Osseointegration- A Review

Neha Srivastava, Manshi Sharma, Vinod V., Ibadat Jamil

Rama Dental College Hospital & Research Centre, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh- India 209217

Abstract: The significant progress in dentistry involves effectively replacing missing natural teeth with tooth root analogues, marking a substantial advancement. The achievement hinges greatly on ensuring proper integration of these implants into the bone, a process termed osseointegration. This scientific concept has expanded the range of treatment possibilities for patients with missing teeth.

Keywords *:* Osseointegration, Osseo densification, Implants, Bone.

Introduction

The term "osseointegration" originates from the Greek word "osteon," meaning bone, and the Latin word "integrare," signifying to make whole. Brane mark initially described it in 1969 as "a direct connection between bone and metallic implants, excluding soft tissue layers." This definition was later refined in 1977 to emphasize "a direct structural and functional attachment between well-organized, living bone and the surface of a load-bearing implant." The American Academy of Implant Dentistry, in 1986, defined osseointegration as the establishment of contact without the presence of non-bone tissue between normally remodeled bone and an implant, facilitating sustained load transfer and distribution from the implant to the bone tissue.
Meffert et al. (1987) subdivided into:

- Adaptive Osseointegration: Osseous tissue approximating the surface of the implant without apparent soft tissue interface at light microscopic level.
- Biointegration: Is a direct biochemical bone surface attachment confirmed at electron microscopic level.
- Zarb and T. Albrektsson (1991) defined it as a process whereby clinically asymptomatic rigid fixation of alloplastic materials is achieved and maintained, in bone during functional loading.

History

The history of osseointegration, the process of bone integrating with implants, is a fascinating journey marked by key discoveries and innovations.

1. **Early Observations**: The concept of osseointegration traces back to ancient civilizations, where materials like seashells were used to replace missing teeth. While these early attempts lacked scientific understanding, they demonstrated an intuitive grasp of the need for stability and integration.
2. **Branemark's Discoveries (1950s-1960s)**: The modern understanding of osseointegration began with the groundbreaking work of Swedish researcher Dr. Per-Ingvar Branemark. In the 1950s

and 1960s, Branemark conducted experiments using titanium implants in rabbit femurs. Contrary to prevailing beliefs, he discovered that titanium could integrate with bone tissue, leading to stable implant anchorage.

3. **Formalization of the Concept**: In 1969, Branemark coined the term "osseointegration" to describe this phenomenon. His definition emphasized the direct contact between bone and the implant surface without intervening soft tissue layers. This laid the foundation for a new era in dental implantology.
4. **Refinement of Understanding**: Over the following years, Branemark and other researchers refined the understanding of osseointegration. In 1977, Branemark revised his definition to emphasize the structural and functional connection between living bone and the implant surface.
5. **Clinical Application and Recognition**: Branemark's research paved the way for the clinical application of osseointegration in dental implant procedures. As success stories accumulated, osseointegration gained recognition as a reliable and predictable process for achieving stable implant anchorage.
6. **Expansion and Advancements**: In the decades that followed, osseointegration became a cornerstone of dental implantology. Advances in materials science, implant design, and surgical techniques further enhanced the predictability and success rates of osseointegration.
7. **Wider Applications**: Beyond dental implants, osseointegration found applications in orthopedic surgery, such as joint replacements and prosthetic limbs. The principles of bone integration continue to inspire research and innovation in various fields of medicine and biomechanics.
8. **Ongoing Research and Future Directions**: Today, ongoing research aims to deepen our understanding of osseointegration mechanisms, improve implant materials and surface technologies, and explore new avenues for enhancing bone-implant interaction. The quest for better outcomes and patient satisfaction continues to drive innovation in osseointegration research and clinical practice.

Mechanism of Osseointegration

The mechanism of osseointegration involves a complex series of biological processes that result in the direct structural and functional connection between living bone and the surface of an implant. Here's an overview of the key steps involved:

1. **Initial Healing Phase**: Following implant placement, a blood clot forms around the implant surface. This initiates the healing process and serves as a scaffold for subsequent tissue growth.
2. **Inflammatory Response**: In response to the injury caused by implant placement, the body initiates an inflammatory response. Immune cells, such as macrophages, remove debris and pathogens from the implant site, while releasing signaling molecules called cytokines.
3. **Blood Vessel Formation (Angiogenesis)**: Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels, occurs in the surrounding tissues. This process provides oxygen and nutrients essential for cellular activity and tissue regeneration.
4. **Osteoblast Migration and Differentiation**: Osteoblasts, specialized bone-forming cells, migrate to the implant surface. Under the influence of growth factors and signaling molecules released during the inflammatory phase, osteoblasts differentiate and begin depositing new bone matrix onto the implant surface.

