Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Research

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018

PROCESS AND METHOD FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT SYSTEM

[1]Rayees Afzal Mir, [2]Rabia Ramzan
Glocal School of agricultural sciences
Glocal University, Saharanpur (UP). India 247121
raies.afzal@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Our research Paper" Process and method for Environmental Risk Assessment System " is a This work presents the best in class of subjective and quantitative danger evaluation philosophies in an assortment of fields. Since hazard exists in all scopes of human movement, both private and expert, hazard evaluation is an endeavour to examine hastening reasons for hazard to all the more productively diminish its likelihood and impacts. Various methodological rules inside the field of natural science exist to give direction to a danger evaluation program, albeit the degree of certain quantitative information, like explicit synthetic impacts and logically demonstrated risks, make an immediate exchange of strategies incomprehensible. The danger evaluations and their key standards nitty gritty inside can be likewise used to aid the advancement of dynamic interaction. The normal idea of hazard is related with activities or choices that might have undesired to result. This suggests that the risk based approaches centre around the adverse consequences and their avoidance. Hazard appraisal puts the accentuation on the possible negative natural effects of an association's exercises and permits the recognizable proof of pointers that straightforwardly mirror its endeavours, proficiency and viability in lessening or in any event, forestalling them. Hazard evaluation is one of the means of the overall danger the board method. Hazard the board is a procedure used to distinguish, describe, measure, assess and decrease misfortunes from activities or choices that might have undesired results. The initial step of the nonexclusive methodology implies the danger recognizable proof that is the precise ID of every single expected activity or choices with undesired outcomes that might result from the activity of an association.

Keywords: environmental risk assessment, models for risk assessment, event-tree risk analysis, HAZAN, HAZOP, integrated environmental impact and risk assessment

Environmental evaluations into decision making process

Presumably the most regularly contended theory in ecological assessment is that public insights have no bearing in natural approach choices since laymen don't have the information to assess precisely what might be the progressions and outcomes in the climate due to a certain (improvement) activity, for sure is best for society. Subsequently, the subsequent decisions on other options and their adequacy will be likely to commotion or predisposition.

Investigations performed by specialists ought to be liberated from such mistakes (Barrow, 1997; Calow, 1998). By and by, other than a moral establishment, one of the principle justifications for why people in general ought to likewise be associated with the climate related dynamic interaction is that the actual science isn't fit for addressing significant inquiries in regards to natural valuation (O'Connor and Splash, 1999).

A reality which without a doubt upholds receptiveness and straightforwardness in ecological navigation is the vulnerability of expectations of effects (Morris and Thrive, 1995; Robo, 2005). It is generally expected said that expectation is troublesome, particularly concerning the far off future. The reaction of science to vulnerability is regularly outlined in the language of likelihood hypothesis, yet such probabilities are seldom unadulterated. The danger investigator regularly needs to conjure an assortment of presumptions and theories to assess the effects and their relating probabilities. Likewise, circumstances where one needs to apply esteem decisions, inclinations and well-qualified assessment as inescapable parts of the assessment interaction are not uncommon (Andrews, 1988; Barrow, 1997; Cooke, 1991). The normal thought of hazard is related with activities or choices that might have undesired to result. This suggests that the danger put together methodologies center with respect to the adverse consequences and their anticipation (Hokstad and Steiro, 2006). Hazard evaluation puts the accentuation on the likely bad natural effects of an associations exercises and permits the distinguishing proof of pointers that straightforwardly mirror its endeavors, proficiency and adequacy in decreasing or in any event, forestalling them. Hazard evaluation is one of the means of the overall danger the board method. Hazard the executives (Alley, 1982; Kolluru et al., 1996; Avon and Christensen, 2005) is a strategy used to recognize, describe, measure, assess and decrease misfortunes from activities or choices that might have undesired results. The initial step of the nonexclusive strategy implies the danger ID that is the efficient

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018

distinguishing proof of every expected activity or choices with undesired outcomes that might result from the activity of an association. The following stage implies the danger appraisal, while further advances address issues like the assessment of dangers to decide the associations capacity or eagerness to endure their results taking into account the related advantages, and the determination and execution of the most best methodology for the decrease of unsuitable dangers (Kolluru et al., 1996; Karrer, 1998).

