"A PROSPECTIVE STUDY ON ETIOLOGICAL FACTORS OF EPISTAXIS IN ALL AGE GROUP AND THEIR RESPECTIVE MANAGEMENT IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL"

Dr. Kumkum Bora¹, Dr.Bijit Kumar Nath², Dr.SukanyaKalita³*

¹Associate Professor, Department of ENT, Gauhati Medical College.

²PGT, Department of ENT, Assam Medical College.

³*PGT,Department of ENT, Assam Medical College.

*Corresponding author- Dr.SukanyaKalita

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND:

Nosebleeds, or epistaxis, are a frequent emergency in the ear, nose, and throat (ENT) department. Epistaxis can arise from both local and systemic factors. Locally, bleeding can occur within the nasal cavity, either in the anterior or posterior region. The most common site of anterior epistaxis is Kiesselbach's plexus. Epistaxis management can be complex and requires a thoughtful approach, with most cases being successfully treated through conservative measures, while others may necessitate surgical intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A hospital-basedprospective observational study was conducted in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Assam Medical College and Hospital for one year (1st April 2023 to 31st March 2024) in patients presenting with epistaxis in Department of Otorhinolaryngology, AMCH.

RESULTS:

Most of the patients belonged to the age group of 41-50 years. Male: female ratio was 2.55%. Hypertension was the leading cause of nasal bleed in 28.125% of cases. This was followed by trauma in 25% of cases. Conservative management was the most common modality of treatment.

CONCLUSION:

This study provides insights into effective epistaxis management, emphasizing a tailored approach based on severity and cause, with conservative measures suitable for most cases and surgery reserved for a few.

KEYWORDS: Epistaxis; Nasal packing, Trauma, Surgical intervention, Etiology

INTRODUCTION:

Nosebleeds, or epistaxis, are a frequent emergency in the ear, nose, and throat (ENT) department. Although its true incidence is yet unknown worldwide, 60% of people are predicted to experience epistaxis at least once in their lives, with 6% of those individuals seeking medical assistance.^{1,2}

Epistaxis can be categorized into two main types: anterior and posterior. Anterior nosebleeds, which are usually self-limiting and short-lived, typically originate from Kiesselbach's plexus, a network of blood vessels located in the antero-inferior part of the nasal septum. In contrast, posterior nosebleeds, which are often more severe, arise from Woodruff's plexus, a group of blood vessels in the back of the nasal cavity that receives its blood supply from branches of the Sphenopalatine artery.³

Epistaxis can be triggered by a combination of local and systemic factors. To accurately diagnose the underlying cause of epistaxis, a thorough evaluation is necessary, including A detailed medical history, Physical examination and Laboratory tests. This comprehensive approach helps identify potential local causes, such as Trauma or injury, Deviated or perforated nasal septum, Foreign objects in the nasal cavity, Tumours or aneurysms As well as systemic factors, including Cardiovascular disease, Blood disorders (dyscrasias), Genetic conditions (e.g., Osler-Weber-Rendu syndrome), Idiopathic (unknown) causes. By considering both local and systemic factors, healthcare providers can determine the underlying cause of epistaxis and develop an effective treatment plan. 1

The treatment of epistaxis involves a range of modalities, from conservative measures to surgical interventions. In severe cases, initial resuscitation is followed by a comprehensive assessment. Treatment options includeNasal pinching, Nasal packing (anterior and posterior), chemical cautery and Surgical ligation of the bleeding vessel (as needed). While traditional methods involve ligating the maxillary or external carotid arteries, contemporary practice favours endoscopic sphenopalatine artery ligation using a Hopkins rod telescope, offering improved outcomes and a higher success rate.⁴

Our study investigates the causes and management of epistaxis in patients, to inform best practices and improve treatment outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- 1. PLACE OF STUDY: Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Assam Medical College and Hospital.
- 2. DURATION OF STUDY: One year (1ST April 2023 to 31st March 2024)
- 3. STUDY DESIGN: Hospital-based Prospective observational study.
- 4. STUDY POPULATION: Patients presenting with epistaxis in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, AMCH.
- 5. SAMPLE SIZE: 160

Thewrittenandinformedconsentwastakenfromallpatients.

Statistical methods were used to analyze correlations between findings and the management outcomes and results were analysed by means and standard deviation for quantitative variables and percentages for categorical variables.

INCLUSION CRITERIA- Patientsofall age groups presenting with epistaxis and patients admitted for other reasons in whom epistaxis developed.

