

COMPARISON OF EFFICACY OF OZONE INJECTION AND DRY NEEDLING IN MYOFASCIAL PAIN SYNDROME INVOLVING TRAPEZIUS; A RANDOMISED CONTROL TRIAL

Swathi VC¹, Gargi Nandi², Biplab Sarkar³

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Subbaiah Institute of Medical Sciences, Shivamogga, India

²ESI Institute of Pain Management, Kolkata, India.

²ESI Institute of Pain Management, Kolkata, India.

Received Date: 18/10/2024

Accepted: 18/11/2024

Published: 04/12/2024

Corresponding Author: Dr Swathi VC, Department of Anesthesiology, Subbaiah Institute of Medical Sciences, Shivamogga, India

Email: dr.swathivc@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Myofascial pain syndrome (MPS) is a common musculoskeletal disorder characterized by presences of multiple myofascial trigger points (MTrPs). In this study, we aim to evaluate and compare the efficacy and safety of ozone in MPS with those of the widely used dry needling. **Methods:** This randomised controlled trial was conducted from 16/12/2019 to 15/12/2020, involving 42 patients with confirmed diagnosis of MPS. They were randomised into two groups using simple randomization by sealed envelope method. One group received dry-needling (DN) (n= 21) and the other received ozone-injection (OI) (n=21). Both interventions were performed once per week for three weeks. The patients were examined 4 weeks after the last injection. **Results:** Males: females= 24:18. There were no significant differences in the baseline parameters. Four parameters were studied: Numerical pain scale (NPS), Neck disability index (NDI), Tissue imaging score with ultrasonography (TIS) and range of motion- Lateral neck flexion (LNF) angle. Both groups showed a statistically significant decrease in all four parameters after the intervention. When both groups were compared for post-intervention outcomes, significant differences were observed in NPS (p-value= 0.047) and NDI (p-value= 0.024). The difference in the reduction of the TIS and LNF was comparable in both groups and was not statistically significant (p-value= 0.329 and 0.134 respectively). **Conclusions:** Both dry needling and ozone injection are effective modalities for the treatment of myofascial pain syndrome. Ozone injection showed significant additional benefits. A comparative study with large cohort is recommended to determine if one method is better than the other

Key words: Myofascial pain, chronic pain.

INTRODUCTION

Myofascial pain syndrome (MPS) is a non-inflammatory condition of musculoskeletal origin, associated with pain and muscle stiffness. The prevalence of MPS ranges from 30% to 46% in specialised pain clinics, and up to 15% in general clinics ¹. It is characterised by the presence of hyperirritable, palpable nodules in skeletal muscle fibres called myofascial trigger points (MTrP), which are a cardinal feature of MPS ^{1,2}. These trigger points appear in muscle end

plates due to excessive release of acetylcholine from repeated injuries. Persistent contraction leads to a cascade of biochemical responses, including the release of vasoactive components and inflammatory factors (viz: bradykinin, substance P). This in turn contributes to localised muscle pain and further neuroplastic changes in central nervous system³. It has also been suggested that neurogenic inflammation after central sensitization, could give rise to MTrP loci in the absence of peripheral muscle injury.

The Trapezius is one of the most affected muscles, with MTrPs observed in up to 93% of individuals with neck pain⁴. In addition to MPS, MTrPs in trapezius are also associated with tension type headache, sleep disturbances and chronic neck pain⁵. Increased nocturnal trapezius muscle activity is seen in chronic neck pain patients with sleep disturbances⁶. Treatment of muscle pain is vital in improving sleep quality in such patients. The MTrPs are found in taut bands within muscles, which demonstrate a local twitch response following a rapid snapping stimulus or insertion of a needle^{7, 8}. Repeated trauma, muscular overload, psychological stress, and systemic pathologies can lead to the development of taut bands in which latent MTrPs can appear. Mechanical stress and similar stimuli can lead to activation and development of symptoms⁹.

The diagnosis of MPS is mostly clinical, and relies on eliciting a detailed history with a thorough physical examination to identify the MTrPs. Well established clinical tools like Travell and Simons' criteria help in diagnosis of MPS¹⁰. However, there are ongoing efforts to standardize these^{11, 12, 13}. Newer evolving methods, including ultrasound imaging (USI), elastomyography, and magnetic resonance elastography, have shown promise in this regard. The combination of USI with elastography localises hypoechoic, elliptical, and focal areas that correspond to palpable trigger point nodule¹⁴.

