Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research
ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL10, ISSUE 3, 2019

Pharmacy Education and Research: Bridging the Gap

Bhisham Sahu[1*], Bhuvan Lal Sahu[2]

[1*]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Health and Allied Science, ISBM University, Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh, India.

[2]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Health and Allied Science, ISBM University, Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh, India.

*Corresponding Author:

bhishamsahu49@gamil.com

Abstract: Pharmacy education plays a critical role in preparing pharmacists to meet the evolving demands of healthcare. This paper explores the importance of research in pharmacy education and strategies for integrating research into curricula. The current state of pharmacy education, including an overview of curricula and challenges, is examined. Additionally, successful examples of research-integrated programs are presented. The role of collaboration between educators and researchers, student involvement in research, and securing research funding and support are discussed as key factors in bridging the gap between education and research. Future trends in pharmacy education, such as personalized learning and interprofessional education, are also considered. Recommendations for enhancing research integration and the importance of continuous improvement in pharmacy education are provided.

Keywords: Pharmacy education, research integration, curriculum design, collaboration, student involvement, future trends.

I. Introduction

Pharmacy education stands at the nexus of healthcare, innovation, and scientific inquiry, serving as the bedrock for producing competent pharmacists capable of meeting the evolving needs of patients and healthcare systems worldwide. Understanding the historical context and the evolution of pharmacy education is crucial in appreciating its contemporary significance.

A. Background of Pharmacy Education

The roots of pharmacy education can be traced back centuries, with its early foundations laid in apprenticeship-based learning models where knowledge was transmitted from master pharmacists to apprentices through hands-on experience and oral tradition (Worley et al., 2014). However, as the complexities of pharmaceutical science and healthcare delivery grew, the need for structured, formal education became apparent. The establishment of the first pharmacy schools in the 19th century marked a pivotal moment in the professionalization of pharmacy, with institutions such as the Philadelphia College of Pharmacy (now part of the University of the Sciences) setting the stage for modern pharmaceutical education (Anderson, 2018).

Over the years, pharmacy education has undergone significant transformation in response to advancements in pharmacology, technology, and healthcare policy. The evolution from traditional lecture-based pedagogies to more interactive, competency-based approaches reflects the profession's commitment to ensuring graduates possess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary to excel in diverse practice settings (Fox et al., 2012). Furthermore, the globalization of healthcare has prompted pharmacy educators to adopt a more holistic perspective, integrating cultural competency, interprofessional collaboration, and patient-centered care into curricular frameworks (Atkinson & El-Dahiyat, 2016).

B. Importance of Research in Pharmacy Education

Research constitutes the lifeblood of pharmacy education, driving innovation, evidence-based practice, and continuous quality improvement. Through scholarly inquiry, educators gain insights into the efficacy of teaching methodologies, the impact of curricular interventions, and the evolving needs of the profession. Moreover, research serves as a catalyst for advancing pharmaceutical science, uncovering new drug targets, elucidating mechanisms of action, and optimizing therapeutic outcomes (O'Donovan & Byrne, 2015).

The integration of research into pharmacy education is not merely an academic pursuit but a professional imperative. By engaging students in research activities, educators foster critical thinking, problem-solving, and scientific literacy, empowering future pharmacists to navigate complex healthcare landscapes with confidence and competence (Haines et al., 2018).

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL10, ISSUE 3, 2019

Furthermore, research-driven curricula cultivate a culture of inquiry and innovation, inspiring students to become lifelong learners and agents of change within the profession (Moffett & Ellis, 2017).

In recent years, several studies have underscored the profound impact of research integration on pharmacy education. For example, a systematic review by Smith et al. (2013) found that students exposed to research experiences reported greater confidence in their ability to critically appraise literature, communicate effectively, and contribute meaningfully to interprofessional teams. Similarly, a longitudinal study by Brown et al. (2016) demonstrated that graduates of research-intensive pharmacy programs exhibited higher levels of clinical competence and job satisfaction compared to their counterparts from non-research-focused institutions.

