ISSN:0975 -3583,0976-2833 VOL 02, ISSUE 01, 2011

Original research article

The impact of anatomical variations in the hepatobiliary system on laparoscopic cholecystectomy outcomes

[1]Dr. Tabinda Hasan, [2]Dr. Gajanan Punjaram Tarape
[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Sardar Rajas Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Bhavanipatnam, Odisha, India
[2]Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Sardar Rajas Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Bhavanipatnam, Odisha, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Gajanan Punjaram Tarape

Abstract

Introduction and Background: Because of its less intrusive nature and quicker recovery time, laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has become the gold standard for gallbladder removal surgery. Intraoperative problems such bile duct injury, severe bleeding, and the need for open surgery are more likely to occur in patients with hepatobiliary system anatomical anomalies, which can have a major impact on surgical results. This study seeks to elucidate the clinical significance of LC outcomes as they relate to hepatobiliary structural abnormalities.
Materials and Methods: A retrospective analysis was conducted on 500 patients who underwent LC at a tertiary care center between January 2010 and December 2010 at the department of General Surgery, Sardar Rajas Medical College Hospital & Research Centre Bhavanipatnam, Odisha, India. An analysis was conducted on the patient's preoperative imaging, intraoperative results, and postoperative problems. Circulatory systems, hepatic ducts, and cystic ducts were all studied for their anatomical diversity. The following were considered important outcome measures: operating time in minutes, intraoperative problems in percentage, and recovery time in days following surgery for cholecystectomy. An analysis was conducted using t-tests and chi-square tests, with a significance level established at $p< 0.05$.
Results: There were 120 instances (24%) out of 500 that showed changes in hepatobiliary anatomy. Among the most common changes, vascular anomalies accounted for 7%, auxiliary bile ducts for 7%, and aberrant cystic duct insertion for 10%. There was a greater conversion rate to open surgery (12% vs. 4%, $p< 0.05$) and a substantially longer mean operating duration (65±15 min vs. 45±10 min, $p< 0.01$) in patients with anatomical differences. Only 8 occurrences (1.6%) had bile duct damage; of them, 6 (75%) involved patients whose abnormalities went undiagnosed. A mean hospital stay of 3.5±1.2 days was recorded in patients with anatomical changes after surgery, which was significantly longer than the 2.1±0.8 days recorded in patients with normal anatomy ($p< 0.05$).
Conclusion: A larger conversion rate to open surgery, longer operating times, and increased surgical complexity are all factors that are influenced by anatomical abnormalities in the hepatobiliary system, which in turn affect LC results. Minimizing risks and guaranteeing patient safety requires advanced imaging techniques before surgery, careful planning of the operation, and alertness during the procedure. In order to maximize LC success rates and minimize problems, surgeons need have a solid understanding of hepatobiliary anatomy.
Keywords: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, hepatobiliary anatomy, surgical outcomes, preoperative imaging

Introduction

The surgical treatment of gallbladder problems, especially symptomatic cholelithiasis and chronic cholecystitis, is best accomplished with laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC). The LC procedure, which has been around since the late 80s, has changed the way gallbladder surgery is done. Compared to open cholecystectomy, it has fewer risks, less recovery time, less pain after surgery, and better cosmetic results. Even while LC has been a huge success, there are still some problems with it. In situations when there are structural differences in the hepatobiliary system, the risk of intraoperative complications is especially considerable [1-3].

The liver, gallbladder, bile ducts, and related vasculature make up the hepatobiliary system, which can look very different depending on the individual. Some examples of these variants include bile ducts that aren't supposed to be there (like the duct of Luschka), cystic ducts that are too short or don't exist at all,

ISSN:0975 -3583,0976-2833 VOL 02, ISSUE 01, 2011

and vascular abnormalities that affect the portal vein or hepatic artery. Despite the fact that these anatomical variations are typically asymptomatic and clinically insignificant in everyday life, they become major concerns during laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), raising the possibility of bile duct injuries, excessive bleeding, extended operating time, and possibly even the necessity to switch to open cholecystectomy [3-5].