Various methods ranging from exercise to physical interventions have been used to treat MPS. Physical methods include transcutaneous electrical stimulation, infrared, ultrasound, manual pressure massage, acupuncture, anaesthetic injections, and dry needling (DN)¹⁵. In DN, a thin filamentous needle is inserted into the MTrP muscle. Modulation of regional bradykinin, calcitonin gene-related peptide, and substance P levels is the proposed mechanism of action of DN¹⁶. However, the validity of this hypothesis remains unclear. Recently, increasing evidence supports the role of ozone injection (OI) in the management of musculoskeletal disorders. Ozone can improve tissue oxygenation, inhibit inflammatory mediators by downregulating tumour necrosis factor (TNF) and TNFR2, and induce a moderate analgesic effect through phosphodiesteraseA2 blockage¹⁷. Ozone in low concentrations, ozone is an activator of enzymatic scavenger systems (catalase, glutathione peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase), and hence, is known to prevent free radical damage in muscles¹¹. In this study, we aimed to evaluate and compare the efficacy and safety of ozone in MPS with those of the widely used DN.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This randomised controlled trial was conducted at the ESI Institute of Pain Management, Sealdah, Kolkata, India from 16/12/2019 to 15/12/2020. Approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Committee (IEC/IRB No- 002/ 2019-20) and written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. The study was registered at CTRI (CTRI/2020/04/024561). Forty-two patients with a confirmed MPS diagnosis were included in this study (Table 1). They were randomised into two groups by alternatively assigning odd numbers to one group and even numbers to the other, with one group receiving DN (n= 21) and the other group receiving

ozone (n=21). Both interventions were performed once per week for three weeks. The patients were examined four weeks after the last injection, and the parameters were noted (Table 2).

METHODOLOGY

Trigger points (TP) were identified by manual palpation, and the most painful point was identified in those with two or more TP. Ultrasonography of the trapezius on the affected side was performed and the findings were noted. The MTrP site was marked, and its distance from anatomical landmarks was measured in possible cases where the marker was washed off. With the patient in the prone position and sterile precautions, the point was grabbed between the thumb and index fingers. A 24-gauge, 1.25-inch needle was used in all patients. In the Oz group, 8 cc of oxygen/ozone gas was injected into the affected MTrP at a concentration of 15 µg/mL (Waterhouse Medical Ozone Generator, number 0023GWP). In the DN group, the needle was inserted into the MTrP and withdrawn from subcutaneous tissue (Trigger Point Dry Needling method) for 5-8 minutes. This was repeated in different directions to frustrate the point.

Statistical analysis:

For sample size calculation, reduction in pain score and NDI percentage were regarded as the primary outcome measures. It was estimated that 21 subjects would be required per group, with 80% power and a 5% probability of type 1 error using the formula $N = (Z \alpha/2)^2 * P(1-P)/d^2$ (where, $Z \alpha/2$ is the critical value of the standard normal distribution at $\alpha/2$ level of significance). Data were summarised using descriptive statistics, mainly mean and standard deviation for numerical variables and counts and percentages of categorical variables. Numerical variables were compared between groups using student's independent sample and paired t-tests. The chi-square test & analysis of variance were employed for intergroup comparison and analysis of categorical variables, and statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

All 42 patients were included in the final analysis. This study included 24 males and 18 females. Mean weight of patients was 67.12 kg and mean BMI was 22.5. In 31 patients, right side of the body was affected, while in 11 patients left side was affected. The demographic features and baseline parameters are presented in Table 3. There were no statistically significant differences in baseline parameters between the groups.

Both groups showed a statistically significant decrease in all four parameters after the intervention (Table 4). When both groups were compared for post-intervention outcomes, significant differences were observed in NPS and NDI scores (Table 5). The difference in the reduction of the TIS and LNF was comparable in both groups and was not statistically significant.

