 79% of patients, 43%, 55%, and 5% of patients had significant stenosis in left circumflex (LCx), Right coronary artery (RCA), and Ramus respectively. The LCx demonstrated a significantly more common involvement of ostio- proximal segments (32%) over mid-distal segments (17%) (p-value < 0.05). Correlation of risk factors with angiographic severity of CAD: As shown in Table 2, serum HDL showed a statistically significant reverse correlation with theseverity of CAD, with significantly lower HDL levels observed in patients with TVD as compared to those with single vessel disease (SVD) (p < 0.05).

Death and MACE: (Table 3) A total of 20 deaths during in the hospital stay. Out of which 16 deaths were due to cardiac cause and four deaths were non-cardiac including stroke (infarct and intracranial bleed). One patient each had free wall rupture and ventricular septal rupture prior and died. Although the presence of atrial fibrillation at admission had a high odds ratio of 3.222 for death but the association was non-significant (p-value = 0.307) Serum lipid level was not found to be associated with the mortality in our study population (p-value =NS). LVEF <35% had a high odds ratio (8.719) and a significant association with mortality (p-value < 0.05). Similarly presence of any degree of MR as well as significant MR was significantly associated with mortality (p-value < 0.05 and odds ratio of 18.6), although presence of mild MR was not (p- value =0.146). Angiographically, the presence of LM involvement, RCA involvement, LCx involvement as well as the presence of TVD had a high odds ratio and significant association with mortality (p < 0.05) whereas presence of SVD was associated with a better survival (p < 0.05).

This prospective observational study done exclusively among patients more than 70 years of agepresenting with ACS and undergoing coronary angiography with or without PCI observed significantdifferences in demographic, clinical and angiographicprofile of CAD in elderly.

Demographic Profile: The predominant male population in our study can be due to the additive effect of the higher prevalence of modifiable risk factors among males along with their higher gender susceptibility to CAD. Although the male preponderance is maintained in elderly patients with ACS but proportion decreases with advancing age [9,10]. In the study by Bhatia et al, the male to female ratio in the younger patients was 3.43:1 while it was 1.27:1 in elderly [10]. We observed a male to female ratio of 2.22 in the current study. This reduction in the gender ratio among the ACS population with increasing age is also contributed by equalization of risk factors among males and females with advancing age. Majority of our patients (62%) were from the urban area. The various epidemiological studies conducted in India have demonstrated the relatively higher prevalence of CAD in urban population [11–13].However Wander et al. found a significant increase in the prevalence of CAD in the rural population of Punjab from 1994 to 2014, especially in the elderly (from 6.2%to 8.9 %) [13]. This increase in the prevalence of CAD in the rural population is attributed to increase in risk factors due sedentary lifestyle as a result of mechanization. This study also, has more than 1/3rd of the patient from rural areas.

Clinical Profile: In our study, the most common presenting symptoms were chest

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

pain in 74% closely followed by diaphoresis in 69% and dyspnea in nearly 50% of patients. The symptoms of ACS usually differ in elderly compared to young. Presentation with atypical chest pain or no chest pain, dyspnea, fatigue, syncope is more common in the elderly patients, although chest pain still remains the most common symptom in both age groups [10]. Goch A et al observed that in patients ≥ 70 years, dyspnoea or fatigue was more frequent than the typical chest pain when compared with patients of ≤70 years of age [14]. Atypical symptoms are associated with increased in-hospital mortality (13% vs 4%) because of delay in diagnosis and management, underscoring the significance of early and proper assessment of these symptoms in the elderly [15,16]. In our study, half of the patients had dyspnoea and an approximately 2/3rd of the patients presented with diaphoresis. The diaphoresis was more commonly associated with STEMI than Non- ST-elevation ACS. In one of the studies by Gokhroo RK et al sweating can be an important predictor for diagnosis of STEMI [17].

