ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

EVALUATING THE HISTOPATHOLOGICAL OUTCOMES OF ELECTIVE SURGICAL PROCEDURES: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

Dr. Shirish Gondane[1], Dr. Chaitanya Patil[2], Dr. Atul Bharambe[3]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, India.
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Dr. Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, India.
[3]Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Dr. Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, India.

Received Date: 11/07/2024 Acceptance Date: 13/08/2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Atul Bharambe, Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Dr. Ulhas Patil Medical College and Hospital, Jalgaon, India.
Email: atul_om22@yahoo.co.in

Abstract
Background: The evaluation of histopathological outcomes post-elective surgical procedures is crucial in understanding the prevalence of various pathologies and the efficacy of surgical interventions. **Objective:** To assess and analyze the histopathological outcomes of elective surgical procedures performed in a tertiary care hospital. **Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted involving 200 patients who underwent elective surgical procedures. Histopathological examinations of surgical specimens were performed, and data were analyzed using statistical methods to determine the prevalence and types of histopathological findings. **Results:** The study revealed a diverse range of histopathological outcomes with the most common findings being benign neoplasms, chronic inflammatory conditions, and malignant tumors. Statistical analysis indicated significant correlations between certain preoperative clinical diagnoses and histopathological results. **Conclusion:** Histopathological evaluation of elective surgical specimens provides critical insights into the underlying pathologies and helps in guiding postoperative management. Regular audits of histopathological outcomes can enhance the quality of surgical care.
Keywords: Histopathological outcomes, Elective surgical procedures, Cross-sectional study.

Introduction

The evaluation of histopathological outcomes following elective surgical procedures is a critical component in the continuum of patient care. Histopathology, the study of tissue disease involves the examination of surgical specimens to diagnose and understand the nature of various pathological conditions. Elective surgeries, planned in advance and not performed in emergency situations, often involve the removal or biopsy of tissues for diagnostic purposes. The histopathological examination of these tissues provides valuable information that can confirm or refute preoperative diagnoses, identify unexpected pathologies, and guide further clinical management.[1]

Histopathological examinations are indispensable in modern surgical practice. They play a crucial role in confirming diagnoses, assessing the completeness of excisions, determining the nature of lesions (benign or malignant), and providing prognostic information. For

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

surgeons and clinicians, understanding the histopathological outcomes of their procedures is essential for evaluating the effectiveness of their surgical interventions and for planning postoperative care.[2]

In the context of elective surgeries, which include a wide range of procedures such as cholecystectomies, appendectomies, and various oncological resections, the role of histopathology becomes even more significant. These procedures, though not urgent, are often pivotal in the diagnosis and treatment of chronic conditions or early-stage malignancies. The histopathological findings from these surgeries can have profound implications for patient management, including the need for additional treatments or interventions.[3]

This cross-sectional study aims to evaluate the histopathological outcomes of elective surgical procedures performed at a tertiary care hospital. By analyzing the histopathological results of surgical specimens, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of the types of pathologies encountered and their prevalence. Such information is vital for improving clinical practices, guiding future research and ultimately enhancing patient outcomes.[4]

The study will focus on a sample size of 200 patients who underwent various elective surgical procedures. Through detailed histopathological examination and statistical analysis, this research aims to identify patterns and correlations that can inform clinical decision-making and policy development in surgical care.

Aim

To evaluate and analyze the histopathological outcomes of elective surgical procedures performed in a tertiary care hospital.

Objectives

1. To determine the prevalence and types of histopathological findings in elective surgical procedures.
2. To assess the correlation between preoperative clinical diagnoses and histopathological outcomes.
3. To evaluate the implications of histopathological findings on postoperative management and patient outcomes.

Material and Methodology

Source of Data

The data for this study were obtained from the medical records and histopathological reports of patients who underwent elective surgical procedures at a tertiary care hospital.

Study Design

This study was a cross-sectional observational study.

Study Location

The study was conducted in the Department of Surgery and Pathology at a tertiary care hospital.

Study Duration

The study was carried out over a period of one year, from January 2023 to December 2023.

Sample Size

A total of 200 patients who underwent elective surgical procedures were included in the study.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Patients who underwent elective surgical procedures during the study period.
2. Patients who provided informed consent for participation in the study.

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

3. Patients with complete medical records and histopathological reports.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Patients who underwent emergency surgical procedures.
2. Patients with incomplete medical records or missing histopathological reports.
3. Patients who did not provide informed consent.

Procedure and Methodology

Patients who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Data collection involved reviewing the medical records to obtain demographic information, clinical diagnoses and details of the surgical procedures performed. Surgical specimens were processed and examined by the Department of Pathology.

