

Management Of Cardiac Disease In Cancer Patients Throughout Oncological Treatment

Dr.Anand kumar^{1*}

¹*assistant professor , department of general surgery , SGT university

Corresponding author: dr.anand kumar

*assistant professor , department of general surgery , SGT university

Abstract

Cancer and cardiovascular (CV) disease are the most prevalent diseases in the developed world. Evidence increasingly shows that these conditions are interlinked through common risk factors, coincident in an ageing population, and are connected biologically through some deleterious effects of anticancer treatment on CV health. Anticancer therapies can cause a wide spectrum of short- and long-term cardiotoxic effects. An explosion of novel cancer therapies has revolutionised this field and dramatically altered cancer prognosis. Nevertheless, these new therapies have introduced unexpected CV complications beyond heart failure. Common CV toxicities related to cancer therapy are defined, along with suggested strategies for prevention, detection and treatment. This ESMO consensus article proposes to define CV toxicities related to cancer or its therapies and provide guidance regarding prevention, screening, monitoring and treatment of CV toxicity. The majority of anticancer therapies are associated with some CV toxicity, ranging from asymptomatic and transient to more clinically significant and long-lasting cardiac events. It is critical however, that concerns about potential CV damage resulting from anticancer therapies should be weighed against the potential benefits of cancer therapy, including benefits in overall survival. CV disease in patients with cancer is complex and treatment needs to be individualised. The scope of cardio-oncology is wide and includes prevention, detection, monitoring and treatment of CV toxicity related to cancer therapy, and also ensuring the safe development of future novel cancer treatments that minimise the impact on CV health. It is anticipated that the management strategies discussed herein will be suitable for the majority of patients. Nonetheless, the clinical judgment of physicians remains extremely important; hence, when using these best clinical practices to inform treatment options and decisions, practitioners should also consider the individual circumstances of their patients on a case-by-case basis.

Keywords: cardiac disease, cardiovascular toxicity, Clinical Practice Guidelines, diagnosis, recommendations

Introduction

Heart disease and cancer are the two major causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, accounting for at least 70% of the medical reasons for mortality across the globe.¹ Cancer patients often have multiple comorbidities [e.g. diabetes, hypertension (HTN)] that can profoundly influence their cancer care and clinical outcomes.² Additionally, the concern for survivorship care is particularly relevant, given that, for many forms of cancer, the 5-year survival rate has dramatically risen over the past 30 years.³

Many anticancer therapies are known to have deleterious effects on the cardiovascular (CV) system.^{4,5}

Although many health care providers are aware of the potential short-term cardiotoxicities associated with anticancer therapies, there is frequently less appreciation for the long-term consequences of such treatments on cardiac health.

The majority of clinical trials of anticancer therapeutics associated with CV toxicity are lacking in the ascertainment of relevant cardiac outcomes.⁶

A fundamental aspect of caring for a patient undergoing potentially cardiotoxic anticancer therapy is interdisciplinary communication, especially between cardiology, oncology and haematology departments and, ultimately, primary care providers. In particular, the cardiologist should have a thorough understanding of the prognosis, intended treatment plan, estimated benefit of the proposed treatment, cardiac and relevant non-cardiac toxicities and alternative treatment options. Conversely, oncologists and haematologists should be informed of the patient's CV risk factors and the status of pre-existing CV disease (CVD) along with their prognosis.

These ESMO consensus recommendations attempt to summarise best practices for the care of cancer patients exposed to potential cardiotoxic therapy, including chemotherapeutic agents, targeted therapies and radiotherapy (RT).

Materials and Methods

The recommendations that are detailed represent a unanimous agreement among the writing group. The literature review was done at the onset of deliberations, ongoing through the collaborative discussions, and then was finalised in June 2011. A complete literature search was done through PubMed index and included adult studies published from 1975 to the present. The author search incorporated the text words and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) for chemotherapy (ChT), targeted therapy, RT, immunotherapy, individual drug names, adverse events, cardiac events, cardiotoxicity, cardio-oncology and vascular toxicity. References of reviewed articles were also searched for relevant titles. Priority was given first to evidence from randomised, controlled trials (RCTs) or meta-analysis (levels I and II), then to evidence from cohort and case control studies (level III), and finally to expert opinion based on the synthesis of retrospective or observational studies and clinical practice (levels IV and V). The authors also searched clinicaltrials.gov for any ongoing appropriate clinical trials.