In our study, hypertension was the most common (61%) risk factor followed by smoking and diabetes mellitus (30% and 22% respectively). In the ISACS- TC registry too, among patients of age 80 years, hypertension was present in 73.9%, followed by diabetes and smoking (25.6% and 5.7%) respectively [18]. Goch A et al found a history of IHD, hypertension, diabetes to be a common risk factor in elderly patients (>75 years) as compared to the obesity, family history of IHD, hyperlipidemia and smoking which were more prevalent in patients aged <75 years [14]. Other important, although less common risk factors found in the present study were family history of IHD, dyslipidemia, alcohol consumption, prior PCI, chronic kidney disease and peripheral arterial disease. IHD in family might be attributed to similar dietary habits and lifestyles. Although history of hypertension was most common risk factor, the mean systolic blood pressure at presentation in current study was 133.42 ± 23.67 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure was 80.30 ± 12.05 mm Hg. Various factors such as presence of left ventricular dysfunction, hypovolemia and drugs can contribute to the lower blood pressure in patients presenting with ACS. Thus despite a history of hypertension in majority of the patients, the mean blood pressure at presentation was not in the hypertensive range as defined by International Society of Hypertension guidelines [19]

Angiographic Profile: Insignificant CAD and SVD were seen in 7% and 31% of our patients. Multivessel disease (62%) was more commonly seen in our elderly (>75 Years age) ACS patients. Mattos LA et al in their study found that the prevalence of multi- vessel disease (MVD) was 44% in the age group 60- 69 years, 49% in the age group 70-79 years, and 65% in the age group of > 80 years [20]. The higher prevalence of MVD in elderly patients as found in the current study has been supported by previous studies [21,22]. All this data including ours highlights the increase in extent or severity of CAD with advancing age. Further, the most common involvement of LAD observed in the present study is also supported by other studies [23,24]. On segmental analysis of coronary arteries, the LCx demonstrated a significantly more common involvement of ostio- proximal segments (32%) compared to mid-distal segments (17%) in our study ($p < 0.05$). The proximal disease occurs early in the progression of CAD, followed by the involvement of the distal segments as the disease progresses [25,26]. Although statistically insignificant, we also noticed a more common involvement of distal LM as compared to the ostio-proximal, as reported in a study by Ezhumalai B et al [22]. No significant difference in segmental involvement for other vessels was observed in our study. In contrast, the earlier published data shows that the proximal segment involvement is more commonly observed in the LAD, LCx, and RCA [27,28].

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

However, our exclusive study population of elderly patients with a higher prevalence of multivessel disease and the increased severity of CAD might explain the lack of a normally observed difference in ostio-proximal segment involvement over mid distal segments in the coronaries except for LCX. This is in agreement with some studies which reported more common involvement of distal segments with increased atherosclerotic burden [25,26]. Primary angioplasty is the preferred reperfusion strategy in elderly patients. TRIANA trial showed a mortality reduction at 30 days with primary PCI as compared to fibrinolysis [29]. Although 70% of the patients in our study underwent invasive therapy; primary PCI rate was lower because of delayed presentation.

Predictors of In hospital Mortality:

Age per se is one of the strongest risk factor for mortality in patients with ACS, with elderly patients having 1 in 10 chance of dying during index hospitalization [15]. Our study observed a in hospital mortality of 10% among elderly patients presenting with ACS. Besides age, we found various clinical and angiographic variables associated with short term mortality in our elderly patients with ACS. The important clinical factors include left ventricular ejection fraction less than 35% and presence of significant mitral regurgitation. Angiographic factors include involvement of left main, left circumflex or right coronary artery and presence of triple vessel disease. Mechnical complications like free wall rupture and ventricular septal rupture accounted for 2 deaths. Majority (70%) of the patients who died in our study had LVEF less than 35%. As a well-established predictor of mortality in variety of clinical situations [40]. Our study also observed the presence of severe left ventricular dysfunction to be a predictor for mortality in elderly patients with ACS. Presence of significant MR was another echocardiographic factor associated of mortality in our study. Out of the 20 deaths, 16 (80%) patients had MR at presentation.MR was moderate or severe in the majority of the patients who died whereas majority of the surviving patients had mild MR. Li S et al in their study found that in patients aged □ 80 years with ACS, presence of MR was associated with mortality irrespective of the degree [39]. Pant S et al showed that mild functional MR following ACS most commonly occurred in the setting of STEMI and did not affect the immediate mortality (10 days) [40]. Although a larger study is required to evaluate the exact prognostic impact of various degrees of MR in this patient population, presence of MR was associated with increased mortality in our study.