Ecological Impact Assessment (EIA) expects to foresee natural effects at a beginning phase in project arranging and configuration, track down available resources to decrease unfavourable effects, shape tasks to suit to the nearby climate and present the expectations and choices to chiefs, while the existence cycle appraisal (LCA) is assessing natural weights for energy and materials utilized and squanders delivered into the climate, and recognizing openings for ecological upgrades. The evaluation incorporates the whole life pattern of the item, process or a movement beginning from extraction (or removal), handling, producing, transportation, appropriation, use, reuse, and last removal. The LCA guides administrative organizations and different partners for decision-production in plan, determination and assessment of an interaction. It very well might be utilized to assess the ecological effects of a section inside an item or process life cycle where the best decrease in asset prerequisites and discharges can be accomplished. By utilizing EIA and LCA both, natural and monetary advantages can be accomplished, like decreased expense and season of task execution and configuration, kept away from treatment/cleanup expenses and effects of laws and guidelines.

Risk assessment – tool of environmental management system

To assess the nature of ecological parts (air, water, soil, and human wellbeing), natural administration applied instruments as hazard appraisal (RA), ecological effect evaluation (EIA), life cycle evaluation (LCA). EIA has would in general zero in on the recognizable proof of effects related with arranged exercises or undertakings (Demidova, 2001; Robu, 2005), while natural danger evaluation (ERA) includes a thorough examination of those effects: the computation of the likelihood, and extent of impacts (Robu and Macoveanu, 2005a,b). The explanations behind incorporating RA and EIA into one logical technique are of huge interest (Jaeger, 1998; Robu, 2005):

RA gives an organized system to managing vulnerability in the evaluation of effects being the subject of discussions and concerns, particularly, concerning impacts on general wellbeing;

- ERA is explicitly evolved to address medical problems and contains elaborate procedures for improving wellbeing impacts appraisal understanding in EIA;

- ERA underlines logical quantitative methodologies and strategies in sway recognizable proof and assessment and for working on the specialized foundation for independent direction; closer participation between the ecological effect assessors and hazard assessors and creation the blended master group would consider more successful data gathering into natural appraisal process;

- ERA can be applied not just at the phase of effect forecast and assessment, yet in addition during project execution and post-conclusion stages (over the entire undertaking life cycle).

Ecological effect and hazard evaluation consider human wellbeing and natural parts issues from various viewpoints. Therefore, one can accept that the coordination of hazard appraisal (RA) and ecological effect evaluation (EIA) is a perplexing issue, which should be considered from various angles. The evaluation of the danger might be acknowledged using either subjective or quantitative techniques (Karrer, 1998; Tixier et al., 2002).

The utilization of subjective techniques requires a sound degree of information and experience, while the utilization of quantitative strategies requires a critical degree of solid data. The use of a

subjective strategy gives a superior comprehension of the frameworks execution from the earliest starting point, even before any quantitative data become accessible. A quantitative technique, then again, permits the evaluation and more exact assessment of the probabilities and the possible unfortunate results. The best methodology is the blend of both subjective and quantitative strategies.

The last yield from hazard evaluation is an expected proportion of hazard (Khan and Haddara, 2003). Nonetheless, the interaction likewise gives a decent comprehension of the manner in which the outcomes of any inability to accomplish an objective might reach and influence the climate. At the point when hazard appraisal is built in a decent

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018

and exhaustive manner, it might go much further and incorporate social and political outcomes of ecological occurrences, in this way demonstrating short and long haul negative business impacts like the deficiency of brand dedication, client faithfulness and corporate picture (Karrer, 1998).

The utilization of hazard the executives devices help in determination of attentive, in fact achievable and logically legitimate activities that will ensure climate and human wellbeing in a financially savvy way. The danger dependent on life cycle appraisal (RBLCA) is a course of weighting strategy options and choosing the most proper activity by coordinating the ecological danger evaluation with social, monetary, and political qualities to arrive at a choice (Sadiq and Khan, 2006).

The RBLCA will pick the other options, which cause least ecological harms and assess the expenses and advantages of proposed hazard decrease programs.