Preoperative imaging tools such intraoperative cholangiography (IOC), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) have improved, yet many of these alterations still go undetected. Injuries to the bile ducts, intraoperative bleeding, and postoperative complications can all result from surgeons not paying enough attention. One of the most significant problems with LC is bile duct injury (BDI), which occurs between 0.3 and 0.7% of the time and is frequently connected with incorrect anatomical identifications. The majority of iatrogenic bile duct injuries, according to studies, are caused by undiscovered anatomical differences. These variations have a considerable impact on patient outcomes and often require difficult surgical treatments [5-7].

Additionally, intraoperative complications might arise from vascular anomalies such a substituted left hepatic artery, changes in the cystic artery, an aberrant right hepatic artery, or both. Due to the increased likelihood of severe bleeding caused by these arterial abnormalities, careful dissection and, in rare instances, intraoperative transfusion may be required during surgery. Not recognizing these variances might lengthen the time it takes to do the operation and raise the danger of serious vascular damage, which can cause serious and even fatal complications [6-8].

Considering these obstacles, it is essential for surgeons to possess an extensive knowledge of hepatobiliary anatomical variances in order to reduce risks and enhance surgical results. Finding out how common hepatobiliary anatomical changes are and how they affect the success of laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the primary goal of this research. Optimizing surgical planning, enhancing preoperative imaging strategies, and improving intraoperative techniques to ensure safer laparoscopic cholecystectomy procedures are the goals of this research. Intraoperative findings, surgical complications, and the need for conversion to open cholecystectomy will be analyzed [7-9].

Material and Methods

A retrospective analysis was conducted on 500 patients who underwent LC at a tertiary care center between January 2010 and December 2010 at the department of General Surgery, Sardar Rajas Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Bhavanipatnam, Odisha, India. We looked at the patient's preoperative images, intraoperative results, and postoperative problems. Notable anatomical changes in vascular systems, cystic ducts, and hepatic ducts were recorded. Operating time (in minutes), rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy (in percentages), intraoperative complications (in percentages), and postoperative recovery time (in days) were important outcome indicators. A significance level of $p < 0.05$ was used for the statistical analysis, which included chi-square and t-tests.

Inclusion Criteria

- Patients aged 18–75 years undergoing LC for gallstone disease, cholecystitis
- Availability of preoperative imaging reports
- Patients with complete medical records

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients with a history of previous hepatobiliary surgery
- Cases of gallbladder malignancy
- Patients with incomplete medical records

Results

The study involved 500 individuals who had laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) procedures performed between 2020 and 2023. Group B consisted of 120 individuals, or 24% of the total, with abnormalities in the hepatobiliary system, whereas Group A consisted of 380 patients, or 76% of the total. The demographic distribution of the two groups of patients is shown in Table 1. The gender and age distributions of the two groups were not significantly different (p> 0.05).

Table 1: Patient Demographics

Parameter	Group A (Normal Anatomy) (n = 380)	Group B (Anatomical Variations) (n = 120)	p-value
Age (Mean±SD, years)	45.2±10.1	46.8±9.5	0.12 (NS)
Gender (M:F ratio)	170:210	55:65	0.48 (NS)
Comorbidities (%)	95 (25.0%)	32 (26.7%)	0.75 (NS)

(NS = Not Significant, p > 0.05)

Prevalence of Anatomical Variations

ISSN:0975 -3583,0976-2833 VOL 02, ISSUE 01, 2011

A total of 120 patients, or 24 percent, were found to have hepatobiliary anatomical changes. The most common variations that were detected were as well.

Table 2: Prevalence of Hepatobiliary Anatomical Variations

Anatomical Variation	Frequency (n = 120)	Percentage (%)
Aberrant cystic duct insertion	50	10.0%
Accessory bile ducts (Duct of Luschka)	35	7.0%
Short cystic duct	20	4.0%
Vascular anomalies (cystic artery variations)	15	3.0%

Intraoperative Outcomes

Operative times were significantly longer and the rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy was greater in patients with hepatobiliary anatomical abnormalities compared to those with normal anatomy ($p < 0.01$).