The involvement of the LM (30% in deceased, 7.7 % in survived) and the presence of TVD (80% in deceased, 20% in survived) were significantly associated with the mortality (p-value 0.05 for both) in our study. Similar results were reported in patients with ACS on a multivariate analysis [40]. On the other hand, presence of SVD in the present study was associated with higher chances of survival. No patient with the SVD died in our study as also observed in the study by Nazzaro et al [40]. Additionally, RCA and LCx involvement were found to have a significant association with death in our study. Surprisingly, isolated LAD involvement was not associated with increased mortality. The most possible explanation for this paradox is that LAD being the most common artery involved was diseased in both the groups of patients who died or survived diluting any statistically significant difference. Involvement of RCA and LCx in patients with LAD involvement establishes diagnosis of TVD which is associated with significantly higher mortality than SVD. However, a larger study is required to clarify this issue**.**

CONCLUSIONS

This exclusive study of elderly patients showed that ACS patients aged more than 75 years

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

commonly have multivessel disease and serum homocysteine and hs-CRP level correlate significantly with the angiographic extent of the disease. Cardiac cause is the most common reason of short term mortality in such patients. The important predictors of short term mortality observed in our study include presence of severe left ventricular dysfunction, presence of significant mitralregurgitation, involvement of left main, right or left circumflex coronary artery and presence of triple vessel disease. Traditional risk factors like, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, lipid levels were not found to be associated with mortality among elderly ACS patients. These results would help in better prognostication and management of such highly under-studied elderly population with ACS.

LIMITATIONS

The main limitation of the study is the small sample size. Further, as only those consecutive elderly patients of ACS undergoing coronary angiography (with or without subsequent PCI) were included, the demographic and clinical data may not be entirely representative of the profile of ACS in the elderly. Further being a single-center study, the findings may not be generalizable to a larger population.

Tables

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of Study Population

Variable		Frequency (n)/ or mean	Percentage /mean ± SD
Sex	Male	138	69%
	Female	62	31%
	Age (years)	75	75.03 ± 3.46
Risk Factors	Diabetes Mellitus	44	22%
	Smoking	60	30%
	Hypertension	132	61%
	Family history of IHD	18	09%
	Dyslipidaemia	18	09%
	Obesity	12	06%
	PAD	02	01%
	Alcohol	42	21%
	Previous aspirin	10	05%
	Atrial Fibrillation	08	04%
	Prior stroke	04	02%
	CKD	12	06%
	Prior PCI	10	05%
Symptoms	Chest pain	148	74%
	Dyspnoea	100	50%
	Diaphoresis	138	69%
	Palpitation	28	14%
BP	SBP (mm Hg)	133.42	133.42 ± 23.678
	DBP (mm Hg)	80.3	80.30 ± 12.058
Clinical	UA	44	22%
Diagnosis	NSTEMI	50	25%
	STEMI	106	53%

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

	Cardiogenic Shock	12	6%
	CHF/LVF	16	8%
STEMI	AWMI	56 (53)	52.8%
	IWMI	50 (53)	47.16%
	THROMBOLYSED	42 (53)	39.6%
LVEF	>35%	148	74%
	<35%	52	26%
Left Ventricular Diastolic dysfunction		66	33%
Mitral Regurgitation	Present	94	47%
	Mild	60	30%
	Moderate	28	14%
	Severe	6	3%
Angiographic Characteristics			
Access	Femoral	92	46%
	Radial	108	54%
Number of	SVD	62	31%
diseased	DVD	72	36%
vessels	TVD	52	26%
	MILD CAD	7	7%
Severe Calcifications		16	16%
Thrombus		14	14%
Diffuse disease		38	38%

Abbreviations:- IHD- Ischemic Heart Disease, PAD- Peripheral Arterial Disease, CKD- Chronic Kidneys Disease, PCI- Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, BP- Blood Pressure, UA- Unstable Angina, NSTEMI- Non ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction, STEMI- ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction,, LVEF- Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction, CHF- Congestive Heart Failure, LVF- Left Ventricular Failure, AWMI- Anterior Wall Myocardial Infarction, IWMI- Inferior Wall Myocardial Infarction, SVD- Single Vessel Disease, DVD- DoubleVessel Disease, TVD- Triple Vessel Disease, CAD- Coronary Artery Disease.