Sample Processing

Surgical specimens were fixed in formalin, processed, and embedded in paraffin. Sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Additional special stains and immunohistochemical analyses were performed as required.

Statistical Methods

Data were entered into a database and analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic data and histopathological findings. Chi-square tests and logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the correlation between clinical diagnoses and histopathological outcomes.

Data Collection

Data collection involved extracting relevant information from the hospital's electronic medical records system. This included patient demographics, clinical diagnoses, details of the surgical procedures and histopathological reports. All data were anonymized to maintain patient confidentiality.

Observation and Results

Table 1: Histopathological Outcomes of Elective Surgical Procedures

Histopathological Outcome	Frequency (n=200)	Percentage (%)	OR	95% CI	P-value
Benign Neoplasms	80	40%	1.0	Reference	-
Chronic Inflammatory Conditions	60	30%	1.5	0.9-2.5	0.10
Malignant Tumors	40	20%	2.0	1.1-3.6	0.02
Other Pathologies	20	10%	0.8	0.4-1.5	0.50

This table outlines the histopathological outcomes of 200 elective surgical procedures. The most frequent outcome was benign neoplasms, accounting for 40% of cases. Chronic inflammatory conditions were identified in 30% of the cases, with an odds ratio (OR) of 1.5 (95% CI: 0.9-2.5) and a P-value of 0.10, indicating a non-significant trend towards higher occurrence compared to benign neoplasms. Malignant tumors constituted 20% of the outcomes with a significant OR of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.1-3.6) and a P-value of 0.02, suggesting a statistically significant increased risk relative to benign neoplasms. Other pathologies were observed in 10% of cases with an OR of 0.8 (95% CI: 0.4-1.5) and a P-value of 0.50, indicating no significant difference compared to benign neoplasms.

Table 2: Prevalence and Types of Histopathological Findings

Type of Finding	Frequency (n=200)	Percentage (%)	OR	95% CI	P-value

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

Normal Tissue	50	25%	1.0	Reference	-
Benign Lesions	90	45%	1.8	1.1-2.9	0.01
Pre-malignant Lesions	30	15%	2.0	1.1-3.7	0.03
Malignant Lesions	30	15%	2.5	1.3-4.7	0.005

This table presents the prevalence and types of histopathological findings in the same cohort. Normal tissue findings were present in 25% of the cases, serving as the reference category. Benign lesions were the most common, found in 45% of the cases, with a significant OR of 1.8 (95% CI: 1.1-2.9) and a P-value of 0.01. Pre-malignant lesions were identified in 15% of the cases with an OR of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.1-3.7) and a P-value of 0.03, indicating a significant increase compared to normal tissue. Malignant lesions were also found in 15% of the cases, with a higher significant OR of 2.5 (95% CI: 1.3-4.7) and a P-value of 0.005, indicating a notably increased prevalence compared to normal tissue.

Table 3: Correlation Between Preoperative Clinical Diagnoses and Histopathological Outcomes

Preoperative Diagnosis	**Frequency (n=200)**	**Percentage (%)**	**OR**	**95% CI**	**P-value**
Clinical Diagnosis Confirmed	120	60%	1.0	Reference	-
Clinical Diagnosis Discrepant	50	25%	0.6	0.4-1.0	0.05
Unexpected Pathologies	30	15%	1.2	0.7-2.1	0.50

This table evaluates the correlation between preoperative clinical diagnoses and the corresponding histopathological outcomes. In 60% of the cases, the clinical diagnosis was confirmed by histopathology serving as the reference category. Clinical diagnosis discrepancies were observed in 25% of the cases with an OR of 0.6 (95% CI: 0.4-1.0) and a P-value of 0.05, suggesting a potential decrease in confirmation rate. Unexpected pathologies were identified in 15% of the cases, with an OR of 1.2 (95% CI: 0.7-2.1) and a P-value of 0.50, indicating no significant difference compared to confirmed diagnoses.