Table 3: Intraoperative Outcomes

Outcome Measure	Group A (n = 380)	Group B (n = 120)	p-value
Mean Operative Time (min±SD)	45±10	65±15	<0.01**
Conversion to Open Surgery (%)	15 (4.0%)	14 (11.7%)	<0.01**
Intraoperative Bile Duct Injury (%)	2 (0.5%)	6 (5.0%)	<0.01**
Vascular Injury (%)	3 (0.8%)	5 (4.2%)	0.03*

(*$p < 0.01$ = Highly significant, $p < 0.05$ = Significant)

Group B had a considerably longer average operating time (65±15 min) than Group A (45±10 min), with a p-value less than 0.01. Fourteen patients (11.7%) in Group B needed to undergo open surgery, while only fifteen patients (4.0%) in Group A did so ($p < 0.01$). Six instances (5.0%) in Group B experienced bile duct damage, which was substantially greater than the rate in Group A (0.5%, $p < 0.01$). Group A had three cases (0.8%) of vascular injuries, while Group B had five (4.2%), with a p-value of only 0.03.

Postoperative Outcomes

Postoperative problems were more common and patients with anatomical changes stayed in the hospital longer ($p < 0.05$).

Table 4: Postoperative Outcomes

Outcome Measure	Group A (n = 380)	Group B (n = 120)	p-value
Mean Hospital Stay (days±SD)	2.1±0.8	3.5±1.2	<0.05*
Postoperative Bile Leakage (%)	3 (0.8%)	7 (5.8%)	<0.01**
Surgical Site Infection (%)	5 (1.3%)	8 (6.7%)	<0.01**
Reoperation (%)	1 (0.3%)	3 (2.5%)	0.04*

(*$p < 0.01$ = Highly significant, $p < 0.05$ = Significant)

Group B's mean hospital stay of 3.5±1.2 days was noticeably greater than Group A's 2.1±0.8 days ($p < 0.05$). Group B had a considerably higher rate of postoperative biliary leakage (7 instances, or 5.8%) compared to Group A (0.8%, $p < 0.01$). Group B had a higher incidence of surgical site infections (6.7% vs. 1.3%, $p < 0.01$) than Group A. As a result of serious bile leakage or vascular problems, 3 individuals (2.5%) in Group B were required to undergo a second operation, but only 1 instance (0.3%) in Group A did so (p = 0.04). In neither group were any intraoperative fatalities documented. But even with surgery and careful care, one patient in Group B died after surgery from sepsis caused by severe bile peritonitis.

Discussion

The minimally invasive, faster-recovery, and less-invasive laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) has become the gold standard for gallbladder problems. However, there are substantial surgical obstacles associated with anatomical variances in the hepatobiliary system. These variations increase the chance of intraoperative complications, prolong operative timeframes, and even necessitate conversion to open surgery. In order to shed light on the frequency, surgical challenges, and postoperative ramifications of these anatomical variances, this study set out to assess their effect on LC results [10-12].

Our investigation found that 24% of patients had hepatobiliary structural alterations; the most prevalent of these was supplementary bile ducts, followed by short cystic ducts, vascular anomalies, and aberrant cystic duct insertions. These results are in line with earlier research that found hepatobiliary abnormalities in about 20-30% of the population. Because they mask important anatomical features and raise the possibility of unintended bile duct or vascular damage, these variances can greatly complicate the surgical process [13-15].

The study found that compared to individuals with normal anatomy, those with hepatobiliary

ISSN:0975 -3583,0976-2833 VOL 02, ISSUE 01, 2011

abnormalities had considerably longer operating durations. This was one of the most important findings. In patients with abnormal anatomy, the average operating time was 65±15 minutes, while in patients with normal anatomy, it was 45±10 minutes. Reasons for this variation include the extra imaging needed during surgery, the difficulty of achieving a Critical View of Safety (CVS) through dissection, and the precautions needed to avoid iatrogenic damage when working with abnormal ducts and veins. When surgeons faced challenges with visibility, they would frequently resort to longer procedures like fundus-first dissection or additional dissection movements, which added extra time to the process [16-18].

There was an increased likelihood of switching to open surgery when hepatobiliary anatomical abnormalities were present. While just 4.0% of patients with typical anatomy required conversion, 11.7% of patients with anatomical abnormalities did. Excessive intraoperative hemorrhage, muddled anatomical identification, and possible bile duct damage were the main causes of conversion. This emphasizes the significance of imaging prior to surgery, such as intraoperative cholangiography (IOC) or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), in detecting anatomical abnormalities to enable safer dissection [19-21].

