

## Histopathological Analysis of soft Tissue Tumors

Dr. Radhika Mucharla<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Aruna Padmavathi V<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Swathi B<sup>3</sup>

Dr. Afreen Sultana<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Government Medical College Siddipet, Telangana

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Government Medical College Siddipet, Telangana

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Government Medical College Siddipet, Telangana

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Government Medical College Siddipet, Telangana

**Corresponding Author** : Dr. Afreen Sultana, **Email** : afreensultana091@gmail.com

### Abstract

#### Background

Soft tissue tumors are a diverse group of neoplasms that arise from the connective tissues, including muscles, fat, fibrous tissues, blood vessels, and peripheral nerves. These tumors can range from benign to malignant, and their accurate histopathological diagnosis is crucial for appropriate treatment planning and prognosis. The diversity in morphology and behavior of these tumors makes their histopathological evaluation both challenging and essential.

#### Aim and Objectives

The study aims to analyze the histopathological features of soft tissue tumors in order to classify them, determine their frequency, and correlate the findings with clinical parameters.

#### Materials and Method

This Observational study analyzed the histopathological records of patients diagnosed with soft tissue tumors over a period of one years at a tertiary care center. Specimens were obtained from surgical excisions and biopsies, followed by processing and staining using standard histological techniques. Tumors were classified according to the WHO classification of soft tissue tumors. Clinical data were reviewed, and correlations with histopathological findings were analyzed.

#### Results

A total of 60 cases of soft tissue tumors were reviewed, comprising 49 benign, 7 intermediate and 4 malignant tumors. The most common benign tumor was Adipocytic tumours, while the most frequent malignant tumor were undifferentiated.

Histopathological analysis provided a definitive diagnosis in 95% of cases, with a significant correlation between histopathological findings and clinical presentation.

### **Conclusion**

Histopathological analysis remains the gold standard for the diagnosis and classification of soft tissue tumors. Accurate histopathological evaluation is essential for effective clinical management and prognosis.

### **Keywords**

Soft tissue tumors, histopathology, benign tumors, malignant tumors, sarcoma, lipoma, tumor classification.

### **Introduction**

Soft tissue tumors represent a broad and heterogeneous category of neoplasms that originate from non-epithelial, extra-skeletal tissues, excluding the reticuloendothelial system, glia, and supporting tissues of various parenchymal organs. These tumors encompass a wide spectrum of pathologies, ranging from benign lesions, which are generally non-threatening and localized, to malignant sarcomas, which are aggressive and have the potential to metastasize to distant sites. The complexity of soft tissue tumors is underscored by their diverse origins, including adipose tissue, smooth and skeletal muscle, fibrous tissue, blood vessels, and peripheral nerves, each giving rise to different tumor subtypes with unique histological and clinical characteristics.

Histopathological analysis is the cornerstone of the diagnostic process for soft tissue tumors. It involves the microscopic examination of tissue samples obtained through biopsy or surgical excision, enabling pathologists to observe the architectural and cytological features of the tumor. This analysis is critical not only for establishing a definitive diagnosis but also for determining the tumor grade, assessing the degree of malignancy, and evaluating the surgical margins post-resection. The histopathological characteristics of soft tissue tumors, such as cell morphology, mitotic activity, presence of necrosis, and the pattern of growth, provide essential information that helps distinguish between benign and malignant entities, as well as identify specific subtypes within these broad categories.

The classification of soft tissue tumors has evolved significantly over the years, with the advent of immunohistochemistry (IHC), molecular genetics, and advanced imaging techniques. However, histopathology remains the gold standard for diagnosis. The World Health Organization (WHO) provides a detailed classification system for

soft tissue tumors, which is widely accepted and utilized by pathologists worldwide. This system categorizes tumors based on their tissue of origin, histological appearance, and molecular characteristics, thereby aiding in the standardized diagnosis and treatment planning.