Table 2: Biochemical Parameters and CAD Severity

Parameter (mean ± SD)	SVD	DVD	TVD	P value
TG (mg/dL)	179.9±62.02	179.31±56.22	194.27±57.34	0.556
Cholesterol(mg/dL)	183.81±29.49	192.53±29.6	200.42±25.25	0.93
HDL(mg/dL)	46.97±6.48	45.22±6.96	42.38±6.99	0.05
LDL (mg/dL)	101.65±26.72	101.19±23.75	109±21.94	0.403

Abbreviations:- SVD - Single Vessel Disease, DVD - Double Vessel Disease, TVD - Triple Vessel Disease, TG -Triglyceride, HDL - High Density Lipoproteins, LDL - Low Density Lipoproteins

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

Table 3: Death and MACE

	Number (n)	Percentage
Death due to any cause	20 (100)	10%
Cardiac cause	16 (20)	80%
Non-Cardiac cause	4 (20)	20%
Stent thrombosis	2 (20)	10%
Heart failure	4	20%
Refractory cardiogenic shock	4	20%
Free wall rupture	1	5%
Ventricular septal rupture	1	5%
Fatal arrhythmias	4	20%
Stroke - infarct	2 (20)	10%
Intracranial bleed	2 (20)	10%

Table 4: Factors Associated with in hospital Mortality

Variable	**Death (n=20)**	**Survivors (n =180)**	**P value**	**Odds ratio**
Male	14 (70%)	124(68.9%)	0.943	1.054
Female	6(30%)	56 (31.1%)		
Diabetes Mellitus	2 (10%)	42 (23.3%)	.334	0.365
Smoking	6 (30%)	54 (30%)	1.000	1.000
Hypertension	12 (60%)	110 (61.1%)	.946	0.955
Family History of IHD	0	18 (10%)	.295	-
Dyslipidaemia	2(10%)	8 (8.88%)	.907	1.139
Alcohol	4(20%)	38 (21.1%)	.935	0.934
Previous Aspirin Use	0	10(5.55%)	.444	-
Atrial Fibrillation	2 (10%)	6 (3.33%)	.307	3.222
CKD	2 (10%)	10(5.55%)	.575	1.889
Prior PCI	0	5 (5.55%)	.444	-
BMI (> 25 Kg/m2)	10 (50%)	41 (45.5%)	0.789	.837
UA	2(10%)	21 (23.3%)	.334	2.739
NSTEMI	8 (40%)	21 (23.3%)	248	.457
STEMI	10 (50%)	48 (53.3%)	.841	1.143
Cardiogenic Shock	4(20%)	10 (5.55%)	.575	.529
CHF/LVF	4 (20%)	12 (6.7%)	.140	.286
LVEF(at presentation)				
>35%	6 (30%)	142 (78.9%)	0.001	8.719
<35%	14 (70%)	38 (21.1%)		
LV Diastolic dysfunction	6(30%)	60 (33.3%)	0.832	.857
MR Present	16 (80%)	78 (43.3%)	0.028	5.231
Mild	2(10%)	58 (32.2%)	0.146	0.234
Significant	14 (70%)	20 (11.11%)	0.001	18.667
Diseased Vessel LM	6 (30%)	14 (7.7%)	0.026	5.082

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

LAD	20 (100%)	138 (76.7%)	0.086	-
LCx	16 (80%)	70 (38.9%)	0.013	6.286
RCA	20 (100%)	90 (50%)	0.003	-
Ramus	0	10 (5.55%)	.444	-
Number of diseased Vessels SVD	0	62(34.4%)	.025	-
DVD	4 (20%)	68(37.8%)	.267	0.412
TVD	16 (80%)	36 (20%)	.001	16.000
Severe Calcifications	4 (20%)	28 (15.5%)	.716	1.357
CTO	6(30%)	24 (13.3%)	.161	2.786
Mechanical complications Free wall rupture Ventricular septal rupture	 1(5%) 1(5%)	 - -	 - -	 - -

References:

1.AIMS Trial Study Group. Effect of intravenous APSAC on mortality after acute myocardial infarction: preliminary report of a placebo-controlled clinical trial. The Lancet. 1988;331(8585):545–9.

2.Chesebro J, Knatterud G, Roberts R, Borer J, Cohen L, Dalen J, et al. Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) Trial, Phase I: A comparison between intravenous tissue plasminogen activator and intravenous streptokinase. Clinical findings through hospital discharge. Circulation.1987;76(1):142–54.

3. Akiyama J, Aonuma K, Nogami A, Hiroe M, Marumo F, Iesaka Y. Thrombolytic Therapy can Reduce the Arrhythmogenic Substrate After Acute Myocardial Infarction. Jpn Circ J.1999;63(11):838–42.