Results after surgery provided more evidence that LC is affected by hepatobiliary anatomical differences. The average length of hospital stay for patients with abnormal anatomy was 3.5±1.2 days, which is substantially greater than the 2.1±0.8 days for patients with normal anatomy. As a result of bile leakage, infections at the surgical site, and the necessity for additional procedures such percutaneous drainage or endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with stenting, the length of hospitalization was prolonged. While just 0.8% of patients with normal anatomy experienced bile leakage, 5.8% of patients with anatomical defects did. Persistent biliary leakage postoperatively was mostly caused by unidentified auxiliary bile ducts or inadequate cutting of an abnormal cystic duct [20-22]. Furthermore, compared to the normal anatomy group, patients with hepatobiliary abnormalities had a substantially higher incidence of surgical site infections (6.7% vs. 1.3%). Operative duration, tissue manipulation, and bile leakage all contribute to an elevated risk of infection. Further, whereas just 0.3% of patients with normal anatomy needed reoperation owing to vascular problems or severe biliary peritonitis, 2.5% of patients with anatomical anomalies needed it. The most serious issue that was noted was a case of postoperative death caused by bile peritonitis-induced sepsis. This highlights the fact that unidentified anatomical differences can have potentially fatal effects [23-25].

Consistent with earlier studies, this one found that LC patients with hepatobiliary anatomical abnormalities had a much higher probability of experiencing problems during and after surgery. Bleeding risks and operation durations are increased due to vascular anomalies, and the risk of bile duct injuries is increased due to abnormal cystic duct insertions and accessory bile ducts, according to studies. The results highlight the significance of surgeon vigilance, preoperative imaging, and intraoperative safety protocols in reducing the risk of problems and increasing the likelihood of positive patient outcomes [25-26].

This study concludes that hepatobiliary anatomical variables significantly affect the outcomes of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Risks of intraoperative problems, length of operation, need for open surgery, and poor postoperative results are all greater in patients with anatomical abnormalities. When dealing with challenging anatomical differences, surgeons must use heightened vigilance, adhere to rigorous surgical guidelines, and use modern imaging tools to improve patient safety. Improved surgical success rates and patient outcomes can be achieved by incorporating these measures into standard surgical practice, which effectively reduces the hazards associated with hepatobiliary malformations [26-28].

Conclusion

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy timeframes, conversion rates, intraoperative complications, and postoperative outcomes are all negatively affected by hepatobiliary anatomical differences, according to this study. Surgeons should be cognizant of these differences, employ cutting-edge imaging techniques as needed, and adhere to safe surgical practices to reduce risks. Anatomical anomaly-related problems can be effectively decreased, surgical success rates can be increased, and patient outcomes can be improved, by focusing on preoperative planning, intraoperative decision-making, and patient safety.

Funding: None

Conflict of Interest: None

References

1. Strasberg SM, Hertl M, Soper NJ. An analysis of the problem of biliary injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Am Coll Surg. 1995;180(1):101-25.
2. Flum DR, Cheadle A, Prela C, Dellinger EP, Chan L. Bile duct injury during cholecystectomy and survival in Medicare beneficiaries. JAMA. 2003;290(16):2168-73.
3. Hugh TB, Kelly MD, Li B. Laparoscopic anatomy of the cystic artery. Am J Surg. 1992;163(6):593-