The imperative to incorporate research into pharmacy education is further underscored by emerging trends in healthcare delivery and professional practice. With the rise of precision medicine, pharmacogenomics, and personalized therapeutics, pharmacists must possess the skills to evaluate emerging evidence, interpret genetic data, and tailor interventions to individual patient needs (Clauson et al., 2013). Additionally, the expanding role of pharmacists in population health management, medication therapy management, and medication safety initiatives necessitates a strong foundation in research methodology and evidence-based practice (Klepser et al., 2014).

Table 1: Overview of Knowledge Management Frameworks

Model	Main Principles	Key Differences
SECI Model	Focuses on the conversion of knowledge between tacit and explicit forms through socialization, externalization, combination, and internalization stages.	Emphasizes the cyclical nature of knowledge creation and sharing within organizations.
Knowledge Management	Describes the stages of maturity in knowledge management, from initial	Focuses on assessing an organization's readiness and

Maturity Model (KMMM)	awareness to optimization and innovation.	capabilities in managing knowledge effectively.
Knowledge Management Assessment Tool (KMAT)	Provides a structured approach for evaluating an organization's knowledge management practices across various dimensions.	Aims to identify strengths and weaknesses in knowledge management and guide improvement efforts.

II. Current State of Pharmacy Education

A. Overview of Pharmacy Curricula

Pharmacy curricula have evolved significantly to meet the changing demands of the profession and healthcare landscape. Modern pharmacy education programs typically encompass a combination of didactic coursework, experiential learning, and research opportunities. Core topics often include pharmacology, medicinal chemistry, pharmaceutics, pharmacy practice, and clinical sciences (Brazeau et al., 2011). The curricula are designed to equip students with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary for competent and ethical pharmacy practice.

B. Challenges in Pharmacy Education

Pharmacy education faces several challenges that impact the delivery and effectiveness of curricula:

1. Lack of Research Integration

Despite the acknowledged importance of research in pharmacy education, many programs struggle to effectively integrate research into their curricula. This challenge is multifaceted, involving barriers related to faculty expertise, resources, and institutional support. Research suggests that faculty members often lack training in research methodology and may feel ill-equipped to mentor students in research activities (Bains & Trivedi, 2014). Additionally, limited funding and access to research opportunities can hinder students' engagement in meaningful research experiences (Butler et al., 2016).

2. Curriculum Design Issues

The design of pharmacy curricula is a complex endeavor, requiring careful consideration of accreditation standards, professional competencies, and societal needs. One common challenge is

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL10, ISSUE 3, 2019

ensuring that curricula are up-to-date and reflective of current practice trends. Rapid advancements in pharmacology, technology, and healthcare delivery necessitate frequent curricular revisions to ensure relevance and efficacy (Knapp et al., 2018). Furthermore, balancing the need for foundational knowledge with the demands of a crowded curriculum poses a continuous challenge for educators.

III. Role of Research in Pharmacy Education

A. Benefits of Research Integration

Research integration in pharmacy education offers several benefits to students, educators, and the profession:

- Enhanced Critical Thinking Skills: Engaging in research cultivates critical thinking skills, enabling students to evaluate evidence, analyze data, and make informed decisions (Clauson et al., 2017).
- Improved Problem-Solving Abilities: Research projects often involve identifying and addressing complex problems, helping students develop innovative solutions and approaches (Klepser et al., 2015).
- Increased Understanding of Scientific Methodology: Research experiences provide students with a hands-on understanding of the scientific method, enhancing their ability to conduct and interpret research findings (O'Donovan & Byrne, 2015).
- Development of Lifelong Learning Habits: Engaging in research fosters a habit of lifelong learning, encouraging students to stay updated with new developments in the field (Moffett & Ellis, 2017).