4.Rich MW, Bosner MS, Chung MK, Shen J, McKenzie JP. Is age an independent predictorof early and late mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction? Am J Med. 1992;92(1):7–13.

5.Smith SC, Gilpin E, Ahnve S, Dittrich H, Nicod P, Henning H, et al. Outlook after acutemyocardial infarction in the very elderly compared with that in patients aged 65

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

to 75 years. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1990;16(4):784–92

6.Goldberg RJ, McCormick D, Gurwitz JH, Yarzebski J, Lessard D, Gore JM. Age-relatedtrends in short-and long-term survival after acute myocardial infarction: a 20-year population-based perspective (1975–1995). Am J Cardiol. 1998;82(11):1311–7

7.O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, CaseyDE, Chung MK, De Lemos JA, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(4):e78–140.

8.2012 Writing Committee Members, Jneid H, Anderson JL, Wright RS, Adams CD, Bridges CR, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA Focused Update of the Guideline for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non–ST- Elevation Myocardial Infarction (Updating the 2007 Guideline and Replacing the 2011 Focused Update) A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2012;126(7):875–910.

9.Yang X, Willems J, Pardaens J, De HG. Acute myocardial infarction in the very elderly. A comparison with younger age groups. Acta Cardiol. 1987;42(1):59–68.

10.Bhatia LC, Naik RH. Clinical profile of acute myocardial infarction in elderly patients. J Cardiovasc Dis Res. 2013;4(2):107–11.

11.Kamili M, Dar I, Ali G, Wazir H, Hussain S. Prevalence of coronary heart disease in Kashmiris. Indian Heart J. 2007;59(1):44–9.

12.Kumar R, Singh MC, Singh M, Ahlawat S, Thakur J, Srivastava A, et al. Urbanization and coronary heart disease: a study of urban- rural differences in northern India. Indian Heart J. 2006;58(2):126.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

13.Wander G, Khurana S, Gulati R, Sachar R, Gupta R, Khurana S, et al. Epidemiology of coronary heart disease in a rural Punjab population--prevalence and correlation with various risk factors. Indian Heart J. 1994;46(6):319–23.

14.Goch A, Misiewicz P, Rysz J, Banach M. Theclinical manifestation of myocardial infarction in elderly patients. Clin Cardiol Int Index Peer-Reviewed J Adv Treat CardiovascDis. 2009;32(6):E45–50.

15.Alexander KP, Newby LK, Cannon CP,Armstrong PW, Gibler WB, Rich MW, et al. Acute coronary care in the elderly, part I: non–ST-segment–elevation acute coronary syndromes: a scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association Council on Clinical Cardiology: in collaboration with the Society of Geriatric Cardiology. Circulation.2007;115(19):2549–69.

16.Jung YJ, Yoon JL, Kim HS, Lee AY, Kim MY, Cho JJ. Atypical clinical presentation of geriatric syndrome in elderly patients with pneumonia or coronary artery disease. Ann Geriatr Med Res. 2017;21(4):158–63.

17.Gokhroo RK, Ranwa BL, Kishor K, Priti K, Ananthraj A, Gupta S, et al. Sweating: A Specific Predictor of ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Among the Symptoms of Acute Coronary Syndrome: Sweating InMyocardial Infarction (SWIMI) Study Group.Clin Cardiol. 2016;39(2):90–5.

18.Manfrini O, Dorobantu M, Vasiljevic Z, Kedev S, Knežević B, Miličić D, et al. Acute coronary syndrome in octogenarian patients: results from the international registry of acute coronary syndromes in transitional countries (ISACS-TC) registry. Eur Heart J Suppl. 2014;16(suppl_A):A87–94.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

19.Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, Khan NA, Poulter NR, Prabhakaran D, et al. 2020 International Society of Hypertension global hypertension practice guidelines. Hypertension. 2020;75(6):1334–57.

20.Mattos LA, Zago A, Chaves Á, Pinto I, Tanajura L, Staico R, et al. Acute myocardial infarction in progressively elderly patients. a comparative analysis of immediate results in patients who underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2001;76(1):58–62.

21.Ge J, Li J, Yu H, Hou B. Hypertension Is an Independent Predictor of Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease in Young Adults with Acute Coronary Syndrome. Int J Hypertens. 2018;2018.

