

# ANALYSIS OF AUTO CAR JACK

Sujith Philip<sup>1\*</sup> and Deepak<sup>2</sup> B.Manoharan<sup>3</sup> and M. Jeganathan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Automobile Engineering  
Nehru Institute of Technology,coimbatore.

<sup>3</sup>Professor ( Tenure ), PMIST, Vallam, Thanjavur.

<sup>4</sup>Associate Professor,Designed Environment and Research Institute (DEAR Institute) Trichy-  
621 213.

[nitmechhod@gmail.com](mailto:nitmechhod@gmail.com)[jegann1978@gmail.com](mailto:jegann1978@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

Side road emergency is always a common headache especially during the tire punctured. This paper discussed the development of the car jack for emergency usage with using internal cigarette lighter power (12volts). The automatic easy car-jack utilized this power source to save individuals internal energy. Gear ratio was used to increase the lifting power. The car jacker was developed utilizing the Solidworks® and analyzed using Finite Element Analysis to check safety factor and force acting. The car jacker tested on real car and it proven it can be used commercially.

**Keywords:** automatic car jack, cigarette lighter, Solidworks, gear ratio

## INTRODUCTION

Car jack is commonly used to jack the car during maintenance or changing the tire. An automotive jack is a device used to raise all or part of a vehicle into the air in order to facilitate vehicle maintenances or breakdown repairs. Most people are familiar with the basic car jack (manually operated) and it's included as standard equipment for most of the new cars. Vehicle owners who would like to rotate their tires themselves either front to back and so forth or who may install snow tires before the winter and remove them in the spring need to use a jack to perform the job (ALI, 2004). Changing a flat tire is not a very pleasant experience. Nowadays, a variety of car jacks have been developed for lifting an automobile from a ground surface. Available car jacks, however, are typically manually operated and therefore require substantial laborious physical effort on the part of the user. Such jacks present difficulties for the elderly and handicapped and are especially disadvantageous under bad weather conditions (ACCC, 2007). Noor et al. (2008) were developed auto car jack using 12 volts internal car power.

In light of such inherent disadvantages, commercial automobile repair and service stations are commonly equipped with large and hi-tech car lift, wherein such lifts are raised and lowered via electrically-powered systems (BJC, 2008). However, due to their size and high costs of purchasing and maintaining electrically-powered car lifts, such lifts are not available to the average car owner. Such electrical-powered portable jacks not only remove the arduous task of lifting an automobile via manually-operated jacks, but further decrease the time needed to repair the automobile. Such a feature can be especially advantageous when it is necessary to repair an automobile on the side of a roadway or under other hazardous conditions (Lonon, 2007).

There also reports on car jacks which lead to a serious number of accidents. A specified jack purposed to hold up to 1000 kilograms, but tests undertaken by Consumer Affairs has revealed that it fails to work after lifting 250 kilograms and may physically break when it has a weight close to its 1000 kilograms capacity (BJC, 2008). Tests have proven that the jack has the propensity to buckle well under the weight it is promoted to withstand, and it doesn't meet the minimum or performance requirements of the Australian Standard for vehicle jacks (Razzaghi and Douglasville, 2007). The purpose of this project is to develop a car jack which is easy to be operated, safe and able lift and lowering the car without involving much physical effort. This paper discussed the analysis of newly developed Jackcar (Vasanthi and Jeganathan 2007, Vasanthi et.al., 2008, Raajasubramanian et.al., 2011, Jeganathan et.al., 2012, 2014, Sridhar et.al., 2012, Gunaselvi et.al., 2014, Premalatha et.al., 2015, Seshadri et.al., 2015, Shakila et.al., 2015, Ashok et.al., 2016, Satheesh Kumar et.al., 2016).

### EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

Newly developed Jackcar prototype is shown in Figure 1. It also included a switch buttons system to raise and lowering the jack (Head load). According to Farhad, the pneumatic and hydraulic jack is not safe because usually need maintenance and sometime leaked (Rankine, 2007). The development will be based on scissor jack. Besides that, the screw shaft which can be rotated and raise the head load up and down is used. The screw shaft is a critical part because in this design it needs a system which can withstand the load and lock the raised level of the jack. The manufacturer and calculated value for the motor torque, it supplied 5.877N.m torque which is high enough and suitable for the project (Heizer and Render, 2006). The usage of the gearing system as shown in Figure 2 is to increase the torque up to 17.631N.m (Shigley, 2004). Lastly, the stabilizer base is to support the weight of the motor and to stabilize the scissor jack. It is also good for flat surface when jacking the car (Kalpakjian and Schmid, 2001).