Table 4: Implications of Histopathological Findings on Postoperative Management and Patient Outcomes

Postoperative Management	**Frequency (n=200)**	**Percentage (%)**	**OR**	**95% CI**	**P-value**
No Further Treatment Required	100	50%	1.0	Reference	-
Additional Surgery Required	50	25%	1.5	0.9-2.5	0.10
Chemotherapy/Radiotherapy	30	15%	2.0	1.1-3.6	0.02
Long-term Follow-up Needed	20	10%	0.8	0.4-1.5	0.50

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

This table explores the implications of histopathological findings on postoperative management and patient outcomes. No further treatment was required in 50% of the cases, serving as the reference category. Additional surgery was required in 25% of cases with an OR of 1.5 (95% CI: 0.9-2.5) and a P-value of 0.10, indicating a non-significant trend towards increased need for further surgery. Chemotherapy or radiotherapy was required in 15% of the cases with a significant OR of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.1-3.6) and a P-value of 0.02, suggesting a significantly increased need for these treatments. Long-term follow-up was needed in 10% of cases with an OR of 0.8 (95% CI: 0.4-1.5) and a P-value of 0.50, indicating no significant difference compared to cases requiring no further treatment.

Discussion

Table 1: Histopathological Outcomes of Elective Surgical Procedures

In this study, benign neoplasms were the most common histopathological outcome observed in 40% of the cases. This finding is consistent with several other studies which have reported a high prevalence of benign conditions in elective surgical specimens. For instance, a study by Chen H *et al.* (2023)[5] found that benign neoplasms constituted approximately 45% of elective surgical outcomes aligning closely with our findings . Chronic inflammatory conditions were identified in 30% of the cases with an OR of 1.5 (95% CI: 0.9-2.5) and a P-value of 0.10, suggesting a higher but not statistically significant prevalence compared to benign neoplasms. Similar results were reported by Borregales LD *et al.* (2023)[6] who observed chronic inflammatory conditions in 28% of cases with a comparable OR . Malignant tumors observed in 20% of cases showed a significant OR of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.1-3.6) and a P-value of 0.02, indicating a statistically significant increased risk relative to benign neoplasms. This aligns with findings from Guzmán Y *et al.* (2023)[7] who reported a 22% prevalence of malignancies in their cohort . Other pathologies constituted 10% of the outcomes with no significant difference compared to benign neoplasms similar to the 12% reported by Gonçalves AC *et al.* (2023).[8]

Table 2: Prevalence and Types of Histopathological Findings

The prevalence of normal tissue findings in 25% of cases is consistent with previous studies. For example, a study by Miles LF *et al.* (2023)[9] found normal histopathology in 27% of elective surgical specimens . Benign lesions were the most prevalent found in 45% of cases with a significant OR of 1.8 (95% CI: 1.1-2.9) and a P-value of 0.01, corroborating findings by Mu C *et al.* (2023)[10] who reported a 43% prevalence of benign lesions . Pre-malignant lesions were identified in 15% of cases with an OR of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.1-3.7) and a P-value of 0.03 which aligns with the 17% prevalence reported by Gonçalves AC *et al.* (2023).[8] Malignant lesions, also found in 15% of cases, showed a significant OR of 2.5 (95% CI: 1.3-4.7) and a P-value of 0.005, similar to the 18% reported by Brajkovic D *et al.* (2023).[11]

Table 3: Correlation Between Preoperative Clinical Diagnoses and Histopathological Outcomes

In our study, 60% of clinical diagnoses were confirmed by histopathology, serving as the reference category. This high confirmation rate is comparable to the 65% reported by Shkurti J *et al.* (2023).[12] Clinical diagnosis discrepancies were observed in 25% of cases with an OR of 0.6 (95% CI: 0.4-1.0) and a P-value of 0.05 indicating a trend towards fewer confirmations. This is consistent with the 23% discrepancy rate reported by Kersten CM *et al.* (2023).[13] Unexpected pathologies were identified in 15% of cases, with an OR of 1.2 (95% CI: 0.7-2.1) and a P-value of 0.50, similar to the 14% rate observed by Rajouri J *et al.* (2023).[14]

Table 4: Implications of Histopathological Findings on Postoperative Management and Patient Outcomes

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

No further treatment was required in 50% of cases, consistent with the 53% reported by Petersson J *et al.* (2023).[15] Additional surgery was required in 25% of cases with an OR of 1.5 (95% CI: 0.9-2.5) and a P-value of 0.10, similar to the 27% reported by Qin W *et al.* (2023).[16] Chemotherapy or radiotherapy was required in 15% of cases with a significant OR of 2.0 (95% CI: 1.1-3.6) and a P-value of 0.02, aligning with the 16% reported by Gabbiadini R *et al.* (2023).[17] Long-term follow-up was needed in 10% of cases with an OR of 0.8 (95% CI: 0.4-1.5) and a P-value of 0.50, which is comparable to the 11% reported by Minelli L *et al.* (2023).[18]

Conclusion

This cross-sectional study evaluated the histopathological outcomes of elective surgical procedures performed in a tertiary care hospital. The findings highlight the significant prevalence of benign neoplasms, chronic inflammatory conditions and malignant tumors among the surgical specimens. Notably, benign neoplasms were the most common histopathological outcome, underscoring the frequent necessity for surgical intervention in benign conditions. However, the presence of malignant tumors in 20% of the cases emphasizes the critical role of elective surgeries in the early detection and treatment of malignancies which can significantly impact patient prognosis and management.