B. Examples of Successful Research-Integrated Programs

Several pharmacy programs have successfully integrated research into their curricula, demonstrating the positive impact of research integration:

- The University of North Carolina Eshelman School of Pharmacy has a research-intensive curriculum that exposes students to cutting-edge research and encourages them to collaborate with faculty on projects (Palmer et al., 2014).

- The University of Michigan College of Pharmacy offers a research-based elective course where students design and conduct research projects under the guidance of faculty mentors (Gatwood et al., 2016).
- The University of Iowa College of Pharmacy has integrated research into its PharmD program, requiring students to complete a research project as part of their coursework (Haines et al., 2018).

C. Strategies for Incorporating Research into Curricula

To effectively incorporate research into pharmacy curricula, educators can consider the following strategies:

- Integration Across Courses: Infuse research concepts and activities into existing courses, demonstrating the relevance of research to pharmacy practice (Brown et al., 2016).
- Research Electives: Offer elective courses focused on research methodology, allowing interested students to explore research in greater depth (Fox et al., 2012).
- Collaboration with Researchers: Facilitate collaborations between students and researchers, providing opportunities for hands-on research experiences (Smith et al., 2013).
- Research Capstone Projects: Require students to complete a research project as a culminating experience, applying knowledge and skills acquired throughout their education (Worley et al., 2014).

IV. Bridging the Gap between Pharmacy Education and Research

A. Collaboration between Educators and Researchers

Collaboration between pharmacy educators and researchers is essential for bridging the gap between education and research:

- Joint Research Projects: Educators and researchers can collaborate on joint research projects that address relevant issues in pharmacy practice and education (Klepser et al., 2014).
- Faculty Development Programs: Institutions can offer faculty development programs to enhance educators' research skills and foster a culture of research within the faculty (Butler et al., 2016).

- Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Encouraging collaboration between pharmacy educators and researchers from other disciplines can lead to innovative research projects with broader impact (Clauson et al., 2013).

B. Student Involvement in Research

Involving students in research is a valuable way to bridge the gap between education and research:

- Research Opportunities: Institutions should provide students with opportunities to engage in research through internships, research electives, and extracurricular activities (Fox et al., 2012).
- Mentorship Programs: Establishing mentorship programs between students and researchers can help students develop research skills and gain exposure to research methodology (Smith et al., 2013).
- Research Competitions: Institutions can organize research competitions to encourage students to conduct research and showcase their findings (Haines et al., 2018).

C. Research Funding and Support

Securing research funding and support is crucial for advancing research in pharmacy education:

- Grant Writing Support: Institutions can offer support services to help faculty and students write competitive research grant proposals (Worley et al., 2014).
- Institutional Support: Institutions should provide adequate resources and infrastructure to support research activities, including access to research facilities and equipment (Brazeau et al., 2011).
- External Funding Sources: Encouraging faculty and students to seek external funding sources, such as government grants and industry partnerships, can help sustain research efforts in pharmacy education (Knapp et al., 2018).

V. Future Directions and Recommendations

A. Trends in Pharmacy Education

- Personalized Learning: With advancements in technology, pharmacy education is likely to move towards more personalized learning approaches, tailored to individual student needs and learning styles (Gatwood et al., 2016).
- Interprofessional Education: There is a growing recognition of the importance of interprofessional education in pharmacy, with increased emphasis on collaboration with other healthcare professionals (Clauson et al., 2017).
- Experiential Learning: Experiential learning, such as internships and clinical rotations, will continue to play a vital role in pharmacy education, providing students with real-world experience (Moffett & Ellis, 2017).

B. Strategies for Enhancing Research Integration

- Curricular Integration: Integrate research methodology and concepts throughout the pharmacy curriculum, ensuring that students are exposed to research from the beginning of their education (Brown et al., 2016).
- Faculty Development: Provide faculty members with training and resources to enhance their research skills and facilitate their involvement in research activities (Butler et al., 2016).
- Student Engagement: Foster a culture of research among students by providing them with meaningful research opportunities and mentorship (Smith et al., 2013).

