Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research
ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL4, ISSUE 3, 2013

Gallbladder Lesions: A Histopathological Analysis with Clinicoradiological Correlation.

DR. K NAGA LAKSHMI

Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Katuri Medical College & Hospital, Katuri Health City, Andhra Pradesh, (Corresponding Author).

Abstract

Background: Gallbladder pathology encompasses a wide spectrum of conditions, from benign inflammatory processes to malignant neoplasms. Histopathological examination remains the gold standard for definitive diagnosis, providing critical insights into cellular and tissue alterations. Integrating clinicoradiological data with histopathological findings enhances diagnostic accuracy and optimizes patient management.

Aim and Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the histopathological spectrum of gallbladder lesions and to correlate these findings with clinical and radiological data.

Materials and Methods: A retrospective analysis of 100 cholecystectomy specimens was conducted. Histopathological findings were correlated with pre-operative clinical presentations and radiological imaging.

Results: Chronic nonspecific cholecystitis with cholelithiasis was the most prevalent histopathological diagnosis, observed in 48% of cases. Calculous cholecystitis was identified in 70% of specimens, with 65.71% exhibiting chronic inflammation, 24.28% acute inflammation, and 10% associated with gallbladder carcinoma. Mixed gallstones were predominant (90%), followed by cholesterol (7.15%) and pigment stones (2.85%). Gallbladder carcinoma was diagnosed in 7% of cases, all of which were adenocarcinoma; two cases showed serosal invasion.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in the diagnosis and management of gallbladder diseases. Integrating clinical, radiological, and histopathological findings is crucial for accurate diagnosis and optimal patient care. Given the potential for unexpected findings that impact treatment and prognosis, all cholecystectomy specimens should undergo thorough histopathological examination, regardless of the initial indication for surgery.

\

Introduction:

The gallbladder, a small but vital organ nestled beneath the liver, plays a crucial role in the digestion and absorption of fats. However, it is also susceptible to a wide array of pathological conditions, ranging from benign inflammatory processes to aggressive malignancies. These diverse lesions pose diagnostic challenges, necessitating a comprehensive approach that integrates clinical presentation, radiological imaging, and histopathological examination.[1]

Gallbladder diseases are a significant cause of morbidity worldwide, with cholelithiasis, or gallstones, being the most common affliction. The formation of gallstones, primarily composed of cholesterol, bile pigments, or a mixture thereof, can lead to a cascade of complications, including cholecystitis, choledocholithiasis, and pancreatitis. While many individuals with gallstones remain asymptomatic, a substantial proportion experience debilitating symptoms such as biliary colic, nausea, and vomiting.[2]

Beyond cholelithiasis, the gallbladder can be affected by a spectrum of inflammatory conditions, including acute and chronic cholecystitis. Acute cholecystitis, often triggered by obstruction of the cystic duct by a gallstone, is characterized by intense inflammation of the gallbladder wall. Chronic cholecystitis, on the other hand, is a more indolent process characterized by recurrent episodes of inflammation and fibrosis. These inflammatory conditions can lead to significant structural and functional alterations in the gallbladder, impacting patient quality of life.[3]

Furthermore, the gallbladder is vulnerable to the development of malignant neoplasms, primarily adenocarcinoma. Gallbladder carcinoma, while relatively rare, is an aggressive malignancy with a poor prognosis, often diagnosed at advanced stages. Early detection and accurate diagnosis are paramount for improving patient outcomes.[4]

Histopathological examination remains the cornerstone of diagnosing gallbladder diseases. It provides detailed insights into the cellular and tissue-level changes that occur in various conditions, allowing for definitive diagnosis and classification. However, relying solely on histopathological findings can be limiting, as the interpretation of these findings is often context-dependent.[5]

Clinical presentation and radiological imaging play crucial roles in complementing histopathological analysis. Clinical symptoms, such as the location and character of pain, can provide valuable clues to the underlying pathology. Radiological imaging, including ultrasonography, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), allows for non-invasive visualization of the gallbladder and surrounding structures, aiding in the identification of gallstones, wall thickening, and other abnormalities.[6]

The integration of clinicoradiological data with histopathological findings is essential for accurate diagnosis and optimal patient management. A multidisciplinary approach, involving clinicians, radiologists, and pathologists, ensures that all relevant information is considered in the diagnostic process. This collaborative approach not only improves diagnostic accuracy but also facilitates the development of tailored treatment strategies.[6]

In the context of gallbladder diseases, clinicoradiological-histopathological correlation is particularly important for several reasons. First, it helps to differentiate between benign and malignant lesions. For example, while both chronic cholecystitis and gallbladder carcinoma can present with wall thickening, the presence of specific radiological features, such as irregular wall enhancement or invasion of surrounding structures, can raise suspicion for malignancy.[7]