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL12, ISSUE 06, 2021

5. **Bone Matrix Formation (Osteogenesis)**: Osteoblasts produce and mineralize the bone matrix, resulting in the formation of new bone tissue directly adjacent to the implant surface. This process continues over time, gradually increasing the amount of bone in contact with the implant.
6. **Implant Surface Modification**: The surface characteristics of the implant play a crucial role in facilitating osseointegration. Surface modifications, such as roughening or coating with bioactive materials, enhance the interaction between the implant and bone cells, promoting faster and more robust osseointegration.
7. **Cellular Attachment and Integration**: As bone formation progresses, osteoblasts and bone-forming cells attach firmly to the implant surface. Specialized protein molecules, such as integrins, mediate the attachment of bone cells to the implant surface, promoting strong adhesion and integration.
8. **Remodeling and Maturation**: Over time, the newly formed bone undergoes remodeling, a dynamic process involving the removal of old bone tissue by osteoclasts and the deposition of new bone by osteoblasts. This remodeling process helps optimize the structural integrity and biomechanical properties of the bone-implant interface.
9. **Stabilization and Functional Loading**: As osseointegration progresses, the implant becomes firmly anchored within the surrounding bone tissue. Functional loading, such as chewing forces in the case of dental implants or weight-bearing activities in orthopedic implants, further enhances the stability and integration of the implant by stimulating bone remodeling and adaptation.
10. **Long-Term Maintenance**: Once osseointegration is established, the bone-implant interface remains stable and functional over the long term. Regular maintenance and good oral hygiene are essential to ensure the continued success of Osseo integrated implants in clinical practice.

Osteogenesis

Osteogenesis is the process of new bone formation by osteoblasts, specialized cells responsible for synthesizing and depositing bone matrix. This process is essential for bone development, growth, repair, and remodeling throughout life.

The observation of bone formation over an implant surface was documented by Osborn and Newsley in 1980. They outlined two potential mechanisms through which this phenomenon could occur:

- **Contact osteogenesis:** In contact osteogenesis, new bone forms first on the implant surface. The implant surface has to be colonized by bone cells before the beginning of bone matrix formation.
- **Distance osteogenesis:** In distance osteogenesis, new bone is formed on the surfaces of old bone in the peri-implant site.

Implant tissue attachment

Implant Bone Interface: The interface between an implant and bone, known as the implant-bone interface, is a critical determinant of the success and longevity of implant integration. This interface plays a pivotal role in achieving stability, load-bearing capacity, and functional restoration.

Mechanism of attachment: Following implant placement, a surgical procedure is performed to create space in the bone tissue where the implant will be inserted. The healing process begins with the formation of a blood clot at the implant site, which serves as a scaffold for subsequent tissue regeneration.

1. **Osteoblast Recruitment and Differentiation**: Osteoblasts, specialized bone-forming cells, are recruited to the implant surface. These cells proliferate and differentiate under the influence of growth factors, such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β), and insulin-like growth factor (IGF).
2. **Bone Matrix Deposition:** Osteoblasts synthesize and deposit new bone matrix onto the implant surface. This process involves the secretion of collagen fibers, proteoglycans, and other organic components that form the scaffold for mineralization.
3. **Mineralization (Calcification)**: As the bone matrix is deposited, mineral salts, primarily calcium phosphate (hydroxyapatite crystals), are deposited within the matrix. This mineralization process transforms the initially soft bone matrix into hard, mineralized bone tissue.
4. **Implant Surface Interaction**: The surface characteristics of the implant play a crucial role in facilitating osseointegration. Surface modifications, such as roughening or coating with bioactive materials, enhance the interaction between the implant and bone cells, promoting cell attachment and proliferation.
5. **Cellular Attachment and Integration**: Osteoblasts and bone-forming cells attach firmly to the implant surface through specialized protein molecules, such as integrins. This attachment promotes the formation of a stable interface between the implant and surrounding bone tissue.
6. **Remodeling and Maturation**: Over time, the newly formed bone undergoes remodeling, a process involving the coordinated activity of osteoblasts (bone formation) and osteoclasts (bone resorption). This remodeling process helps optimize the structural integrity and biomechanical properties of the implant-bone interface.
7. **Long-Term Stability**: Once osseointegration is established, the implant becomes firmly anchored within the surrounding bone tissue. Proper maintenance and regular follow-up are essential to ensure the long-term stability and functionality of the implant-bone interface.