EXCLUSIONCRITERIA- As long as he or she met the above criteria, no patient was excluded from the study

RESULTS:

A total of 160 patients were included in our study out of which 115 (71.87%) were male and 45 (28.13%) were female with a male-female ratio is 2.5:1. The Age range was from 5 to 78 years. Peak presentation in the 41 to 50 years age group was 40 (25%), 51 to 60 years 32 (20%), 31 to 40 years 28 (17.5%), 61 to 70 years 22(13.75%), 21 to 30 years 12 (7.5%), 11 to 20 years 11 (6.875%), 0 to 10 years 8 (5%), and 71 to 80 years 07 (4.375%)

TABLE 1: Age distribution of cases.

| Age in years | No. of cases | Percentage |
|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 0-10 | 8 | 5 |
| 11-20 | 11 | 6.875 |
| 21-30 | 12 | 7.5 |
| 31-40 | 28 | 17.5 |
| 41-50 | 40 | 25 |
| 51-60 | 32 | 20 |
| 61-70 | 22 | 13.75 |
| 71-80 | 07 | 4.375 |
| Total | 160 | 100 |

TABLE 2: Sex distribution of cases.

| Sex | No of cases | Percentage |
|---------|-------------|------------|
| Males | 115 | 71.875 |
| Females | 45 | 28.125 |
| Total | 160 | 100 |

TABLE 3: Etiological factors of Epistaxis.

| Causes | No of cases | Percentage |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| Idiopathic | 16 | 10 |
| Trauma | 40 | 25 |
| Hypertension | 45 | 28.125 |
| DNS with spur | 18 | 11.25 |
| Tumour (JNA) | 07 | 4.375 |
| Liver disorder | 11 | 6.875 |
| Blood dyscrasia | 10 | 6.25 |
| Foreign body | 13 | 8.125 |
| | | |
| Total | 160 | 100 |

TABLE 5: Types of Epistaxis.

| Types of epistaxis | No | Percentage |
|--------------------|-----|------------|
| Anterior | 138 | 86.25 |
| Posterior | 22 | 13.75 |

Our study revealed that the primary causes of epistaxis were:Hypertension (28.125%): the leading cause, affecting 45 patients, Trauma (25%): the second most common cause, impacting 40 patients, Deviated Nasal Septum (DNS) with spur (11.25%): affecting 18 patients, Idiopathic (10%): no identifiable cause, seen in 16 patients, Liver disorder (6.875%): affecting 11 patients, Foreign body (8.125%): found in 13 patients, Bleeding disorder (6.25%): affecting 10 patients, Tumour (JNA) (4.375%): the least common cause, seen in 7 patients. These findings highlight the importance of addressing hypertension and trauma as the primary contributors to epistaxis.

TABLE 4:Treatment of Epistaxis

| Non surgical | | | Surgical | | | |
|--------------|--------|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| | No. of | Percentage | | | No of cases | Percentage |
| | cases | | 411 | Endoscopic | 12 | 7.5 |
| Medical | 65 | 40.625 | | cauterisation | | |
| management | | | | Endoscopic | 7 | 4.375 |
| Anterior | 37 | 23.125 | | arterial | , | 4.575 |
| nasal | | | | ligation | | |
| packing | 4 | 2.5 | | gat.ioii | | |
| Posterior | 4 | 2.5 | | SMR | 18 | 11.25 |
| nasal | | | | Nasal bone | 10 | 6.25 |
| packing | | | | reduction | | |
| | | | | Trans- | 7 | 4.375 |
| | | | | palatine | / | 4.575 |
| Total | 106 | 66.25 | | approach for | | |
| | | | | juvenile | | |
| | | | | angiofibroma | | |
| | | | | Total | 54 | 33.75 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

In our study of 160 patients with epistaxis, the majority (66.25%) were successfully managed with conservative treatments, while 33.75% required surgical interventions. Conservative management involved various medications, includingAnti-hypertensives, Coagulants, Platelet activators, Ethamsylate, Tranexamic acid, Epsilon amino caproic acid, Vitamin K administration, and Oral vitamin C tablets. In terms of procedures, anterior nasal packing was performed in 23.125% of cases (37 patients), and posterior nasal packing was necessary in 2.5% of cases (4 patients).

Surgical interventions were necessary for 54 patients, with the following procedures performed:Submucous resection (SMR): 18 cases (11.25%), Endoscopic cauterization: 12 cases (7.5%), Nasal bone reduction: 10 cases (6.25%), Endoscopic arterial ligation: 7 cases (4.375%), Trans-palatine approach for Juvenile Nasal Angiofibroma (JNA): 7 cases (4.375%).

DISCUSSION:

The age distribution of patients in our study showed a peak in the 41-50-year range, accounting for 25% of cases. This finding aligns with the results of a previous study by SaurabhVarsheny et al,¹ which reported a similar age distribution, with 36% of patients falling within the same age range.