Benign soft tissue tumors, such as lipomas and fibromas, are usually well-circumscribed and have a low risk of recurrence after surgical excision. These tumors exhibit a limited number of mitoses and lack cellular atypia, features that are readily identifiable under the microscope. On the other hand, malignant soft tissue tumors, or sarcomas, present a greater diagnostic challenge due to their variable morphology and often aggressive behavior. Sarcomas, such as liposarcomas, leiomyosarcomas, and rhabdomyosarcomas, display features such as increased cellularity, pleomorphism, hyperchromatic nuclei, and high mitotic activity. These histological hallmarks are critical for determining the grade of the tumor, which correlates with the prognosis and helps guide treatment strategies.

In recent years, the role of ancillary techniques in histopathological analysis has expanded, providing additional layers of diagnostic information. Immunohistochemistry, for example, involves the use of specific antibodies to detect particular antigens in the tumor cells, helping to confirm the lineage of the tumor and distinguish between morphologically similar entities. Molecular pathology techniques, such as fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR), have further enhanced the diagnostic accuracy by identifying characteristic genetic alterations associated with specific soft tissue tumors. These advancements have been particularly useful in the diagnosis of small, round cell tumors and other poorly differentiated neoplasms, where traditional histopathology may not be sufficient.

Moreover, the integration of histopathological findings with clinical and radiological data is crucial for a comprehensive understanding of soft tissue tumors. Imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scans provide valuable information about the tumor's size, location, and relationship with surrounding structures, which, when combined with histopathological data, can significantly influence the therapeutic approach. For instance, in cases where the tumor is located in a critical anatomical area, a conservative surgical approach may be preferred, and histopathology can help determine the adequacy of the resection and the need for adjuvant therapy.

Despite the advancements in diagnostic techniques, challenges remain in the histopathological analysis of soft tissue tumors. The rarity of certain tumor subtypes, the overlap of histological features among different entities, and the potential for sampling error can complicate the diagnostic process. Therefore, a multidisciplinary approach that includes pathologists, radiologists, surgeons, and oncologists is essential for accurate diagnosis and effective management of patients with soft tissue tumors.

### **Materials and Method**

This study was conducted as an observational study of soft tissue tumor cases diagnosed over a period of one year at a tertiary care hospital or a specialized pathology laboratory. The study included 60 patients of all age groups and both genders who underwent biopsy or surgical excision for soft tissue tumors. Exclusion criteria included inadequate tissue samples, previously treated tumors, and cases with insufficient clinical information.

The histopathological analysis of soft tissue tumors involves a systematic approach that includes the collection, processing, and microscopic examination of tissue samples, along with the use of various ancillary techniques to enhance diagnostic accuracy. The following sections outline the materials and methods employed in this process.

### **Method**

Tissue samples were obtained through various methods, including needle biopsy, incisional biopsy, or excisional biopsy, depending on the tumor size and location. The samples were collected following standard surgical procedures, ensuring minimal tissue handling to preserve the cellular architecture. Clinical data, including patient demographics, tumor location, size, and previous treatment history, were recorded.

The collected tissue samples were immediately fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 24-48 hours to preserve tissue morphology. After fixation, the samples were processed using a standard protocol that involved dehydration through a graded series of alcohols, clearing in xylene, and embedding in paraffin wax. The paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were then sectioned at 4-5 microns thickness using a rotary microtome.

Thin sections of 3–4 microns were cut from the paraffin block. The slides prepared were routinely stained by hematoxylin and eosin stain and evaluated by light

microscopy. Special histochemical stains, such as Masson Trichrome and Reticulin were used wherever necessary. Immunohistochemistry was done in few difficult cases.

### Observation and Results

The study included 60 patients of all age groups and both genders who underwent biopsy or surgical excision for soft tissue tumors and their observation are given as bellow.

**Table 1 : Demographic profile distribution of study population**

Parameters	Frequency	Percentage
Age		
< 10 Years	2	3.3
11 -20 Years	6	10
21 - 30 years	13	21.7
31 - 40 years	18	30
41 - 50 years	15	25
> 50 years	6	10
Gender		
Male	38	63.3
Female	22	36.7
Type of Tumors		
Benign	49	81.7
Intermediate	7	11.7
Malignant	4	6.6
Site of Tumors		
Upper extremity	17	28.3
Lower Extremity	9	15
Trunk	23	38.3
Head and Neck	11	18.3
Size of the Tumors		
≤ 5 Cm	41	68.33
> 5 Cm	19	31.67