Result

Anticancer therapy, including RT and some ChT drugs/targeted agents, can substantially affect the heart and vascular system. Any anticancer therapy that impacts cardiac safety requires monitoring.

Screening.

Cancer patients with pre-existing CVD or CV risk factors are at a greater risk of cardiac complications from anticancer therapies. The treatment of CV risk factors in any patient is important and the significance of this principle is equally valid in a patient population that has cancer.^{8–10} In many contexts of anticancer therapy, there is ample information to validate the recommendation to treat CV risk factors effectively.^{11–17}

Anticancer therapy risk factors for CV toxicities.

Many large-scale randomised prospective clinical trials and follow-on studies have indicated certain ChT and/or targeted therapies are associated with CV toxicities ([supplementary Table S1](#), available at *Annals of Oncology* online).^{18–23} It is also widely recognised that radiation to CV structures has an important impact on CV health,^{5,24–26} with radiation exposure potentially having a profound impact on the vascular structures, valves, pericardium/myocardium and conduction system, as well as the autonomic system.^{5,27–32} When planning anticancer therapy, the potential adverse CV effects of anticancer therapy should be balanced against the expected benefits.

Collaborative approach.

There is a high level of evidence that cardiac monitoring in certain anticancer settings helps limit the cardiac impact of a patient's cancer therapy.^{18–21} The cardiology consultation can be associated with improved cardioprotection, therapy adherence and survival in patients receiving anthracyclines.³³ The multidisciplinary team's goal should be a balanced approach to minimising CV toxicity while also limiting reduction or discontinuation of anticancer therapy. Intensive, multidisciplinary team intervention, compared with usual care to prevent cardiotoxicity, is currently being tested in an RCT (TITAN, [NCT01621659](#)), with results expected soon.³⁴

Recommendation 1.1.

Screening for known CV risk factors in patients with cancer is recommended; treatment of identified CV risk factors according to current guidelines is recommended [I, A].

Recommendation 1.2.

Many types of cancer therapy, especially mediastinal and left-sided chest radiation and certain ChT and targeted agents, can substantially affect the heart and vascular system and it is recommended that CV safety be monitored [I, A].

Recommendation 1.3.

Close and early collaboration between cardiologists, oncologists, haematologists and radiation oncologists is recommended to ensure lifelong CV health and to avoid unnecessary discontinuation of cancer therapy [III, A].

Conclusion

CVD in patients with cancer is complex, and it is paramount that individual patient management and treatment is personalised. Although cancer treatment-related cardiotoxicity was initially observed as early as the 1970s, the current landscape has changed dramatically with the introduction of novel targeted therapies. The scope of cardio-oncology is wide and includes not just prevention, detection, monitoring and treatment of CV toxicity related to anticancer therapy, but also the development of future novel anticancer treatments that have minimal impact on CV health.

Close collaboration between oncologists, cardiologists and allied health care professionals will ensure delivery of optimal care for cancer patients, based on current best clinical practices, without compromising CV health. Research will help define best strategies for prevention, early detection and management of CV complications related to anticancer therapy. The incorporation of surveillance strategies in cancer survivors will help prevent the potential long-term CV morbidity and mortality associated with oncological treatments. Education of health care providers, particularly the next generation of cardiologists and haemato-oncologists, along with patients, on the importance of CV health and anticancer treatment should translate into better cancer and CV clinical outcomes.