Our study found a significant male predominance, with 71.875% of patients being male, which is consistent with the findings of Moran et al⁵, who reported that 73.6% of patients with epistaxis were male. This similarity suggests a common trend in the gender distribution of patients experiencing epistaxis, with males being more frequently affected.

Our study identified hypertension as the leading cause of epistaxis, accounting for 28.125% of cases, which aligns with the findings of Fatakiaet al.⁶, who also reported hypertension as the most common systemic cause of nasal bleeding.

Our study found that the majority of cases (86.25%) presented with anterior epistaxis, which is consistent with the findings of Tabasuumet al.⁷, who also reported anterior epistaxis as the most common type.

Our study revealed that conservative management was the most successful treatment approach, employed in 66.25% of cases, with a significant 40.625% of patients responding positively to medication alone. This finding aligns with the results of Adoga AA et al.⁸, who also reported that conservative management was effective in 84.8% of cases, highlighting the efficacy of non-surgical interventions in managing epistaxis

Incidence was seen in winter and dry/hot months in most idiopathic epistaxis casesin our studies. Similar studies were seen in McGarry⁹ Bhatia and Varughese¹⁰ with increased incidence due to high windvelocity and dryness, favouring crust formation in thenasal cavity.

Our study found that septal abnormalities, such as DNS, were not a primary cause of epistaxis in most cases, with only 18 patients (11.5%) having DNS as the exclusive cause. To accurately diagnose and manage epistaxis, we employed a range of diagnostic tools, including Basic blood tests and nasal endoscopy for all patients, Nasal bone X-rays for patients with traumatic injury, CT scans of the nose and PNS for patients with suspected malignancy, older adults, adolescent males with potential JNA.

CONCLUSION:

Epistaxis, or nosebleeds, is a frequent emergency in the field of otolaryngology. The leading causes are hypertension, followed by nasal trauma and idiopathic. A thorough medical history and nasal examination are crucial for accurate diagnosis. Treatment is tailored to each case, depending on the severity and location of the bleeding. Fortunately, most cases can be effectively managed without surgery, using non-invasive methods.

Contribution of authors:

- 1. All authors have contributed
- **2.** The article is original with the authors and does not infringe any copyright or violate any other right of any third party.
- **3.** The article has not been published (whole or in part) elsewhere in any form, except as provided herein.
- **4.** All authors have reviewed the final version of the above manuscript and approved it for publication.

Compliance with Ethical Standards: Done

Conflict of interest: None declared

Source of funding: None

Informed consent in the manuscript: Taken.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Varshney S, Saxena RK. Epistaxis: a retrospective clinical study. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2005 Apr;57(2):125-129
- 2.Schaitkin B, Strauss M, Houck JR. Epistaxis: medical versus surgical therapy: a comparison of efficacy, complications, and economic considerations. Laryngoscope 1987;97:1392-6.
- 3. Pollice PA, Yoder MG. Epistaxis: A retrospective review of hospitalized patients. Otolaryngology- Head and Neck Surgery 1997 Jul; 117(1): 49-53.
- 4.Snyderman CH, Goldman SA, Carrau RL, Ferguson BJ, Gandis JR. Endoscopic sphenopalatine artery ligation is an effective method of treatment for posterior epistaxis. *American Journal of Rhinology*. 1999 Mar- Apr; 13(2): 137-40.
- 5.Moran N, Das D. Epistaxis—incidence, etiology, and management: A hospital-based study. Trauma. 2016;167:57-97.
- 6.Fatakia A, Winters R, Amedee RG. Epistaxis: a common problem. Ochsner J. 2010 Fall;10(3):176-8. PMID: 21603374; PMCID: PMC3096213
- 7.Tabassom A, DAHLSTROM JJ. Epistaxis. 2022 Sep 12. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan—. PMID: 28613768.
- 8.Adoga AA, Kokong DD, Mugu JG, Okwori ET, Yaro JP. Epistaxis: The demographics, etiology, management, and predictors of outcome in Jos, North-Central Nigeria. Ann Afr Med. 2019 Apr-Jun;18(2):75-79. doi: 10.4103/aam.aam_24_18. PMID: 31070148; PMCID: PMC6521644.
- 9.McGarry, GW. Epistaxis, Scott-Brown's otorhinolaryngology, head and neck surgery. 7th ed. Vol. 2. In: Gleeson M.; Clarke, RC., editors London: Hodder Arnold, 2008. p. 1596-1606.
- 10.Bhatia PL, Varughese R. Pattern of otolaryngological diseasesin Jos community. Nigeria Med J 1987;17:67-73.