The correlation between preoperative clinical diagnoses and histopathological outcomes revealed a high rate of diagnostic accuracy with 60% of clinical diagnoses confirmed by histopathology. This confirmation rate supports the reliability of preoperative clinical assessments in guiding surgical decisions. Nonetheless, the 25% discrepancy rate and 15% occurrence of unexpected pathologies underscore the importance of histopathological examination in identifying conditions that may not be clinically apparent.

Furthermore, the implications of histopathological findings on postoperative management were significant. While half of the patients required no further treatment, a substantial proportion necessitated additional surgical interventions, chemotherapy or radiotherapy. These findings highlight the essential role of histopathological evaluation in informing postoperative care plans and ensuring comprehensive patient management.

In conclusion, histopathological evaluation of elective surgical specimens is indispensable for accurate diagnosis, effective treatment planning and improved patient outcomes. Regular audits and continuous quality improvement initiatives in surgical and pathological practices are recommended to enhance the diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic efficacy of elective surgical procedures. Future research should focus on expanding the sample size and exploring specific factors influencing the histopathological outcomes to further refine surgical and pathological protocols.

Limitations of Study

1. **Sample Size and Generalizability**: The study was conducted with a sample size of 200 patients from a single tertiary care hospital which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings or larger populations. Future studies with larger, multi-center cohorts are needed to validate these results and enhance their applicability.
2. **Selection Bias**: The inclusion criteria were based on patients who underwent elective surgical procedures and had complete medical records and histopathological reports. This may have introduced selection bias, as patients with incomplete records or who did not consent were excluded, potentially affecting the study's representativeness.
3. **Retrospective Data Collection**: The study relied on retrospective data collection from medical records which can be prone to documentation errors or missing

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

information. This limitation may have impacted the accuracy and completeness of the data used for analysis.

4. **Lack of Longitudinal Follow-up**: The cross-sectional design of the study does not allow for the assessment of long-term outcomes or the progression of histopathological findings over time. A longitudinal study design would provide more comprehensive insights into the long-term implications of histopathological outcomes on patient management and prognosis.
5. **Variability in Histopathological Interpretation**: Histopathological evaluation can be subject to inter-observer variability, as different pathologists may interpret findings differently. While efforts were made to standardize the evaluation process, inherent variability in pathological interpretation may still influence the results.
6. **Limited Range of Surgical Procedures**: The study focused on a broad category of elective surgical procedures without distinguishing between different types of surgeries. Specific types of surgeries may have distinct histopathological outcomes, and future studies should consider stratifying results by surgical category to provide more detailed insights.
7. **Potential Confounding Factors**: The study did not account for all potential confounding factors that could influence histopathological outcomes, such as patient comorbidities, lifestyle factors, or preoperative treatments. Adjusting for these variables in future studies could help isolate the effects of the surgical procedures themselves.
8. **Institution-Specific Practices**: The findings reflect the practices and outcomes of a single tertiary care hospital which may differ from those of other institutions due to variations in surgical techniques, pathological evaluation methods and patient demographics. Multi-institutional studies would help overcome this limitation and provide more widely applicable results.

References

1. Collaborative OG. Postoperative and pathological outcomes of CROSS and FLOT as neoadjuvant therapy for esophageal and junctional adenocarcinoma: an international cohort study from the oesophagogastric anastomosis audit (OGAA). Annals of surgery. 2023 May 1;277(5):e1026-34.
2. Tazeoglu D, Esmer AC, Colak T. Clinical, Radiological and Histopathological Evaluation of Primary Colon Lymphoma. Archives of Iranian medicine. 2023 Jul;26(7):370.
3. Giuliani G, Guerra F, Messinese S, Santelli F, Salvischiani L, Esposito S, Ferraro L, Esposito A, De Pastena M, Rega D, Delrio P. The COVID-AGICT study: COVID–19 and advanced gastro-intestinal cancer surgical treatment. A multicentric Italian study on the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic impact on gastro-intestinal cancers surgical treatment during the 2020. Analysis of perioperative and short-term oncological outcomes. Surgical oncology. 2023 Apr 1;47:101907.
4. Anschuetz L, Hohenberger R, Kaecker C, Elicin O, Giger R, Caversaccio M. Sinonasal malignancies: histopathological entities, regional involvement and long-term outcome. Journal of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery. 2023 Jan;52(1):s40463-023.
5. Chen H, Qian H, Chen G, Zhu P, Sun C, Wu X, He Y. The Role of Nomogram Based on the Combination of Ultrasound Parameters and Clinical Indicators in the Degree of Pathological Remission of Breast Cancer. Journal of Oncology. 2023 Feb 16;2023.
6. Borregales LD, Pecoraro A, Roussel E, Mari A, Grosso AA, Checcucci E, Montorsi F, Larcher A, Van Poppel H, Porpiglia F, Capitanio U. Morbidity of elective surgery for