References

1. Jones DS, Podolsky SH, Greene JA. The burden of disease and the changing task of medicine. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366:2333–2338. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
2. Lee L, Cheung WY, Atkinson E, et al. Impact of comorbidity on chemotherapy use and outcomes in solid tumors: a systematic review. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29:106–117. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Coleman MP. Cancer survival: global surveillance will stimulate health policy and improve equity. *Lancet.* 2014;383:564–573. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
4. Lenihan DJ, Cardinale DM. Late cardiac effects of cancer treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30:3657–3664. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
5. Darby SC, Ewertz M, McGale P, et al. Risk of ischemic heart disease in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368: 987–998. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

6. Verma S, Ewer MS. Is cardiotoxicity being adequately assessed in current trials of cytotoxic and targeted agents in breast cancer? *Ann Oncol.* 2011;22:1011–1018. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
7. Dykewicz CA. Summary of the guidelines for preventing opportunistic infections among hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2001;33:139–144. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
8. Goff DC Jr, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63:2935–2959. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
9. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63:2889–2934. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. Lloyd-Jones DM, Goff D, Stone NJ. Statins, risk assessment, and the new American prevention guidelines. *Lancet.* 2014;383: 600–602. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
11. Armstrong GT, Oeffinger KC, Chen Y, et al. Modifiable risk factors and major cardiac events among adult survivors of childhood cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31:3673–3680. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
12. Mulrooney DA, Armstrong GT, Huang S, et al. Cardiac outcomes in adult survivors of childhood cancer exposed to cardiotoxic therapy: A cross-sectional study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;164:93–101. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
13. Curigliano G, Cardinale D, Suter T, et al. Cardiovascular toxicity induced by chemotherapy, targeted agents and radiotherapy: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23(suppl 7):vii155–vii166. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
14. Armenian SH, Xu L, Ky B, et al. Cardiovascular disease among survivors of adult-onset cancer: a community-based retrospective cohort study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34:1122–1130. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Armenian SH, Sun CL, Vase T, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in hematopoietic cell transplantation survivors: role in development of subsequent cardiovascular disease. *Blood.* 2012;120:4505–4512. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
16. Leger KJ, Baker KS, Cushing-Haugen KL, et al. Lifestyle factors and subsequent ischemic heart disease risk after hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Cancer.* 2018;124:1507–1515. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
17. Chow EJ, Baker KS, Lee SJ, et al. Influence of conventional cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyle characteristics on cardiovascular disease after hematopoietic cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32:191–198. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
18. Slamon D, Eiermann W, Robert N, et al. Adjuvant trastuzumab in HER2-positive breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365:1273–1283. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
19. Stewart AK, Rajkumar SV, Dimopoulos MA, et al. Carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372:142–152. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
20. Moslehi JJ. Cardiovascular toxic effects of targeted cancer therapies. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:1457–1467. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
21. Postow MA, Sidlow R, Hellmann MD. Immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378:158–168. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
22. Hall PS, Harshman LC, Srinivas S, et al. The frequency and severity of cardiovascular toxicity from targeted therapy in advanced renal cell carcinoma patients. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2013;1:72–78. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