Table 1: Inclusion & exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Age 18–60 years	History of cervical radiculopathy or degenerative condition
Symptoms beyond 3 months with conservative treatment, including physiotherapy & medications.	Surgery or trauma to the neck during last year

Presence of active trigger points in the upper trapezius muscles (at least one)	Previous injection for MPS during last 6 months
Presence of at least one taut band on palpation	Confirmed diagnosis of cognitive disorders, fibromyalgia, rheumatoid arthritis, hypothyroidism or diabetes mellitus
NRS score 6 & above	

Table 2: Parameters studied

Sl No	Parameter observed	Comment/ Description
1	Numerical pain scale (NPS)	Severity of pain
2	Range of motion- Lateral neck flexion (LNF) angle	Measured using goniometry as the maximum angle the neck can bent laterally on both sides.
3	Neck disability index (NDI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Self-reported - 10-point neck pain questionnaire including severity of pain, its impact on sleeping, driving, etc. - Responses are scored from 0-5. Total score out of a maximum 50 is noted as percentage. Higher NDI indicates more disability
4	Ultrasonography- Tissue imaging score (TIS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Grayscale & vibration sonography with colour doppler study of affected upper trapezius - TIS, ranging from 0 (normal, uniform echogenicity & stiffness) to 2 (abnormal structure, multiple focal hypoechoic & stiff nodules) is assigned

Table 3: Baseline parameters

Parameter		Total	DN group	Ozone group	p-value (student's t test)
Sex	Female	18 (47.6%)	10 (42.8%)	8 (38%)	0.291
	Male	24 (52.4%)	11 (57.2%)	13 (62%)	0.407
Age		37.68 +/- 7.42	37.42 +/- 7.43	37.57 +/- 1.61	0.313
NPS		7.54 +/- 0.91	7.48 +/- 0.92	7.62 +/- 0.92	0.461
NDI		53.42 +/- 8.22	54.01 +/- 7.77	52.81 +/- 8.80	0.216
TIS		1.59 +/- 0.49	1.57 +/- 0.50	1.62 +/- 0.49	0.60
LNF		33.01 +/- 3.26	32.72 +/- 3.29	33.31 +/- 3.29	0.327

(NPS- Numerical pain scale, NDI- Neck disability index , TIS- Tissue imaging score, LNF- Lateral neck flexion angle)

Table 4: Intragroup comparison before & after intervention

Parameter	Dry Needling group			Ozone group		
	Before intervention	After intervention	p-value	Before intervention	After intervention	p-value

			(Paired t-test)			(Paired t-test)
NPS	7.48 +/- 0.92	1.67 +/- 0.48	<0.001	7.62 +/- 0.92	1.24 +/- 0.70	<0.001
NDI	54.01 +/- 7.77	15.22 +/- 3.74	<0.001	52.81 +/- 8.80	12.81 +/- 3.03	<0.001
TIS	1.57 +/- 0.50	0.38 +/- 0.49	<0.001	1.62 +/- 0.49	0.24 +/- 0.43	<0.001
LNF	32.72 +/- 3.29	34.07 +/- 2.92	<0.001	33.31 +/- 3.29	35.54 +/- 2.63	<0.001

(NPS- Numerical pain scale, NDI- Neck disability index, TIS- Tissue imaging score, LNF- Lateral neck flexion angle)

Table 5: Intergroup comparison after intervention

Parameter	Mean difference	95% confidence interval		p-value (Intergroup ANOVA)
		Lower	Upper	
NPS	0.42 +/- 0.92	0.007	0.850	0.047
NDI	2.40 +/- 4.49	0.359	4.450	0.024
TIS	0.14 +/- 0.65	- 0.155	0.440	0.329
LNF	- 1.47 +/- 4.31	- 3.437	0.494	0.134

(NPS- Numerical pain scale, NDI- Neck disability index, TIS- Tissue imaging score, LNF- Lateral neck flexion angle)

Discussion:

Myofascial pain syndrome is a commonly overlooked entity which not only results in disability but also leads to anxiety and depression in suffering patients ^{11, 18, 19}. The principles of treatment aims to inactivate MTrPs, restore normal muscle function, and correct factors that trigger MTrPs. As numerous treatment options are available, treatment plans should consider individual parameters, lesion site, and disease progression. Dry needling involves the insertion of a solid filiform needle into the MTrP for stimulate it ²⁰. The therapeutic effects of DN have been explained by an integrated hypothesis that involves mechanical and neurophysiological actions ²¹. DN has been shown to be an effective therapy for musculoskeletal disorders, albeit with some studies showing the results lasting only for short periods ²². It is also a well-accepted adjunct for MPS treatment. The therapeutic actions of ozone are attributed to its anti-inflammatory properties. It is also known to increase glucose metabolism in muscles, ameliorate tissue hypoxia, improve erythrocyte activity, and optimise protein metabolism ²³.