**Table 2 : Age wise distribution of type of tumors**

Age	Benign	Intermediate	Malignant	Total
< 10 Years	2	0	0	2
11 -20 Years	6	0	0	6
21 - 30 years	10	2	1	13

31 - 40 years	13	4	1	18
41 - 50 years	13	1	1	15
> 50 years	5	0	1	6

**Table 3 : Gender wise distribution of type of tumors**

Gender	Benign	Intermediate	Malignant	Total
Male	32	3	3	38
Female	17	4	1	22

**Table 4 : Histological classification of soft tissue tumors**

Histological Classification	Frequency	Percentage
Adipocytic tumours	34	56.7
Nerve sheath tumors	14	23.3
Vascular tumours	5	8.3
fibrohistiocytic tumours	2	3.3
Myofibroblastic tumours	2	3.3
Undifferentiated	3	5

Above table showed histological classification of soft tissue tumors, among them Adipocytic tumors were found among majority of the patients, followed by nerve sheath tumors, fibrohistiocytic tumors, myofibroblastic tumors and among 3 patients it was undifferentiated shown above.

**Table 5 : Histological classification of Benign, intermediate and malignant soft tissue tumors.**

Parameters	Benign	Intermediate	Malignant	Total
Adipocytic tumours	31	2	1	34
Nerve sheath tumors	11	2	1	14
Vascular tumours	4	1	0	5
fibrohistiocytic tumours	2	0	0	2
Myofibroblastic tumours	1	1	0	2

Undifferentiated	0	1	2	3
Total	49	7	4	60

## Discussion

Soft tissue tumors(STT) are a heterogeneous group of tumors which are classified on histogenetic basis. Soft tissue tumors & tumor like lesions have fascinated pathologist for many years because of remarkably wide variety of and the close histopathological similarities between certain tumors with only subtle difference detectable on careful microscopic examination, thus pose a diagnostic challenge to histopathologist. The diagnosis and classification of primary tumors of soft tissue is one of the most difficult areas of surgical pathology because of their rarity, large range of different types of tumors, and frequent overlap of their histopathological features.[1] It will not be an exaggeration to call the last two decades a revolution in the understanding of soft-tissue tumors in all aspects such as clinical, investigative, molecular, and therapeutic.[2] In the present study, benign soft-tissue tumors(STT) (81.66%) greatly outnumbered. The study by Jain et al. [3] reported the incidence of benign soft-tissue tumors as 90.60%. A similar high incidence of benign STT (93.33%) was reported in a study conducted by Soni et al., in 2014. Malignant STT constituted 6.67% of all STT in their study. Another study on 93 soft-tissue tumors by Hassawi et al.,[4] for a period of 1 year (2007–2008) reported the incidence of benign and malignant soft-tissue tumors as 75.2% and 24.8%, respectively, where as in our study malignant tumors were present among 6.66% of the patients. In our study Intermediate-grade tumors consisted of 11.66% of all soft-tissue tumors. In comparison, he study by Badwe and Desai et al showed the incidence of intermediate-grade tumors to be 3.99%.

In the present study among soft tissue tumors, Adipocytic tumors were found among majority of the patients(56.7%), followed by nerve sheath tumors(23.3%), fibrohistocystic tumors, myofibroblastic tumors and among 3 patients it was undifferentiated. In Adipocytic tumors 31 were benign, 2 were intermediate and 1 was malignant tumors were present, these results were comparable to the studies done by Umarani et al [5], Harpal et al[6], Madhumita et al[7] & Navya et al[8] where adipocytic tumors constituted 55.9%, 44.5%, 70.23 % & 61.2% of benign tumors respectively.

One of the interesting case seen in present study was that of Atypical lipomatous tumor. 30 years male presented to surgical OPD with swelling over neck since 11 months. On gross single tissue mass was received measuring 10X5X4 cm, which was yellowish grey in colour and soft in consistency.

Microscopy showed mature adipocytes which were variably sized and bands of fibrotic stroma. The tumor cells showed enlarged hyperchromatic nuclei. Few mitotic figures and lipoblast noted. However no areas of necrosis were seen. So the impression of atypical lipomatous tumor was given.