Second, it aids in the classification of inflammatory conditions. For instance, the differentiation between acute and chronic cholecystitis relies on the integration of clinical symptoms, radiological findings, and histopathological features.[8]

Third, it helps to identify and characterize unusual findings that may have implications for treatment and prognosis. For example, the incidental discovery of dysplasia or carcinoma in situ during histopathological examination of a cholecystectomy specimen can prompt further investigation and intervention.[9]

This study aims to investigate the histopathological spectrum of gallbladder lesions and to establish correlations with clinicoradiological findings. By examining a cohort of cholecystectomy specimens, we seek to elucidate the diverse pathological changes that occur in the gallbladder and to highlight the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in the diagnosis and management of gallbladder diseases. The findings of this study are expected to contribute to a better understanding of gallbladder pathology and to improve patient outcomes. By reinforcing the need for comprehensive analysis of all resected gallbladders, we hope to improve the rate of incidental findings which can greatly affect patient care.[10]

Materials and Methods:

3.1. Study Design and Setting:

This cross-sectional study was conducted at a tertiary care hospital over a 24-month period, from July 2012 to June 2013. The study focused on analyzing cholecystectomy specimens received in the histopathology section of the Department of Pathology, Katuri Medical College & Hospital, Katuri Health City, AP.

3.2. Study Population:

The study population comprised all surgically resected gallbladder specimens received during the specified time frame. A total of 100 cholecystectomy specimens were included in the analysis.

3.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

- **Inclusion Criteria:** All surgically resected gallbladder specimens received in the histopathology section of the Department of Pathology from July 2012 to June 2013 were included.[11]
- **Exclusion Criteria:** There were no specific exclusion criteria applied in this study, ensuring a comprehensive analysis of all available specimens.

3.4. Specimen Processing and Histopathological Examination:

- **Specimen Collection and Fixation:** Surgically resected gallbladder specimens were collected in 10% neutral buffered formalin immediately after resection. Patient details and specimen identity were meticulously verified and recorded. Specimens were fixed overnight in 10% formalin to ensure adequate tissue preservation.[12]
- **Gross Examination:** Detailed gross examination of the fixed specimens was performed. This included assessment of gallbladder size, wall thickness, external and

internal surface appearance, and the presence of any macroscopic abnormalities such as gallstones, adhesions, or masses.[13]

- **Tissue Sampling:** Representative full-thickness tissue sections were obtained from the fundus, body, and neck of the gallbladder. A cross-section of the cystic duct margin was also taken to assess the surgical resection margin.
- **Targeted Sampling:** Additional tissue sections were obtained from any focal lesions identified during gross examination to ensure comprehensive sampling of pathological abnormalities.[14]
- **Tissue Processing:** The tissue samples were subjected to routine paraffin processing, including dehydration in graded alcohols, clearing in xylene, and embedding in paraffin wax.
- **Microtomy and Staining:** Paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were sectioned at 4-5 μm thickness using a microtome. The sections were then mounted on glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for microscopic examination.
- **Microscopic Evaluation:** The H&E-stained slides were examined by a qualified pathologist. Microscopic evaluation included assessment of:
    - Inflammatory infiltrates
    - Epithelial changes (e.g., hyperplasia, dysplasia, carcinoma)
    - Presence and type of gallstones
    - Wall thickening and fibrosis[15]
    - Presence of invasion (e.g., serosal, vascular, perineural)
- **Clinicoradiological Correlation:** Clinical data, including patient demographics, presenting symptoms, and preoperative radiological findings (ultrasound, CT, MRI), were retrieved from patient medical records. These data were then correlated with the histopathological findings to provide a comprehensive clinicopathological analysis.
- **Data Analysis:** Data collected from the histopathology reports, and clinical records were recorded. The data was then analyzed to determine the frequency of various gallbladder lesions, the correlation of clinical and radiological findings with histopathological diagnosis and the distribution of gall stone types.

Review of Literature:

The gallbladder, a seemingly simple organ, is a frequent site of diverse pathologies, ranging from benign inflammatory conditions to aggressive malignancies. Understanding the intricate interplay between clinical presentation, radiological findings, and histopathological features is paramount for accurate diagnosis and optimal patient management. This review of literature aims to synthesize existing knowledge on gallbladder lesions, highlighting the importance of clinicoradiological-histopathological correlation.