Many studies have compared DN and trigger point injections (TrPI), with varying results ^{1, 24, 25}. Studies and systematic reviews have shown that DN alone resulted in improved pain in patients with MPS compared to placebo, although the effect was short- termed ^{26, 27, 28}. Recent studies have observed that DN has an additive effect when combined with physiotherapeutic interventions rather than a single procedure ²⁹. The efficacy of TrPI in MPS is well documented, although no recommendations regarding an ideal injectate have been made ³⁰. Recent meta-analysis showed that TrPI with local anaesthetics and corticosteroids has good short-term pain relief in MPS, while TrPI with platelet-rich plasma and DN fared better in the long term, indicating the variable response to different injectates ^{31, 32}. Conversely, a few trials have shown good long-term pain relief with TrPI with injectates, such as lidocaine & saline ^{33, 34}. Results of our study, using OI as the injectate, showed a similar improvement in symptoms.

Ozone therapy is a promising minimally invasive intervention with an active role in reducing pain and/or improving functionality in musculoskeletal disorders when used alone or in combination with other modalities³⁵. However, only a handful of studies have compared the utility of OI to that of other TrPIs. Ozone was shown to significantly improve pain and functional and sonographic parameters compared with corticosteroid infiltration in a study involving chronic plantar fasciitis. However, the effect was slow in onset but longer in duration³⁶. Similar longevity has been shown in studies using ozone and corticosteroids for carpal tunnel syndrome, with better pain relief and improved functional status³⁷. In a study comparing DN with lidocaine and ozone TrIP in MPS, all three methods improved the symptoms. However, there was a statistically significant difference in the improvement in pain (visual analogue scale [VAS]), NDI, and PPT, favouring OI and lidocaine¹. Patients receiving OI showed greater improvement in VAS, PPT, and NDI than those receiving lignocaine, but the difference was not statistically significant. In our study, both DN and OI showed improvement in symptoms; however, OI showed a statistically significant improvement in NPS and NDI, while TIS and LNF were the same for both groups. None of the patients developed local or systemic adverse effects due to the OI. A limitation of our study is the lack of long-term follow-up, which would have probably resulted in further improvements in the parameters, as seen in other studies.

CONCLUSION

Both dry needling and ozone injection are effective modalities for the treatment of myofascial pain syndrome. Ozone injection showed significant additional benefits. A comparative study with a large cohort is recommended to determine if one method is better than the other.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. This study received no funding.

REFERENCES

1. Raeissadat SA, Rayegani SM, Sadeghi F, Rahimi-Dehgolan S. Comparison of ozone and lidocaine injection efficacy vs dry needling in myofascial pain syndrome patients. *J Pain Res*. 2018 Jun 29;11:1273–9.
2. Tunks E, McCain GA, Hart LE, Teasell RW, Goldsmith CH, Rollman GB, *et al*. The reliability of examination for tenderness in patients with myofascial pain, chronic fibromyalgia and controls. *J Rheumatol*. 1995 May;22(5):944–52.
3. Bourgaize S, Newton G, Kumbhare D, Srbely J. A comparison of the clinical manifestation and pathophysiology of myofascial pain syndrome and fibromyalgia: implications for differential diagnosis and management. *J Can Chiropr Assoc*. 2018 Apr;62(1):26-41.
4. Cerezo-Téllez E, Torres-Lacomba M, Mayoral-Del Moral O, Sánchez-Sánchez B, Dommerholt J, Gutiérrez-Ortega C. Prevalence of Myofascial Pain Syndrome in Chronic Non-Specific Neck Pain: A Population-Based Cross-Sectional Descriptive Study. *Pain Med*. 2016 Dec;17(12):2369-2377. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnw114. Epub 2016 Jun 20. PMID: 28025371.
5. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Cook C, Cleland JA, Florencio LL. The cervical spine in tension type headache. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2023 Aug;66:102780. doi: 10.1016/j.msksp.2023.102780.