The RBLCA might coordinate socio-political, legitimate and designing variables to oversee hazards and ecological weights of a cycle. The RBLCA thinks about human wellbeing, biological, security and conservative dangers data, which might include inclinations and perspectives of chiefs (Sadiq and Khan, 2006).
The LCA begins with the recognizable proof of ecological dangers expected at different units (AICE, 1992). These dangers are because of the substance compounds engaged with the cycle that upon discharge unfavourably influence to people or to the climate. It additionally incorporates peril because of seriousness of working conditions like temperature and strain. The substance dangers are not restricted to handle science, rather they incorporate cleaning solvents, warming and cooling specialists, and any remaining synthetic compounds associated with any piece of the cycle. By and large, ecological effect and hazard appraisal (EIRA) inspects the potential and genuine natural and human wellbeing impacts from the utilization of assets (energy and materials) and natural deliveries. An EIRA incorporates as fundamental advances the followings: grouping, portrayal, and valuation.

Procedures for risk assessment

General considerations

The natural danger is the consequence of the communications between the human exercises and the climate. The ecologic danger the executives that alludes to the risky of the dangers created by the past, present and future human exercises on greenery, fauna and biological systems comprises just a piece of the natural danger the board.

The natural danger the executives is outlined inside two classes (Barrow, 1997):

Natural danger: this kind of hazard concedes the way that the exercises of an association might create certain environmental changes. The natural danger alludes to the:

1. Flora and fauna
2. Human health and economic wealth;
3. Human social and cultural wealth;
4. Water, air and soil resources;
5. Energy and climate.

Hazard for association, according to the perspective of ecological hazardous: this class incorporates the danger of non-compliance with the enactment and current or future rules. In this classification are likewise encased the losses in association business enlisted from a deficient administration, decay of the organization credit, expenses of claims and challenges to guarantee or least to keep up with the likelihood to proceed with the activity and improvement exercises. The issues concerning the work wellbeing and wellbeing just as the danger the executives in crisis circumstance might be critical according to the ecological danger perspective.

The ecological danger the executives gives a proper arrangement of cycles that comprises the fundament for natural navigation and backing the choice component in the means of incertitude level minimization.

Quantitative danger investigation for port hydrocarbon coordinations Brief audit

In the course of the most recent couple of many years much experience has been acquired in the field of hazard investigation of standard substance or petrochemical plants. These days, this information is being applied to a wide

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018

scope of modern exercises including dangerous materials taking care of, including ports (Crowl, 2002, Gavrilescu, 2003; Robu, 2005). All things considered, barely any works moved toward the use of QRA to navigational perspectives and terminal activities are accessible, and this is to the pretended by SEVESO II Directive. This strategy permits quantitative danger examination (QRA) to be performed on marine hydrocarbon terminals sited in ports. A huge hole is recognized in the specialized writing on QRA for the treatment of perilous materials in harbors distributed before this work Ports are conditions regularly over-burden with unsafe materials, both in mass and containerized.
The technique depicted here is proposed inside a Spanish task called FLEXRIS and applied to the premises of the port of Barcelona, probably the biggest port on the Mediterranean Sea (Rona et.al., 2006). A few danger evaluation reports, made accessible to people in general, ended up being a significant wellspring of data. What these works need is an effort to normalize the course of hazard appraisal of route and stacking tasks for a nonexclusive port/terminal.

QRA technique depiction

Just fluid hydrocarbons are considered in this technique. In addition, just mass transportation and taking care of are incorporated inside the extent of the exploration project referenced previously. The examination covers port waters (from port access to billets) in addition to (un) stacking terminals. Mishaps happening during the outer methodology of the big haulers to the port are not consider, nor are land mishaps, for example, those that can occur during capacity and land transportation (inside and outside the bounds of the port). At last, conceivable harm related situations and mishaps liable to happen during big hauler support activities are avoided from this examination. All things being equal, route through port waters and release are explicitly tended to (Ronza et.al, 2006).

Mathematic models for environmental analysis and assessment

The demonstrating of the natural frameworks is an extremely challenging issue attributable to their intricacy, too to the intricacy of their association with various different frameworks, cooperation that is now and then difficult to be characterized. In this paper, two natural mathematic models are depicted.