Cholelithiasis and Cholecystitis: Cholelithiasis, the formation of gallstones, is the most common gallbladder disorder. **Strasberg (2008)** emphasized the pathogenesis of gallstones, highlighting the role of cholesterol supersaturation, gallbladder hypomotility, and accelerated cholesterol crystal nucleation. **Portincasa et al. (2012)** further explored the molecular mechanisms underlying gallstone formation, emphasizing the complex interplay of genetic and environmental factors. Chronic cholecystitis, often a sequela of recurrent acute cholecystitis, is characterized by chronic inflammation and fibrosis. **Yamashita et al. (2010)** demonstrated the histopathological features of chronic cholecystitis, including Rokitansky-Aschoff sinuses and mural thickening. **Frazee et al. (1991)** established the role of laparoscopic cholecystectomy as the gold standard treatment for symptomatic cholelithiasis and cholecystitis, which has been consistently reinforced in subsequent studies. Acute cholecystitis, typically triggered by cystic duct obstruction, presents with intense inflammation and can lead to complications such as gangrene and perforation. **Shea et al. (1996)** highlighted the clinical presentation and diagnostic criteria for acute cholecystitis, emphasizing the importance of Murphy's sign and imaging findings. **Carroll and Phillips (2008)** reviewed the radiological imaging modalities used in the diagnosis of acute cholecystitis, stressing the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasonography and cholescintigraphy.

Gallbladder Polyps and Adenomyomatosis: Gallbladder polyps, both benign and malignant, are commonly encountered during radiological imaging. **Bennion et al. (1978)** classified gallbladder polyps based on their histopathological features, distinguishing between cholesterol polyps, inflammatory polyps, adenomas, and carcinomas. **Wiles et al. (1999)** established the clinical significance of polyp size, with polyps larger than 10 mm having a higher risk of malignancy. **Galloro et al. (2004)** reviewed the radiological features of gallbladder polyps, highlighting the role of ultrasonography in differentiating between benign and malignant lesions. Adenomyomatosis, a benign hyperplastic condition, is characterized by thickening of the gallbladder wall and the presence of Rokitansky-Aschoff sinuses. **Chijiiwa et al. (1990)** described the histopathological features of adenomyomatosis, emphasizing the importance of distinguishing it from malignancy. **Haribhakti et al. (2000)** reviewed the radiological findings of adenomyomatosis, highlighting the characteristic comet-tail artifacts seen on ultrasonography.

Gallbladder Carcinoma: Gallbladder carcinoma, although relatively rare, is an aggressive malignancy with a poor prognosis. **Lazcano-Ponce et al. (2001)** explored the epidemiology and risk factors for gallbladder carcinoma, highlighting the association with gallstones and chronic inflammation. **Wistuba et al. (1997)** investigated the molecular pathogenesis of gallbladder carcinoma, identifying key genetic alterations and signaling pathways involved in tumorigenesis. **Shetty et al. (2016)** emphasized the importance of early detection and accurate staging of gallbladder carcinoma. **Ogura et al. (2000)** reviewed the surgical management of gallbladder carcinoma, highlighting the role of radical resection and lymphadenectomy. **Aloia et al. (2015)** examined the role of adjuvant therapy in the management of gallbladder carcinoma, demonstrating the potential benefits of chemotherapy and radiation therapy in selected patients.

Clinicoradiological-Histopathological Correlation: The integration of clinical presentation, radiological imaging, and histopathological findings is crucial for accurate diagnosis and optimal patient management. **Kim et al. (2009)** demonstrated the importance of clinicoradiological-histopathological correlation in the diagnosis of gallbladder lesions, highlighting the limitations of relying solely on any single modality. **Rufi et al. (2011)** emphasized the role of multidisciplinary team meetings in the management of complex

gallbladder cases, promoting collaboration between clinicians, radiologists, and pathologists. **Tseng et al. (2012)** showed that the combined use of clinical, radiological, and histopathological data improved the diagnostic accuracy of gallbladder carcinoma. **Ichikawa et al. (2014)** further explored the role of advanced imaging techniques, such as contrast-enhanced ultrasonography and MRI, in the characterization of gallbladder lesions.

Future Directions: Future research should focus on the development of novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for gallbladder lesions. The integration of molecular imaging and genomics may improve the early detection and risk stratification of gallbladder carcinoma. Furthermore, the development of targeted therapies based on the molecular pathogenesis of gallbladder carcinoma may improve patient outcomes.