6. Aldabbas MM, Tanwar T, Iram I, Salman M, Veqar Z. A comparison of nocturnal upper trapezius muscle activity between chronic neck pain patients with sleep disturbance and healthy participants. *Sleep Breath*. 2023 Jul 8. doi: 10.1007/s11325-023-02867-4.
7. Basford JR, An K-N. New techniques for the quantification of fibromyalgia and myofascial pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2009 Oct;13(5):376–8.
8. Kietrys DM, Palombaro KM, Azzaretto E, Hubler R, Schaller B, Schluskel JM, *et al*. Effectiveness of dry needling for upper-quarter myofascial pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2013 Sep;43(9):620–34.
9. Simons DG, Travell JG. *Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction - the trigger point Manual*. Vol. 1. 2nd ed. Johnson E, editor. Media: Williams & Wilkins; 1999
10. Shah JP, Thaker N, Heimur J, Aredo JV, Sikdar S, Gerber LH. Myofascial Trigger Points Then and Now: A Historical and Scientific Perspective. *PM R*. 2015 Jul;7(7):746–61.
11. Saxena A, Chansoria M, Tomar G, Kumar A. Myofascial pain syndrome: an overview. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother*. 2015 Mar;29(1):16-21. doi: 10.3109/15360288.2014.997853.
12. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Dommerholt J. International consensus on diagnostic criteria and clinical considerations of myofascial trigger points: a delphi study. *Pain Med (Malden Mass)* 2018;19(1):142–50. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnx207.
13. Baeumler P, Hupe K, Irnich D. Proposal of a diagnostic algorithm for myofascial trigger points based on a multiple correspondence analysis of cross-sectional data. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2023 Jan 24;24(1):62. doi: 10.1186/s12891-023-06129-y.
14. Sikdar S, Shah JP, Gebreab T, Yen R-H, Gilliams E, Danoff J, *et al*. Novel Applications of Ultrasound Technology to Visualize and Characterize Myofascial Trigger Points and Surrounding Soft Tissue. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2009 Nov;90(11):1829–38.
15. Fleckenstein J, Zaps D, Rüger LJ, Lehmeyer L, Freiberg F, Lang PM, *et al*. Discrepancy between prevalence and perceived effectiveness of treatment methods in myofascial pain syndrome: results of a cross-sectional, nationwide survey. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2010 Feb 11;11:32.
16. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J Rheumatol*. 1988 Dec;15(12):1833–40.
17. Borrelli E, Alex A, re, Iliakis E, Alex A, re, *et al*. Disc Herniation and Knee Arthritis as Chronic Oxidative Stress Diseases: The Therapeutic Role of Oxygen Ozone Therapy. *J Arthritis*. 2015 Aug 15;4(3):1–6.
18. Simons DG. Understanding effective treatments of myofascial trigger points. *J Bodywork Movement Therap*. 2002;6:81-8.
19. Galasso A, Urts I, An D, *et al*. A Comprehensive Review of the Treatment and Management of Myofascial Pain Syndrome. *Current Pain and Headache Reports* (2020) 24:43 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-020-00877-5>
20. American Physical Therapy Association (APTA). *Description of Dry Needling in Clinical Practice: An Educational Resource Paper*. Virginia: APTA Public Policy P, and Professional Affairs Unit; 2013.
21. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Nijs J. Trigger point dry needling for the treatment of myofascial pain syndrome: current perspectives within a pain neuroscience paradigm. *J Pain Res*. 2019 Jun 18;12:1899-1911. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S154728.