23. Li W, Croce K, Steensma DP, et al. Vascular and metabolic implications of novel targeted cancer therapies: focus on kinase inhibitors. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;66:1160–1178. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
24. Taylor C, Correa C, Duane FK, et al. Estimating the risks of breast cancer radiotherapy: evidence from modern radiation doses to the lungs and heart and from previous randomized trials. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35:1641–1649. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
25. Simone CB 2nd. New era in radiation oncology for lung cancer: recognizing the importance of cardiac irradiation. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35:1381–1383. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
26. Dess RT, Sun Y, Matuszak MM, et al. Cardiac events after radiation therapy: combined analysis of prospective multicenter trials for locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35:1395–1402. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
27. Groarke JD, Nguyen PL, Nohria A, et al. Cardiovascular complications of radiation therapy for thoracic malignancies: the role for non-invasive imaging for detection of cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:612–623. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
28. Groarke JD, Tanguturi VK, Hainer J, et al. Abnormal exercise response in long-term survivors of hodgkin lymphoma treated with thoracic irradiation: evidence of cardiac autonomic dysfunction and impact on outcomes. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;65:573–583. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
29. Copeland KA, Hosmane VR, Jurkovitz C, et al. Frequency of severe valvular disease caused by mediastinal radiation among patients undergoing valve surgery in a community-based, regional academic medical center. *Clin Cardiol.* 2013;36:217–221. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
30. Heidenreich PA, Hancock SL, Lee BK, et al. Asymptomatic cardiac disease following mediastinal irradiation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2003;42:743–749. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
31. Hull MC, Morris CG, Pepine CJ, et al. Valvular dysfunction and carotid, subclavian, and coronary artery disease in survivors of hodgkin lymphoma treated with radiation therapy. *JAMA.* 2003;290: 2831–2837. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
32. Jaworski C, Mariani JA, Wheeler G, et al. Cardiac complications of thoracic irradiation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61:2319–2328. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
33. Ammon M, Arenja N, Leibundgut G, et al. Cardiovascular management of cancer patients with chemotherapy-associated left ventricular systolic dysfunction in real-world clinical practice. *J Card Fail.* 2013;19:629–634. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
34. Pituskin E, Haykowsky M, McNeely M, et al. Rationale and design of the multidisciplinary team IntervenTion in cArdio-oNcology study (TITAN). *BMC Cancer.* 2016;16:733. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
35. Guan J, Khambhati J, Jones LW, et al. Cardiology patient page. ABCDE steps for heart and vascular wellness following a prostate cancer diagnosis. *Circulation.* 2015;132:e218–e220. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
36. Bhatia N, Santos M, Jones LW, et al. Cardiovascular effects of androgen deprivation therapy for the treatment of prostate cancer: ABCDE steps to reduce cardiovascular disease in patients with prostate cancer. *Circulation.* 2016;133:537–541. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
37. Mehta LS, Watson KE, Barac A, et al. Cardiovascular disease and breast cancer: where these entities intersect: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2018;137:e30–e66. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
38. Curigliano G, Cardinale D, Dent S, et al. Cardiotoxicity of anticancer treatments: Epidemiology, detection, and management. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2016;66:309–325. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

39. Lipshultz SE, Rifai N, Sallan SE, et al. Predictive value of cardiac troponin T in pediatric patients at risk for myocardial injury. *Circulation*. 1997;96:2641–2648. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
40. Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Martinoni A, et al. Myocardial injury revealed by plasma troponin I in breast cancer treated with high-dose chemotherapy. *Ann Oncol*. 2002;13:710–715. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
41. Kilickap S, Barista I, Akgul E, et al. cTnT can be a useful marker for early detection of anthracycline cardiotoxicity. *Ann Oncol*. 2005;16: 798–804. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
42. Cornell RF, Ky B, Weiss BM, et al. Prospective study of cardiac events during proteasome inhibitor therapy for relapsed multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2019;37:1946–1955. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
43. Cardinale D, Sandri MT, Colombo A, et al. Prognostic value of troponin I in cardiac risk stratification of cancer patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy. *Circulation*. 2004;109:2749–2754. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
44. Ky B, Putt M, Sawaya H, et al. Early increases in multiple biomarkers predict subsequent cardiotoxicity in patients with breast cancer treated with doxorubicin, taxanes, and trastuzumab. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63:809–816. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
45. Zardavas D, Suter TM, Van Veldhuisen DJ, et al. Role of troponins I and T and N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide in monitoring cardiac safety of patients with early-stage human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer receiving trastuzumab: A herceptin adjuvant study cardiac marker substudy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35:878–884. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
46. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Torrisi R, et al. Trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity: clinical and prognostic implications of troponin I evaluation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28:3910–3916. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
47. Fallah-Rad N, Walker JR, Wassef A, et al. The utility of cardiac biomarkers, tissue velocity and strain imaging, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in predicting early left ventricular dysfunction in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor II-positive breast cancer treated with adjuvant trastuzumab therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:2263–2270. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
48. Skovgaard D, Hasbak P, Kjaer A. BNP predicts chemotherapy-related cardiotoxicity and death: comparison with gated equilibrium radionuclide ventriculography. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e96736. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
49. Sawaya H, Sebag IA, Plana JC, et al. Early detection and prediction of cardiotoxicity in chemotherapy-treated patients. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:1375–1380. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
50. Lenihan DJ, Stevens PL, Massey M, et al. The utility of point-of-care biomarkers to detect cardiotoxicity during anthracycline chemotherapy: A feasibility study. *J Card Fail*. 2016;22:433–438. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
51. Roden DM. Drug-induced prolongation of the QT interval. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350:1013–1022. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
52. Naing A, Veasey-Rodrigues H, Hong DS, et al. Electrocardiograms (ECGs) in phase I anticancer drug development: the MD Anderson Cancer Center experience with 8518 ECGs. *Ann Oncol*. 2012;23:2960–2963. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
53. Curigliano G, Spitaleri G, Fingert HJ, et al. Drug-induced QTc interval prolongation: a proposal towards an efficient and safe anticancer drug development. *Eur J Cancer*. 2008;44:494–500. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
54. Borad MJ, Soman AD, Benjamin M, et al. Effect of selection of QTc formula on eligibility of cancer patients for phase I clinical trials. *Invest New Drugs*. 2013;31:1056–1065. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