Figure 1: Developed prototype




Figure 2: Gearing system

The mechanism lifting system was applied on the scissor jack. The scissor jack specific description is it can withstand the maximum load of 850kg which is the best because the test car for this project is a PERODUA Kancil® (682kg) (Owens, 1998). ALGOR software is used to determine the failure when optimum force is applied on the scissor jack on the gears and on the scissor mechanism. The scope of the weight of the car is about 681 kg for kancil's car which equal to 6690.42 N. In the analysis of the scissor mechanism, the weight will be a variable factor which 2943N (300kg) will be the maximum weight because when a car is jacked on one point which less than the weight of the car (Lonon, 2007). In this section, only upper part of the scissor jack will be analyzed because the shape duplicate with lower part of the scissor jack. The force direction will be on the head of the jack because the load become distribution loads (Heizer and Render, 2006, Manikandan et.al., 2016, Sethuraman et.al., 2016, Senthil Thambi et.al., 2016, Ashok et.al., 2018, Senthilkumar et.al., 2018, Sundar and Jeganathan 2019 & 2020, Anandan et.al., 2019, Murugavel et.al., 2019, Arokiaswamy et.al., 2019 & 2020, Ganesh Babu et.al., 2020, Gomathi et.al., 2019 & 2020, Manju et.al., 2020, Leema Rose et.al., 2020).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the analysis on Finite Element Analysis, it shows that the maximum nodal displacement magnitude on the system car jack is around 0.053 as shown in Figure 3 when maximum load (2943 N) applied. Furthermore, it observed that maximum Von Mises stress stand at 102.6439N/mm<sup>2</sup>, where this indicates the stress values in safe point because  $\sigma_{vm} < \sigma_y$  since tensor stress for low carbon steel is 250 MPa (Heizer and Render, 2006). Table 1 show the load varies with Von Mises stress and Nodal displacement. Figure 4 show the load versus Von Mises stress. The maximum torque that can be reached respectively increases of

the height of the lifting is shown in Figure5. The height is measured on Kancil 660 cc car (PDL 146). The actual car height from the ground to the base of the car is 0.13 m. The torque needed is obtained from the torque wrench where inserted into the shaft of the scissor jack. The torque is increased parallel to the height until at point 0.23m to 0.29 m the torque maintain constantly at 9 Nm. According to Razzaghi and Douglasville (2007), the maximum a car can be lifted under a safety condition is 0.32. Tables 2 show the specification for the newly developed Jackcar.



Figure 3: Maximum value for load 2943.0 N= 300kg for nodal displacement and stress Von Misses




Figure 4: Load versus Von Misses stress




Figure 5: Height versus Torque

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the testing and results from the analysis, it is considered safe to use Jackcar work under certain specifications. Furthermore the torque supplied on the system is more than enough to lift a Kancil car. There are certain weak point that can be improved based on gear, motor and design.