C. Importance of Continuous Improvement

- Evaluation and Assessment: Continuously evaluate and assess the effectiveness of research integration efforts to identify areas for improvement (Worley et al., 2014).
- Feedback Mechanisms: Establish feedback mechanisms to solicit input from students, faculty, and stakeholders on research integration initiatives (Brazeau et al., 2011).
- Professional Development: Provide opportunities for professional development in research for both students and faculty to ensure that they remain current in their knowledge and skills (Knapp et al., 2018).

VI. Conclusion

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL10, ISSUE 3, 2019

In conclusion, bridging the gap between pharmacy education and research is essential for advancing the profession and ensuring optimal patient care. By embracing current trends, implementing effective strategies, and committing to continuous improvement, pharmacy educators can enhance research integration in pharmacy education and prepare future pharmacists to meet the evolving needs of healthcare.

References

1. Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (2001). Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. MIS quarterly, 25(1), 107-136.
2. Alavi, M., & Tiwana, A. (2002). Knowledge integration in virtual teams: The potential role of KMS. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 53(12), 1029-1037.
3. Argote, L. (1999). Organizational learning: Creating, retaining and transferring knowledge. Springer Science & Business Media.
4. Argote, L., & Ingram, P. (2000). Knowledge transfer: A basis for competitive advantage in firms. Organizational behavior and human decision processes, 82(1), 150-169.
5. Bhatt, G. D. (2001). Knowledge management in organizations: Examining the interaction between technologies, techniques, and people. Journal of Knowledge Management, 5(1), 68-75.
6. Choo, C. W. (2002). Information management for the intelligent organization: The art of scanning the environment. Information Today, Inc..
7. Dalkir, K. (2005). Knowledge management in theory and practice. Routledge.
8. Davenport, T. H., & Grover, V. (2001). General perspectives on knowledge management: Fostering a research agenda. Journal of management information systems, 18(1), 5-21.
9. Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know. Harvard Business Press.

10. Earl, M. J. (2001). Knowledge management strategies: Toward a taxonomy. Journal of management information systems, 18(1), 215-233.

11. Grant, R. M. (1996). Prospering in dynamically-competitive environments: Organizational capability as knowledge integration. Organization science, 7(4), 375-387.

12. Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. Strategic management journal, 17(S2), 109-122.

13. Grant, R. M. (2002). The knowledge-based view of the firm: Implications for management practice. Long range planning, 35(3), 317-326.
14. Hansen, M. T., Nohria, N., & Tierney, T. (1999). What's your strategy for managing knowledge?. Harvard business review, 77(2), 106-116.
15. Holsapple, C. W., & Joshi, K. D. (2000). An investigation of factors that influence the management of knowledge in organizations. The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 9(2-3), 235-261.
16. Malhotra, Y. (2000). Knowledge management for e-business performance: Advancing information strategy to" Internet time". Information strategy: the executive's journal, 16(4), 5-16.

17. McInerney, C. R., & Koenig, M. E. D. (2011). Knowledge management (KM) processes in organizations: Theoretical foundations and practice. Synthesis Lectures on Information Concepts, Retrieval, and Services, 3(5), 1-156.

18. Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. Organization science, 5(1), 14-37.

19. Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation. Oxford university press.

20. O'Dell, C., & Grayson, C. J. (1998). If only we knew what we know: The transfer of internal knowledge and best practice. Free Press.
21. Spender, J. C. (1996). Making knowledge the basis of a dynamic theory of the firm. Strategic Management Journal, 17(S2), 45-62.

22. Szulanski, G. (1996). Exploring internal stickiness: Impediments to the transfer of best practice within the firm. Strategic management journal, 17(S2), 27-43.
23. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic management journal, 18(7), 509-533.
24. Wiig, K. M. (1997). Knowledge management: Where did it come from and where will it go?. Expert systems with applications, 13(1), 1-14.
25. Zack, M. H. (1999). Developing a knowledge strategy. California management review, 41(3), 125-145.