22. Unverzagt C, Berglund K, Thomas JJ. Dry needling for myofascial trigger point pain: a clinical commentary. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2015 Jun;10(3):402-18.
23. Akkawi I. Ozone therapy for musculoskeletal disorders Current concepts. *Acta Biomed.* 2020 Nov 12;91(4):e2020191. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i4.8979.
24. Navarro-Santana MJ, Sanchez-Infante J, Gómez-Chiguano GF, Cleland JA, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Martín-Casas P, Plaza-Manzano G. Dry Needling Versus Trigger Point Injection for Neck Pain Symptoms Associated with Myofascial Trigger Points: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pain Med.* 2022 Mar 2;23(3):515-525. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnab188.
25. Aksu Ö, Pekin Doğan Y, Sayiner Çağlar N, Şener BM. Comparison of the efficacy of dry needling and trigger point injections with exercise in temporomandibular myofascial pain treatment. *Turk J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2019 Aug 20;65(3):228-235. doi: 10.5606/tftrd.2019.1802.
26. Kietrys DM, Palombaro KM, Azzaretto E, *et al.* Effectiveness of dry needling for upper-quarter myofascial pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2013 Sep;43(9):620-34. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2013.4668.
27. Espejo-Antúnez L, Tejeda JF, Albornoz-Cabello M, *et al.* Dry needling in the management of myofascial trigger points: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Complement Ther Med.* 2017 Aug;33:46-57. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2017.06.003.
28. Navarro-Santana MJ, Sanchez-Infante J, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Cleland JA, Martín-Casas P, Plaza-Manzano G. Effectiveness of Dry Needling for Myofascial Trigger Points Associated with Neck Pain Symptoms: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Med.* 2020 Oct 14;9(10):3300. doi: 10.3390/jcm9103300.
29. Chys M, De Meulemeester K, De Greef I, *et al.* Clinical Effectiveness of Dry Needling in Patients with Musculoskeletal Pain-An Umbrella Review. *J Clin Med.* 2023 Feb 2;12(3):1205. doi: 10.3390/jcm12031205.
30. Debrosse M, Shergill S, Shah A *et al.* Trigger point injection therapies for chronic myofascial neck and back pain: A systematic review. *Int Pain Med.* 2022 Sep; 1(3). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpm.2022.100076>.
31. Griswold D, Learman K, Ickert E, *et al.* Comparing dry needling or local acupuncture to various wet needling injection types for musculoskeletal pain and disability. A systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2023 Jan 12;1-15. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2023.2165731.
32. Sousa Filho LF, Barbosa Santos MM, Dos Santos GHF, da Silva Júnior WM. Corticosteroid injection or dry needling for musculoskeletal pain and disability? A systematic review and GRADE evidence synthesis. *Chiropr Man Therap.* 2021 Dec 2;29(1):49. doi: 10.1186/s12998-021-00408-y.
33. Tantanip A, Patisumpitawong W, Lee S. Comparison of the Effects of Physiologic Saline Interfascial and Lidocaine Trigger Point Injections in Treatment of Myofascial Pain Syndrome: A Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arch Rehabil Res Clin Transl.* 2021 Mar 9;3(2):100119. doi: 10.1016/j.arrct.2021.100119.
34. Rezasoltani Z, Ehyae H, Mofrad RK, *et al.* Granisetron vs. lidocaine injection to trigger points in the management of myofascial pain syndrome: a double-blind randomized clinical trial. *Scand J Pain.* 2021 Mar 10;21(4):707-715. doi: 10.1515/sjpain-2020-0154.
35. de Sire A, Agostini F, Lippi L, *et al.* Oxygen-Ozone Therapy in the Rehabilitation Field: State of the Art on Mechanisms of Action, Safety and Effectiveness in Patients with Musculoskeletal Disorders. *Biomolecules.* 2021;11(3):356. doi:10.3390/biom11030356

36. Babaei-Ghazani A, Karimi N, Forogh B, Madani SP, Ebadi S, Fadavi HR, *et al.* Comparison of Ultrasound-Guided Local Ozone (O₂-O₃) Injection vs Corticosteroid Injection in the Treatment of Chronic Plantar Fasciitis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Pain Med.* 2019 Feb 1;20(2):314-322. doi: 10.1093/pm/pny066.
37. Elawamy A, Hassanien M, Talaat EA, Ali AM, Roushdy ASI, Kamel EZ. Intra-Carpal Injection of Ozone versus Methylprednisolone in Carpal Tunnel Syndrome of Systemic Sclerosis Patients: A Randomized Single-Blind Clinical Trial. *Pain Physician.* 2021 Jul;24(4):E453-E458.