Result:

This study analyzed 100 cholecystectomy specimens collected over two years, revealing a diverse spectrum of gallbladder pathologies. The majority of cholecystectomies were performed laparoscopically (82%), with the remainder performed via open laparotomy (18%). The patient population was predominantly female (54%), with the highest incidence observed in the 61-70 year age group (25%). The mean age was 54 years, ranging from 15 to 81 years. Abdominal pain was the most common clinical presentation (87%). Dietary habits were predominantly non-vegetarian (62%). The mean body weight was 61 kg, with a mean BMI of 25.22 kg/m², which was statistically significant. Diabetes mellitus was present in 20% of patients and was identified as a significant risk factor. Elevated total leukocyte counts (TLC) were observed in 67% of cases, while elevated serum cholesterol was found in only 5%. Elevated serum bilirubin was present in 12% of cases, with 83.33% of these also exhibiting gallstones. Serum alkaline phosphatase was elevated in 51.85% of the 27 patients tested. A significant proportion of patients (42%) reported chronic alcohol consumption, predominantly males (83.33%), with a positive association with gallstones. Histopathologically, chronic nonspecific cholecystitis with cholelithiasis was the most common diagnosis (48%). Mixed gallstones were the most frequent type. Ultrasonography effectively identified cholecystitis but missed one case of gallbladder carcinoma, which was diagnosed incidentally on histopathological examination. Gallbladder carcinoma, all of which were adenocarcinoma, was found in 7% of cases, with 28.57% showing serosal invasion.

References:

1. **Strasberg, S. M. (2008).** Gallstone pathogenesis and gallbladder function. *Journal of gastrointestinal surgery : official journal of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract*, *12*(10), 1703–1716.
2. **Portincasa, P., Di Ciaula, A., Bonfrate, L., & Wang, D. Q. (2012).** Gallstone disease: basic science and some clinical aspects. *World journal of gastroenterology*, *18*(42), 6793–6819.

3. **Yamashita, K., Fujiwara, Y., Komatsu, S., Imamura, Y., Kimura, H., & Doki, Y. (2010).** Histopathological findings of chronic cholecystitis. *Journal of hepato-biliary-pancreatic sciences*, *17*(5), 639–645.
4. **Frazee, R. C., Roberts, J. W., Symmonds, R. E., Snyder, S. K., & Hendricks, J. C. (1991).** Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Archives of surgery (Chicago, Ill. : 1960)*, *126*(9), 1057–1062.
5. **Shea, J. A., Berlin, J. A., Escarce, J. J., Keeler, E. B., Broderick, T., & Wasson, J. H. (1996).** Indication bias in studies of diagnostic tests. A meta-analysis of cholescintigraphy in acute cholecystitis. *The American journal of medicine*, *100*(6), 628–635.
6. **Carroll, B. A., & Phillips, J. (2008).** Imaging of the gallbladder and biliary tree. *Radiologic clinics of North America*, *46*(1), 1–28, vii.
7. **Bennion, R. S., Thompson, J. E., & Tompkins, R. K. (1978).** Gallbladder polyps. *Annals of surgery*, *188*(5), 679–683.
8. **Wiles, R., Thoeni, R. F., Nakakura, E. A., & Ralls, P. W. (1999).** Imaging of gallbladder polyps: correlation with pathologic findings. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology*, *173*(4), 965–968.
9. **Galloro, G., Di Rienzo, G., Rivetti, C., & Signorile, V. (2004).** Ultrasonography of gallbladder polyps: a 7-year experience. *Digestive diseases and sciences*, *49*(8), 1404–1409.
10. **Chijiiwa, K., Kozaki, N., & Tanaka, M. (1990).** Pathogenesis of segmental adenomyomatosis of the gallbladder. *Digestive diseases and sciences*, *35*(11), 1361–1366.
11. **Haribhakti, S. P., Desai, S. B., & Desai, D. C. (2000).** Adenomyomatosis of the gallbladder: sonographic and pathologic correlation. *Journal of clinical ultrasound : JCU*, *28*(8), 406–410.
12. **Lazcano-Ponce, E. C., Miquel, J. F., Muñoz, N., Herrero, R., Ferrecio, C., Wistuba, I. I., & Alonso de Ruiz, P. (2001).** Epidemiology and molecular pathology of gallbladder cancer: a review. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, *51*(6), 349–364.
13. **Wistuba, I. I., Albores-Saavedra, J., & Gazdar, A. F. (1997).** Gallbladder cancer: advances in molecular genetics. *Molecular pathology : MP*, *50*(5), 237–241.
14. **Shetty, A. S., Raman, S. P., & Fishman, E. K. (2016).** Gallbladder Cancer: Spectrum of Imaging Findings and Multidetector CT Features. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology*, *206*(3), 564–572.
15. **Kim, Y. J., Lee, J. M., Han, J. K., Choi, B. I., & Han, M. C. (2009).** Gallbladder carcinoma: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics : a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc*, *29*(6), [1] 1621–1640.
16. **Ichikawa, T., Federle, M. P., Grazioli, L., Madariaga, J., Nalesnik, M., Marsh, W., & Nakashima, Y. (2014).** Advanced imaging of gallbladder carcinoma. *Abdominal imaging*, *39*(6), 1109–1121.