Factors Influencing Osseointegration:

1. **Implant Material**: The material composition of the implant plays a significant role in osseointegration. Titanium and its alloys are widely used due to their excellent biocompatibility and ability to promote osseointegration. Surface modifications, such as roughening or coating with bioactive materials, can further enhance the implant's ability to integrate with bone tissue.
2. **Surface Topography**: Surface roughness and texture of the implant surface can influence osseointegration by affecting cellular adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. Roughened surfaces provide a greater surface area for cell attachment and promote the formation of bone matrix on the implant surface.
3. **Implant Design**: The design of the implant, including its shape, size, and surface geometry, can impact osseointegration. Implants with appropriate geometry and macroscopic features can facilitate proper placement and stability, while microstructural features can influence cellular response and tissue integration.
4. **Surgical Technique**: Surgical factors, such as implant placement technique, site preparation, and primary stability, are critical for successful osseointegration. Proper implant placement ensures optimal mechanical loading and minimizes trauma to surrounding tissues, facilitating the healing process and bone formation.
5. **Bone Quality and Quantity**: The quality and quantity of the surrounding bone tissue play a crucial role in osseointegration. Adequate bone volume and density are necessary to provide

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL12, ISSUE 06, 2021

sufficient support and stability for the implant. Bone augmentation techniques may be employed to enhance bone quantity and quality in deficient areas.

6. **Biological Factors**: Biological factors, including patient age, systemic health, and local tissue conditions, can influence osseointegration. Systemic diseases such as diabetes or osteoporosis may impair bone healing and integration. Local factors such as infection, inflammation, or compromised vascularity can also affect osseointegration.
7. **Mechanical Loading**: Proper mechanical loading is essential for stimulating bone remodeling and maintaining implant stability. Controlled loading through functional rehabilitation and occlusal forces promotes bone adaptation and remodeling around the implant, enhancing long-term stability and function.
8. **Patient Factors**: Patient-related factors, such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and medication use, can impact osseointegration. Smoking, for example, is known to impair bone healing and increase the risk of implant failure. Patient compliance with postoperative instructions and maintenance protocols also influences osseointegration outcomes.
9. **Implant Surface Treatments**: Various surface treatments, such as acid etching, sandblasting, or coating with bioactive materials, can modify the implant surface to enhance osseointegration. These treatments promote cellular attachment, proliferation, and differentiation, leading to improved bone-implant integration.
10. **Postoperative Care and Maintenance**: Proper postoperative care and maintenance are essential for ensuring successful osseointegration. Regular follow-up visits, oral hygiene practices, and avoidance of risk factors such as excessive loading or trauma help support the healing process and maintain implant stability.

Conclusion:

Osseointegration stands as a pivotal factor in the success of implants. Its achievement is imperative for ensuring the functionality of dental implants. Understanding the mechanism behind osseointegration is essential for guiding research efforts aimed at enhancing success rates. While past studies have primarily concentrated on the hardware aspects of implants to improve outcomes, recent advancements in surgical techniques like Osseo densification have introduced new avenues for exploration in implant research.

References:

- Branemark R, Branemark PI, Rydevik B, Myers RR. Osseointegration in skeletal reconstruction and rehabilitation: A review. JRRD 2001;38(2):175-81.
- Osborn JF, Newesely H. Dynamic aspects of the implant bone interface. In: Heimke G, ed. Dental implants: materials and systems. München. Carl Hanser Verlag 1980:111-23.
- Albrektsson T, Branemark P-I, Hansson H-A, Lindstrom J. Osseointegrated titanium implants. Requirements for ensuring a long-lasting direct bone-to-implant anchorage in man. Acta Orthop Scand 1981;52:155–170.
- Huwais S, Meyer E. Osseodensification: A novel approach in implant o preparation to increase primary stability, bone mineral density and bone to implant contact. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2015.