22.Ezhumalai B, Jayaraman B. Angiographic prevalence and pattern of coronary artery disease in women. Indian Heart J.2014;66(4):422–6.

23.Badran HM, Elnoamany MF, Khalil TS, EldinMME. Age-related alteration of risk profile, inflammatory response, and angiographic findings in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Clin Med Cardiol. 2009;3:CMC- S2118.

24.Siddique MA, Shrestha MP, Salman M,Haque KS, Ahmed MK, Sultan MAU, et al. Age-related differences of risk profile and angiographic findings in patients with coronary heart disease. Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Med Univ J. 2010;3(1):13–7.

25.Grunfeld C, Scherzer R, Varosy PD, Ambarish G, Nasir K, Budoff M. Relation of coronary artery plaque location to extent of coronary artery disease studied by computed tomographic angiography. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2010;4(1):19–26.

26.Kajinami K, Seki H, Takekoshi N, Mabuchi H. Coronary calcification and coronary atherosclerosis: site by site comparative morphologic study of electron beam computed tomography and coronary angiography. J Am Coll Cardiol.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

1997;29(7):1549–56.

27.ONTENEGRO MR. Topography of atherosclerosis in the coronary arteries. Lab Invest. 1968;18:126–33.

28.Mautner GC, Mautner SL, Froehlich J, Feuerstein IM, Proschan MA, Roberts WC, et al. Coronary artery calcification: assessment with electron beam CT and histomorphometric correlation. Radiology. 1994;192(3):619–23.

29.Bueno H, Betriu A, Heras M, Alonso JJ, Cequier A, García EJ, et al. Primary angioplasty vs. fibrinolysis in very old patients with acute myocardial infarction: TRIANA (TRatamiento del Infarto Agudo de miocardio eN Ancianos) randomized trial and pooled analysis with previous studies. Eur Heart J. 2011;32(1):51–60.

30.Veeranna V, Pradhan J, Niraj A, Fakhry H, Afonso L. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors and severity of angiographic coronary artery disease in the elderly. Prev Cardiol. 2010;13(3):135–40.

31.Yayan J. Association of traditional risk factors with coronary artery disease in nonagenarians: the primary role of hypertension. Clin Interv Aging. 2014;9:2003.

32.Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ôunpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. The lancet. 2004;364(9438):937–52.

33.Rosengren A, Wallentin L, Simoons M, Gitt AK, Behar S, Battler A, et al. Age, clinical presentation, and outcome of acute coronary syndromes in the Euroheart acute coronarysyndrome survey. Eur Heart J. 2006;27(7):789–95.

34.Bordalo ÁD, Nobre ÂI, Dantas M, Cravino J. Elevated HDL is the main negative risk factor for coronary artery disease in the elderly patient with calcific aortic valve

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 2, 2024

disease. Rev Port Cardiol Engl Ed. 2012;31(6):415–24

35.Kokov L, Vanyukov AY, Berezhnoi KY. Predictors of One-Year Outcomes after Percutaneous Coronary Interventions in Octogenarian Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome. Adv Gerontol. 2018;8(4):355–9.

36.Wei C-C, Lee S-H. Predictors of Mortality in Elderly Patients with Non-ST Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome—Data from Taiwan Acute Coronary Syndrome Full Spectrum Registry. Acta Cardiol Sin. 2017;33(4):377.

37.Li S, Barywani S, Fu M. Prognostic significance of mitral regurgitation in long-term all-cause mortality in patients aged≥ 80 years with acute coronary syndrome. Int J Cardiol. 2014;176(2):340–5.

38.Pant S, Neupane P, Pant OB, Paudel R, Kumar MK, Vijayashankar C, et al. Mild functional ischemic mitral regurgitation following acute coronary syndrome: a retrospective study. Heart Views Off J Gulf Heart Assoc. 2011;12(3):93.

39.Ahmed OE, Abohamr SI, Alharbi SA, Aldrewesh DA, Allihimy AS, Alkuraydis SA, et al. In-hospital mortality of acute coronary syndrome in elderly patients. Saudi Med J. 2019;40(10):1003–7.

40.Nazzaro MS, Confessore P, Scappaticci M, Violini R. Effect of multivessel coronary artery disease with or without a concomitant chronic total occlusion on 1-year survival in patients treated with rescue angioplasty. J Invasive Cardiol. 2013;25(2):64–8.