**REFERENCES**

1. ACCC (Australian Competition and Consumer Commission). 2007. Department of Health and ageing 'Safety Alert working under a vehicle'. 2007 Brochure.
2. ALI (American Lift Institute) 2004. Automotive Lift - Purchase Considerations. July.
3. BJC (Boston Jacks Corporation). 2008. Jacking beams manufacturer ISO 9002 (BS5750) 500. www.boston-ge.com accessed on October 21, 2009.
4. Heizer, J. and Render, B. 2006. Operations management, Sixth Edition: Pearson International Edition.
5. Kalpakjian, S. and Schmid, S.R. 2001. Manufacturing engineering and technology.
6. Fourth Edition: Prentice Hall International.
7. Lonon, E.M., East Orange, N.J. 1992. Motorized Jack. US Patent No. 5085407.
8. Noor, M.M., Kadirgama, K., Rahman, M.M., Sani, M.S.M., Rejab, M.R.M., 2008. Development of auto car jack using internal car power. Malaysian Science and Technology Congress, pp. 593-598.
9. Owens, M.T. 1998. The Washington Times: USMA report on the Integration and Performance of Women at West Point.
10. Rankine, H.J. 2007. Minister for consumer affairs, Government of South Australia.
11. News release: 'Vehicle jack that can't stand the strain' Tuesday 31st July 2009.
12. Razzaghi, F. and Douglasville, G.A. 2007. Apparatus and method for an electric jack.
13. US Patent. Patent number: US2007/0256526 A1.
14. Shigley, J.E. 2004. 7th edition, Mechanical Engineering Design. New York: McGraw Hill.
15. Vasanthy M and M. Jeganathan. 2007. Ambient air quality in terms of NOx in and around Ariyalur, Perambalur DT, Tamil Nadu. Jr. of Industrial pollution Control., 23(1):141-144.
16. Vasanthy. M ,A.Geetha, M. Jeganathan, and A.Anitha. 2007. A study on drinking water quality in Ariyalur area. J.Nature Environment and Pollution Technology. 8(1):253-256.
17. Ramanathan R ,M. Jeganathan, and T. Jeyakavitha. 2006. Impact of cement dust on azadirachtain dicaleaves – a measure of air pollution in and Around Ariyalur. J. Industrial Pollution Control. 22 (2): 273-276.
18. Vasanthy M and M. Jeganathan. 2007. Ambient air quality in terms of NOx in and around Ariyalur, Perambalur DT, Tamil Nadu. Pollution Research., 27(1):165-167.
19. Vasanthy M and M. Jeganathan. 2008. Monitoring of air quality in terms of respirable particulate matter – A case study. Jr. of Industrial pollution Control., 24(1):53 - 55.
20. Vasanthy M, A.Geetha, M. Jeganathan, and M. Buvanewari. 2008. Phytoremediation of aqueous dye solution using blue devil (*Eichhornia crassipes*). J. Current Science. 9 (2): 903-906.
21. Raajasubramanian D, P. Sundaramoorthy, L. Baskaran, K. Sankar Ganesh, AL.A. Chidambaram and M. Jeganathan. 2011. Effect of cement dust pollution on germination and growth of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). IRMJ-Ecology. International Multidisciplinary Research Journal 2011, 1/1:25-30 : ISSN: 2231-6302: Available Online: <http://irjs.info/>.
22. Raajasubramanian D, P. Sundaramoorthy, L. Baskaran, K. Sankar Ganesh, AL.A. Chidambaram and M. Jeganathan. 2011. Cement dust pollution on growth and yield attributes of groundnut. (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). IRMJ-Ecology. International Multidisciplinary Research Journal 2011, 1/1:31-36. ISSN: 2231-6302. Available Online: <http://irjs.info/>
23. Jeganathan M, K. Sridhar and J.Abbas Mohaideen. 2012. Analysis of meteorological conditions of Ariyalur and construction of wind roses for the period of 5 years from January 2002. J.Ecotocol.Enviro.Monit., 22(4): 375-384.
24. Sridhar K, J.Abbas Mohaideen M. Jeganathan and P Jayakumar. 2012. Monitoring of air quality in terms of respirable particulate matter at Ariyalur, Tamilnadu. J.Ecotocol.Enviro.Monit., 22(5): 401-406.
25. Jeganathan M, K Maharajan C Sivasubramaniyan and A Manisekar. 2014. Impact of cement dust pollution on floral morphology and chlorophyll of *healiantus annus* plant – a case study. J.Ecotocol.Enviro.Monit., 24(1): 29-34.
26. Jeganathan M, C Sivasubramaniyan A Manisekar and M Vasanthy. 2014. Determination of cement kiln exhaust on air quality of ariyalur in terms of suspended particulate matter – a case study. IJPBA. 5(3): 1235-1243. ISSN:0976-3333.