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL12, ISSUE 06, 2021

- EG, Huwais S. Osseodensification Is A Novel Implant Preparation Technique That Increases Implant Primary Stability By Compaction and Auto-Grafting Bone. American Academy of Periodontology. [abstract]. San Francisco, CA. 2014.
- Trisi P, Berardini M, DDS, Falco A, PhD, DDS, Podaliri M. New Osseodensification Implant Site Preparation Method to Increase Bone Density in Low-Density Bone: In Vivo Evaluation in Sheep. Implant Dent 2016;25:24–31.
- Albrektsson T, Jansson T (1986) Osseointegrated dental implants. Dent Clin North Am 30:151
- Karthik K, Sivakumar, Sivaraj, Thangaswamy V. Evaluation of implant success: A review of past and present concepts. J Pharm Bioall Sci 2013;5:117-9.
- Branemark, P.I. Osseointegration and its experimental background. J Prosthet Dent 1983; 50(3):399-410
- Zarb CA, Albrektsson T. Nature of implant attachments. In: Branemark P-I, Zarb C, Albrektsson T, editors. Tissue-integrated prostheses osseointegration in clinical dentistry. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Co.; 1985. pp. 88–98.
- Muhonen, Virpi. Bone–Biomaterial Interface. The effects of surface modified NiTi shape memory alloy on bone cells and tissue. ActaUnivOul D 974, 2008
- Rodan GA, Martin TJ. Role of Osteoblasts in Hormonal Control of Bone Resorption – a hypothesis. Calcif Tissue Int 1981 33:349-351
- Lacey DL, Timms E, Tan HL, Kelley MJ, Dunstan CR, BurgessT, Elliott R, Colombero A, Elliott G, Scully S, Hsu H, Sullivan J, Hawkins N, Davy E, Capparelli C, Eli A, Qian YX, Kaufman S, Sarosi I, Shalhoub V, Senaldi G, Guo J, Delaney J & Boyle WJ (1998) Osteoprotegerin ligand is a cytokine that regulates osteoclast differentiation and activation. Cell 93: 165-176
- Misch CE. Contemporary Implant Dentistry. 2nd ed. USA: Mosby publication; 1999: 239-250
- Albrektsson T, Brånemark PI, Hansson HA, Kasemo B, Larsson K,Lundström I, McQueen D, Skalak R: The interface zone of inorganic implants in vivo: titanium implants in bone. Ann Biomed Eng1983;11:1-27
- Linkow LI. Implant dentistry today: a multidisciplinary approach, Volume III. Italy: Piccin Padua; 1990: 1513-18
- FawadJaved,Hameeda Bashir Ahmed, RobertoCrespi,Georgios E. Romanos. Role of primary stability for successful osseointegration of dental implants: Factors of influence and evaluation. Interv Med Appl Sci. 2013; 5(4): 162–167
- Muddugangadhar BC, Amarnath GS, Tripathi S, Dikshit S, Divya MS. Biomaterials for dental implants: An overview. Int J Oral ImplantologyClin Res 2011;2(1):13-24
- Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Oral implant surfaces: part 1 - review focusing on topographic and chemical properties of different surfaces and in vivo responses to them. Int J Prosthodont. 2004;17:536-43.
- Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T. Suggested guidelines for the topographic evaluation of implant surfaces. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2000;15:331-344.
- Carlsson L, R stlund T, Albrektsson B, Albrektsson T. Removal torques for polished and rough titanium implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1988; 3(1):21-24

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL12, ISSUE 06, 2021

- BengtKasemo and JukkaLausmaa Materialtissue Interfaces: The Role of Surface Properties and Processes. Environ Health Perspect. 1994; 102(5): 41–45
- Stefani CM, Machado MA, Sallum EA, Sallum AW, Toledo S, Nociti H Jr. Platelet-derived growth factor/insulin-like growth factor-1 combination and bone regeneration around implants placed into extraction sockets: a histometric study in dogs. Implant Dent. 2000; 9(2):126-31.
- GostaGranstromPlacement of Dental Implants in Irradiated Bone: The Case for Using Hyperbaric Oxygen. J Oral MaxillofacSurg 64:812-818, 2006
- Albrektsson T and Lekholum U: Osseointegration, Current state of the art – Dent Clin North Am. 1987; 33: 537 – 557
- Albrektsson T, Sennerby L. State of the art in oral implants, J ClinPeriodontol 1991;18:478- 481.
- Parsegian A V. Molecular forces governing tight contact between cellular surfaces and substrates. J Prosthet Dent 49:838-842, 1983.
- Beyron H: Occlusion: Point of Significance in planning restorative procedures. J Prosthet Dent 1973; 30:641-649.