In the present study, patients were aged between 5 and 67 years with an overall mean age of 43.61 years. The mean age of patients with benign tumors was 36.24 years and that of malignant tumors was 48.62 years. On the contrary, in the study by Hassawi et al., the mean age of patients with benign STT was 27.6 years, and in soft-tissue sarcomas, it was 39.1 years. In the present study, out of 60 cases, there were 38 males (63.3 %) and 22 females (36.7%).

In the present study, the highest incidence of benign tumors was observed in third and fourth and fifth decades of life, and the highest incidence of soft-tissue sarcomas was noted in fourth and fifth decades. Soni PB et al. observed in their study that the most common age group for benign and malignant soft-tissue tumors were second and fourth decades, respectively. In the study by Roy et al.,[9] it was reported that benign tumors were relatively common above the third decade of life while soft-tissue sarcomas occurred in patients belonging to all age groups.

The overall approximate male-to-female ratio of the present study was 1.7:1. The present study is also in concordance to the study by Beg et al.,[10] where 64.3% of STT occurred in males and 35.7% occurred in females with approximate male-to-female ratio of 1.8:1.

Tumors of uncertain differentiation accounted for only 5% of all soft-tissue tumors. In the study by Badwe and Desai, this category constituted 1.32% which was less as compared to our study.

## **Conclusion**

Based on our observations and discussions with other studies, we can conclude that although benign soft tissue tumors are far more common than malignant ones, the diagnosis and management of soft tissue tumors should be approached from a multidisciplinary perspective. The tumor's location can assist in making differential diagnoses. Despite their rarity, soft tissue sarcomas, which often present as painless



masses, must be diagnosed early to ensure better management and prognosis. Careful gross examination and proper sampling of the tumor are crucial. Hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections remain the primary method for diagnosing soft tissue tumors in most cases, but diagnostic accuracy can be enhanced by using additional techniques such as special stains and immunohistochemistry.

Acknowledgement : None

Conflict of Interest : None

Funding : None

### References

1. Soni PB, Verma AK, Chandoke RK and Nigam JS. A prospective study of soft tissue tumors histocytology correlation. *Patholog Res Int.* 2014;2014:678628.
2. Badwe A and Desai S. Study of histomorphological pattern of soft tissue tumors in western Maharashtra. *Indian J Basic Appl Med Res.* 2014;3(3):348-351.
3. Jain P, Shrivastava A and Malik R. Clinico morphological assessment of soft tissue tumors. *Scholarsh J Appl Med Sci.* 2014;2(2D):886-890.
4. Hassawi BA, Suliman AY and Hasan IS. Soft tissue tumors - Histopathological study of 93 cases. *Ann Coll Med Mosul.* 2010;36(1&2):92-98.
5. Umarani M K, Prima Shuchita Lakra, Bharathi M. Histopathological Spectrum of Soft Tissue Tumors in a Teaching Hospital. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences* 2015 April;14:74-80.
6. Harpal S, Richika, Ramesh K. Histopathological Pattern of Soft Tissue Tumours in 200 Cases. *Ann. Int. Med. Den. Res.* 2016; 2:06-11.
7. Dr. Madhumita Dhundiraj, Dr Chetna Kishorroa Nikhar and Dr. Pandit G.A. Spectrum of soft tissue tumors at tertiary care center. *International Journal of Current Research* April 2018;10:68301-06.
8. Navya Narayanan O, Sapna M, Sumangala B. Spectrum Of Soft Tissue Tumors in a Tertiary Care Center- A 5 Year Study. *National Journal of Medical and Dental Research.* Jan-March 2016;4:83-88.
9. Roy S, Manna AK, Pathak S and Guha D. Evaluation of fine needle aspiration cytology and its correlation with histopathological findings in soft tissue tumours. *J Cytol.* 2007;24(1):37-40.
10. Beg S, Vasenwala SM, Haider N, Ahmad SS, Maheshwari V and Khan M. A comparison of cytological and histopathological findings and role of

immunostains in the diagnosis of soft tissue tumors. J Cytol. 2012;29(2):125-130.