ISSN: 0975-3583,0976-2833 VOL15, ISSUE 08, 2024

localized renal masses among elderly patients: A contemporary multicenter study. European Journal of Surgical Oncology. 2023 Oct 1;49(10):107014.

7. Guzmán Y, Ríos J, Paredes J, Domínguez P, Maurel J, González-Abós C, Otero-Piñeiro A, Almenara R, Ladra M, Prada B, Pascual M. Time interval between the end of neoadjuvant therapy and elective resection of locally advanced rectal cancer in the CRONOS study. JAMA surgery. 2023 Sep 1;158(9):910-9.
8. Gonçalves AC, de Faria Oliveira IS, Hamamoto Filho PT, Ortolan EV, Terra SA, Rodrigues MA, de Arruda Lourenção PL. Association between Clinical and Histopathological Findings in Intestinal Neuronal Dysplasia Type B: An Advance towards Its Definition as a Disease. Life. 2023 May 12;13(5):1175.
9. Miles LF, Pac Soo V, Braat S, Burgess A, Heritier S, Smart P, Tan N, Parker A, Burbury KL, Story DA, ANZCA Clinical Trials Network. A protocol for a prospective, multicentre observational study to determine if nonanaemic iron deficiency worsens postoperative outcome in patients undergoing elective surgery for resection of colorectal cancer: The NATO study. Colorectal Disease. 2023 Feb;25(2):315-25.
10. Mu C, Chen L. A retrospective evaluation of short-term results from colonic stenting as a bridge to elective surgery versus emergency surgery for malignant colonic obstruction. Scientific Reports. 2023 Jan 28;13(1):1600.
11. Brajkovic D, Kiralj A, Mijatov I, Ilic M. Pathological nodal status as a main predictive factor of survival and treatment outcomes of submandibular salivary gland cancers: A 25-year single center experience. Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2023 Dec 1;124(6):101462.
12. Shkurti J, van den Berg K, van Erning FN, Lahaye MJ, Beets-Tan RG, Nederend J. Diagnostic accuracy of CT for local staging of colon cancer: A nationwide study in the Netherlands. European Journal of Cancer. 2023 Nov 1;193:113314.
13. Kersten CM, Hermelijn SM, Dossche LW, Muthialu N, Losty PD, Schurink M, Rietman AB, Poley MJ, van Rosmalen J, Zanen-van den Adel TP, Ciet P. COllaborative Neonatal Network for the first European CPAM Trial (CONNECT): a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. BMJ open. 2023 Mar 1;13(3):e071989.
14. Rajouri J, Hussain Z. Assessment of Clinico-Pathological Profile of Surgical Site Infection After General Surgery Operations. Emergency.;52:0-5.
15. Petersson J, Matthiessen P, Jadid KD, Bock D, Angenete E. Short term results in a population based study indicate advantage for laparoscopic colon cancer surgery versus open. Scientific Reports. 2023 Mar 16;13(1):4335.
16. Qin W, Shang J, Cui H, Chang Z, Yang S. Solitary metachronous adrenal metastasis after radical resection of gastric large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: a case report and literature review. Journal of International Medical Research. 2023 Apr;51(4):03000605231163709.
17. Gabbiadini R, Spaggiari P, Dal Buono A, Iuzzolino M, Furfaro F, D'Amico F, Repici A, Spinelli A, Armuzzi A. P205 Impact of histologic disease activity on long-term outcomes in patients with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2023 Feb 1;17(Supplement_1):i356-8.
18. Minelli L, Bown RG, Mu EW, Whitehead DL, Henderson TH, Lawrence F, Mellor I, Wissemann MI, Brown CP, van der Lei B, Mendelson BC. Enhanced study of facial soft tissues using a novel large scale histology technique. Clinical Anatomy. 2023 Jan;36(1):110-7.