55. Viganego F, Singh R, Fradley MG. Arrhythmias and other electrophysiology issues in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy or radiation. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2016;18:52. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
56. Fradley MG, Moslehi J. QT Prolongation and oncology drug development. *Card Electrophysiol Clin.* 2015;7:341–355. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
57. Hortobagyi GN, Stemmer SM, Burris HA, et al. Ribociclib as first-line therapy for HR-positive, advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:1738–1748. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
58. Shah RR, Morganroth J, Shah DR. Cardiovascular safety of tyrosine kinase inhibitors: with a special focus on cardiac repolarisation (QT interval). *Drug Saf* 2013;36:295–316. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
59. Turner JR, Panicker GK, Karnad DR, et al. Cardiovascular safety monitoring during oncology drug development and therapy. *Am J Ther.* 2014;21:512–522. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
60. Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, et al. Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer therapy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2014;27:911–939. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
61. Eschenhagen T, Force T, Ewer MS, et al. Cardiovascular side effects of cancer therapies: a position statement from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2011;13:1–10. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
62. Armenian SH, Lacchetti C, Barac A, et al. Prevention and monitoring of cardiac dysfunction in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35: 893–911. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
63. Hunt SA, Baker DW, Chin MH, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the evaluation and management of chronic heart failure in the adult: executive summary. A report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to revise the 1995 Guidelines for the Evaluation and Management of Heart Failure). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2001;38:2101–2113. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
64. Narayan HK, Finkelman B, French B, et al. Detailed echocardiographic phenotyping in breast cancer patients: associations with ejection fraction decline, recovery, and heart failure symptoms over 3 years of follow-up. *Circulation.* 2017;135:1397–1412. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
65. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Sandri MT, et al. Prevention of high-dose chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity in high-risk patients by angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition. *Circulation.* 2006;114: 2474–2481. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
66. Kalay N, Basar E, Ozdogru I, et al. Protective effects of carvedilol against anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;48:2258–2262. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
67. Bosch X, Rovira M, Sitges M, et al. Enalapril and carvedilol for preventing chemotherapy-induced left ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with malignant hemopathies: the OVERCOME trial (prevention of left Ventricular dysfunction with Enalapril and caRvedilol in patients submitted to intensive Chemotherapy for the treatment of Malignant hEmopathies). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2013;61: 2355–2362. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
68. Gulati G, Heck SL, Ree AH, et al. Prevention of cardiac dysfunction during adjuvant breast cancer therapy (PRADA): a 2 × 2 factorial, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial of candesartan and metoprolol. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37:1671–1680. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
69. Pituskin E, Mackey JR, Koshman S, et al. Multidisciplinary Approach to Novel Therapies in Cardio-Oncology Research (MANTICORE 101-Breast): a randomized trial for the prevention of trastuzumab-associated cardiotoxicity. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35:870–877. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

70. Avila MS, Ayub-Ferreira SM, de Barros Wanderley MR Jr, et al. Carvedilol for prevention of chemotherapy-related cardiotoxicity: The CECCY trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:2281–2290. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
71. Guglin M, Krischer J, Tamura R, et al. Randomized trial of lisinopril versus carvedilol to prevent trastuzumab cardiotoxicity in patients with breast cancer. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;73:2859–2868. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]