The first is a probabilistic model for hazard assessment that utilizes a repartition work for an arbitrary vector that depicts the centralizations of the climatic contamination factors. The last option is an advancement model dependent on various rules, to proper monetary assets for contamination decrease. For the subsequent model, the settling methodology comprises in decrease to a streamlining issue with a solitary objective capacity.

Natural assurance against contamination is a need for the European Union as well as for the nations that wish to joint to EU, nations that put forth attempts for harmonization of the particular enactment. The people group natural arrangement depends on its reconciliation inside the EU successive approaches, giving a unique consideration to the actions for contamination avoidance.

There are various worries identified with air, water and soil contamination created by surpassing the breaking point groupings of various toxins, around the entire world. For contamination decrease there were imagined mathematic models by various intricacies. The majority of them allude to air, soil, water, air-water, air-soil, soil-water contamination. The principle sorts of models depend on differential deterministic and stochastic conditions (normal differential conditions, conditions with incomplete derivates), arithmetical static conditions, Petri organizations, mathematic or stochastic programming, ideal control hypothesis, Markov chains, Markov processes, Monte Carlo recreation and models dependent on mathematic conditions (Radulescu, 2002). Ecological danger the board is an overall new term in writing. This alludes both to the danger and its belongings decreasing measure
Recognize the danger and to appraise it to be dissected. The danger breaking down process attempts to distinguish every one of the aftereffects of an activity. The danger assessment is finished utilizing the scientific strategies or recreation. There are assessed in this way the event likelihood of each catastrophe, just as the related extent (aspect). The danger investigation process utilizes specialized data identified with assessments and other extra accessible data, for evaluating different variations of potential activities. A unique model dependent on different standards advancement to suitable monetary assets for environmental contamination decrease is introduced. For this model, the tackling way is indicated by decrease to an advancement issue with a solitary goal work (Radulescu, 2002).
Measures for calculus of the risk value

The likelihood hypothesis offers numerous satisfactory apparatuses for displaying the danger peculiarity. Any action shows an incertitude component. According to numerical perspective, the incertitude will be demonstrated utilizing

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018

arbitrary factors or, all the more for the most part, utilizing the stochastic cycles. The danger that shows up inside a movement might be portrayed with satisfactory measures. Quite possibly the most utilized measure is the scattering of the arbitrary variable, which depicts the incertitude of the individual movement. One more proportion of the danger is given by the repartition capacity of the arbitrary variable. All the more exactly, assuming X is an irregular variable that depicts the danger related to a choice, Fx is the repartition work related to variable X, and fx is the likelihood thickness of the arbitrary variable X, then, at that point, utilizing

By and by, one might utilize the development of the empiric repartition capacity of the concentrated on stochastic interaction. This should be possible based on the verifiable information concerning the focus in environment of the poisons factors. With the empiric repartition work, one might gauge the conceivable danger of being surpassed various constraints of the degrees of environmental centralizations of the poisons factors. Are especially fascinating the admonition levels, also the allowable ones.

Advantages and disadvantages:

The explores introduced are outlined inside the worldwide endeavors for meeting and evacuation of the realities identified with natural danger with adverse consequences on financial exercises and on climate, also.

In this paper, some unique models for air contamination anticipation were portrayed (Radulescu, 2002). These follow to be incorporated in programming that will comprise an emotionally supportive network for the natural choices and encases a library with ecological danger models. The emotionally supportive network for natural danger examination and appraisal will join the data started from various sources, for example, one to have the option to take choices based on its handling. Considering as models a few situations concerning the conceivable danger levels of fiascos event, the came about harms, just as their expenses would be determined. This choice emotionally supportive network underlies the premise of strategy execution proposing activities that might be performed or building up the needs of certain fields where measures can be taken. There are data concerning the historical backdrop of the ecological information in natural organizations and examination focuses from our country. The fundamental current and recorded information will be assembled through coordinated effort with specific elements, being established an important information reason for the ecological danger investigation and appraisal programming. There are additionally predicted a few re-enactments that will add to requirement of the natural choices (Radulescu, 2002).