27. Jeganathan M, S Gunaselvi K C Pazhani and M Vasanthy. 2014. Impact of cement dust pollution on floral morphology and chlorophyll of *healiantus annus*.plant a case study. IJPBA. 5(3): 1231-1234. ISSN:0976-3333.
28. Gunaselvi S, K C Pazhani and M. Jeganathan. 2014. Energy conservation and environmental management on uncertainty reduction in pollution by combustion of swirl burners. J. Ecotoxicol. Environ.Monit., 24(1): 1-11.
29. Jeganathan M, G Nageswari and M Vasanthy. 2014. A Survey of traditional medicinal plant of Ariyalur District in Tamilnadu. IJPBA. 5(3): 1244-1248. ISSN:0976-3333.
30. Premalatha P, C. Sivasubramanian, P Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari.2015. Effect of cement dust pollution on certain physical and biochemical parameters of castor plant (*ricinus communis*). IAJMR.1(2): 181-185.ISSN: 2454-1370.
31. Premalatha P, C. Sivasubramanian, P Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari.2015. Estimation of physico-chemical parameters on silver beach marine water of cuddalore district. Life Science Archives. 1(2): 196-199.ISSN: 2454-1354.
32. Seshadri V, C. Sivasubramanian P. Satheeshkumar M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. Comparative macronutrient, micronutrient and biochemical constituents analysis of *arachis hypogaea*. IAJMR.1(2): 186-190.ISSN: 2454-1370.
33. Seshadri V, C. Sivasubramanian P. Satheeshkumar M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. A detailed study on the effect of air pollution on certain physical and bio chemical parameters of *mangifera indicaplant*.Life Science Archives. 1(2): 200-203.ISSN: 2454-1354.
34. Shakila N, C. Sivasubramanian, P. Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. Effect of municipal sewage water on soil chemical composition- A executive summary. IAJMR.1(2): 191-195.ISSN: 2454-1370.
35. Shakila N, C. Sivasubramanian, P. Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. Bacterial enumeration in surface and bottom waters of two different fresh water aquatic eco systems in Ariyalur, Tamillnadu. Life Science Archives. 1(2): 204-207.ISSN: 2454-1354.
36. Ashok J, S. Senthamil kumar, P. Satheesh kumar and M. Jeganathan. 2016. Analysis of meteorological conditions of ariyalur district. Life Science Archives. 2(3): 579-585.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lsa.2016.2.3.9.
37. Ashok J, S. Senthamil Kumar, P. Satheesh Kumar and M. Jeganathan. 2016. Analysis of meteorological conditions of cuddalore district. IAJMR.2 (3): 603-608.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
38. Satheesh Kumar P, C. Sivasubramanian, M. Jeganathan and J. Ashok. 2016. South Indian vernacular architecture -A executive summary. IAJMR.2 (4): 655-661.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
39. Satheesh Kumar P, C. Sivasubramanian, M. Jeganathan and J. Ashok. 2016. Green buildings - A review. Life Science Archives. 2(3): 586-590.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lsa.2016.2.3.9.
40. Satheesh Kumar P, C. Sivasubramanian, M. Jeganathan and J. Ashok. 2016. Indoor outdoor green plantation in buildings - A case study. IAJMR.2 (3): 649-654.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
41. Manikandan R, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. Assessment of ground water quality in Cuddalore district, Tamilnadu, India. Life Science Archives. 2(4): 628-636.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lsa.2016.2.3.9.
42. Manikandan R, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. A study on water quality assessment of Ariyalur district, Tamilnadu, India. IAJMR.2 (4): 687-692.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
43. Sethuraman G, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. Assessment of air quality in Ariyalur, Tamilnadu, India. Life Science Archives. 2(4): 637-640.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lsa.2016.2.3.9.
44. Sethuraman G, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. A study on air quality assessment of Neyveli, Tamilnadu, India. IAJMR.2 (4): 693-697.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
45. Malarvannan J, C. Sivasubramanian, R. Sivasankar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari. 2016. Shading of building as a preventive measure for passive cooling and energy conservation – A case study. Indo – Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IAJMR): ISSN: 2454-1370. Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 906 – 910. DOI: 10.21276.iajmr.2016.2.6.10.
46. Malarvannan J, C. Sivasubramanian, R. Sivasankar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari. 2016. Assessment of water resource consumption in building construction in tamilnadu, India. Life Science Archives (LSA) ISSN: 2454-1354 Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 827 – 831 DOI: 10.21276/lsa.2016.2.6.7.

47. Sivasankar R, C. Sivasubramanian, J. Malarvannan, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari. 2016. A Study on water conservation aspects of green buildings. Life Science Archives (LSA),ISSN: 2454-1354. Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 832 – 836, DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.6.8.
48. Ashok J , S. Senthamil Kumar , P. Satheesh Kumar and M. Jeganathan. 2016. Analysis and design of heat resistant in building structures.Life Science Archives (LSA), ISSN: 2454-1354. Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 842 – 847. DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.6.10.