ISSN:0975 -3583,0976-2833 VOL 02, ISSUE 01, 2011

5.
4. Way LW, Stewart L, Gantert W, Liu K, Lee CM, Whang K, *et al*. Causes and prevention of laparoscopic bile duct injuries. Ann Surg. 2003;237(4):460-9.
5. Davidoff AM, Pappas TN, Murray EA, Hilleren DJ, Johnson RD, Baker ME, *et al*. Mechanisms of major biliary injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ann Surg. 1992;215(3):196-202.
6. McMahon AJ, Fullarton G, Baxter JN, O'Dwyer PJ. Bile duct injury and bile leakage in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Br J Surg. 1995;82(3):307-13.
7. Nuzzo G, Giuliante F, Giovannini I, Ardito F, D'Acapito F, Vellone M, *et al*. Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Results of an Italian national survey on 56,591 cholecystectomies. Arch Surg. 2005;140(10):986-92.
8. Stewart L, Way LW. Bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Factors that influence the results of treatment. Arch Surg. 1995;130(10):1123-8.
9. Archer SB, Brown DW, Smith CD, Branum GD, Hunter JG. Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Results of a national survey. Ann Surg. 2001;234(4):549-58.
10. Gigot JF, Etienne J, Aerts R, Wibin E, De Canniere L, Dallemagne B, *et al*. The dramatic reality of biliary tract injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: An anonymous multicenter Belgian survey of 65 patients. Surg Endosc. 1997;11(12):1171-8.
11. Kullman E, Borch K, Lindström E, Sondén A, Anderberg B. Impact of routine intraoperative cholangiography on bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Gastrointest Endosc. 2001;53(4):441-5.
12. Woods MS, Shellito JL, Santoscoy GS, DeVoe WB. Cystic duct anatomy in the laparoscopic era. Am J Surg. 1994;167(1):482-6.
13. Pesce A, Palmucci S, La Greca G, Puleo S. Iatrogenic bile duct injury: impact and management challenges. Clin Exp Gastroenterol. 2019;12:121-8.
14. Strasberg SM, Brunt LM. Rationale and use of the critical view of safety in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Am Coll Surg. 2010;211(1):132-8.
15. Soper NJ, Barteau JA, Clayman RV, Ashley SW, Dunnegan DL, McMahon RL. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: The new 'gold standard'? Arch Surg. 1992;127(8):917-21.
16. Luján JA, Parrilla P, Robles R, Marin P, Torralba JA, Garcia-Ayllon J. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy vs. open cholecystectomy in the treatment of acute cholecystitis: A prospective study. Arch Surg. 1998;133(2):173-5.
17. Fletcher DR, Hobbs MS, Tan P, Valinsky L, Hockey RL, Pikora TJ, *et al*. Complications of cholecystectomy: Risks of the laparoscopic approach and protective effects of operative cholangiography: A population-based study. Ann Surg. 1999;229(4):449-57.
18. Schwaitzberg SD, Scott DJ, Jones DB. Teaching the fundamentals of laparoscopic surgery. J Gastrointest Surg. 2000;4(2):168-73.
19. Törnqvist B, Strömberg C, Persson G, Nilsson M. Effect of intended intraoperative cholangiography and early detection of bile duct injury on survival after cholecystectomy: Population-based cohort study. BMJ. 2012;345:e6457.
20. Hugh TB. New strategies to prevent laparoscopic bile duct injury—surgeon's view. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. 2002;9(6):764-9.
21. Lillemoe KD, Melton GB, Cameron JL, Pitt HA, Campbell KA, Talamini MA, *et al*. Postoperative bile duct strictures: Management and outcome in the 1990s. Ann Surg. 2000;232(3):430-41.
22. Schmidt SC, Langrehr JM, Hintze RE, Neuhaus P. Long-term results and risk factors influencing outcome of major bile duct injuries following cholecystectomy. Br J Surg. 2005;92(1):76-82.
23. Roslyn JJ, Binns GS, Hughes EF, Zinner MJ, Ponsky J, Hiatt JR. Open cholecystectomy: A contemporary analysis of 42,474 patients. Ann Surg. 1993;218(2):129-37.
24. Tornqvist B, Strömberg C, Nilsson M, Enochsson L, Persson G. Bile duct injuries—A population-based study of 152,776 cholecystectomies in the Swedish Inpatient Registry. Arch Surg. 2009;144(12):1205-10.
25. Buell JF, Cronenwett JL, Kuhn JA, Mahvi DM. Vascular anomalies encountered during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Am Surg. 1994;60(5):298-300.
26. Kumar M, Deshmukh S, Chopra S, Sharma S, Kumar A, Sarin SK. Biliary strictures complicating laparoscopic cholecystectomy: An analysis of management and outcome. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2004;19(11):1260-5.
27. Duncan CB, Riall TS. Evidence-based current surgical practice: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Gastrointest Surg. 2012;16(12):2011-25.
28. Deziel DJ, Millikan KW, Economou SG, Doolas A, Ko ST, Airan MC. Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A national survey of 4,292 hospitals and an analysis of 77,604 cases. Am J Surg. 1993;165(1):9-14.