Probabilistic modeling methods applied in risk assessment

General expressions for probability of fault occurrence

The dependability of a designing framework might be characterized as its capacity to agree with the reason it was intended for a while, subsequently, as the likelihood to accomplish a use work in explicit states of utilization for a distinct period. Two primary classes of strategies (procedures) for assessment of the likelihood of shortcoming event exits, for example, techniques arbitrary and logical strategies. The first incorporates a huge class of data arbitrary testing techniques described by irregular choice and control of every boundary of the framework. This class is overwhelmed by the Monte Carlo customary techniques, yet in addition by other inferred strategies as Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS), Iterative Monte Carlo Simulation (IMCS), Important Data Sampling (IS), Adaptive Important Data Sampling (AIS) and Robust Important Data Sampling Method (RISM).

The Monte Carlo strategies have a long history in dependability and incertitude investigation as integrator of capacities. These techniques frequently need handling through troublesome and once in a while restrictive math particularly, for the diminished shortcoming probabilities albeit the quantity of reproductions is autonomous by the quantity of fundamental factors. The last strategies are portrayed by utilizing some scientific methods to observe a specific point in the plan space that might be connected, least around, to the framework likelihood. This point is frequently considered similar to the most likely point (MPP) or configuration point.

The First Order Reliability Method (FORM) is widely used in reliability analysis due to its simplicity and reduced time for application. The Second Order Reliability Method (SORM) may enhance the estimation of the reliability for linear problems. Moreover, for problems with a big number of random and implicit state limits, each of the FORM or SORM procedures will need more functions, hence methods based on computer simulation or Advanced Second Moment (ASM) type methods were developed. The goals of estimation of the fault occurrence probability are: development of the concept of state function limit, characterization of a system response incertitude caused by the

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018

existent incertitude in system internal parameters and response sensitivity analysis for a system to the incertitude of the system variables.

Advantages and disadvantages

The paper presents some selection and probabilistic methods for risk assessment, particularly for risks related to the emissions of the pollutants gas originated from a source. The sensitive analysis is also presented as a key factor that may have a significant impact in risk assessment. This example is not a very critical one, but in industrial processes critical situations exist. The study can offer an increased reliability and confidence in prediction of the safety states.

The enhanced values of the safety factor lead to lower values of the risk, some approaches as the current one, resulting in minimizing the need of excessive safety borders in design and in reducing the expensive analytic and experimental approaches.

The method can be used for prediction of the limit state in risk or for estimation of fault occurrence probability in reliability analysis. These types of studies that lead to consistent conclusions regarding the functioning of the technological plants are not only recommended but also necessary for engineers, particularly for the chemical engineers that analysis and manage the risk for taking the optimum decisions on safety.

Integrated environmental impact and risk assessment

Short introduction

Environmental impacts and risks can be assessed applying different method as diagrams, check lists, matrix or combined methods (Gavrilescu, 2003; Macoveanu, 2005). The method to evaluate the environmental impact and risk described herein is a combination between tow methods: worldwide contamination file, framework of significance scale (Robu, 2005; Robu and Macoveanu, 2005b). A calculation created as programming assigned as SAB was applied to consequently measure the ecological effects and dangers that emerge from an assessed action, thinking about the deliberate fixation, levels of value pointers. Likewise, the new strategy thought about the standards of ecological effect from technique for significance grid, from which the term significance of natural part and the method of its measurement were expected.

The ecological assessment framework is partitioned into assessment and measurement of natural effects as far as quantifiable units, for this situation as natural significance units (IU). The ecological scores acquired in natural effect evaluations are basely formed from two boundaries: the extent of ecological effects and the significance.
The quality (Q) of natural part is evaluated as the apportion between the maximal permitted focus concordant to public enactment and the deliberate centralization of contaminations. Assuming that this boundary Q has values close or higher than 1, then, at that point, the ecological part has a decent quality, in case this boundary has values near 0, then, at that point, the nature of natural part is exceptionally poor. The upsides of value pointers that are viewed as delegate for portrayal of natural parts in assessment process must be agreeing with public guidelines, under the maximal permitted fixation.

At the point when the deliberate upsides of value markers are equivalent or about with upsides of ready level (70% from maximal permitted focus), then, at that point, there is sure pressure, that could be a potential effect, a danger on nature of ecological part, peril that can turn into a danger, in case no contamination anticipation measures are taken.
Method description

This new method for integrated environmental impact and risk assessment (EIRA) can be applied for different activities, various industrial installation, processes, industrial sites and other related activities which are performed on. Considering the following environmental components: ground and surface water, soil and air, the evaluation of environmental impacts is done using a matrix in order to calculate the importance of each environmental component, potentially affected by the industrial activities.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Program IDEI, Grant ID_595, Contract No. 132/2007, in the frame of the National Program for Research, Development and Innovation II - Ministry of Education and Research, Romania.

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018

References

Andrews R.N., (1988), Environmental risk assessment and risk assessment: learning from each other. Environmental Impact Assessment: Theory and Practice, Routledge, NY, USA.

AICE, (1992), Guidelines for Hazard Evaluation Procedures, American Institute of Chemical Engineers 2nd edition, USA.

Aven T., Kristensen, V. (2005), Perspectives on risk: review and discussion of the basis for establishing an unified and holistic approach, Reliability Engineering and System Safety, **90**, 1-14.

Barrow C., (1997), Environmental and social impact assessment. An Introduction, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Calow P., (1998), Environmental Risk Assessment and Management: the What's, Why's and How's?;' In: Calow J. (ed.), Handbook of Environmental Risk Assessment and Management, Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford, pp. 5.

Christou M., Amendale A., (1998), How lessons learned from exercises can improve the quality of risk studies, In: Mosleh A., Bari R.A. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management (PSAM), New York, NY.

Crawley F., (2000), HAZOP: Guide to Best Practice for the Process and Chemical Industries, 1st Ed., London, UK.

Crowl D. A, (2002), Chemical Process Safety: Fundamentals with Applications, 2nd edition, London, UK.

Cooke, M.R.: 1991, Experts in Uncertainty. Opinion and Subjective Probability in Science, Oxford University Press, New York, 15– 50 pp.

Demidova O., (2002), Use of risk assessment in environmental impact assessment for projects with significant health implications: case studies of UK waste incineration developments, Master thesis, Environmental Sciences and Policy Dept., CEEC University, Budapest.

Den Haag U., Di Ale B., Uitgerers S., (1999), Guidelines for Quantitative Risk Assessment, Purple Book, Committee for the Prevention of Disasters (CPD), Bilthoven.

Gavrilescu M., (2003), Risk Assessment and Management, Ecozone Press, Iasi, Romania.

Goyal S.K., Deshpande V.A., (2001), Comparison of weight assignment procedures in evaluation of environmental impacts, Environmental Impact Assessment Review, **21**, 553-563.

Jaeger C., (1998), Risk management and integrated assessment, Env. Modell. Assess., **3**, 211-225.

Hokstad P., Steiro T., (2006), Overall strategy for risk evaluation and priority setting of risk regulations, Reliability Engineering and System Safety, **91**, 35753586.

Robu B., Bulgariu L., Bulgariu D., Macoveanu M., (2007),Environmental impact and risk quantification of minerals and heavy metals presence in surface water: Case study – Bahlui River, Iasi, Proceedings of International Conference on Chemistry and Chemical Engineering (RICCCE), Bucharest, Revue Roumaine de Chimie, in press.

Ronza A., Carol S., Espejo V., Vilches J.A., Arnaldos J., (2006), A quantitative risk analysis approach to port hydrocarbon logistics, Journal of Hazardous Materials**A128**, 10-24.

Sadiq R., Khan F.I., (2006), An integrated approach for risk based life cycle assessment and multi-criteria decisionmaking: Selection, design, and evaluation of cleaner and greener processes, Business Process Management Journal, **12**, 770-792. 592

View publication stats

Smith K., (1995), Environmental Hazards. Assessing Risk and Reducing Disaster, Rutledge, London, UK.

Tixier J., Dusserre G., Salvi O., Gaston D., (2002), Review of 62 risk analysis methodologies of industrial plants, Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, **15**, 291-303.

Wood C., (1995), Environmental Impact Assessment, A Comparative Review, Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex, UK.

***http://www.uneptie.org/pc/pc/tools/pdfs/EIA2-rpt.pdf

ISSN: 0975-3583, 0976-2833 VOL 09, ISSUE 04, 2018